Becky Dahl

From:	Rick Lloyd
Sent:	Sunday, August 19, 2012 11:29 AM
To:	Ed Houghton
Subject:	Re: Draft #1 of Centennial/Central Park staff report

Good I also see some other areas that need reworded

Ie"no other pools in Ontario of this construction" I would rather indicate that there are many pools in north america with this construction.

I find there is a little negative spin on some of her report.

I don't think it is intentionally done that way but it needs the Ed Houghton positive spin in a redraft.

She writes like an accountant.

As well we must be careful not to give too much information

Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device

From: Ed Houghton Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2012 11:19 AM To: Rick Lloyd Subject: Re: Draft #1 of Centennial/Central Park staff report

I have suggested to Marj to copy you but I have had some minor resistance. She will send by tomorrow however.

Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device

From: Rick Lloyd Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2012 11:17 AM To: Ed Houghton Subject: RE: Draft #1 of Centennial/Central Park staff report

I don't like the phrase "IF COUNCIL CHOOSES TO PROCEED" Take that out as it leaves an impression that maybe we shouldn't proceed Still reading it though lol

From: Ed Houghton Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2012 9:32 AM To: Rick Lloyd Subject: Fw: Draft #1 of Centennial/Central Park staff report

Rick:

Take a look at this. I've not read it yet but will but I'm gonna ask Marjory to flip it to you as well.

Ed

Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device

From: Marjory Leonard Sent: Saturday, August 18, 2012 10:34 AM To: Ed Houghton; Larry Irwin; Sara Almas; Marta Proctor; Dave McNalty Subject: Draft #1 of Centennial/Central Park staff report

Ladies and gentlemen,

This is the first attempt at the report. There are several items (particularly the cost estimates, pros, cons and other considerations) that most definitely need flushing out since we don't have all of them yet. At least this should provide us with a starting point for our work next week.

I am not certain that I should include the design specifications in the body of the report or reference them as appendices. My thought was if they are in the body, they are right there in front of them as they read through.

In terms of the operating costs I would like to break these into fixed and variable. Fixed being those costs that will continue regardless of usage and variable being those that are dependent on usage.

I would like to have some mock up pictures of the 3 types of buildings for Central Park and one for Centennial Pool.

I envision the recommendation section to contain the various options – do nothing; do nothing but establish a reserve for future construction; do nothing but EBMA; do nothing but EBMA and establish a reserve for future construction; do nothing at CP, do Centennial Pool and EBMA etc. There are a significant number of permutations on these recommendations that should be discussed with Council or some members of Council before the 27th.

The effect on Town Finances section will include using the \$1.5m in reserve, debentures, hydro money and any other funds we can brainstorm. I will also have to provide them with an updated annual repayment calculation in the event they choose debenture financing. I have no idea how to broach the subject of using hydro money since Council committed to a public process before using this money and I remember the last time I mentioned using these funds. Ian was not happy.

2

TOC0517810 TOC0517810{3}

>>> >>> Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device >>> >>> ----- Original Message ----->>> From: Ian Chadwick >>> Sent: Sunday, July 15, 2012 07:28 AM >>> To: Rick Lloyd; Kevin Lloyd >>> Subject: central park presentation >>> >>> Have you read it? Last page, especially. Nothing like being lectured to about our duties and responsibilities... >>> >>> WHY are we being treated to something that basically repeats what every previous presentation and meeting has said? >>> >>> Ian Chadwick >>> Emailed via iPad