Message

From: Marta Proctor [/O=TOC/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MPROCTOR]

Sent: 5/8/2012 10:26:53 PM

deptheads50185475]

CC: Ed Houghton [/O=TOC/OU=First Administrative Group/cn=Recipients/cn=ehoughton]; Sara Almas [/O=TOC/OU=First

Administrative Group/cn=Recipients/cn=salmas]; Larry Irwin [/O=TOC/OU=First Administrative

Group/cn=Recipients/cn=lirwin]; Marjory Leonard [/O=TOC/OU=First Administrative

Group/cn=Recipients/cn=mleonard]

Subject: FW: Central Park - Follow Up

Attachments: PRC2012-05 Staff Report_Central Park Steering Committee Final Report with attactments.pdf

Importance: High

Good evening Mayor Cooper, Deputy Mayor Lloyd and Members of Council,

Councillor Lloyd, thank you for your comments provided below. I would like to respectfully mention that the recommendations you propose below would be outside the current Council approved mandate and would require a new feasibility study. We could certainly consider this option should that be the decision and direction of Council versus responding to the recommendations and directions presented in Central Park Steering Committee's final report.

In follow up to recent discussions, I wanted to provide you with the following update;

- The ad for the phase 2 steering committee will be posted in Fridays newspaper. Your assistance in encouraging community members with related experience to apply would be greatly appreciated. We are looking for individuals that can commit approximately 3 5 hours per week to provide input and take leadership on components of the funding strategy from May to late September. The required skills include competency in the following areas; Economic Development, Government Relations, Financial Analysis, Fund Development, Architectural Design/Construction and Communication.
- 2. As mentioned last evening, I would be pleased to meet with any members of Council about the proposed market sounding process to discuss your concerns and better understand your perspective. I could be available anytime Thursday before noon or after 4pm. Please let me know if you would like to schedule a specific time to meet. Councillor Lloyd, you have mentioned that you would like to meet Monday afternoon. I will send out an appointment for 3pm. Please advise if you would prefer an alternate time.

In addition, I have attached the report that was presented to Council in early March that re-emphasizes the importance of this step through a 3rd party reputable firm specializing in P3 procurement options. At today's Department Head meeting it was re-stated that we do not have the internal expertise that would be needed to undertake a marketing sounding initiative of this scope.

Regards,

Marta PROCTOR

Director, Parks, Recreation & Culture I Town of Collingwood I 705.444.2500 ext 3238 mproctor@collingwood.ca www.collingwood.ca

><(((((°>`.``...><(((((°>

Before printing, think about the environment

From: Kevin Lloyd [klloyd@collingwood.ca]

Sent: 05/08/2012 04:00 PM AST

To: Sandra Cooper <scooper@collingwood.ca>; Rick Lloyd <rlloyd@collingwood.ca>; Dale West <dwest@collingwood.ca>; Keith

Hull <khull@collingwood.ca>; Proctor

Cc: ".Town - Councillors" < townhall-councillors2@collingwood.ca>

Subject: Heritage Park

Hello everyone,

Some food for thought.

We approved a new committee to investigate a funding formula for the complex. Why would we proceed with spending 44 thousand dollars on hiring a consultant before the committee responsible has had time to review its value and worth? PPP's are part of the funding strategy.

It would seem logical, that if we are forming a fund raising committee of skilled professionals, we should wave judgment until they report back to us. They might deem the process of little value and propose a more effective alternative. Please don't think I am in any way being critical of the current committee, I am impressed with their work. However, we must be realistic

Also, I believe there should be a back up scenario developed that constructs the complex in stages, amortizing construction and costs over a number of years, perhaps 10. We might start with an upgraded pool and two ice pads, one new and cover the existing. Eddie Bush already has adequate seating capacity; can be upgraded and continue to operate in many capacities and, if lucky, house a new Junior team someday. The other ice rinks would, therefore, not require the seating capacity. We could keep the ball parks, or a portion of them, at the park. The integration of the buildings would have to wait.

I hate to be the one who rains on anyone's parade, however, we must be pragmatic. I believe this project will not fly at a price tag of 35 million (today). We can get what the public wants now and complete the vision over time.

We don't want to come out of this with nothing but bills and no bricks and mortar.

It's time to pinch ourselves, and face the facts.

Cheers,

Kevin

M Kevin Lloyd

This message (including attachments) may contain information that is privileged, confidential or protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this message or any information contained in it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete this message from your computer. Although we have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free.
