## Message

From:

Dave McNalty [/O=TOC/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DMCNALTY]

Sent:

7/25/2012 7:56:50 AM

To:

Ed Houghton [/O=TOC/OU=First Administrative Group/cn=Recipients/cn=ehoughton]

Subject:

RE: Central Park

Okay. Got it.

From: Ed Houghton

Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 7:53 AM

To: Dave McNalty

Subject: RE: Central Park

Dave:

The last point I should make is that I will be the contact person with Sprung. The Deputy-Mayor made that perfectly clear with me on the week-end.

Thanks.....Ed

Mr. Ed Houghton, President & CEO, Collingwood Utility Services Chief Administrative Officer, Town of Collingwood

Collingwood Utility Services Corp. P.O. Box 189, 43 Stewart Road

Collingwood, ON

L9Y 3Z5

Phone: 705-445-1800, 2222

Fax: 705-445-2549

Email: ehoughton@collus.com

Important Notice: This message is intended only for the use of the person to whom it is addressed, and may contain information which is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that distribution or copying this message is strictly prohibited. If you received this in error, please notify the sender and delete the original message and attachments.

From: Dave McNalty Sent: July-24-12 9:05 PM

To: Ed Houghton; Marjory Leonard

**Cc:** Sara Almas; Larry Irwin **Subject:** Re: Central Park

You are right, staffing costs are removed when the multi-use centre in conjunction with the Y are not considered.

The terms of reference mentioned, I know it wasn't much, was the four page document that you received yesterday and the table within was to guide WGD's work and our thoughts along the way.

Dave

 ${\color{blue} \bullet} {\color{blue} \wedge} {\color{blue} \bullet} {\color{b$ 

Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device

From: Ed Houghton

**Sent**: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 08:55 PM **To**: Dave McNalty; Marjory Leonard

Cc: Sara Almas; Larry Irwin

Subject: Re: Central Park

Dave:

I have a couple thoughts on your comments:

First I think that staffing costs are probably not necessary since presumably they are the same for all types of buildings. I think however there are more operational costs to be considered and that is future maintenance costs. Again presumably these costs would be greater in a bricks and mortar building.

The second thing is regarding the structural steel building. You mention "it is in response to the request in our terms of reference for "other affordable structures". What do you mean our terms of reference?

Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device

From: Dave McNalty

**Sent**: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 08:47 PM **To**: Ed Houghton; Marjory Leonard **Cc**: Sara Almas; Larry Irwin

**Subject**: Re: Central Park

The pre-fab steel type arena is in response to the request in our terms of reference for "other affordable structures" as a more or less direct comparison to a Sprung arena. This is in contrast to the "bricks and mortar" approach which I would essentially call Phase 1 of the overall Central Park plan as presented by the steering committee.

There are two components to the operational costs - energy, etc. And the staffing costs - these have to come from PRC.

Presumably, I'm still okay to carry on the discussion with Sprung on covering Centennial Pool, and I will discuss the rest of the Heritage Park things, to identify any concerns, with Brian/JP.

I should be able to keep the process moving forward within these boundaries if we are all in agreement.

Thanks, Dave

Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device

From: Ed Houghton

**Sent**: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 08:16 PM **To**: Marjory Leonard; Larry Irwin; Sara Almas

Cc: Dave McNalty

Subject: Re: Central Park

Marjory:

I think there may be two things:

The first is we need to have the operational information for the bricks and mortar building and the structural steel building (actually I'm not sure where this building fits into the equation but I may have missed it). Secondly I think that the DM was pretty clear that he didn't want David Wood working on anything at this time.

ThanksEd
$\alpha$
Sent from Blackberry Mobile Device

**From**: Marjory Leonard

**Sent**: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 05:03 PM **To**: Ed Houghton; Larry Irwin; Sara Almas

**Cc**: Dave McNalty **Subject**: Central Park

Follow up FYI...

I just talked to Dave and asked him to continue with WGD on the following items:

- Redrawing the schematics to save the ball diamonds in the north east and south west locations
- Redrawing the schematics to save the ball diamond in the south west and the south east (we can price or estimate the costs to bring this up to regulation)
- Pricing a bricks and mortar building that would be a phase 1 of a two pad configuration plus estimating the operating costs
- Pricing a pre-fabricated steel structure that would be phase 1 of a two pad configuration plus estimating the operating costs
- Leave the Sprung building pricing for now until the Management Team and Dave McNalty can meet with the Sprung people. Once we have the pricing and operating costs for the buildings from WGD, somebody (Ed, Dave, Dave and the Mgmt Team) will contact Sprung to get pricing to ensure that we are comparing apples to apples
- WGD will continue with the requested work on the EBMA
- Dave, JP, Wendy and potentially Dave Wood will work on Heritage Park and enclosing the outdoor pool
- We will not ask for any further work to be done in relation to any pool amenities at Central Park.

I think this covers it all. Dave if I missed anything please let me know.

Marj