

TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD JUDICIAL INQUIRY

Before:

Associate Chief Justice Frank Marrocco

Held at:

Collingwood Town Hall Council Chambers 97 Hurontario Street Collingwood, Ontario

September 23rd, 2019



```
2
1
                  APPEARANCES
2
3 Kate McGrann
                           ) Inquiry Counsel
4 John Mather
                          ) Associate Inquiry
5
                           ) Counsel
6
7 (No Counsel) ) For Paul Bonwick
8
9 George Marron ) For Sandra Cooper
10
11 Frederick Chenoweth ) For Edwin Houghton
12
13 William McDowell (np) ) For Town of Collingwood
14 Ryan Breedon
                          )
15 Andrea Wheeler
16
17 Bill Trudell
                          ) For BLT Construction
18 Eric Neubauer
19
20
21
22
23
24
2.5
```

		3
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE NO.
3	List of Exhibits	4
4		
5	MARTA PROCTOR, Sworn	
6	Examination-in-chief by Ms. Kate McGrann	6
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Frederick Chenoweth	196
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Certificate of Transcript	220
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				4
1		List of Exhibits		
2	Exhibit No.	Description	Page No.	
3	1561	TOCO600350		
4	1562	TOC0131863		
5	1563	TOC0155056		
6	1564	TOC0170303		
7	1565	CJI0008028		
8	1566	TOC0548266		
9	1567	TOC0188039		
10	1568	TOC0188040		
11	1569	TOC0189770		
12	1570	TOC0195146		
13	1571	TOC0548961		
14	1572	TOC0009284		
15	1573	TOC0201266		
16	1574	TOC0206027		
17	1575	TOC0225879		
18	1576	TOC0600194		
19	1577	TOC0600196		
20	1578	TOC0172221		
21	1579	TOC0172248		
22	1580	TOC0172251		
23				
24				
25				

```
5
  --- Upon commencing at 10:09 a.m.
 2
 3
                  THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Good
 4 morning, everybody.
 5
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: The next witness is
   Marta Proctor.
 7
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 9
10
                  MR. BILL TRUDELL: Here it is.
11
                  THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I -- I
12 heard what you had to say. Thank you for the
13 explanation.
14
                  Did you -- madam reporter, did you want
15 it repeated?
16
                  Mr. Trudell, would you mind?
17
                  MR. BILL TRUDELL: Mr. Neubauer is in
18 court in Toronto this morning, and he apologizes for
19
   it. Being here, I expect him this afternoon. Thank
20 you.
21
22
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
23
24
                     MARTA PROCTOR, Sworn
2.5
```

- 1 EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Good morning, Ms.
- 3 Proctor.
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Good morning.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to start
- 6 with some questions about your background and
- 7 education. I understand that you have a bachelor of
- 8 arts from York University?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And you have a
- 11 diploma in public administration from the University
- 12 of Western Ontario?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: In terms of your
- 15 work experience, you've worked in public service with
- 16 a focus on recreation services since 1987?
- 17 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's -- I
- 18 believe that's correct.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Would you please
- 20 provide the Inquiry with a brief overview of your
- 21 experience in recreation administration?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Okay, I'll start
- 23 with the fact that I have over thirty (30) years of
- 24 public service. My first years in municipal
- 25 government were primarily in the programming end, in

- 1 the delivery of programs and services, but I've been
- 2 very fortunate and privileged to been provided with
- 3 numerous opportunities to grow in responsibility, and
- 4 through that I've gained experience in managing a
- 5 variety of programs, facilities, and recreation
- 6 spaces.
- 7 Would you like me to go through some of
- 8 my positions?
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Yes, please.
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Okay. So I
- 11 started as a recreation programmer. I -- after
- 12 spending numerous years doing programming, I moved
- 13 into an area supervisor in what amalgamated into the
- 14 City of Toronto. I started in a former smaller
- 15 municipality called York.
- As an area supervisor, I was
- 17 responsible for a large geographic area that included
- 18 two (2) indoor pools, two (2) outdoor pools, two (2)
- 19 arenas, a variety of community space in schools, and -
- 20 and private spaces as well that we administered
- 21 programs.
- 22 From there, I moved into a project
- 23 manager role with the City of Toronto. That wasn't
- 24 specifically in recreation, but what -- looked at
- 25 strategic initiatives and integrated service planning

- 1 with primary emphasis on youth in marginalized
- 2 communities. So I look -- worked a lot with internal
- 3 departments on collaborative programming, along with
- 4 community service providers and community
- 5 stakeholders.
- 6 After leaving the City of Toronto, I
- 7 accepted a position with the Halton Conservation
- 8 Authority. I started as the manager of one (1) of
- 9 their largest parks, Kelso/Glen Eden. It was a
- 10 significant change from my municipal urban experience
- 11 to move to a more rural area, and gave me the
- 12 opportunity to manage a series of programs and
- 13 facilities that was very different than what I did in
- 14 the City of Toronto.
- So Counsel Glen Eden had an open
- 16 waterfront in the summer, with boats and swimming
- 17 areas, as well as a large events venue, where we had
- 18 huge triathlons, downhill races, all sorts of
- 19 activities. And in the winter, we -- we manage one
- 20 (1) of the largest urban ski centres in Southern
- 21 Ontario.
- I was there for four (4) -- actually,
- 23 was the manager for six (6) months, and then I was
- 24 promoted to the director of all the parks, and once
- 25 again, had the opportunity to broaden my operational

- 1 experience to include unique ven -- venues like
- 2 Crawford Lake, which had an Iroquoian village,
- 3 Mountsberg, which had the whole birds of prey
- 4 component. There was rock climbing, camping, and all
- 5 sorts of other interesting venues.
- 6 After spending just under four (4)
- 7 years with the Conservation Authority, I was
- 8 successful in a competition for the position here in
- 9 Collingwood as what became the director of parks,
- 10 recreation and culture, and I spent four (4) years
- 11 here and once again had a diverse pit -- portfolio
- 12 that included recreation facilities, programming, as
- 13 well as cultural, and events components.
- 14 From here, I moved to Gravenhurst,
- 15 where again, I assumed a similar position, but also --
- 16 it -- it was the director initially of recreation,
- 17 arts, and culture, where I was responsible for the
- 18 recreation programming and operations, not capital
- 19 planning and facilities in that location. And I was
- 20 also asked to take on the portfolio of corporate
- 21 communications and marketing while I was there.
- I retired from Gravenhurst, and my
- 23 husband and I and our children had always been
- 24 interested in living in a resort community in British
- 25 Columbia, and I was successful in -- in a competition

- 1 for a position in Fernie, BC, where I'm the director
- 2 of community services. So once again I have the
- 3 recreation portfolio there, but the -- it's a newly
- 4 defined department with a primary focus on developing
- 5 a -- a sustainable asset management program. So I'm
- 6 responsible once again for coordinating internal
- 7 departments and external stakeholders in the community
- 8 on planning for the future of our facilities, and
- 9 programs, and services. I'm also responsible for the
- 10 corporate communication portfolio there.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Thank you. I
- 12 understand that you were the director of parks,
- 13 recreation, and culture for the Town of Collingwood
- 14 during the period between August 2010 and May 2014?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And during that
- 17 time, you took a personal leave for several months
- 18 that started in October 2012?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Before you began
- 21 working in Collingwood, what experience did you have
- 22 in -- in capital planning and facilities, in
- 23 particular with respect to planning and overseeing the
- 24 -- the construction of new facilities?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: So my role in

- 1 planning and overseeing was primarily at the
- 2 management level. I don't have technical expertise in
- 3 engineering, or planning, or architecture, but in my
- 4 role as -- first of all, in the programming end of
- 5 things early in my career, we were often engaged in
- 6 capital projects to give design or citizen engagement
- 7 components into the process.
- 8 When I assumed the role of supervisor
- 9 and manager, I clearly had more responsibility,
- 10 although I've always worked with either external
- 11 expertise or internal expertise to develop those
- 12 projects. And in many cases, if not most, it would be
- 13 a combination of both. There would be external expert
- 14 -- internal expertise, and we'd hire the services of
- 15 external experts to do the either concept design, or
- 16 the detailed design and costing of a project.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Had you been
- 18 involved in the -- the construction of a new
- 19 recreation facility before you moved to the Town of
- 20 Collingwood?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I have been in --
- 22 I had been involved in input on projects, and my time
- 23 at the Conservation Authority, we built quite a bit of
- 24 infrastructure when I was there. We had plans for a
- 25 new Iroquoian village. We -- we had built -- done

- 1 some renovations to a facility in Mountsberg, and we
- 2 also executed three (3) master plans for the park
- 3 redevelopments, but those wouldn't be specific to a
- 4 recreation facility -- a multipurpose recreation
- 5 facility. They were smaller components.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you joined
- 7 staff here at the Town of Collingwood, were you
- 8 provided with any training on the purchasing bylaw?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I wouldn't say
- 10 that I was provided specific training. However, I was
- 11 oriented to our policy and procedures manual, and that
- 12 is something that by nature, I would look into, just
- 13 because it's the way I administer the delivery of
- 14 services.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you recall --
- 16 did you have an understanding of -- of which member of
- 17 staff you would go to if you had questions about the
- 18 purchasing bylaw?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I do.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Who would that be?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That would have
- 22 been the treasurer.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to have
- 24 your job description shown to you on the screen. It's
- 25 at TOC600350.

1	(BRIEF	PAUSE)

- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And if we could
- 4 scroll down a little bit more to see the duties and
- 5 responsibilities.
- 6 First of all, are you familiar with --
- 7 with this document?
- 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I do recall this
- 9 document.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And was it
- 11 available to you when you took on the role as Director
- 12 of Parks, Recreation, and Culture?
- 13 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, it was.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: I want to turn
- 15 specifically to the first item under Duties and
- 16 Responsibilities, which says:
- 17 "Assess parks, facilities, and
- 18 program needs, authorize and/or make
- 19 recommendations to Council on same
- 20 and facilitate their
- 21 construction/implementation."
- 22 What did you understand that meant for
- 23 you in terms of what you were responsible for when you
- 24 came to work for the Town?
- 25 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That meant to me

- 1 that it was my responsibility to look at the delivery
- 2 of services, the facilities on which we provide those
- 3 services or invite other community stakeholders to
- 4 provide services on municipal property, to make sure
- 5 that they are in proper working condition, and where
- 6 there is an identified need or opportunity to invest
- 7 in new facilities, it would be my responsibility to
- 8 lead that process.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to turn
- 10 from this now to some of the work that you did on the
- 11 Town's recreation facilities. And just for context
- 12 I'm going to show you some paragraphs from the
- 13 Foundation Document.
- 14 Could we start with paragraph 60 of the
- 15 Foundation Document? This is just to -- to help
- 16 orient us in space and time.
- 17 Paragraph 60 describes that Council
- 18 established a volunteer steering committee, which we
- 19 refer to as the Central Park Steering Committee, on
- 20 May 2nd, 2011, to do work on proposed multi-use
- 21 recreation facility at Central Park.
- 22 If we could turn to paragraph 63 of
- 23 this document, we can see that the Central Park
- 24 Steering Committee published its final report dated
- 25 March 5th, 2012. That report, amongst other things,

- 1 contained a series of recommendations.
- 2 If we could turn to paragraph 69 of
- 3 this document.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: The Central Park
- 8 Steering Committee's final report was incorporated
- 9 into a staff report that was presented to Council --
- 10 if we could scroll down a little bit further -- at the
- 11 March 5th, 2012, Council meeting.
- 12 If we could turn to -- just scroll down
- 13 a little bit more so we see paragraph 70. The
- 14 recommendations that staff provided to Council at that
- 15 meeting were:
- 16 "That Council endorse the
- 17 recommended scenario for the
- 18 redevelopment of Central Park; that
- 19 Council approve the development of a
- 20 Funding Strategy with
- 21 recommendations to be presented
- 22 within six (6) months; and that
- 23 Council authorize Town Staff to
- 24 develop actions and time lines for
- 25 all other recommendations as

- 1 outlined in the Steering Committee
- final report to be presented within
- 3 six (6) months."
- 4 And what we can see is that Council
- 5 unanimously voted to endorse the Central Park Steering
- 6 Committee report in principle, and it authorized staff
- 7 to develop actions and time lines for the other
- 8 recommendations to be presented within six (6) months.
- 9 Ultimately, the Council also approves the formation of
- 10 a Phase 2 Steering Committee to look at funding
- 11 strategies.
- 12 Is that consistent with what you
- 13 remember about how the work of the Central Park
- 14 Steering Committee was -- was brought to a close?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That is correct.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you provide us
- 17 with a very high level overview of the approach that
- 18 the Central Park Steering Committee took to -- to
- 19 answering the question or the mandate that Council
- 20 provided it?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Could you please
- 22 repeat the question?
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Yes. I'd just like
- 24 to understand at a high level what process the Central
- 25 Park Steering Committee followed to -- to address the

- 1 mandate that it was given by Council.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I have to start by
- 3 saying that it was the first time that I've worked
- 4 with volunteer committee on such an initiative, but I
- 5 felt very fortunate to have some dedicated and
- 6 experienced people on that committee.
- 7 So I felt that the process we
- 8 undertook, and there were recommendations to Council
- 9 to -- to -- to solicit the services of experts at
- 10 certain points, and was a very comprehensive and --
- 11 not extensive but adequate process to bring forward
- 12 the recommendations and did involve consultation and
- 13 input from the citizens, and those recommendations
- 14 were reflected in the final findings.
- 15 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You mentioned
- 16 consultation and input from the citizens.
- 17 Why was that an important component of
- 18 the work that the committee did?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It is my belief
- 20 that the role of administration is to research and
- 21 bring back information based on policy or strategic
- 22 directions that Council gives to staff.
- 23 As part of our due diligence, there is
- 24 expert opinions or information, evidence-based
- 25 information to support that, but also it is the

- 1 reflection of the citizens and how their taxpayer --
- 2 tax dollars are spent, that should also inform that
- 3 process.
- 4 So that's why I emphasize that point,
- 5 because it's both the technical expertise and the
- 6 citizen input on what the proposed investment or
- 7 service level is that is important for Council to
- 8 understand in making final decisions.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to show
- 10 you an email at TOC131863.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Scroll down.
- 15 Perfect. No, actually you can scroll down to the
- 16 bottom.
- 17 So this is an email chain dated March
- 18 27th, 2012, after the Central Park Steering Committee
- 19 has issued its final report. It's an email from Brian
- 20 Sanderson, who is a member -- sorry.
- 21 What we got first is an email from
- 22 Brian Sanderson, who is a Co-Chair of the Central Park
- 23 Steering Committee, to Pat Mills.
- 24 And actually, could you scroll down
- 25 even further to the bottom of the chain? Thanks.

```
See there, it starts with an email from
 1
   Patrick Mills to Brian Sanderson. He is looking to
   set up a meeting, and he goes on to say:
 3
                      "In order to make the best possible
 5
                      use of time, I would love you to
 6
                      outline needs moving forward. Am I
                      correct that you're looking at a
                      double arena? Any details, that
 9
                      will be helpful. Swimming pools,
10
                      details? Covered?"
11
                   And then he goes on to say:
12
                      "I will be able to use your
13
                      information and give you preliminary
14
                      outlines of possibility re our
15
                      ability to meet your needs."
16
                   If you could scroll down a little bit
   more just so we can see the signature line.
17
18
                   He goes on to say:
19
                      "I understand that we are at the
20
                      very early stages, but the project
21
                      seems to fit Sprung's ability to
22
                      deliver based on 12,000 buildings
23
                      built in 95 countries."
24
                   He goes on to -- to provide some more
25
    information about Sprung, and says:
```

- The more detail, the better."
- 2 And if you could scroll up. You can
- 3 see that Mr. Saunderson responds to Mr. Mills, says
- 4 that he'll get back shortly on the date and location.
- 5 He directs Mr. Mills to a blog, where there's reports
- 6 and -- and information about the work of the steering
- 7 committee.
- 8 If you could scroll down a little bit
- 9 further. And then he indicates also that the steering
- 10 committee's final report is available on the blog.
- 11 And if you could scroll up. We can see
- 12 that Mr. Saunderson then forwards this email exchange
- 13 to yourself and Claire Tucker, who is the other
- 14 steering committee Co-Chair.
- 15 He explains that he was contacted by
- 16 Mr. Mills, who is -- works for Sprung. He indicates
- 17 that Mr. Mills has heard about the Central Park
- 18 Steering Committee, saw Mr. Saunderson's name, and
- 19 thought he would give Mr. Saunderson a call to catch
- 20 up. He explains that he's anxious to meet and discuss
- 21 the project.
- 22 Mr. Saunderson expresses some concern
- 23 and asked for some advice about how to respond, saying
- 24 that he's wondering if he's in a conflict and he
- 25 doesn't want to be connected to any particular group,

- 1 builder, et cetera.
- 2 And if we could scroll up to the top.
- 3 You provide a response where you say:
- 4 "I believe you can tell him quite
- 5 honestly that the Central Park
- 6 Project is not at the design phase,
- 7 and once we are at that phase there
- 8 will be a posted process by which
- 9 appropriate design features would be
- 10 considered."
- 11 What is the posted process that you're
- 12 referring to in this email?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The posted process
- 14 that I would be referring to would be some sort of RFP
- 15 or RFQ process or a tender process, which is what I
- 16 would have assumed would be the next phase of the work
- 17 when we got there.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And why did you --
- 19 why did you send this suggestion to Mr. Saunderson?
- 20 Why did you think this is the appropriate response?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Because that is
- 22 based on all the training and experience I've had,
- 23 that would be the process to follow.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What did you learn
- 25 from the training and experience you had about the

- 1 process and why is this the appropriate way to -- to
- 2 address this request?
- 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Because local
- 4 government, government, should follow a transparent
- 5 process that is open to multiple submissions and based
- 6 on an assessment of the qualifications and experience
- 7 and price, we should be picking the best or
- 8 recommending to Council the best company to fill the
- 9 contract.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Maybe put it a
- 11 different way.
- 12 What issues would you see arising if
- 13 Mr. Saunderson engaged in a conversation with Mr.
- 14 Mills, who was a representative of a supplier of a
- 15 particular product?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: A conflict of
- 17 interest.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I would like to
- 19 know what conflict you would be concerned about there.
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That we would be
- 21 prejudicing or putting a company in an advantageous
- 22 position on a bid with information that wasn't open to
- 23 other submitters.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 25 receiving any further information from Mr. Saunderson

- 1 about interactions with anyone from the Sprung
- 2 company?
- 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 4 anything specific, but I recall numerous conversations
- 5 with many people that mentioned the name Sprung, but
- 6 nothing specific with Mr. Saunderson.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This email is dated
- 8 March 27th, 2012. Do you recall the next time that
- 9 you did hear the name Sprung in connection with the
- 10 Town and potential recreational facility development?
- 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe it was
- 12 several months later. I can't recall specifically
- 13 when.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: But leaving the
- 15 timing aside, can you tell us what you remember about
- 16 the next time the name Sprung came up in connection
- 17 with the Town's recreation facilities?
- 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: What -- what I
- 19 recall is a reference by the Deputy Mayor when we were
- 20 at an opening ceremony for the fire hall, indicating
- 21 that in the near future the outdoor pool will be
- 22 covered by a structure.
- I can't recall if he used the word
- 24 "Sprung" in that conversation.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you help us

- 1 understand why that conversation came to mind in
- 2 response to the question when did you next hear about
- 3 Sprung in connection with the Town?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The conversation
- 5 comes to mind because I -- that was when I became very
- 6 unclear on which direction we were heading with our
- 7 project.
- 8 In my mind there were recommendations
- 9 from the Central Park Steering Committee that have
- 10 never been resolved and I felt we still needed to
- 11 finish that process, and in the meantime some other
- 12 option is being introduced to me without any process
- 13 by which that direction, policy direction, strategic
- 14 direction, was given from Council as a whole.
- 15 And from there, multiple conversations
- 16 in multiple forums occurred and I can't place them
- 17 chronologically or succinctly, but it became a very
- 18 difficult and confusing process.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could look at
- 20 paragraph 58 of the Foundation Document. Sorry,
- 21 paragraph 89.
- This is more background information
- 23 just to give us context for the questions that I have
- 24 for you.
- 25 Paragraph 89 states that:

- 1 "CAO Kim Wingrove was given notice
- of termination on April 3rd, 2012."
- And then if we could jump ahead to
- 4 paragraph 95 of the Foundation Document, this
- 5 paragraph describes that Council appointed Mr. Ed
- 6 Houghton as acting CAO on April 12th, 2012. And
- 7 following that, an executive management committee was
- 8 formed.
- 9 What did you understand the role of the
- 10 executive management committee was?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From what I
- 12 recall, there was no detailed description shared with
- 13 staff about the executive management committee role.
- 14 However, Mr. Houghton did have a one-on-one
- 15 conversation with me to explain his intention with
- 16 forming this committee was to help him guide the
- 17 organization and make good decisions and that he
- 18 selected this group based on specific expertise that
- 19 he felt was valuable to him, and he hoped I wasn't
- 20 offended that I wasn't part of it.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What did you
- 22 understand the executive management committee's role
- 23 was in making decisions?
- 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Initially I didn't
- 25 -- I had no context for their role. But over time,

- 1 there would be remarks made at -- we'd make decisions
- 2 as a team or -- or things. They were just off the
- 3 cuff comments, which led me to frame my own opinion,
- 4 and that was that this was a group that was looking at
- 5 key corporate decisions together, and sometimes that
- 6 there were people would be invited, at times I was
- 7 invited, and I certainly called upon the expertise of
- 8 those people because they often had more intel than I
- 9 did when I was trying to respond or prepare
- 10 information for Council's consideration and I lacked
- 11 clarity.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you say that
- 13 they had more intel that you did -- than you did, what
- 14 do you -- what are you referring to? What information
- 15 did they have that you did not have?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: In my opinion, and
- 17 it was reinforced in reading the document, the
- 18 background documents that I was provided in
- 19 preparation for today, there were numerous
- 20 conversations informally, whether in writing or one-
- 21 on-one, that occurred on topic behind the scenes and
- 22 funnelled through the exec -- executive management
- 23 committee and didn't necessarily come as direction
- 24 from Council, as a whole, to the appropriate staff.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you refer to

- 1 the background document, are you referring to the
- 2 Foundation Document that we're looking at on the
- 3 screen right now?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: There were --
- 5 there were numerous documents sent to me and I
- 6 believe, yes. This is one of them, for sure.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And then you say
- 8 that you had described that there was background
- 9 information that came to you through the executive
- 10 management committee.
- 11 Did you have that experience,
- 12 generally, in the work that you were doing on the
- 13 Town's recreation facilities?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Absolutely.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Your job
- 16 description required you to report to the CAO of the
- 17 Town. Did the appointment of the acting CAO and/or
- 18 the formation of the executive management committee
- 19 change the reporting nature of your -- of your job at
- 20 all? Who you reported to?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It is my opinion
- 22 that in principle it did not change that. However, in
- 23 how we functioned things changed significantly.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you describe
- 25 the significant changes that you just referred to

- 1 there?
- 2 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Prior to this
- 3 change, if I had any questions I would have gone
- 4 directly to the CAO to clarify the intent of Council
- 5 or things that I heard occurring that did not make
- 6 sense to me, and to seek direction or input or
- 7 strategy or ideas about how we should approach it in
- 8 an appropriate way.
- 9 When this change occurred, first of all
- 10 Mr. Houghton had other responsibilities so his
- 11 physical presence was not always here, but there was
- 12 the implication that there was shared responsibility
- 13 amongst the group, and I worked very closely with my
- 14 colleagues here and therefore I would often call upon
- 15 the clerk or the treasurer, depending on the topic, to
- 16 seek their input and direction.
- 17 Or -- not direction. Input and try to
- 18 set direction for the initiative together so that it
- 19 had the greatest chance of fulfilling what I believed
- 20 our responsibility was.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you find that
- 22 you were consulting the clerk or the treasurer more
- 23 frequently than you would have if they weren't on the
- 24 executive management committee?
- 25 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Absolutely.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you find that -
- 2 did you find that the -- the existence of the acting
- 3 CAO and the executive management committee, your
- 4 perception of the shared responsibility and the things
- 5 you've just described affected the way that you were
- 6 able to do your job?
- 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Absolutely.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: How did it affect
- 9 your ability to do your job?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Primarily, it
- 11 created another layer of decision making that I was
- 12 not accustomed to and filtering of information or
- 13 requests that I also was not accustomed to.
- 14 And I felt like I was often translating
- 15 things I was hearing non-directly. They -- they would
- 16 be through comments or suggestions that we've got to
- 17 do this or we're looking at this. I'm like, where did
- 18 that come from.
- 19 So, I was always trying to clarify the
- 20 ideas that were propping up out of what felt like thin
- 21 air. And in reading the documents now, I see they
- 22 were behind-the-scenes that were giving people
- 23 direction to look into or explore certain things.
- 24 And I wasn't aware of those. And,
- 25 therefore, I was trying to meet with people to

- 1 understand what was going on so that I could assume my
- 2 role and provide responsible, accurate information
- 3 back to all of council so they would understand the
- 4 scope of some of the ideas or decisions being
- 5 explored.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. A couple of
- 7 questions about that. Focussing specifically on the
- 8 work that you were doing on potential new recreation
- 9 facilities for the Town, when you refer to suggestions
- 10 that are -- that are coming to you that you're trying
- 11 to interpret and translate, are those -- where are
- 12 those suggestions coming from?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From what I re --
- 14 can recall, the majority of them were coming from my
- 15 colleagues and, primarily, the executive management
- 16 committee.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So, you're
- 18 getting suggestions and information from the executive
- 19 management committee.
- 20 And what steps are you taking to try to
- 21 clarify the information you're getting?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From what I can
- 23 recall, and it's not specifics, it's more the general
- 24 intent, was that the Central Park steering committee
- 25 work is dead and gone and we're heading in another

- 1 direction and stay tuned, there's more coming, and
- 2 there could be other options we will be looking at and
- 3 that some members of council weren't happy with the
- 4 work of the committee and we will be looking at other
- 5 options.
- So, that's when I would ask, Well, what
- 7 are the options, let's get them on the table so that
- 8 we can do the proper work to explore them.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Before
- 10 you move on, you -- you described a process that
- 11 evolved as a difficult one. In relation to the
- 12 facility, the -- in the -- the facilities we're
- 13 talking about, what was -- how was the -- how was it
- 14 difficult?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: With all due
- 16 respect, I don't remember where I used the word
- 17 'difficult', but I -- I ca -- I -- When I reflect
- 18 on this time, I would describe it as difficult.
- So, first of all, beginning with the
- 20 work of the volunteer steering committee, that isn't a
- 21 traditional process that I've seen used often. There
- 22 is the work of volunteers along the way, but there
- 23 usually would be technical experts leading that
- 24 process.
- 25 However, I -- that was the will of all

- 1 of council in the direction, so we took that process.
- 2 And it took time to -- to put out an expression of
- 3 interest for volunteers to select them and to
- 4 establish terms of reference.
- 5 And, as I said, we were working in
- 6 partnership with the YMCA. And some of the volunteers
- 7 that we had on our committee had a lot of expertise in
- 8 this area, so we set a course that I felt was very
- 9 appropriate for the work we were called to do.
- 10 So, I didn't find the process difficult
- 11 working with the steering committee. It was after the
- 12 steering committee made their recommendations and we
- 13 were trying to move to the next phases of the work
- 14 that it became very difficult.
- The CAO was let go. There was no
- 16 clarity or consistency in who I could speak to on
- 17 direction. And there seemed to be multiple messages
- 18 coming about what we're doing next and how we're to do
- 19 it without a defined course of action.
- 20 And I was trying to understand where
- 21 these messages were coming from and how we bring them
- 22 in front of council to set clear direction and policy
- 23 for staff to follow.
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN: 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Following along on -- on what you've just describe to His Honour, if we could look at the email chain at TOC155056. 6 7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And if we could scroll down to the bottom of this email chain so we 10 11 can see the first email. This is an email dated May 8th, 2012, from Councillor Kevin Lloyd to the Town councillors and -- and a name blacked out, but 13 14 Proctor. 15 In his email, Councillor Kevin Lloyd descri -- goes -- describes that: 17 "We approved a new committee to 18 investigate a funding formula for 19 the complex." 20 And I think that's a reference to the multi-use complex. He asks: 21 22 "Why would we proceed with spending 23 forty-four thousand dollars 24 (\$44,000) on hiring a consultant

before the committee responsible has

2.5

```
34
                      had time to review its value and
 1
 2
                      worth?"
 3
                   And says that:
                      "PPPs -- which I believe is public-
 5
                      private partnerships -- are part of
 6
                      the funding strategy."
 7
                   He goes on to say that:
                      "If we are forming a fundraising
                      committee of skilled profe --
 9
10
                      professionals, we should waive
11
                      judgment until they report back to
                      us."
12
                   If we could scroll down a little bit
13
14
   further. He goes on -- he goes on to say:
15
                      "Also, I believe there should be a
16
                      backup scenario developed that
17
                      constructs the complex in stages
18
                      amortizing construction and costs
19
                      over a number of years, perhaps ten
20
                      (10)."
21
                   He suggests perhaps starting with an
   upgraded pool and two (2) ice pads. He talks about
22
23
    changes that could be made to the Eddie Bush Memorial
24 Arena.
2.5
                   He goes on to say that he doesn't want
```

```
to rain on anyone's parade, but council has to be
   pragmatic. He doesn't believe the project will fly at
   a price tag of 35 million today. We can get what the
 3
   public wants now and complete the vision over time.
 5
                   And if you could scroll up, we see that
    you provide a response to those that Mr. Lloyd
   emailed. You thank Councillor Lloyd for his comments.
 7
   And you go on to say:
 9
                      "I'd like to respectfully mention
10
                      that the recommendations you
11
                      proposed below would be outside the
12
                      current council-approved mandate and
13
                      would require a new feasibility
14
                      study."
15
                   You say:
16
                      "We can certainly consider this
17
                      option should that be the decision
18
                      and direction of council versus
19
                      responding to the recommendations
20
                      and directions presented in Centra
21
                      Park steering committee's final
22
                      report."
23
                   So, first of all, is this an example of
24
   the kind of message you were talking about that
    indicated a different direction than -- than what had
2.5
```

```
been recommended to -- to council?
 2
                  MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, it is.
 3
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you say that
   you would like to respectfully mention that the
   recommendations proposed below would be outside the
   current council-approved mandate, what are you talking
   about there?
 7
                  MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I'd -- to be
   honest, I'd need to reread his -- Councillor Lloyd's
   email to answer that. And this is just one (1)
10
11
   example of multiple emails that came that aren't
   sitting very preci -- precisely in my memory bank, and
13
   it was quite some time ago.
14
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN:
                                       Okay. Could you
15
   scroll down to show Ms. Proctor Councillor Lloyd's
16 email?
17
18
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
19
20
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: Please scroll down
21
   to show the remainder of the email.
22
23
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
24
2.5
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, and just for
```

- 1 added background and context, at this point in time,
- 2 May 8th, Council has voted to approve or endorse the
- 3 Central Park Steering Committee report in principle;
- 4 authorized staff to develop actions and time lines for
- 5 the recommendations in the report; approved the
- 6 formation of the Phase 2 Steering Committee to look at
- 7 funding options; and deferred the retainer of a
- 8 consultant to help with a market sounding for P3
- 9 opportunities.
- 10 So that is where -- where things stand
- 11 at the time that this email is sent. So if we can
- 12 scroll back up to the top, my question for you was:
- 13 When you said that the recommendations that Councillor
- 14 Lloyd proposed would be outside the current Council-
- 15 approved mandate, what were you talking about there?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: When the committee
- 17 did their work, we hired the services of an architect,
- 18 an expert to do the costing and concept design work.
- 19 That concept design work did not include -- which I'm
- 20 referring to as the feasability study, did not include
- 21 all the components nor phasing as had been proposed in
- 22 Councillor Lloyd's email, and that is what I believe
- 23 I'm referencing.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, so when you
- 25 say, The proposal would require a new feasibility

- 1 study, what were you envisioning?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Revisiting the
- 3 existing concept and adjusting it and re-establishing
- 4 it based on the recommendations that he was proposing,
- 5 which, at this point, I can't remember what was
- 6 included and what wasn't in terms of ball diamonds,
- 7 how many ice pads were in that concept. So that's
- 8 why, I'm -- I'm assuming, it revisits the whole layout
- 9 and the components that would be included.
- 10 I'm also pretty sure that the concept
- 11 design that the Steering Committee came up with did
- 12 not include covering the outdoor rink, so -- but I --
- 13 I can't say 100 percent, so that's what I believe I'm
- 14 referring to.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, so at a high
- 16 level, you're referring to retaining a consulting
- 17 architect to look at the feasibility of the
- 18 suggestions that Councillor Lloyd has made?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That that would be
- 20 required if we were to pursue his recommendation.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to turn
- 22 from this email to a strategic planning workshop that
- 23 Council holds on June 11th, 2012. If we could go to
- 24 paragraph 140 of the Foundation Document...

2.5

```
1
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 2
 3
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
   describes a side presentation that you circulate in
   advance of a strategic planning workshop that Council
   held on June 11th, 2012. It's a slide presentation
 7
   that's going to be given at that session. And I'm
   actually going to ask that you be shown a copy of the
   slide show itself, so if we could pull up the
10 documents at TOC170303.
11
12
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
13
14
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: So you're looking at
15
   the first page. I'm going to be asked -- ask that you
   be shown the second slide. The second slide outlines
16
17
   the goals of the session -- to be:
18
                      "To develop a cohesive, go-forward
19
                      plan on the development of a
20
                      recreation facility at Central Park;
                      address the insular issues of Eddie
21
22
                      Bush; relocation of the baseball
23
                      diamond; and the feature public
24
                      communication."
2.5
                   And if you go down to the next slide,
```

- 1 slide 3, this slide identifies the necessary Council
- 2 decisions to move forward, and they are:
- 3 "Is there still support for this
- 4 project as presented by the Steering
- 5 Committee? Commit funds to move
- forward with necessary steps in this
- 7 project."
- And the slide identifies architecture,
- 9 engineering, legal, et cetera.
- 10 "Confirm locations for displaced
- 11 infrastructure/ball diamonds."
- 12 Please scroll down a little bit
- 13 further.
- "Is the Town moving forward with
- market sounding, and is a Steering
- 16 Committee needed to assist with next
- 17 steps? Define roles and
- 18 responsibilities."
- 19 So I show you that information by way
- 20 of background. Do you recall attending this Steering
- 21 Committee session?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I do.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What did you
- 24 understand the purpose of that session was?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From what I can

- 1 recall, this was my effort, working with my
- 2 colleagues, to establish some clarity on a direction
- 3 that I felt I was given by Council to pursue the
- 4 development of a multi-use facility at Central Park in
- 5 partnership with the YMCA to -- now, a bunch of
- 6 information flowing out there that wasn't aligning,
- 7 and I needed to re-clarify what our work was to be
- 8 going forward.
- 9 I do recall, and this only reinforces
- 10 this further, that there were other decisions that
- 11 need to be taken into consideration with whichever
- 12 direction we went. If we were to redevelop Central
- 13 Park, there were potential implications to ball
- 14 diamonds and where we could use -- what would occur
- 15 with those or where would -- they would need to be
- 16 moved if, in fact, that was required. There was still
- 17 the question about Eddie Bush arena and what we were
- 18 going to do.
- 19 And this wasn't the only project I was
- 20 working on at this time. There was the whole
- 21 discussion about the -- the grain terminals and the
- 22 waterfront area there -- what's going to happen.
- 23 So simultaneous to this, there was a
- 24 whole lot of discussion about P3 grants and whether we
- 25 should be applying for P3 grants for the recreation

- 1 facility. And I was involved, along with one (1) of
- 2 my colleagues, in researching those opportunities, and
- 3 we determined that although P3s are very appropriate
- 4 in certain type of construction, that is, municipal,
- 5 it might not be the best suited for the recreation
- 6 facilities. However, it could have worked with
- 7 perhaps another project that could have offset the
- 8 cost of a multipurpose centre.
- 9 So these were all the things we were
- 10 trying to clarify and explain to Council at a time
- 11 that was very busy, with numerous decisions on the
- 12 table or being put on the table.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could you explain
- 14 to us at a high level what a P3 grant is, what it
- 15 involves?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Well, I'll first
- 17 explain what a P3 partnership is. So it's a public
- 18 private partnership, and again, I'm not an expert on
- 19 P3s, but at a high level, it is a public private
- 20 partnership where there is responsibility on the
- 21 private sector through a process to be selected to
- 22 construct and possibly manage a project for a
- 23 designated period of time to regain some of the costs
- 24 of that construction and minimize somehow the impact
- 25 on the municipality.

- 1 The federal government and provincial
- 2 government at that time was big on supporting public/
- 3 private partnerships in appropriate scenarios and,
- 4 therefore, was giving grants to municipalities who
- 5 chose to pursue this type of construction type, and
- 6 that's why there was a lot of interest in that.
- 7 However, from my experience, it's
- 8 typically more appropriate for large-scale projects
- 9 like a wastewater treatment plant or something more
- 10 significant where it makes more sense, rather than a
- 11 recreation facility where the services are highly
- 12 subsidized to begin with in order to make them
- 13 affordable and accessible to all.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And when you refer
- 15 to your experience, are you referring to experience
- 16 that you had at this time, in June of 2012, or is that
- 17 experience that you've gained since that time?
- 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Is the question
- 19 about my experience with public/private partnerships?
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What you described
- 21 to us -- that you had the view that they were more
- 22 appropriate for large projects, less appropriate for a
- 23 multi-use recreation facility, for example -- is that
- 24 a view that you held in June of 2012?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That is a view

- 1 that I developed through attending sessions, reading
- 2 information, and speaking to consultants from both the
- 3 federal and provincial government, as well as the
- 4 private sector on these type of initiatives.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And had you had
- 6 those experiences and did you hold that view as of
- 7 June 2012? Did you already have that idea?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You mentioned the
- 10 grain terminals, and you talked about the possibility
- 11 of a public/private partnership elsewhere and those
- 12 funds being used to offset costs.
- Can you help me understand what you
- 14 meant by that?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: So once again, I
- 16 haven't thought about this in a long time, but the --
- 17 to the best of my recollection, I -- I remember
- 18 thinking and having discussions that there might be
- 19 more suitable municipal infrastructure that we are
- 20 required to or considering investing in that might be
- 21 better suited for a public private partnership.
- 22 So for example, the grain terminals
- 23 required significant investment and the Town and
- 24 Council was not sure what to do with them, so we felt
- 25 that perhaps putting out an expression of interest or

- 1 some market sounding on the grain terminals, or Eddie
- 2 Bush, which is a downtown facility that required quite
- 3 a bit of investment as well, might solicit interest
- 4 for more appropriate investors for a public private
- 5 partnership than just the recreation facility.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And I thought that
- 7 I had heard you say in your answer, and you have to
- 8 correct me if I'm wrong, that it's possible that the
- 9 results of that public private partnership could
- 10 somehow benefit the multi-use facility.
- 11 Was there an idea that the construction
- 12 of the multi-use facility could be connected somehow
- 13 to a public private partnership related to another
- 14 Town asset?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's absolutely
- 16 correct. In fact, in reviewing funding strategies,
- 17 other than grants, we felt this would have been
- 18 perhaps one of the most viable opportunities to get
- 19 significant investment into the Municipality to
- 20 potentially offset the cost of the recreation
- 21 facilities or any other infrastructure or debt that
- 22 the -- that the Town may have.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turning from that
- 24 explanation, and thank you for it, back to the slide
- 25 here where you've set out the questions that Council

- 1 was to answer at this session, do you recall whether
- 2 you got the answers that you were looking for to these
- 3 questions at the strategic planning workshop?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: To the best of my
- 5 recollection, I don't believe I was clear after this
- 6 session.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 8 paragraph 143 of the Foundation Document. This
- 9 paragraph summarizes the minutes from that strategic
- 10 planning workshop. And you can see that Mr. Houghton
- 11 spoke to the goals of the session, you provided an
- 12 overview of the project completed to the date and the
- 13 matters that are outstanding. It looks like treasurer
- 14 Marjory Leonard reviewed the internal funding options
- 15 and then each member of Council provided comments on
- 16 their vision for the redevelopment.
- 17 And then if you could scroll down,
- 18 you'll see a list of -- of the comments that were
- 19 recorded in the minutes.
- 20 If you could scroll down a little bit
- 21 further to see the end of the paragraph. And then you
- 22 can see at the bottom here the minutes reported that
- 23 Mr. Houghton provided a brief summary and discussion
- 24 on next steps. Staff will prepare options based on
- 25 the discussion for consideration at a future Council

- 1 meeting.
- 2 Do you recall what you understood staff
- 3 was to do after the strategic planning workshop?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It is my
- 5 recollection that the purpose of this strategic
- 6 planning session was to gather some of the ideas that
- 7 were all being tossed around after the Central Park
- 8 Steering Committee recommendations were brought
- 9 forward.
- 10 And both myself and members of the
- 11 senior executive team were struggling to identify
- 12 where we should go, and thus we held this workshop and
- 13 it was at this workshop where the menu grew, versus
- 14 having greater clarity on direction.
- 15 So I believe and recall that we took
- 16 away the information and were to bring back a report
- 17 to Council for them to give us clear direction.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And we can
- 19 see from the documents that you put together -- you
- 20 put together a document that set out two different
- 21 directions that Council could choose from, and then
- 22 there were options within those two different
- 23 directions.
- I'm going to ask you some questions
- 25 about that in a second. But before we get there, I

- 1 just want to ask you about a meeting that you were
- 2 invited to with Sprung. So if we could turn to
- 3 paragraph 103 of the Foundation Document.
- And we're moving around a little bit in
- 5 the timeline, I just want to identify that for you.
- 6 We were talking about a meeting that took place on
- 7 June 11th. I'm now taking you back in time to April
- 8 18th.
- 9 This paragraph describes that on April
- 10 18th, Mr. Houghton sent you a calendar invitation for
- 11 an April 27th meeting with Pat Mills, Sprung building.
- 12 You indicate that you had a scheduling conflict and
- 13 asked if you should reschedule and Mr. Houghton
- 14 responds:
- "No, this is a salesman but I didn't
- 16 want to say no to him, considering
- 17 Ameresco."
- 18 And if we scroll down, the documents
- 19 indicate that it looks like the meeting happened. You
- 20 see at paragraph 105 on April 26th, Mr. Mills' emails
- 21 Mr. Houghton to thank him for taking the time to chat
- 22 about Sprung buildings. He also thanks Mr. Houghton
- 23 for offering to let Mr. Mills know when the Town will
- 24 be welcoming expressions of interest.
- 25 And then he talk about potentially

- 1 meeting the Central Park Committee.
- 2 Did you attend the meeting that Mr.
- 3 Houghton had with Mr. Mills?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't believe I
- 5 did.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know if Mr.
- 7 Houghton came back to you and -- and described to you
- 8 what happened at his meeting with Mr. Mills, or did
- 9 you hear anything further from Mr. Houghton about his
- 10 discussions with Sprung at this time?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall any
- 12 specific conversation about Mr. Mills, but I do recall
- 13 having a conversation with Mr. Houghton and the
- 14 executive management team about a Sprung option. But
- 15 I can't place it in the appropriate time.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. We're now
- 17 going to move back ahead in time, back to June. So if
- 18 we could turn to paragraph 155 of the Foundation
- 19 Document.
- 20 This paragraph described an -- an email
- 21 that Deputy Mayor Rick Lloyd sent to Mr. Houghton on
- 22 June 12th, so the day after Council's strategic
- 23 planning workshop we've been discussing.
- 24 And we see the Deputy Mayor ask Mr.
- 25 Houghton:

"Would you get a price for a fabric 1 2 cover to completely go over the 3 Centennial Pool and building at Heritage Park and please get a cost 5 for a fabric building to go over the 6 outdoor ice pad at Central Park." 7 If we scroll down to paragraph 157, he describes that -- the next day, so June 13th, 2012, Sprung representative Pat Mills emails Mr. Houghton. Mr. Mills notes that Ms. Hogg, Mr. Houghton's 10 11 assistant, had suggested Mr. Mills follow up after the 12 June 11th, 2012 Council meeting. And Mr. Mills asks 13 about meeting with the Central Park redevelopment 14 team. 15 Mr. Houghton responds, writing: 16 "I've been asked by a number of 17 Council to get a rough estimate for 18 the installation of two fabric 19 buildings. Can we discuss this?" 20 I'm going to stop there for a second. Do you remember if you were aware of Deputy Mayor 21 22 Lloyd's June 12th request that staff get prices or 23 this exchange that Mr. Houghton had with Mr. Mills? 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't believe I 2.5 was aware of this discussion. But I do recall, and it

- 1 could have been at the fire hall opening or after
- 2 that, that the Deputy Mayor did throw out the idea of
- 3 this membrane cover and that we should be looking at
- 4 those options, as staff.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to turn
- 6 your attention to paragraph --
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Can
- 8 you just hold on for a second?
- 9 At -- at the strategic planning
- 10 session, was there any discussion of putting -- of
- 11 putting fabric buildings over facilities that you can
- 12 remember?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't honestly
- 14 answer yes or no without looking back at the list of
- 15 options, because there was so many things discussed
- 16 and it's a little bit hazy for me at this time.

17

- 18 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn back to
- 20 paragraph 143 of the Foundation Document, please?

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: If we could scroll
- 25 down a little.

_	
	52
1	(BRIEF PAUSE)
2	
3	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: A little further.
4	
5	(BRIEF PAUSE)
6	
7	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: A little further.
8	
9	(BRIEF PAUSE)
10	
11	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: To answer your
12	question, Your Honour, based on reading this document,
13	I don't believe the Sprung structure was mentioned
14	that day.
15	I know there had been previous
16	discussion and occurred there to put some sort of
17	cover over the outdoor ice surface and there had been
18	previous concepts of that looked at, but I don't
19	believe it was the Sprung structure that was named.
20	MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
21	paragraph 159 of the Foundation Document.
22	
23	(BRIEF PAUSE)
24	
25	MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph

describes an email that Deputy Mayor Lloyd sent to Mr. Houghton, council, yourself, and the EMC on June 14th, 2012. The subject is, "Centennial pool/outdoor rink." 3 And in his email, Deputy Mayor Lloyd 5 writes: 6 "I would like to request if at all possible to have a price for a building that would enclose the 9 complete Centennial pool. A 10 building structure that I would be 11 interested in is the building 12 produced by Sprung Building Products." 13 14 He goes on to say that he knows that 15 they have representatives in Ontario. He also says: 16 "As well, I would recommend to get a 17 price, as well, for one (1) of their 18 structure -- structures to cover the 19 outdoor rink. 20 Sprung Building Systems are used for 21 ice rinks, single, double, and 22 triple ice rinks as well as swimming 23 pools." 24 And he gives some information about the kind of warranty he thinks they might have. 25

- 1 Do you recall receiving this email?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I do.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we'd turn to
- 4 paragraph 166. While we're going there, what was your
- reaction to receiving that email a couple of days
- 6 after the strategic planning workshop?
- 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The email you just
- 8 showed me. In going back to what I describe as a
- 9 difficult time, this is an example where, based on my
- 10 experience and my commitment as a public servant, my
- 11 responsibility was to take direction from council as a
- 12 whole.
- 13 And here we had various individuals on
- 14 council directing staff work without that information
- 15 coming before council as a whole.
- And that's when I would turn to the
- 17 executive management team, because I no longer had a
- 18 single layer to report to in a CAO as to why we're
- 19 responding to individual requests when we should be
- 20 receiving information from council as a whole.
- 21 And in my opinion, staff should be
- 22 recommending decisions to council based on industry
- 23 best practices and research, based on priorities or
- 24 direction council gives as a whole. Instead, we were
- 25 scattering around looking at every option possible.

- 1 And I feel that executive management
- 2 team was trying to help me frame responses to all of
- 3 these to come to council as a whole, but no one was
- 4 stopping the individual requests from bouncing around
- 5 behind the scenes.

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Deputy Mayor
- 10 Lloyd's June 14th email is to yourself, members of
- 11 council, and the EMC. We can see that certain members
- 12 of council reply to everybody on the chain, voicing
- 13 support for the idea.
- 14 Does that change your view of -- of the
- 15 nature of the instructions that you were receiving and
- 16 the difficulty that you -- that you saw with them?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Paragraph 166,
- 19 which is on the screen in front of you, describes a
- 20 step that you took in response to the deputy mayor's
- 21 June 14th email.
- 22 It says here that you forwarded that
- 23 email to Town manager, recreation facilities, and
- 24 arena supervisor, Dennis Seymour, and coordinator of
- 25 registration and permits, Darin Potts. And you wrote:

"Saw this one coming. Can you 1 2 please confirm some approx pricing 3 and what specifically it would in cou -- /could include for both?" 5 Scroll down a little bit further. And this paragraph describes that you also emailed Mr. Houghton writing: 7 8 "We've done some preliminary work in 9 this area for the ice rink; there 10 are some limitations. We'll expand 11 for the pool and I'll provide you 12 with an update once we've compiled the info." 13 14 I have a couple of questions for you 15 about the emails you sent that are described in this paragraph. If we could scroll back up to the top. 16 17 When you said that you saw this one (1) 18 coming, do you remember what you were referring to? 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes. And this is where I felt I got caught in the kafuffle behind the scenes. And I -- I was referring to the message that 21 I heard at the opening of the fire hall that told me, 22 mark my words, in several months you'll see that pool 23 24 covered. 2.5 And once again, once the processes

- 1 started doing a lot of behind-the-scenes emails,
- 2 giving direction, that when I started going to my
- 3 colleagues saying we can't do our business this way,
- 4 it needs to be in front of all of council, and we need
- 5 to have open, accountable direction transparent that
- 6 we then take away and provide the appropriate research
- 7 and response to.
- 8 So, I do recall this very clearly. And
- 9 I don't feel good about this one (1).
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you said that
- 11 you were going to colleagues to say we need to be
- 12 approaching this is an open, transparent way, who were
- 13 you speaking to?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The executive
- 15 management committee, but primarily the clerk and the
- 16 treasurer and Ed, and Mr. Houghton.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what reaction
- 18 or response did you get from them when you -- when you
- 19 brought that to them?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: In short, I would
- 21 often get a response that, Marta, this is Collingwood,
- 22 and that's not how we do things here.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turning back to the
- 24 email on the screen, you ask Mr. Seymour and Mr.
- 25 Potts:

Transcript Date Sept 23, 2019 58 "Can you please confirm some approx 1 2 pricing and what speci -- and what specifically it would/could include 3 for both?" 5 What did you envision them doing to confirm approximate pricing in response to the deputy mayor's request? 7 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Could you please scroll up to the original email, and -- and I might 10 have better context? 11 MS. KATE MCGRANN: That paragraph 159 12 of the Foundation Document. 13 14 (BRIEF PAUSE) 15 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Thank you. That helps. 17 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, we'll go back 19 down to 166 which is the paragraph that sets out the email that I'm asking you about right now. 20 21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

23

MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, the question

25 is: Do you remember what you envisioned Mr. Seymour

- 1 and Mr. Potts doing when you asked them to confirm
- 2 some approximate pricing?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes. I -- I
- 4 recall that the membrane structures was something that
- 5 I was not familiar with, especially in this type of
- 6 scenario, and that I asked them to just do some
- 7 research because it implied for both the outdoor pool
- 8 and the outdoor rink to be covered and to get some
- 9 preliminary research on has somebody done it, what
- 10 have been the results and what were the approximate
- 11 costs so that we could enter the discussions with some
- 12 relevant background if there was some available.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: In terms of the
- 14 research that you asked them to do, do you remember if
- 15 you talked specifically about what that research would
- 16 involve?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't recall
- 18 specifically, but I vaguely recall that it wasn't in-
- 19 depth analysis, it was just to find out some
- 20 information on industry relevant projects in Ontario
- 21 that we might be aware of.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall if
- 23 there was any discussion about reaching out to any
- 24 particular supplier of that kind of a building or
- 25 limiting research to the internet or anything like

- 1 that?
- 2 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall how
- 4 you saw the Deputy Mayor's request to look into
- 5 pricing for these kinds of buildings. How did you see
- 6 that request fitting into the work that staff was
- 7 going to do further to the strategic planning
- 8 workshop?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I felt that the
- 10 email made -- or started making conclusions or
- 11 providing direction prior to us coming back to Council
- 12 as a whole to make recommenda -- or to -- to make
- 13 direction -- to provide staff with direction on what
- 14 we should be working on next.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 16 paragraph 152 of the Foundation Document. This
- 17 paragraph describes minutes from a department head
- 18 meeting from the same week. It took place on June
- 19 12th, the day after the strategic planning workshop.
- 20 And the minutes state that:
- 21 "Mr. Houghton confirmed that staff
- 22 will draft fact sheets to clarify
- the requested motions, which would
- 24 be considered by Council at the June
- 25 25th meeting. Mr. Houghton

	61
1	suggested that in order to provide
2	Council with a comprehensive report,
3	it may have to be delayed to the
4	following meeting."
5	And if we scroll down a little bit
6	further, this paragraph describes an email that Mr.
7	Houghton sends to Council in the EMC about the
8	strategic planning session after the department heads
9	meeting. He reports that:
10	"At the department heads' meeting
11	this morning, we discussed the
12	commitments we made to Council and
13	the best way to deliver on those
14	commitments. As well we've taken
15	note of the recent emails requesting
16	further information and
17	clarification regarding Central Park
18	project and commitments made to the
19	Y."
20	He says:
21	"All of these request will be
22	reviewed with Marta and the
23	Executive Management Team, and we
24	will prepare a thorough response and
25	provide fact/data sheets to support

- 1 the requested resolution."
- 2 Do you remember working on fact/data
- 3 sheets in response to the ideas that were brought
- 4 forward at the strategic planning workshop?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I don't.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 7 anyone on staff doing work further to the ideas that
- 8 were brought forward at the strategic planning
- 9 workshop?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I do, and I
- 11 recall myself and the Executive Management Team doing
- 12 a report to summarize and present recommenda --
- 13 options for Council to give direction on, but again,
- 14 this was contrary to any other process I've ever taken
- 15 in this type of circumstance. And no, we did not, to
- 16 the best of my recollection, provide data/fact sheets
- 17 on all the options, nor could we in the time -- in the
- 18 turnaround time that was proposed.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Did --
- 20 did you express the view at the time that this was
- 21 contrary to the generally accepted practices in your
- 22 experience?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Amongst my
- 24 colleagues?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: On numerous
- 2 occasions.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And --
- 4 all right.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And just to follow-
- 10 up on that question, what response did you get when
- 11 you -- when you brought it up -- when you brought
- 12 these things up?
- 13 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: On numerous
- 14 occasions, I was told that that's not how we do things
- 15 in Collingwood, and I was... I felt appreciated by my
- 16 colleagues but not my City process perspectives. It
- 17 was clearly stated that we do things differently here.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did those responses
- 19 have any impact on the kinds of concerns or issues
- 20 that you would raise with your colleagues as you went
- 21 forward?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Absolutely, and
- 23 that's why often I would call on them to sit and at
- 24 least put all the background pieces that were
- 25 fluttering around in one (1) document so all of

- 1 Council could see them and vote on them and give
- 2 direction to staff, especially when we were shifting
- 3 direction from what was to explore a multi-purpose
- 4 centre and now to do multiple projects at multiple
- 5 sites.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Your Honour, I'm
- 7 looking at the time, and I'm about to move to a new
- 8 section that will take some time to move through.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We'll
- 10 take a short break.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Thank you.

12

- 13 --- Upon recessing at 11:18 a.m.
- 14 --- Upon resuming at 11:31 a.m.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 18 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Before the break,
- 20 we had been talking, amongst other things, about a
- 21 strate -- a strategic planning workshop that Council
- 22 held on June 11th, 2012. We see that you bring a
- 23 document forward as a result of that workshop at the
- 24 July 16th, 2012 Council meeting. I'm going to ask
- 25 that it be shown to you on the screen. It's at

```
CJI8028, and it begins on page 135 of that document.
 2
 3
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 5
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Please feel free to
   -- to direct the court operator to scroll through
   this. My first question for you is: Do you remember
 7
   drafting this document?
 9
                   MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I do.
10
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: You can see from
11
   the -- the very beginning of this document, which
12
   we're looking at right now, that you've got two (2)
   directions, here, Direction A, Central Park
13
14
   redevelopment options, and Direction B, other projects
15
   options.
16
                   Before we delve into the contents of
   the document, just a high-level question, is the
17
18
   summary of resolutions -- it's not in the form of a
19
   staff report. Do you remember why you created this
20
   document in this way?
21
22
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
23
```

24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I know I said this

before, but the process which we were undertaking was

- 1 unfamiliar to me, and there was information coming
- 2 from multiple sources that I required clarity on, so I
- 3 would seek the input of members of the Executive
- 4 Management Committee to help me get clear direction
- 5 from Council, and based on the discussions we had, we
- 6 determined that this might be the clearest way of
- 7 seeking direction, because there were open resolutions
- 8 on -- from Council confirming one thing, yet there
- 9 were other indications that were doing different work,
- 10 and we needed to give either closure or continue along
- 11 the path that was already approved, or then clarify
- 12 formally new direction.
- So that's why, but again, this is an
- 14 unfamiliar process to me, but given the circumstances,
- 15 it felt like the most appropriate way to address all
- 16 the outstanding pieces.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: You referenced
- 18 having discussions with members of the Executive
- 19 Management Committee about putting this document
- 20 together.
- 21 Do you remember which members of the
- 22 Executive Management Committee you spoke with?
- 23 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I definitely spoke
- 24 with the Clerk, the Treasurer, and Mr. Houghton. I
- 25 know Mr. Irwin was also on the committee. He may have

- 1 been at a meeting where we discussed this as a group.
- 2 But I know I had numerous off-line
- 3 conversations, and I know this consumed a lot of our
- 4 time on top of other important work that needed to
- 5 continue for everybody, and it -- that's why I refer
- 6 to it as difficult, because we were juggling a lot of
- 7 balls and trying to set some clarity on, as you can
- 8 see, a lot of different directions that were being
- 9 proposed.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Other than the --
- 11 the consultation that you had with the members of the
- 12 Executive Management Committee, did anyone else from
- 13 staff assist you in preparing this document?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I'm sure there
- 15 were other staff on my team that would have helped me,
- 16 primarily the administrative person, but I would have
- 17 likely included the manage -- the Manager of
- 18 Facilities, and discussions as well.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 20 paragraph 234 of the Foundation Document, and actually
- 21 let's start with paragraph 233.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: With respect to

- 1 Direction B, we -- we've reproduced the resolutions
- 2 that were put forward under "Direction B," and they're
- 3 -- they're right here. If we look at ...
- 4 Can you scroll down so we can take a
- 5 look at that entire box there? You can see the -- the
- 6 resolutions include construct a single pad arena,
- 7 enclose the outdoor rink with a fabric building.
- 8 That's number 6. Number 7, cover the outdoor rink
- 9 with a restructure. Number 8, enclose the outdoor
- 10 pool with a fabric building.
- 11 Go on to paragraph 234 of the
- 12 Foundation Document. This highlights that -- the
- 13 document that you prepared with respect to the options
- 14 under "Direction B" said:
- 15 "Adding new or different components
- 16 would require additional
- 17 architectural/engineering work."
- 18 It goes on to say:
- "Council will need to provide staff
- 20 with clear direction and resources
- on the priority options to be
- 22 explored."
- 23 And it goes on to add:
- 24 "Additional public/stakeholder
- engagement may be required, as well

- 1 as the development and costing of
- 2 conceptual drawings and a five-year
- 3 business plan."
- I have some questions about that. What
- 5 additional architectural/engineering work did you
- 6 foresee if options under Direction B were selected by
- 7 Council?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: In my experience,
- 9 any capital project that we would undertake should
- 10 have appropriate drawings, costing, and an operating
- 11 business plan associated with it. So there were
- 12 numerous options on the table for which none of those
- 13 existed, and that's why I believe I stated and
- 14 repeated that message.
- 15 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And the information
- 16 that you've identified there, the drawings, the
- 17 costing, business plan, is that all work that Town of
- 18 Collingwood staff could do in-house or would you
- 19 expect that you would need to retain some external
- 20 assistance to get that work done?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The majority of
- 22 that work would require external expertise. There
- 23 could be some work on the business plan that staff
- 24 could have assisted with, but certain -- certainly not
- 25 if they were doing it for multiple options.

- 1 It would take them away from their day-
- 2 to-day duties, and our resources were already
- 3 stretched with what we were currently trying to do,
- 4 never mind we didn't have a whole capital planning
- 5 team to do this type of work.

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'll ask you more
- 10 questions about this later, but where we're at right
- 11 now, we know that a staff report goes to Council on
- 12 August 27th, with some recommendations.
- To your mind, was the additional
- 14 architectural/engineering work that you envisioned
- 15 needing to be done, was that work done in advance of
- 16 the preparation of that staff report?
- 17 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: There was some
- 18 analysis of options, but in my opinion, no, there was
- 19 no detailed conceptual design and costing done.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And this paragraph
- 21 references additional public/stakeholder engagement
- 22 that may be required.
- 23 What was your view on whether public
- 24 stakeholder engagement was -- the required public
- 25 stakeholder engagement was met in advance of that

```
August 27th staff report?
                   MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe the
 2
   reason I chose the word "may" is because if we were
 3
   moving away from any concept that was different than
   the one we already consulted the stakeholders and
   public on, in my opinion we should have done new
 7
   stakeholder engagement. And, no, I don't believe that
   was done prior to the 20 -- August 27th report.
 9
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN:
                                     I'll take you back
10
   to the summary resolutions document itself, which is
11
   at CJI8028 on page 135.
12
13
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
14
15
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to turn
   to what this document says about the Direction B
   options, and that starts at page 140.
17
18
19
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
20
21
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: There's a -- an
22
   introductory paragraph that -- that talks about:
23
                      "As a result of the recent Council
24
                      workshop, numerous -- numerous other
2.5
                      considerations have been raised."
```

- 1 And then it says that:
- The options include the following."
- And as you can see, you've got a chart
- 4 here that sets out the option with some benefits and
- 5 some challenges.
- I would like to look at a couple of
- 7 specific entries within this chart, starting on page
- 8 143. Perhaps it's 142.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: So for starters,
- 13 this list of options, do you recall if staff reviewed
- 14 the options that were generated at the strategic
- 15 planning workshop and otherwise and selected a subset
- 16 that they were recommending that Council consider, or
- 17 was this a list of all of the options that had been
- 18 generated by Council at and since that meeting?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: To be honest, this
- 20 is the first time I'm seeing this document since it
- 21 was presented, and I didn't even recall the detail we
- 22 got into on this, so I'm pleased we at least had this
- 23 much detail given the turnaround time, and I recall
- 24 now us working on this.
- How we chose which options we were

- 1 going to focus on, I believe it was through the work
- 2 of several senior management. So myself, likely the
- 3 Clerk, the Treasurer, and Mr. Houghton. But I can't
- 4 recall how we specifically made the decision which one
- 5 stayed, which one didn't.
- I think it was by what we determined to
- 7 be the greatest interest based on what we had heart to
- 8 date.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what you had
- 10 heard from whom?
- 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: What various
- 12 members of Council.
- 13 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So the selection
- 14 criteria you used was the greatest Council interest as
- 15 opposed to information you had from public and
- 16 stakeholder contacts and things like that?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If you look at Item
- 19 8. So we'll have to scroll down a little bit. This -
- 20 this part of the chart considers covering the
- 21 outdoor pool with a fabric building. For benefits it
- 22 lists:
- "Create additional year-round access
- to a 25 metre swimming pool,
- 25 expanded programming opportunities."

- 1 Under "challenges" we've got:
- 2 "Requires further investigation to
- determine feasibility."
- 4 Do you remember what you were referring
- 5 to there?
- 6 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe I was
- 7 referring to understanding this construction type,
- 8 understanding the costs, and understanding any
- 9 concerns with covering this type of application in our
- 10 scenario.
- 11 The bullet below it speaks to related
- 12 concerns, the fact that it was an older pool and did
- 13 it make sense or was there a benefit.
- 14 So there was a whole series of analysis
- 15 that I believe needed to occur before we made that
- 16 type of commitment.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: To your knowledge,
- 18 did any of that analysis that you have described to us
- 19 take place before Council voted on how to proceed with
- 20 the rec facilities on August 27th?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: In my opinion, no
- 22 it didn't. And I expressed concern about that.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: When did you
- 24 express concern about that?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I expressed

- 1 concern -- I -- I expressed the need to do that as the
- 2 report was being framed, and I expressed concern when
- 3 the report was completed that we omitted that piece of
- 4 work.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What was the
- 6 response you received to -- to the concerns that you
- 7 expressed?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Why am I being
- 9 resistant, why am I not a team player?
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And who were you
- 11 having that conversation or those conversations with?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The CAO.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What can you tell
- 14 us about the context in which that conversation took
- 15 place? How did -- how did it start?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: After we were
- 17 given direction to explore the two options and come
- 18 back with more information, I was clear that there was
- 19 work that requ -- was required that we would need
- 20 external resources to do because of the timeline and
- 21 the expertise.
- 22 And I did have commitments that --
- 23 coming up in the next few weeks and I was not
- 24 comfortable in meeting a tight turn-around time.
- 25 At some point the CAO assured that the

- 1 executive team could assist me with this and that they
- 2 will meet the -- that turn around timeline.
- 3 So I was assigned to work with Dave
- 4 McNalty and given resources to have external expertise
- 5 assist us in the analysis. Mr. McNalty and I drafted
- 6 terms of reference together to provide to a consultant
- 7 who we had -- who we had hired during the Central Park
- 8 work and was familiar with a lot of our
- 9 infrastructure, information to date and we went
- 10 through a proper procurement process and we felt that
- 11 adding this to their contract was within our
- 12 procurement allowance.
- 13 So we had them do the work to expedite
- 14 it which -- no, we checked with them if they could do
- 15 the work and tried to prepare a clear outline on
- 16 expectations.
- 17 Through that process, not directly
- 18 through me, Dave McNalty was told numerous times by
- 19 the CAO, questioned and told about what was to be in
- 20 that report and what was not to be in that report.
- 21 And it became kind of a dance, very similar to the
- 22 difficult circumstance that I've been referring to,
- 23 that I was not familiar with.
- 24 And I kept trying to emphasize the
- 25 importance of the scope of information we require so

- 1 that all of Council can make an appropriate decision.
- I was -- I had a commitment for my
- 3 vacation with my family, but I said I would be -- make
- 4 myself available and I communicated by phone and
- 5 somehow the report was shared with me, and I can't
- 6 even remember how to be exact, but I know that I had
- 7 concerns that the report did not reflect the full
- 8 scope of information that I felt was important and Mr.
- 9 Houghton and I had a very uncomfortable conversation
- 10 where he was very angry and annoyed and yelling at me
- 11 why I wouldn't just sign off on the report.
- 12 And I indicated I won't sign off that I
- 13 agree with the report, but I will sign off that I read
- 14 the report, because I don't agree with all the
- 15 information that was there.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: We'll come to some
- 17 -- some more detailed questions about the process that
- 18 you described working with Mr. McNalty and the
- 19 consultant.
- Just so that it's clear, you're
- 21 referring to -- who is the consultant that you're
- 22 referring to?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe their
- 24 name is WGD Architects.
- 25 MS. KATE MCGRANN: From what we can

- 1 see in the documents, you're on vacation from August
- 2 22nd to August 24th. During that week drafts of the
- 3 report are circulated during that week and the report
- 4 is finalized on August 24th. Council meeting is on
- 5 August 27th.
- 6 Do you recall where in the course of
- 7 that week you had this conversation with Mr. Houghton?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall it was
- 9 very close to the report deadline, but I can't recall
- 10 the day.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember if
- 12 the report had already been finalized and distributed
- 13 to Council or if it was still in draft form?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe it was
- 15 prior to it being circulated because he was asking if
- 16 I would put my name to the report.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. We'll likely
- 18 come back to that conversation with a couple of
- 19 further questions, but for now I'd like to turn our
- 20 attention back to the July 16th meeting.
- 21 We had been talking about some of the
- 22 challenges that are identified in the table for
- 23 covering the outdoor pool.
- One (1) of the things that isn't
- 25 discussed here, at least explicitly, is the concept of

- 1 covering the outdoor pool with a fabric building as
- 2 opposed to other construction types to be used for the
- 3 pool building.
- 4 Do you know if staff ever investigated
- 5 other construction types for the building to go around
- 6 the pool or to enclose the pool?
- 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, we didn't.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know why
- 9 that work was not done?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Because we were
- 11 not given direction to do that, however, I assumed we
- 12 would have investigated the benefits and the potential
- 13 challenges associated with this type of structure, and
- 14 we didn't do that either.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: But at this point
- 16 in time, when -- when this document is distributed to
- 17 Council, to your knowledge did staff have any
- 18 information that enclosing the outdoor pool with a
- 19 fabric building was a good choice or the best choice
- 20 for the Town?
- 21 Did it have any information that
- 22 compared fabric buildings to other construction types
- 23 already in-hand?
- 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Could you please
- 25 repeat the question?

```
1
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN:
                                     Yes.
 2
                   Did staff have any information in its
   possession, by July 16th, about covering the outdoor
 3
   pool with a fabric building and whether that was a
   good idea?
                   MS. MARTA PROCTOR: As far as I
 6
   recall, there seemed to be several conversations that
 7
   occurred with Sprung representatives who represented a
   company that did fabric-covered structures.
10
                   And the only information we had was the
11
   information -- the marketing materials from that
12
   company.
13
14
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
15
16
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to have
17
    some questions for you about conversations that took
18
   place with Sprung in advance of this meeting, but I
   just want to close off our discussion about what
19
   happened at this meeting first.
21
                   If we go to paragraph 250 of the
22
   Foundation Document.
23
24
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
2.5
```

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
- 2 describes the council vote that took place at the July
- 3 16th meeting. And if we scroll down, we see that
- 4 council directed staff to pursue two (2) recommended
- 5 options. Option number 1, construct a single pad
- 6 arena that could be phased into a double pad, and
- 7 option number 8, enclose the outdoor pool with a
- 8 fabric building.
- 9 The council direction is for staff to
- 10 pursue those two (2) recommended options and develop a
- 11 project time line and detailed estimates and bring the
- 12 report back to council not later than August 27th,
- 13 2012.
- 14 Do you remember receiving this
- 15 direction from Council?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I do.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 18 what you envisioned the staff report to contain in
- 19 terms of information that you were going to bring back
- 20 to council?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It was my
- 22 recollection that I thought we were going to explore
- 23 the options associated with a single-pad arena and the
- 24 estimated costs, both construction and operational,
- 25 and the potential impacts on the rest of the park for

- 1 -- in terms of infrastructure implications.
- 2 And I also thought we were going to
- 3 explore the benefits and concerns with covering the
- 4 pool with the membrane structure. So, I thought there
- 5 were two (2) components to the work.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you envision
- 7 staff making a recommendation to council about a final
- 8 decision for how to proceed with constructing either
- 9 of these two (2) buildings at the report that was
- 10 going to be brought back on August 27th?
- 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not at all.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Where in the
- 13 process towards a final decision did you think the
- 14 August 27th report was going to put staff and council?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I felt that, based
- 16 on the information provided in those reports, that
- 17 staff would be directed on one (1) or both options to
- 18 move into the detailed design phase, which would
- 19 include further costing and fine-tuning of components
- 20 and features, to include engaging citizens and
- 21 stakeholders on the direction we were heading in.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Can I just add

```
something? And that that do -- final dollar amount
  would come back as a capital infrastructure project
   for all of council to approve after that was all
 3
   costed.
 5
 6
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turning from the
   July 16th meeting, you had mentioned that you
   understood that there had been conversations with
10
11
   Sprung. I want to ask you some questions about what
   we can see in terms of conversations between the Town
12
   and Sprung in advance of the July 16th meeting.
13
14
                   If we could turn to paragraph 175 of
15
  the Foundation Document.
16
17
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
18
19
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
   describes an email that Tom Lloyd, from Sprung
   Structures, sends to Town manager of recreation
21
   facilities and arena supervisor, Dennis Seymour, with
22
23
   a copy to Dave MacNeil, of Sprung, and Patrick Mills,
24 of Sprung.
2.5
                   In his email, Mr. Lloyd thanks Mr.
```

Seymour for meeting yesterday. And he writes: 2 "We look forward to assisting you 3 with your plan, so please do not hesitate to ask if you need any 5 further information. Pat, Dave, and I look forward to our further 6 7 discussions." Were you aware that Mr. Seymour was meeting with representatives from Sprung on June 19th, 2012, or thereabouts? 10 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I had no recollection of this until I saw the email. And I 12 still am not clear if I was aware of it or not. 13 14 15 (BRIEF PAUSE) 16 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we move ahead to 18 the next paragraph, paragraph 176, this describes an 19 email that Dave MacNeil, of Sprung, sent to Mr. Seymour on June 20th in which he also references the 20 21 meeting and says: 22 "I look forward to coming up with a 23 design that will not only meet, but 24 exceed, the needs of both your Town 2.5 council and your user groups."

- 1 He says:
- 2 "I've created an FTP site with some
- 3 Sprung drawings and renderings for
- 4 you to take a look at and I will
- 5 begin putting some budget numbers
- 6 together shortly."
- 7 Do you recall if you were aware that
- 8 Sprung was providing the Town with drawings,
- 9 renderings, and budget numbers towards the end of June
- 10 going forward?
- 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Once again, no, I
- 12 was not.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you surprised
- 14 when -- when you discovered that this had been taking
- 15 place at the time?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I just had no
- 17 recollection of it, so I was surprised to see this
- 18 information in the report. But there were other
- 19 things that also surprised me in the report.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And just so I can
- 21 clarify your answer, are you able to advise whether,
- 22 you know, you didn't remember that this was taking
- 23 place, but once you read these paragraphs in the
- 24 Foundation Document you had some recollection that
- 25 these kinds of conversations were happening, or is it

- 1 that you don't think that you knew that they were
- 2 happening at the time?
- 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I had no
- 4 recollection of them happening. But I had a lot on my
- 5 plate at that time, so I don't want to say a hundred
- 6 percent that I was not aware.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: One (1) final
- 8 question about -- about this. If you look at the next
- 9 paragraph, paragraph 177, here we see manager of fleet
- 10 facilities and purchasing, Dave McNalty, sending Dave
- 11 MacNeil, of Sprung, an email on June 25th.
- 12 And Mr. McNalty writes:
- 13 "Further to our conversation last
- 14 week, we have been piecing together
- some background information for the
- 16 two (2) sites."
- 17 And he goes on to provide Mr. MacNeil,
- 18 of Sprung, a series of aerial photos of Heritage Park,
- 19 information about intent for the arena, drawings for
- 20 the outdoor rink, and photos of Central Park.
- 21 Do you recall if you knew that this
- 22 kind of information was being provided to Sprung at
- 23 this time?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I do not.

2.5

87 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could move ahead to paragraph 183 of the Foundation Document. This paragraph describes steps that are taken to set up and confirm a meeting between Patrick Mills, Tom Lloyd, and David MacNeil, or Sprung Buildings, with 7 Deputy Mayor Rick Lloyd, Dave McNalty, and Sandra 9 Cooper. 10 Were you aware that that meeting took 11 place? 12 13 (BRIEF PAUSE) 14 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I was not aware of that specific meeting and those specific parties attending. However, I had heard in conversations that 17 18 people were meeting with people from Sprung. And that's when I would ask questions. 19 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Were -- were you ever given a reason why you were not 21 22 included in these meetings? 23 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: To be quite 24 honest, I did not want to be included in the meetings 25 because it would have gone against a process that I

- 1 felt was in accordance with good municipal business
- 2 practice.
- 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 4 what was that?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Meeting with a
- 6 contractor about drawings and quotes without a formal
- 7 bid process or having direction to have that work
- 8 awarded that way.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 12 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: The conversations
- 14 that you referenced in which you learned that people
- 15 were speaking to people, I think is -- is how you put
- 16 it.
- 17 What can you tell us about those
- 18 conversations, starting with who did you have those
- 19 conversations with?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I wish I could be
- 21 crisper and clearer on it, but there were a lot of
- 22 conversations at the senior management team about the
- 23 direction we need to be heading, and it's different,
- 24 and a lot of them reference direction from the Deputy
- 25 Mayor. And I can't chronologically or succinctly put

- 1 them in places or time or names, but for me to say
- 2 that I was not aware would be inaccurate also because
- 3 I heard things, and I tried to understand or reframe
- 4 them, but it was not easy to do.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. When you
- 6 said that these were conversations with the senior
- 7 management team, are you referring to the Executive
- 8 Management Team -- the EMC, as we refer to it -- or
- 9 are you talking about other people as well?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Speci -- there
- 11 were specific conversations with the EMC, but I know
- 12 this was a topic of discussion at the leadership --
- 13 or, I can't remember what we were called here. Every
- 14 municipality has a slightly different -- where we were
- 15 all in the room as well.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Department
- 17 heads?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Department heads.
- 19 Yeah.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: In those
- 21 conversations with department heads, do you remember
- 22 if they were the context of the formal department head
- 23 meetings, which we understood took place weekly, or
- 24 more in informal conversations with members of that
- 25 group?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe it was
- 2 informal meetings, but I know Dave McNalty and I --
- 3 because we were trying to find our way through
- 4 providing information. He was not at department head
- 5 meetings, but he and I would have conversation about
- 6 what I've heard and what he's heard and try to frame
- 7 it somehow. So those happened informally.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was there ever --
- 9 ever any discussion of formally going back to Council
- 10 to seek clarification of the directions that had been
- 11 provided to staff to seek clear information about who
- 12 was taking which steps or to ask for additional time
- 13 to meet Council's request for the staff report?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: There were
- 15 definitely a lot of conversations about going back and
- 16 seeking clarity, which is -- even though it may have
- 17 not been as clear to you today, that is exactly what
- 18 we were trying to do.
- 19 But there was resistance from the
- 20 Executive Management Committee to say to members of
- 21 Council that their behaviour is inappropriate and we
- 22 need to do our business differently, because our
- 23 former CAO tried to do that, and there was fear in the
- 24 organization that there would be repercussions, and
- 25 that is not how we do things in Collingwood, is what I

```
1 was told.
```

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you be any more
- 3 specific about who those conversations took place
- 4 with?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The Executive
- 6 Management Committee members, specifically with the
- 7 Treasurer, at times the Clerk as well, and Mr.
- 8 Houghton.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 10 the former CAO was Ms. Wingrove?
- 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.

- 13 CONTINUED BY MS. MCGRANN:
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So we'd talked
- 15 about conversations about going back to seek clarity
- 16 about Council direction. In some of the information
- 17 you've given us somewh -- so far this morning, you've
- 18 referenced other things that were on your plate as
- 19 Director of Parks, Recreation, and Culture. You've
- 20 also referenced prior commitments you had made to
- 21 family vacation.
- Do you recall any discussion about
- 23 going back to Council to ask for an extension of time
- 24 to come back to them with the information that they
- 25 had asked to staff to provide?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: At that Council
- 2 meeting, I clearly stated that that time line would be
- 3 problematic for me. As far as I was aware, I reported
- 4 to the CAO still, and the CAO indicated right away
- 5 that -- that not to worry, that the Executive
- 6 Management Committee will assist me and will ensure
- 7 they meet that time line. It was not my position to
- 8 question his decision to do that. That's how I felt.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And so I
- 10 take it that was not a topic that you raised after the
- 11 July 16th meeting, the notion of asking for additional
- 12 time.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I may have raised
- 14 it offline with them, but I wasn't going to raise it
- 15 in Council with them.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have a
- 17 specific recollection of raising the idea of asking
- 18 for additional time offline with -- with anyone?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Absolutely. I
- 20 didn't understand what the rush was. We -- this was
- 21 very important information. This is where I kept
- 22 restating these things, and I was viewed as being
- 23 resistant.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Where did you get
- 25 the impression that you were viewed as being resistant

- 1 from?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The Executive
- 3 Management Committee, specifically mostly Mr.
- 4 Houghton, but even the Clerk and Deputy -- the Clerk
- 5 and the Treasurer would say, Get on board. This is
- 6 how we do things here. And so.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we turn to
- 8 paragraph 196 of the Foundation Document?
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 10 before you do that, were you ever given a reason for
- 11 why there was a rush?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 16 CONTINUED BY MS. MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph and
- 18 the paragraphs that follow describe an exchange
- 19 between -- some -- there's some information provided
- 20 by some people associated with the Collingwood
- 21 Clippers, a swim team in Town.
- 22 Paragraph 196 describes Linda Simpson,
- 23 a parent of one (1) of the swimmers on the Collingwood
- 24 Clippers, sending an email to Mayor Cooper and Deputy
- 25 Mayor Lloyd on July 3rd, 2012, in which she expresses

- 1 support for the proposal to cover the Centennial Pool.
- 2 She says that the Clippers had independently
- 3 researched the possibility of covering the pool in
- 4 2010. She offered to provide the research and related
- 5 files and proposed a meeting to discuss their
- 6 research.
- 7 So this is a contact that is being had
- 8 with the Mayor and the Deputy Mayor in advance of the
- 9 July 16th meeting. Did you know that -- were you
- 10 aware that the Clippers had looked at covering the
- 11 outdoor pool? Is this information you were aware of
- 12 before the July 16th meeting?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I was aware that
- 14 the Clippers were interested in a cover for the pool.
- 15 Upon starting, I had a delegation of stakeholders that
- 16 wanted to meet with me about infrastructure
- 17 improvements that range -- the range was huge, which
- 18 is why I came forward to Council to talk about a
- 19 multi-purpose community centre, along with other
- 20 infrastructure upgrades, and to try to strategically
- 21 prioritize them in the most cost-effective way for the
- 22 greater good of this community.
- 23 So when I met with the Clippers, and it
- 24 was not Linda Simpson who I met with in one (1) of
- 25 those preliminary delegations, I indicated that we

- 1 will be looking at aquatic and all our needs in due
- 2 time, and you will be part of that process. So I did
- 3 not look at the details of the type of structures they
- 4 were proposing at that time.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. When you
- 6 said "upon starting," were you referring to starting
- 7 the role of Director of Parks, Recreation, and
- 8 Culture?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So it was around
- 11 the beginning of your time in that role that you had
- 12 that discussion with -- about covering the pool.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you aware that
- 15 at the beginning of July, some people associated with
- 16 the Clippers were speaking with members of Council
- 17 about covering the outdoor pool?
- 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I was.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Were you
- 20 involved in those discussions?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I was not.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: How did you become
- 23 aware of the fact that they were taking place?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Same way I was
- 25 learning everything else. I would hear tidbits of

- 1 information in meetings from a colleague.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you ever
- 3 receive copies of any information that the Clippers
- 4 provided to the Town about covering the outdoor pool?
- 5 Did you ever see any of that information?
- 6 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes. At some
- 7 point there was -- I was brought into the loop and
- 8 asked to work with the Clippers on what potential
- 9 contributions they could make, because they implied
- 10 that they could raise some funds or donate some money
- 11 should there be a cover put on the pool.
- But at this point I can't recall if
- 13 that was after the Sprung structure had been approved
- 14 or before.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 16 paragraph 219 of the Foundation Document.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
- 21 describes that on July 16th at 4:04 p.m., so in
- 22 advance of the July 16th Council meeting in which
- 23 Council directs staff to pursue that you're building
- 24 an outdoor arena, covering the outdoor pool with the
- 25 fabric building, Dave MacNeil of Sprung emails Deputy

- 1 Mayor Rick Lloyd, Mayor Sandra Cooper, Dave McNalty
- 2 and Mr. Houghton, a link to budgets for three Sprung
- 3 turnkey structures. And if you look at the sub-
- 4 paragraphs below, there's a budget for a cover for
- 5 existing Centennial pool, there is an insulated Sprung
- 6 structure to cover an existing outdoor arena, and then
- 7 there's a budget for new stand-alone performance
- 8 arena.
- 9 Do you recall, were you aware that the
- 10 Town had received these budgets from Sprung at this
- 11 point in time?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I don't recall
- 13 that.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall if
- 15 you ever became aware that the Town received these
- 16 three budgets from Sprung?
- 17 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't believe I
- 18 did.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Going forward,
- 23 staff has been tasked with pursuing constructing an
- 24 outdoor arena that could be phased into a double pad
- 25 arena, enclosing the existing outdoor pool with a

- 1 fabric building.
- 2 Who was in charge of overseeing the
- 3 work that staff did to put together the requested
- 4 staff report?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Could you repeat
- 6 the question, please?
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Yes. At the end of
- 8 the July 16th Council meeting, Council directs staff
- 9 to pursue building a single pad arena that could be
- 10 phased into a double pad, and enclosing the existing
- 11 outdoor pool with a fabric building. Staff is to come
- 12 back with a staff report by August 27th.
- 13 Who on staff was overseeing or managing
- 14 the work that was being done to put that report
- 15 together?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I felt that it was
- 17 my responsibility to frame and ensure that report
- 18 provided all the necessary information.
- 19 However, I indicated that I could not
- 20 complete that work without external resources and I
- 21 had concerns about the timeline, especially because I
- 22 would be going away.
- 23 And that is when Mr. Houghton said that
- 24 he and the executive team would assist, so I still
- 25 took the response -- and that Dave McNalty would help

- 1 with this report and that -- and we also got resources
- 2 approved.
- 3 So Dave McNalty and I worked hard to
- 4 set the foundation for WGD to provide us with the
- 5 information that we would put in that report, but as I
- 6 previously said, he was all -- Dave McNalty was also
- 7 getting direction from Mr. Houghton about what should
- 8 or should not be in that report.
- 9 So although I would have seen it my
- 10 responsibility, I indicated I couldn't complete that
- 11 report fully in the timeframe, and so therefore Mr.
- 12 Houghton took it upon himself and the senior -- I'm
- 13 sorry, their name --
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: The Executive
- 15 Management Committee?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, Executive
- 17 Management Committee to complete that report.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was there a
- 19 specific point person designated to head up the
- 20 report, assign tasks to the people that were working
- 21 on it, respond to questions, and provide direction?
- 22 Was that ever --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believed the
- 24 work that WGD was going to assist us with was my
- 25 responsibility, along with Dave McNalty, to frame.

- 1 But clearly there were messages layered
- 2 on top that they were to do this, but not this, or why
- 3 are they doing this. So there were other people
- 4 intervening.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Who were the other
- 6 people that you saw to be intervening?
- 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Namely Mr.
- 8 Houghton, but based on -- and it was stated and I
- 9 don't know how, that other people told them that, for
- 10 example, WGD is not to contact Sprung. That was
- 11 stated clearly to us and to WGD.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And I'm going to
- 13 come to some more specific questions about the work
- 14 that WGD did for the Town in a minute. Just a couple
- 15 more general questions to understand what you've
- 16 describe to us so far.
- 17 You said that other people were -- were
- 18 layering messages on top, you've identified Mr.
- 19 Houghton as one of those people. Was there anybody
- 20 else that you saw who was providing direction or
- 21 management to the people who were working on putting
- 22 this staff report together?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I certainly have a
- 24 greater perspective now because I've read all the
- 25 documents and have seen some of the background emails

- 1 on this topic, but I did not know those things at that
- 2 time.
- 3 So as far as I was concerned, the
- 4 clearest source of information that was coming down on
- 5 this topic was through the Deputy Mayor. He was the
- 6 champion behind this and was giving direction to the
- 7 CAO.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any
- 9 direct interactions with the Deputy Mayor about the
- 10 work that was being done on the staff report?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember how
- 13 you formed the view that -- that he was involved in
- 14 the directions that were being given to staff?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: His name was
- 16 referenced by staff.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Are you able to
- 18 tell us specifically who referenced him?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Pretty much
- 20 everybody on the Executive Management Committee.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Anybody else?
- 22 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not that I can
- 23 recall.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: One (1) final
- 25 general question before we get into the specifics of

- 1 the work that you were involved in doing for the
- 2 report.
- 3 Do you recall at any time whether there
- 4 was a discussion about the criteria that would be used
- 5 to evaluate the different kinds of construction
- 6 options for the arena to help pick what -- what was a
- 7 better or worse option for the Town?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I remember
- 9 numerous discussions on that topic, and when Dave
- 10 would -- Dave McNalty and I would work on that
- 11 together and then he would go away and often that
- 12 would be changed by Mr. Houghton.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. What can you
- 14 tell us about the discussions about the -- the
- 15 criteria that would be used to evaluate the -- the
- 16 options for the arena?
- 17 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It felt like -- it
- 18 felt to me like nobody really wanted good information
- 19 on all the options.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Why did it feel
- 21 that way to you?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Because it felt
- 23 like it was already being slanted to a decision to
- 24 cover it with a Sprung structure.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what led you --

- 1 what led you to forming that -- that view?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Because from what
- 3 I can recall, when we tried to outline criteria and
- 4 what you would normally analyse in a feasibility
- 5 study, that was being shut down from other places,
- 6 which I couldn't -- I wasn't always part of and
- 7 couldn't fully understand.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What other places
- 9 were shutting down the work you were trying to do to
- 10 set up the feasibility study?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From what I
- 12 recall, it was the CAO in direction communication with
- 13 Mr. McNalty.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. I'm going to
- 15 turn to some specific questions about the work that
- 16 you did with WGD and Mr. McNalty to look at some
- 17 options.
- 18 If we could turn to TOC548266.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- 22 MS. KATE MCGRANN: These are the
- 23 minutes of a department head meeting that took place
- 24 on July 17th, 2012, so the day after the July 16th
- 25 council meeting where staff were given direction about

```
104
  what options to pursue for the Town's recreational
 2 facilities.
 3
                   If we could scroll down to page 2.
 5
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 6
 7
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: -- to the bullet
   identified as, "Central Park." The minutes report
 9
   that:
10
                      "Council requested they be provided
11
                      with a staff report for their August
12
                      27th meeting. The staff report is
13
                      to provide substantiated estimates
14
                      for building a covered single ice
15
                      pad at Central Park and cover
16
                      Centennial pool with a bubble-type
                      fabric cover."
17
18
                   Is that what you recall staff was
   instructed to do?
19
20
21
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
22
23
                   MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I'm reading the
24 words for the details, sorry.
25
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Take your time.
```

105 Take your time. 2 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Staff report is... 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: In reading the mim -- minutes, I don't totally agree with bubble; however, a membrane cover would be appropriate for what cou -- the direction council gave for the pool. 10 And I would say the rest is accurate. 11 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Does the phrase 12 'substantiated estimates' mean anything in particular 13 to you? 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes. That --15 that's the analysis part that I was trying to ensure was reflected in the terms of reference of the work. 16 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And if you 18 look at the next paragraph, it reports that you're 19 grateful that there is a recommendation to allow the existing market sounding budget to be used for this purpose. 21 22 And it goes on to explain that -- your 23 reasoning for -- for working with WGD. It also says: 24 "Marta, Marjorie agreed to talk 2.5 offline and invite Marcus and Brian

Transcript Date Sept 23, 2019

	106
1	to assist with those discussions as
2	they need to determine whether
3	council's desire is to abandoned the
4	original concept or whether the
5	project is being modified and staged
6	for future expansion."
7	Do you a couple of things. Do you
8	know who Marcus and Brian are who's being referred to
9	there?
10	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes. They are
11	members of the senior team. They were department
12	heads. And they were present in the meeting. And I
13	believe the reason why we were going to talk with them
14	is because nowhere have we had discussions about
15	servicing and site preparation and parking lots and
16	all that.
17	And I believe there was interest to do
18	that work in-house and, therefore, it was
19	intentionally left from some of the costing.
20	MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Is "Marcus"
21	Marcus Firman?
22	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
23	MS. KATE MCGRANN: And would he have
24	been part of the conversation because he would be
25	providing input about

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Water servicing.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Is "Brian"
- 3 Brian Macdonald?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what was his --
- 6 what would his involvement in those conversations have
- 7 been?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: So, he was the
- 9 dire -- I can't remember his title. But his -- his
- 10 involvement would have been from appropriate access
- 11 points, parking lots, potentially even lighting and --
- 12 and all the exterior components, traffic flow, where
- 13 the best access point.
- 14 None of that site development work had
- 15 been done for any of these projects.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall if
- 17 you did consult with Marcus Firman and Brian Macdonald
- 18 to -- to start to look into those questions that
- 19 you've identified?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't believe I
- 21 specifically initiated those discussions. But through
- 22 the executive management committee they were involved
- 23 to some degree.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember if
- 25 the kind of site design and preparation questions that

108 you've identified for us were answered before the August 27th staff report was finalized? 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I don't believe they were. 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could look at paragraph 261 of the Foundation Document.

7

(BRIEF PAUSE)

- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
- 11 describes a draft memorandum that Dave McNalty sent to
- you on July 19th titled, "Ice arena feasibility
- study." And in his email he indicated, "Draft terms 13
- 14 of reference attached."
- 15 If you can scroll down, there's an
- excerpt from his memo that describes background to
- work that had already been done by the Central Park 17
- redevelopment committee. 18
- 19 And then if you move down further,
- we've reproduced a table that was set out in that
- 21 memo. And the -- at the top it says -- could you just
- 22 scroll up a second?
- 23 "The following scenarios are to be
- 24 considered as a minimum.
- 2.5 Alternatives may be provided should

109 they enhance the opportunity for 1 2 part of the project to go forward." 3 And Mr. McNalty has set out a draft table indicating scenarios that WGD was con -- was to consider. This memorandum was limited to the area. 6 Do you recall if WGD was asked to do a 7 similar exercise for the pool? 8 9 (BRIEF PAUSE) 10 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: When I was reviewing these documents, that was a question I asked 13 myself, what happened to the pool. And I was trying to recall. And I can't say a hundred percent, but I 14 15 feel that was part of where the work got switched on 16 us. 17 And we weren't allowed to work -- look 18 at the pool because somebody else was going to do 19 that. 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember who else was going to look at the pool? 21 22 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not specifically. 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we turn to the 24 email at TOC188039. 2.5

110 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This is an email from Dave McNalty to Brian Gregersen, at WGD Architects. It's dated July 20th, 2012, and attach a Word document titled, "Ice arena feasibility study." Mr. McNalty says, "Brian, as 7 discussed..." If we could turn to that attachment. It's at TOC0188040. 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 MS. KATE MCGRANN: In this memo that's 14 provided to WGD there's an introduction. And if we 15 could scroll down, it talks about work done by the joint steering committee. It provides a background -background information. Keep going. And then there's 17 18 terms of reference. 19 20 (BRIEF PAUSE) 21 22 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If you look at the 23 second paragraph, it states that: 24 "The Town would like to explore the 2.5 feasibility of moving forward with

	111
1	specific components of the projects
2	in the preferred location of Central
3	Park either as a standalone or
4	interim project or as the initial
5	phase of the overall redevelopment
6	project."
7	It talks about retrofitting the
8	existing Eddie Bush Memorial Arena. And it goes on to
9	say:
10	"The addition of a year-round ice
11	arena at Central Park provides the
12	opportunity to transition the
13	existing arena towards a winter
14	facility."
15	If you look at the next paragraph, it
16	says:
17	"The feasibility of several options
18	should be examined in terms of a
19	comparison to the overall Central
20	Park redevelopment project scope.
21	This will allow the costs and
22	benefits of the various
23	opportunities to be compared
24	directly while also enabling the
25	preparation of operating estimates

```
112
                      and the exploration of anticipated
 1
 2
                      social improvements."
 3
                   Is this the kind of work that you
   thought WGD was going to be doing for the Town?
 5
 6
                           (BRIEF PAUSE)
                   MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, it is.
 9
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: And if we can
   scroll down to the top of page 3, again, we're looking
10
11
   at:
12
                      "The following scenarios should be
                      considered at a minimum."
13
14
                   And then you see there's:
15
                      "The proposed Central Park
16
                      redevelopment project components,
17
                      initial phase of a single-pad arena
18
                      necessary, park improvements, and
19
                      the concept of a standalone single
20
                      pad arena with a potential to twin
21
                      in the future, minimal park
22
                      improvements."
23
                   And then, finally, the upgrade of the
24
   Eddie Bush Memorial Arena.
2.5
                   Do you remember asking WGD to
```

- 1 investigate these concepts?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I do recall
- 3 working with Dave, and we landed on this in some shape
- 4 or form.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Other than you and
- 6 Mr. McNalty, was there anybody else at the Town who
- 7 was working with WGD on this project?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not that I'm aware
- 9 of.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: It's our
- 11 understanding that you went on vacation from the
- 12 period from July 23rd to in or about August 3rd of
- 13 2012, so shortly after this email was sent across.
- 14 What involvement did you have in the
- 15 work that was being done to prepare the staff report
- 16 while you were on vacation?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 18 completely, but I know it is my practice to be
- 19 available when needed, and I know on numerous
- 20 occasions while I was on vacation, if there were
- 21 critical decision points or issues that came up, I
- 22 would make myself available, and I believe I did
- 23 through this process.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Do you have
- 25 any specific recollection of speaking with anyone

- 1 about the work that was being done for the staff
- 2 report while you were on vacation between July 23rd
- 3 and August 3rd?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 5 specific items or conversations while I was on
- 6 vacation, but I know there were several things I had
- 7 to follow up on on this project, even concerns about
- 8 meeting time lines and obligations according to the
- 9 work we needed. So I recall that, and I recall seeing
- 10 that reinforced through emails I saw in the documents.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. You recall
- 12 there were things that needed to be done. You recall
- 13 that you -- your practice was to make yourself
- 14 available. You don't specifically recall any
- 15 particular thing that happened?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. You could
- 18 turn to paragraph 270 of the Foundation Document.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And actually, if we
- 23 could just scroll back up to 269 for some context.
- 24 Paragraph 269 describes that Brian Gregersen of WGD
- 25 sent Mr. McNalty architectural drawings on July 24th,

- 1 2012. Mr. Gregersen described those drawings as the
- 2 current concept for a single-pad arena, and the
- 3 drawings included a drawing labelled Pre-Eng Structure
- 4 and a drawing labelled Sprung Structure. And in a
- 5 follow-up email, Mr. Gregersen confirmed that the
- 6 drawings included a Sprung structure.
- 7 It doesn't appear that you were copied
- 8 on that email. Do you remember being aware that these
- 9 drawings had been provided?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 11 either way.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn
- 13 down to paragraph 270, on the same day, so we're still
- 14 talking about July 24th, Marjory Leonard sent an email
- 15 to the EMC and Dave McNalty. You're not copied on
- 16 that email. I'm going to ask that you be shown the
- 17 full email. It's at TOC189770.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So this email -- in
- 22 this email, Ms. Leonard says:
- 23 "Follow-up FYI, I just talked to
- 24 Dave and asked him to continue with
- 25 WGD on the following items."

- 1 So she asks for redrawing some
- 2 schematics to save different ball diamonds; pricing of
- 3 bricks-and-mortar building that would be part of a
- 4 phase 1 of a two-pad configuration, plus estimating
- 5 the operating costs; pricing of prefabricated steel
- 6 structure that would be phase 1 of a two-pad
- 7 configuration, plus estimating operating costs. She
- 8 goes on to say:
- 9 "Leave the Sprung building pricing
- 10 for now until the management team
- and Dave McNalty can meet with the
- 12 Sprung people. Once we have the
- pricing and operating cost for the
- 14 buildings from WGD, somebody [and
- 15 she identifies Davel and Dave and
- 16 the management team will contact
- 17 Sprung to get pricing to ensure that
- we are comparing apples to apples."
- 19 Were you aware that these directions
- 20 were being provided in terms of how to work with WGD
- 21 and the work that they would be doing?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I was not.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I think that you
- 24 are on vacation at this time. Did you become aware
- 25 that these instructions had been given after you

- 1 returned?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Nobody ever shared
- 3 the full contents of this email, but when I asked
- 4 questions about -- how did we determine where the
- 5 building was going to be situated, or where did all
- 6 this come from, I learned that those decisions were
- 7 made by members of the EMC, and specifically, Marjory
- 8 was named.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember who
- 10 -- who identified Marjory as the person who was
- 11 involved in that process to you?
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Sorry, Your
- 13 Honour. I didn't hear that question. I wonder if
- 14 counsel could re --

- 16 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Who told you that
- 18 Marjory Leonard was involved in making decisions about
- 19 where on the site the arena would be placed?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: When I asked
- 21 questions of the EMC, they didn't withhold information
- 22 that they knew, and they would have all answered
- 23 freely to include Marjory.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 25 specifically who told you that Marjory was involved in

- 1 the placement of the arena on the site?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall Marjory
- 3 telling me. I recall the Clerk telling me, Sara. Ms.
- 4 Almas.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 6 becoming aware that Sprung was going to be involved in
- 7 -- in discussions leading to the staff report,
- 8 including to ensure that staff is comparing apples to
- 9 apples when it came to the WGD estimates?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No. This is -- I
- 11 -- I haven't -- I didn't -- I scrolled through most of
- 12 the documents, but I didn't get through every one, and
- 13 that's the first time I'm seeing that written the way
- 14 it is.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. The concept
- 16 more generally, though, that Sprung would be involved
- 17 in helping staff ensure that they were comparing
- 18 apples to apples when it came to the arena -- is that
- 19 something that you knew was happening at the time?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No. I was -- I
- 21 just understood that nobody was to contact Sprung or
- 22 their information wasn't to be included. Somewhere,
- 23 that message got through to us.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember who
- 25 that message came from?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: A lot of my
- 2 information I would hear through Dave McNalty because
- 3 he and I were working closely, and then I would go
- 4 back and ask the questions of my colleagues on the
- 5 Executive Management Committee. And my first point of
- 6 contacts were usually the Clerk or the Treasurer
- 7 because they were in closer proximity to me, and they
- 8 would answer me, saying, No, you're not allowed to do
- 9 that. We've been told.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. I understand
- 11 you to be describing how communication went generally
- 12 as you were working with Mr. McNalty on this project.
- 13 Specifically with reference to the
- 14 notion that staff is not to contact Sprung, do you
- 15 remember how you came to know that that was the case?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The only clear
- 17 person that I recall telling me that was Dave McNalty.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: You --
- 19 who did he say told him that that was the way it was
- 20 supposed to be?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe it was
- 22 the CAO.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 24 right. Would it have -- in terms of what you said
- 25 earlier about not wanting to meet with a prospective

- 1 bidder prior to the bid, did -- did it -- when -- when
- 2 did you get the sense that there wasn't going to be a
- 3 bid?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: At this point, I
- 5 still had no sense that there wasn't going to be a
- 6 bid, and may --
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 8 when --
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Can I --
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Excuse
- 11 me. When you say "at this point," do you -- what do -
- 12 what do you -- what's this point?
- 13 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: When we were
- 14 drafting the report.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 16 right. And, sorry, you were going to say?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Sprung was not the
- 18 only company that came into contact with us during
- 19 this time, and it was awkward, and we tried to push --
- 20 I felt we shouldn't be meeting with anybody along the
- 21 way, but there were other companies that wanted to
- 22 meet and clo -- and talk about options, and I kept
- 23 trying to frame, as I had in the email to Mr.
- 24 Saunderson, who was the Chair of -- one of the Vice-
- 25 Chairs of our committee -- that we're not at that

- 1 point yet. But sometimes, I would get invited to
- 2 meetings with what I deemed to be vendors that we
- 3 shouldn't have been meeting with at that point in
- 4 time.

5

- 6 CONTINUED BY MS. MCGRANN:
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What -- what
- 8 vendors do you recall being invited to meet with?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Ameresco.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: They were --
- 14 Ameresco and Greenland were together. And I recall
- 15 the Sprung ones asking as well.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Anybody else?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not that I can
- 18 recall at this time. I recall others asking and us
- 19 saying, We're not at that point.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you
- 21 remember about the other vendors that sought to meet
- 22 with the Town and were told, We're not at that point?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: They took that
- 24 information and were waiting patiently for the time
- 25 that we were going to put out the RFQ.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you
- 2 specifically identify which companies or -- or
- 3 entities those were?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 5 their names offhand. I know there was one (1) I
- 6 recall, even reviewing an email in the documents.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Generally, do you
- 8 remember the nature of the -- of -- of the kinds of
- 9 things that they wanted to -- to talk to the Town
- 10 about? What kind of buildings, what -- what kind of
- 11 project design?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: They were
- 13 potential solutions to the infrastructure we were
- 14 looking to replace or build.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know how the
- 16 decision was made to meet with certain companies like
- 17 Ameresco, Greenland, or Sprung, but not with others
- 18 that were seeking meetings?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: If the meeting
- 20 request came through me, I -- I said, We're not at
- 21 that point. Unfortunately, other people invited me to
- 22 certain meetings.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Who --
- 24 who assigned Mr. McNalty to this project?
- 25 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe Mr.

123 Houghton did. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Is 2 that typical that the CAO would assign a specific 3 person to a specific project? 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not many things were typical then, and at -- and Mr. McNalty, I believe at that point, reported to the -- Brian -- I'm 10 11 trying to --12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Brian MacDonald...? 13 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Brian MacDonald. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: 14 That -15 - that doesn't mean anything to me. 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yeah. So I -- no. 17 in my opinion, it should have been through Mr. 18 MacDonald that the CAO would have assigned work. 19 Yeah. 20 21 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN: MS. KATE MCGRANN: What was Mr. 22 23 McNalty's skill set that he was using to assist in the 24 work that you were doing for the staff report? 25 You know, what was his area of

- 1 expertise that he was -- he was bringing the work you
- 2 were doing?
- 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: so I don't recall
- 4 his training and background, but he was hired as our
- 5 facilities manager for all corporate facilities, and
- 6 to assist with our capital program. So I know he had
- 7 skills related to that, however, like in many
- 8 municipalities, you would have skilled individuals
- 9 take the lead, but they would not have the capacity to
- 10 do all the work. So a lot of that detailed work would
- 11 be contracted out, and he would manage those
- 12 contracts.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And was it your
- 14 understanding in this case that Mr. McNalty was there
- 15 to work with you in managing the work that WGD was
- 16 doing assessing the options for the Town?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turning back to the
- 19 email that's on the screen in front of you, email
- 20 TOC189770, looking at the second last bullet point, it
- 21 says:
- "Dave, JP, Wendy, and potentially
- 23 Dave Wood will work on Heritage Park
- and enclosing the outdoor pool."
- Do you know who those individuals are?

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I am assuming Dave
- 4 is Dave McNalty. I am vaguely familiar with JP. I
- 5 believe he was a -- a staff person in the public works
- 6 department, but I can't say for sure. I believe Wendy
- 7 is our -- is that -- our parks manager who reported to
- 8 me, and David Wood is the landsc -- is a contractor
- 9 landscape architect, I believe, that did a lot of our
- 10 park development plans. But that's the first time
- 11 I've seen that phrase the way it is.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: At any point before
- 13 the staff report was finalized, were you aware that
- 14 this group of people had been tasked with working on
- 15 Heritage Park and enclosing the outdoor pool?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I was not.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do any of those
- 18 abili -- individuals have, to your knowledge, the kind
- 19 of experience or expertise that you envision would be
- 20 required to do a feasibility assessment of enclosing
- 21 the outdoor pool?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, they do not.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: The last bullet
- 24 point in this email is:
- 25 "We will not ask for any further

126 work to be done in relation to any 1 2 pool amenities at Central Park." 3 So it appears that the notion of -- of doing anything to the pool in Central Park is being stopped, at least for the time being. 6 Were you aware that that instruction 7 had been provided? 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I was not. 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any 13 interactions with anyone from Sprung during the time 14 leading up to the Council meeting on August 27th? 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I believe I did not. 19 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any interactions with anyone from the construction company 21 22 BLT during the work that was being done in the summer 23 leading to the August 27th Council meeting? 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Again, I believe I 25 did not.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: In terms of the
- 4 limitations that were placed on who could be in
- 5 contact with Sprung, who did you understand from staff
- 6 was allowed to contact Sprung?
- 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The CAO.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you aware that
- 9 WGD had been given instructions that they were not to
- 10 contact Sprung?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I was told
- 12 that by Dave McNalty.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did that
- 14 instruction cause you any concern?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What concerns did
- 17 it cause you?
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I was concerned
- 19 that we were trying to respond to the direction that
- 20 we were given by Council, by giving them accurate and
- 21 as comprehensive information on the options as
- 22 possible, given the time limit, and now limitations
- 23 were being placed on that work, and I couldn't
- 24 understand them.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you ask any

- 1 questions about why those limitations were being
- 2 placed on the work that was being done?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Who did you speak
- 5 to about -- about that.
- 6 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall speaking
- 7 to both the clerk, and the treasurer, and probably Mr.
- 8 Houghton not as much, and I was once again told,
- 9 Marta, that's not how things are done here.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 14 --
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 16 before you do that, how long had you been there by
- 17 this time?
- 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I started in the
- 19 summer or in the fall of 2010, so please help me,
- 20 which time we're in --
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 22 I think 2012, I think.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yeah. So getting
- 24 close to two (2) years.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And up

- 1 until you were told this, had you the impression that
- 2 this was -- there were peculiar practices here that as
- 3 far as -- that -- that were peculiar somehow to
- 4 Collingwood?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: When I started,
- 6 there was a different Council, and a different CAO,
- 7 and at that time, there were no flags for me about
- 8 peculiar practices. It was after there was a change
- 9 in Council, and there was a change -- and the CAO was
- 10 dismissed, or in that time leading up to her
- 11 dismissal, there was clarity that things were
- 12 shifting, and the way we were doing our business was
- 13 going to change.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 15 you.

16

- 17 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 19 summary document 2-4, specifically, paragraph 24.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So we had been
- 24 looking at a July 24th email in which Ms. Leonard
- 25 provided -- or confirmed instructions that had been

- 1 given to Mr. McNalty with respect to the work WGD was
- 2 doing.
- 3 This is a July 20 -- this paragraph
- 4 describes a July 25th email from Brian Gregersen at
- 5 WGD to Mr. McNalty and Richard Dabrus of WGD.
- Thank you, Mr. McNalty, for the
- 7 phone call and direction."
- 8 In his email, Mr. Gregersen provided a
- 9 summary list of what had been discussed on that call.
- 10 With respect to Sprung, Mr. Gregersen writes that:
- "The arena design concept will focus
- on the pre-eng structure and a
- generic roof membrane system.
- 14 Sprung is not to be contacted at
- 15 this time."
- And he confirms that the full -- the
- 17 pool is not to be included.
- I think we've largely been over this,
- 19 but were you aware that these limits had been placed
- 20 on WGD's work?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I was aware,
- 22 but I -- it was through Dave McNalty, and I had never
- 23 seen Marjory's email outlining those specific things.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could look at
- 25 paragraph 275 of the Foundation Document.

Transcript Date Sept 23, 2019 131 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph describes efforts to set up a meeting on July 27th with Sprung. The invitation is sent to Dave McNalty, Larry Irwin, Marjory Leonard, and Tom Lloyd. Do you recall if anyone provided you 7 with information about what took place at that 9 meeting? 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't recall 11 anybody providing information about what took place at that meeting. However, I do recall at some meeting, 12 13 Mr. Houghton came and had product samples of the 14 Sprung materials, and talked about how wonderful they 15 are. 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm looking at the area that I intend to start next, and the time. I'd 20 suggest that this might be an appropriate time for the 21 lunch break. 22 23 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All 24 right, 2:00.

2.5

- 1 --- Upon recessing at 12:55 p.m.
- 2 --- Upon resuming at 2:09 p.m.

3

- 4 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Good afternoon, Ms.
- 6 Proctor.
- 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Good afternoon.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 9 one sec, before -- we have to leave by four o'clock so
- 10 that they can get set up for the council meeting. I
- 11 just want to remind you all of that.

12

- 13 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 15 paragraph 293 of the Foundation Document.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
- 20 describes emails that were exchanged on August 2nd, so
- 21 while you were away on vacation.
- 22 You're not copied on them. I just want
- 23 to ask if you were aware that this was being done. So
- 24 on the morning of August 2nd, Mr. McNalty sends Mr.
- 25 Seymour two (2) documents entitled Centennial Pool

- 1 Enclosure Design Components and Central Park Arena
- 2 Design Components.
- 3 Later in the morning, Mr. McNalty
- 4 circulates drafts of those documents to the EMC and
- 5 Mr. Seymour, looking for comments.
- If you can scroll down to paragraph
- 7 294, we see that there's an -- an email from Megan
- 8 Schollenberger, acting coordinator clerk services to
- 9 Mr. Houghton, advising that "Tom and Dave from Sprung
- 10 are here for your meeting."
- 11 And then paragraph 295 on the screen is
- 12 an email from EMC member Larry Irwin to Dave MacNeil
- 13 with a copy to Mr. Houghton, Mr. McNalty, and Ms.
- 14 Leonard. Mr. Irwin is sending two documents, they are
- 15 a document pertaining to the arena and a document
- 16 pertaining to the pool.
- So my first question for you is: were
- 18 you aware that design components were being assembled
- 19 to be shared with Sprung at this time?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I was not.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to ask
- 22 you a question about the design features for the
- 23 arena, and they're at TOC195146.
- 24 And if you could scroll down to the
- 25 bullet-point list of design components towards the

- 1 bottom of the stage, I'll give you a second to read
- 2 them, but I'll just let you know what my two questions
- 3 about this document are.
- 4 One, do you know if this -- this kind
- 5 of detailed information was provided to WGD to assist
- 6 in its work on the estimates it was putting together.
- 7 And in particular, if you look at the last three (3)
- 8 bullet points that are shown on the screen right now,
- 9 do you know if WGD was ever asked to price out a
- 10 second floor mezzanine and elevator to get to that
- 11 second floor mezzanine and other things associated
- 12 with it?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From my
- 14 recollection, we were not at the detailed design
- 15 component. We were costing out different
- 16 construction-types based on square footage.
- 17 These components are all part of a
- 18 detailed design phase and so therefore I would have
- 19 never provided this detail to WGD.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And with
- 21 respect to the specific question about the second
- 22 floor, do you know if WGD was asked to price out a
- 23 second floor within the -- the building that they were
- 24 looking at?
- 25 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I cannot recall

- 1 that they were.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could pull up
- 3 TOC 549 -- 548961.
- 4 These are the minutes of a department
- 5 head meeting that took place on August 7th, 2012. If
- 6 you scroll down to see the list of members, you were
- 7 in attendance at this meeting.
- If we could go to page 2 of this
- 9 document, see the third bullet point under staff
- 10 reports and other business is Centennial Pool/Central
- 11 Park.
- 12 The minutes describe that:
- "The Chair", and Mr. Houghton was
- 14 chairing this meeting, "showed a
- 15 cut-away of one of the hoop-type
- supports (column/beam) provided by
- 17 Sprung. The Chair noted that in
- order to compare competing quotes
- 19 and technologies the amenities,
- 20 square footage and physical layout
- 21 needed to be included."
- He provides some information about the
- 23 structure to cover the pool and the ice pads.
- 24 Do you remember, from reviewing these
- 25 minutes, attending this meeting?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I do.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you
- 3 remember about being shown the cut away of one of the
- 4 hoop-type supports that is described here?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: This is what I
- 6 mentioned previously, that I recall this information
- 7 being shared as a promo of this product and how great
- 8 it is.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What was your
- 10 reaction to being shown this model at this meeting?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It's interesting,
- 12 but it's still not the full scope of -- it's talking
- 13 about a specific component of that building, not its
- 14 application. It's not a fulsome analysis of its
- 15 performance in what we were looking for, so I just
- 16 took it as information.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If you look where
- 18 we left off in this paragraph, so just beyond halfway,
- 19 it says:
- 20 "Sprung buildings can attain
- 21 equivalent to LEED's silver standard
- 22 certification but will not be
- certified as the process and the
- 24 attributed costs cannot be
- 25 justified."

- 1 Do you remember any discussion about
- 2 whether the Town would be pursuing either a LEED's
- 3 silver certification or building a structure that
- 4 could attain LEED's silver certification for either
- 5 the pool or the arena?
- 6 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe in our
- 7 Central Park steering committee discussions. We
- 8 discussed the possibility of doing a LEED
- 9 certification or shadow, but we were not at that
- 10 point, in my opinion, of sourcing a design.
- 11 So, I recall hearing this, but it was
- 12 just for information purposes, in my opinion.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you
- 17 remember understanding about Sprung buildings being
- 18 able to obtain equivalent to LEED silver standard
- 19 certification? Do you remember being provided any
- 20 information beyond what's reflected in these minutes?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, and nor did I
- 22 inquire about any further information on that.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Finally, the last
- 24 sentence you can see on the bottom of the page there
- 25 it says:

138 "Marta will join the executive 1 2 management team at their Wednesday 3 meeting to be brought up to date on developments with respect to both 5 Central Park and Centennial Pool." 6 Do you recall attending that meeting? 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall attending a meeting. 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember 10 what took place and what was discussed? 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Without seeing any 12 documents, which I haven't seen for that, I recall 13 being brought up to speed, but I -- I can't remember 14 exactly what was discussed. 15 MS. KATE MCGRANN: These are minutes from an August 7th meeting. I now want to turn to an August 9th email exchange with WGD. It's in summary 17 18 document 24 at paragraph 29. 19 20 (BRIEF PAUSE) 21 22 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph 23 describes that at -- just before 1:00 p.m. on August 24 9th, 2012, Mr. Gregersen, from WD -- WGD, emailed you, quotes, "to recap our conversation." And he wrote a

- 1 number of points. The one (1) that I'm interested in
- 2 is point number 3 there, "Green/efficiency
- 3 initiatives."
- 4 Do you remember having a conversation
- 5 with WGD around this time about green effici --
- 6 green/efficiency initiatives for the arena that it was
- 7 -- it was working on estimates for?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I vaguely recall
- 9 this. I believe it related to a grant submission and
- 10 us requiring that level of detailed information to be
- 11 incorporated into the grant.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Under the heading,
- 13 "For the new arena," you can see there's two (2)
- 14 points there. You've got:
- "Costing for the relo -- for
- 16 relocation of ball diamonds and
- 17 remove all references to Sprung
- anywhere on the drawings."
- 19 Do you remember why you provided the
- 20 instruction to remove references to Sprung from the
- 21 drawings?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't refer
- 23 specifically, but likely because somebody asked me to.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could go to
- 25 paragraph 31 in summary document 2-4. This email

- 1 describes an August 5th -- or this paragraph, sorry,
- 2 describes an August 15th email that you send to Mr.
- 3 Gregersen and Mr. Dabrus, at WGD, along with Mr.
- 4 McNalty.
- 5 And you write to them saying that you
- 6 spoke with Mr. McNalty and understand the information
- 7 we expected today may be delayed.
- 8 You advise him that:
- 9 "We are on a very critical time line
- and we need to compile an internal
- 11 report in at least draft format by
- the end of the day Monday with final
- information completed by the end of
- 14 the day Wednesday."
- 15 What do you recall about the time line
- 16 that you felt you were on at this point in time?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: When I read this
- 18 recently, I was trying to recall whether it related to
- 19 a grant deadline or the report deadline, and it was
- 20 not clear to me.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: The grant that
- 22 you're talking about, is that the CIIF grant to assist
- 23 with funding for the refurbishment of the Eddie Bush
- 24 Memorial Arena?
- 25 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That is correct.

```
141
 1
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Mr. Gregersen's
    response is set out at paragraph 32 of this summary
   document. He writes back saying:
 3
                      "Not sure if you received the
 5
                      drawings. We are presently doing
 6
                      the costing."
 7
                   And you respond:
                      "Yes, I got the drawings last week,
 9
                      but I understand the costing is
10
                      delaying. Can you please confirm
11
                      how quickly we can get the rest of
12
                      the information? I've outlined our
13
                      time requirements below."
14
                   And he responds that costing would be
15
    finished by Monday August 20th with a report issued
    the following day. And he notes that operational
16
    costs may be delayed by a few days.
17
18
                   Can you provide any more or does this
19
   help you at all in recalling what was being discussed?
20
                   MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Once again,
   without going back to see when the CIIF grant was due,
21
22
    I would assume this would have been for the August
23
    27th report, but I'm not a hundred percent sure.
24
2.5
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
```

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Having looked at
- 2 the email itself, which I'm happy to show you, the
- 3 subject line is, "The Eddie Bush Arena." So, does
- 4 that provide you with any additional -- that help job
- 5 your memory at all?
- 6 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It -- it could
- 7 have been either report. It could have been the grant
- 8 or the report --
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I -- sorry. Please
- 10 go ahead.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: -- unless you know
- 12 when the grant was due. And that's -- I can't recall
- 13 that.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Is it the case that
- 15 WGD is working for you on two (2) rather urgent items
- 16 at the same time in August of 2012 then; the work that
- 17 is being done on the -- the potential new arena and
- 18 the work that's being done to apply for a grant to
- 19 refurbish the Eddie Bush Arena?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe, yes.
- 21 And they did overlap because the information was also
- 22 being used in the report.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn to
- 24 paragraph 36 of summary document 2-4. This paragraph
- 25 reports that on August 16th, 2012, WGD sends yourself

- 1 and Mr. McNalty several documents, including a Central
- 2 Park new arena options report.
- 3 And if you scroll down to paragraph 37,
- 4 we don't have the attachment to that email, but we do
- 5 have a document titled, "Central Park new arena
- 6 options," with metadata that indicates that it was
- 7 dated on August 16th.
- I'm going to ask that we take a look at
- 9 it. It's at TOC9284.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And if we could
- 14 just scroll down a couple of pages to the section
- 15 titled:
- "Pre-engineered structure versus
- membrane envelope energy usage."
- 18 You'll have to keep going.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, here's some
- 23 information that WGD provides to you on August 16th
- 24 about comparison between a pre-engineered structure
- 25 and a membrope -- membrane envelope with respect to

Transcript Date Sept 23, 2019 144 energy usage. They report: 2 "Normal insulation values for a preengineered sandwich panel structure 3 is R-19 for roofs and R-12 for 5 walls. Membrane structures by their nature have no inherent thermal 6 7 resistant 'R' value." And then it goes on to provide some opinions about how that would play out with respect to 10 energy usage. 11 If we turn to paragraph 39 of summary 12 document 2-4... 13 14 (BRIEF PAUSE) 15 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This describes that the next day, in the morning, at nine o'clock, Mr. 17 18 McNalty sends WGD a Sprung slide show presentation about its performance arenas. 19 20 And in the covering email Mr. McNalty writes that he's attached a brochure on insulated 21 fabric membrane arenas. 22 23 "In terms of thermal performance,

their claim is R-30. The aluminum

extrusions are placed in a range of

24

2.5

	145
1	10 to 12 feet part. In between each
2	is outside membrane, 9-inch
3	insulation, inside membrane. There's
4	no thermal break in the aluminum
5	extrusions, but they are spaced
6	quite far apart."
7	And he goes on to write:
8	"Remember, you are not to contact
9	the manufacturer in conjunction with
10	this project at this time."
11	Do you recall receiving an initial
12	report from WGD and then providing them with
13	additional information about about Sprung to assist
14	in their work?
15	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall Dave
16	McNalty sending this email.
17	MS. KATE MCGRANN: At this point, did
18	you have any discussions with anyone about whether it
19	made sense to permit WGD to speak directly to Sprung
20	about their structures or fabric membrane structures
21	more generally?
22	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall asking
23	several times, Why the restriction here?
24	MS. KATE MCGRANN: But I just want to
25	make sure that I understand your answer clearly. I
1	

- 1 I know that you remember raising that several times.
- 2 Do you specifically recall raising that question when
- 3 WGD sends over a report that says, Fabric, you know,
- 4 membrane doesn't have any inherent insulation value --
- 5 and that's is a bad misquote, but -- and then the
- 6 response from -- from staff is to send a slide show
- 7 about a product from a particular supplier.
- 8 Did you raise the question of allowing
- 9 WGD to talk to Sprung none (sic)?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I cannot confirm
- 11 that either way.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we turn to
- 13 paragraph 45 of this document, summary document 2-4,
- 14 we see that WGD sends a revised report to you at 4:23
- 15 p.m. on August 17th, and you pass that information on
- 16 to Mr. Houghton, Ms. Leonard, and Ms. Almas shortly
- 17 thereafter, at about five o'clock p.m. writing:
- 18 "Please find attached a revised
- 19 package with the information
- 20 compiled into one report. I believe
- the only piece we are now awaiting
- is the estimated operating --
- operating costs. Will forward that
- as soon as I receive."
- 25 This report contains information about

- 1 comparing a pre-engineered structure to an
- 2 architectural membrane structure, so we're going to go
- 3 take a look at this report now. It's at TOC201266.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could scroll
- 8 down to page 9. Here under the heading Pre-engineered
- 9 Structure versus Membrane Structure Comparison, we see
- 10 that there's further information provided. It
- 11 discusses energ -- energy use and thermal performance.
- 12 It discusses enclosure durability, and if you scroll
- 13 down a little bit further, it discusses mechanical and
- 14 electrical systems and constructability. So, more
- 15 information than was in the preliminary report.
- 16 If we could scroll back up to the top
- 17 of page 9, sorry. Under the heading Energy Use and
- 18 Thermal Performance, the section now says -- it's got
- 19 the information we saw before about the normal
- 20 insulation value for a pre-engineered structure and
- 21 notes that greater R values can be achieved with
- 22 insulated panels and roofs. By increasing the
- 23 thickness and providing more insulation, values as
- 24 high as R-30 are achievable. And it goes on to say
- 25 that membrane structures, by their nature, have no

- 1 inherent thermal resistant R value; however, by
- 2 creating a cavity, higher R values can be obtained.
- 3 With a 6 inch cavity and thermoplastic or similar
- 4 insulation R-30 is achievable.
- 5 And then it goes on to give a view
- 6 about the performance of each of those two (2)
- 7 options, and if you look at the -- starting from the
- 8 second-last sentence of the second paragraph, it says:
- 9 "While the avoidance of thermal
- 10 breaks is not possible with a
- 11 membrane structure..." [and it goes
- on to explain why].
- "It's for this reason the
- 14 performance of a pre-engineered
- 15 structure with an equivalent amount
- 16 of insulation to that of a membrane
- 17 structure would be expected to be
- 18 superior."
- 19 Do you remember reading this conclusion
- 20 when you received the WGD report?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I recall
- 22 that.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember if
- 24 there were any discussions among staff, including the
- 25 EMC, about whether there were any concerns about that

149 conclusion? 2 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall any that I was involved in. 3 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could scroll 7 down to the next section with the heading Enclosure Durability. The first paragraph addresses insulated metal panel -- panels typical for a pre-engineered 10 11 building system. It talks about the fact that they 12 come with a variety of finishes and coating systems. It talks about the coating systems themselves, and it 13 14 goes on to say: 15 "Still, even as coatings have 16 improved, it is expected that at 17 some point, repainting may be 18 required. The expected life span of panel coatings is dependent upon the 19 environment but warrantied for 20 to 20 21 40 years. On a pre-engineered 22 structure typically the roofing is 23 TPO or PVC and is warrantied for 20 24 years." 2.5 The next paragraph addresses membrane

- 1 structures, and it concludes:
- 2 "As the main part of the enclosure
- is a membrane, it is expected that
- 4 its life expectancy before
- 5 replacement would be in the range of
- 6 20 years, as with PVC roofing."
- 7 And it notes that the product often
- 8 comes with pro-rated guarantees for approximately that
- 9 time.
- 10 Do you remember discussing WGD's
- 11 conclusions about the durability of each of these
- 12 building types when this report was received or
- 13 afterwards?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall reading
- 15 it and understanding what they were indicating and
- 16 perhaps discussing it with Dave McNalty but not with
- 17 anybody else.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Stepping back from
- 19 the specific conclusions that we've reviewed in this
- 20 report, do you remember any discussions about whether
- 21 there were concerns with the accuracy of the
- 22 conclusions that WGD reached in this report?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall Dave
- 24 McNalty indicating there may be questions about the
- 25 information in this report.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And I'm going to be
- 2 a little bit more specific in what I ask you, because
- 3 we've seen it from the documents that after Council
- 4 votes to proceed with Sprung Structures for the arena
- 5 and pool, a copy of the WGD report is circulated, and
- 6 Mr. McNalty drafts a memo that addresses that report.
- 7 Before Council voted on August 27th, do
- 8 you remember any discussion about any concerns or
- 9 questions that arose from the WGD report?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 11 when what was discussed.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: To your memory, did
- 13 anyone on staff go back to WGD with questions about
- 14 its report or further questions before the August 27th
- 15 Council meeting?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 17 anything other than the R-30 discussion.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what was -- was
- 19 the R-30 discussion the discussion that led to Mr.
- 20 McNalty sending the Sprung PowerPoint to WGD on August
- 21 17th?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember any
- 24 discussion about whether the WGD report ought to be
- 25 shared with Council as a -- as a standalone document

- 1 or appended to the staff report?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I vaguely recall a
- 3 discussion, but I cannot recall the conclusion.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could scroll
- 8 down to page 10 in this document. Keep going to the
- 9 heading Project Costs. Here, WGD has set out a table
- 10 with its estimates -- its estimated site costs common
- 11 to building types to be \$1,164,281. And then at the
- 12 bottom, it's set out building cost, pre-eng building
- 13 option A, and the estimate is 7,632,124.29. And
- 14 building cost for fabric structure option D,
- 15 7,132,124.29.
- Do you remember seeing these estimates
- 17 when you reviewed this report?
- 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall seeing
- 19 this report. I can't recall the specific numbers I
- 20 saw, but I'm assuming they haven't changed in this
- 21 report.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: To your knowledge,
- 23 did anyone on staff go back to WGD at any point with
- 24 questions about these numbers at all?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not that I can

153 recall. 2 MS. KATE MCGRANN: We can turn to paragraph 333 of the staff report. 3 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Of the Foundation Document. I'm getting ahead of myself. I'm turning to ask you some questions about the drafting of the 10 staff report now. 11 This paragraph describes Ms. Leonard sending a draft of the staff report to the EMC, yourself, and Mr. McNalty on August 18th, 2012. Her 13 14 email indicates that it's the first attempt at the 15 report, and that: 16 "There are several items, 17 particularly cost estimates, pros, 18 cons, and other considerations that 19 most definitely need fleshing out, since we don't have all of them 20 21 yet." 22 23 (BRIEF PAUSE) 24 2.5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we can scroll

- 1 down further, she indicates that she wants to break
- 2 the operating costs out into fixed and variable. She
- 3 discussion -- she discusses how she envisions the
- 4 recommendation section. It's going to contain various
- 5 options. She lists some of them do nothing, do
- 6 nothing but establish a reserve for future
- 7 construction, do nothing but the Eddie Bush Memorial
- 8 Arena, do nothing but the Eddie Bush Memorial Arena
- 9 and establish a reserve for future conduct --
- 10 construction, do nothing at Central Park, do
- 11 Centennial Pool and Eddie Bush Memorial Arena, et
- 12 cetera.
- 13 She says:
- 14 "There's a significant number of
- 15 permutations on these
- 16 recommendations that should be
- 17 discussed with Council or some
- 18 members of Council before the 27th."
- 19 Do you remember receiving this email?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It looks familiar
- 21 to me.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 23 having any discussions about the draft staff report or
- 24 the items that Ms. Leonard's identified in her emails
- 25 here at this point in time around August 18th?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I recall having a
- 2 lot of conversations, but I cannot recall the specific
- 3 detail of any of them.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm happy to turn
- 5 up the document, if you'd like to look at it. I can
- 6 tell you that it envisions a potential RFP process for
- 7 each of the arena and the pool, if Council chooses to
- 8 proceed.
- 9 Do you remember any discussions about
- 10 procurement options for the Town and respective
- 11 buildings for the pool or the arena at this point in
- 12 time?
- 13 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: At no time did we
- 14 discuss a procurement method, but we -- I was under
- 15 the assumption there would be some sort of procurement
- 16 process.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And when you say,
- 18 "some kind of procurement process," does that include
- 19 a sole source procurement, or are you talking about
- 20 something else?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I envisioned a
- 22 tender process.
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 25

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I understand that
- 2 you were on vacation from August 22nd to August 24th.
- 3 We haven't seen any emails providing you with drafts
- 4 of the staff report during that period of time.
- 5 Do you recall if you received drafts of
- 6 the staff report while you were on vacation during the
- 7 week of August 22nd?
- 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I know I heard the
- 9 content of the report. I'm not sure how I got it,
- 10 whether somebody read it to me, or somehow I received
- 11 a copy. I -- I can't recall, but it is what I had a
- 12 con -- com -- follow-up conversations on about my
- 13 concerns with some of the report.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you say that
- 15 you don't know if someone read it to you, are you in -
- 16 is somebody reading to you over the phone?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have any
- 19 recollection that suggests to you that somebody might
- 20 have read it to you over the phone?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I've tried to
- 22 remember this, and I can't. I cannot remember this
- 23 piece.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Speaking more
- 25 generally, what was your understanding when you went

- 1 on vacation on August 22nd about what your involvement
- 2 would be in the staff report? It's not finalized when
- 3 you leave. What did you think you would be doing with
- 4 respect to it while you were gone?

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From what I can
- 9 recall, I felt that I would confirm that WGD provided
- 10 us with the information we expected out of their
- 11 contract, and that it was accurately reflected in the
- 12 report.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: You've already told
- 14 us a bit about the telephone conversation that you
- 15 recall having with Mr. Houghton. I understand that
- 16 you can't recall precisely when that took place or how
- 17 you had information about the contents of the staff
- 18 report when you spoke to him.
- 19 Do you remember what the version of the
- 20 staff report that you discussed with him said about
- 21 the procurement that was envisioned for -- for Council
- 22 going forward -- or for the Town going forward?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Unfortunately, I
- 24 cannot recall.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember if

- 1 you compared the information about the work that WGD
- 2 did that was in the report to the report that WGD
- 3 provided to staff?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I don't
- 5 believe I had the ability to do that.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You told us earlier
- 7 when you were describing the conversation that you had
- 8 with Mr. Houghton, that he was suggesting to you that
- 9 you weren't a team player, or he -- he was asking you
- 10 to be a team player. Have I -- have I am I
- 11 describing that conversation accurately, for starters?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: During that
- 14 conversation, or at any other time before Council
- 15 voted to proceed with Sprung, did Mr. Houghton ever
- 16 suggest to you that he was concerned about your
- 17 ability to be objective about the new direction
- 18 because of the work you had done with the Central Park
- 19 Steering Committee or otherwise?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: He may have, but I
- 21 can't recall specifically.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 23 anybody raising that kind of concern with you before
- 24 Council voted to proceed with Sprung on August 27th?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: They may have, but

159 I can't recall specifically. 2 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Is there any reason that you think that they may have? 3 4 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No. 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we turn to 9 paragraph 338 of the Foundation Document, please. 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph 14 describes that Mr. Houghton sent Ms. Leonard's draft 15 of the staff report to Deputy Mayor Rick Lloyd on August 19th, writing to him: 17 "Take a look at this. I've not read 18 it yet, but I'm going to ask Marjory 19 to flip it to you as well." 20 Were you aware that the Deputy Mayor was reviewing a draft of the staff report at this 21 22 point in time? 23 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I was not. 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you become 25 aware at any point after the fact that he had been

- 1 given the opportunity to review a -- the draft of the
- 2 staff report?
- 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not that I can
- 4 recall.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: In your experience,
- 6 was it -- was it normal or usual practice to share
- 7 drafts of a staff report like this with -- with
- 8 certain members of Council, not Council as a whole?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No. This is
- 10 exactly the type of process that I would find changed,
- 11 and I would ask questions about. We should be sharing
- 12 information -- the same information with all of
- 13 Council.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn up
- 15 paragraph 447 of the Foundation Document.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
- 20 describes an email exchange that you had with Mr.
- 21 Houghton on August 25th, after the -- the draft staff
- 22 report was -- was finalized. You write to him:
- "I was wondering if you and/or
- 24 someone from the executive
- 25 management team would have some time

161 to review the arena and pool report 1 2 on Monday's agenda. I reviewed the 3 information and was hoping to clarify some of the numbers so I'm 5 prepared to respond to any questions." 6 And if you look at paragraph 448, Mr. 7 Houghton responds that you could call him the following day. 10 First of all, does this help you place in time the conversation that you had with Mr. 11 Houghton that you've described to us in which he was 13 asking you why you couldn't be a team player with 14 respect to the staff report? 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: This suggests to me exactly the conversation I'm referen -- I -- I've 17 referenced before. 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. It appears 19 to us that the report is finalized and circulated as part of the agenda on August 24th. You email him on August 25th. You're going to speak to him on the 21 26th. Council meets on the 27th. 22 23 Do you remember which numbers you 24 wanted to clarify with him that were set out in the 25 staff report?

162 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't res -- I can't recall specifically, but what I believe I recall is that it didn't have the breadth or scope of the information I had thought we would present, and therefore I was concerned, and that's what I needed to clarify. But the order of things at that time is -is unclear to me. 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to take 11 you to a copy of the final staff report now. I had 12 some questions about that document for you. It's at CJI6146. 13 14 15 (BRIEF PAUSE) 16 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I asked you a 18 question along these lines before, but just for the 19 sake of completeness, at any point before this report was presented to Council at the August 27th, 2012, 20 Council meeting, did you compare its contents to the 21 report that WGD provided to staff? 22 23 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I cannot recall. 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could go to page 6 of this report. And scroll down a little bit

- 1 to the heading, Department Head Review.
- 2 Here it indicates that this report was
- 3 reviewed by the Executive Management Committee,
- 4 Director of Parks, Recreation, and Culture, and
- 5 Manager of Fleet Facilities, Purchasing, August 21.
- 6 Do you have any recollection of
- 7 reviewing a version of this report on August 21st?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I cannot confirm
- 9 that I reviewed it on August 21st.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And if you can
- 11 scroll down to page 7. All -- yeah. To the -- below
- 12 the signature line.
- 13 It indicates that it was submitted by
- 14 the Executive Management Committee with input from
- 15 yourself, Mr. McNalty, Mr. Seymour. I don't see
- 16 anything in the staff report that indicates that you
- 17 refused to sign off on it.
- Do you recall if you ever advised
- 19 anyone other than Mr. Houghton that you were refusing
- 20 to sign off on this report?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No. The only
- 22 person I had the conversation with was Mr. Houghton,
- 23 and I agreed to have my name that I had reviewed it
- 24 and had input on it, like it says -- the wording here.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Why didn't you ever

- 1 advise anybody else on staff or -- or Council that --
- 2 that you wouldn't sign off on the contents of this
- 3 report?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Probably because
- 5 the person I reported to was the CAO.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you remember
- 7 any other reason why you didn't advise anybody else
- 8 that you wouldn't sign off on this report?

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't say I
- 13 didn't tell other people that I wouldn't sign off. I
- 14 just can't recall if I did and who those people would
- 15 be.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could turn
- 17 back --
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I take
- 19 it the logical person for you to express that kind of
- 20 a concern to would be the person to whom you reported.
- 21 Would that be correct?
- 22 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That would be
- 23 correct.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And --
- 25 and you did that.

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That would have
- 2 been --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: That's
- 4 what prompted --
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: -- Mr. Houghton.
- 6 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- the
- 7 discussion.
- 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Was --
- 10 there's an inter -- there's a communication back and
- 11 forth that's reflected in the fact that these draft
- 12 reports are circulated to individual Council members
- 13 and so on. I can see that in the documents.
- 14 Were -- was it ever the experience that
- 15 Council members lobbied the staff over their position?
- 16 Did Council members ever talk to the staff about the
- 17 position that would be taken in these staff reports?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: In my experience
- 19 that does happen, but good governance practice would
- 20 suggest there -- that they don't influence it, and
- 21 that would be where a CAO would work with the mayor,
- 22 because the mayor is the one that is responsible for
- 23 Council to avoid those circumstances, but things
- 24 changed here and that happened quite a bit.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Did

- 1 that happen with respect to this report or the reports
- 2 concerning the membrane and the pool?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: As far as messages
- 4 that I heard and from reading the documents I've seen
- 5 since, I believe it did.

6

(BRIEF PAUSE)

- 9 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: One (1) more
- 11 question about your conversation with Mr. Houghton,
- 12 about your refusal to sign off on the staff report
- 13 before I ask you some further detailed questions about
- 14 it.
- 15 What was your reaction to being told by
- 16 the person you reported to -- what was your reaction
- 17 to -- to not having your concerns addressed by him?
- 18 You explained to him that you think things are
- 19 missing. He asks you why you can't be a team player.
- 20 What was your response to that?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Well, first of
- 22 all, his behaviour in that conversation was extremely
- 23 aggressive. There was yelling, which I'm not used to
- 24 from a person in his position.
- I tried to indicate that it's not that

- 1 I'm not trying to be a team player, I'm trying to do
- 2 what I believe is my job as a department head to
- 3 collect accurate information to the best of my ability
- 4 and to present that to all of Council, and I'm
- 5 concerned the report does not reflect that.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: How did that
- 7 conversation end?
- 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Abruptly.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember who
- 10 ended the conversation?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did that
- 13 conversation have any effect on -- on how you
- 14 approached your job after -- after the conversation?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The whole
- 16 experience had an effect on how I approached my job.
- 17 Yes, it did.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: How did it affect
- 19 the approach you took to the work you were doing?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I was not new to
- 21 the public service, and I chose this career path
- 22 because I'm very committed to local government, good
- 23 governance, and building strong and healthy
- 24 communities, and community service is a commitment I
- 25 made very seriously, so I was not going to run away

- 1 from this circumstance, but I need, like everybody, to
- 2 adjust and evolve in their circumstance and do the
- 3 best that you can.
- 4 And I recall working very closely with
- 5 my team of staff, trying to teach them through this
- 6 process, how we have to adjust but not give in to what
- 7 we believe to be true and right.
- 8 So I came to the table asking questions
- 9 and tried to be respectful and professional, but
- 10 always framing an alternate perspective that I
- 11 believed to be important to consider without imposing
- 12 saying that I know it all.
- 13 That was very difficult and it went on
- 14 for months, and I had to do a lot of soul searching
- 15 about what type of environment I want to be part of
- 16 and do I continue.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to turn
- 18 from -- from those questions back to the staff report.
- 19 If we could scroll up to page 2.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 21 actually, just before we do that, you -- you knew what
- 22 had happened to Ms. Wingrove?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: A
- 25 person could reason that it stands to reason that from

- 1 time to time people will get dismissed for whatever,
- 2 because they should be, let's say.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: M-hm.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Is
- 5 there a way that can happen that doesn't result in
- 6 people becoming concerned, you know, that they could
- 7 be next?
- 8 There -- is there a way of doing that
- 9 that allows -- that doesn't have that kind of an
- 10 effect on -- on the people who are left behind?
- 11 Because it seems to me there's not a lot of difference
- 12 between a heated conversation in which you're told
- 13 you're not a team player and your dismissal.
- 14 So you don't dismiss anybody or if you
- 15 do dismiss anybody, what I'm asking about is -- is,
- 16 have you any thoughts on whether there's a way of
- 17 doing that which kind of preserves the independence of
- 18 the people who are committed to public service?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe, and I
- 20 say this with --
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm
- 22 asking you what you --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yeah.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I am
- 25 asking you --

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: -- with -- with
- 2 humble respect, that that is what I tried to do, to
- 3 have integrity, to be true to the calling that I came
- 4 to and be true to the residents of Collingwood by
- 5 framing the right information and the right
- 6 considerations.
- 7 I was -- I understood that I was
- 8 walking a fine line and if people didn't like that,
- 9 it's -- a lot of people were worried about their jobs
- 10 around me and felt they needed to comply.
- I was worried I might lose my job.
- 12 That was definitely a consideration, but I believed
- 13 that I had to stay true to myself and what I believed
- 14 was the right thing for this community and was willing
- 15 to take that risk.
- 16
- 17 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turning back to the
- 19 -- the contents of the -- the staff report. If we
- 20 could scroll up to page 2 and look under the heading
- 21 "Enclose the outdoor pool with a fabric building",
- 22 which I think you'll have to scroll down a little bit
- 23 to get to.
- 24 This section starts with the statement:
- "In researching a year-round

	171
1	enclosure for the existing outdoor
2	pool"
3	What research are you aware that staff
4	did about enclosing the existing outdoor pool?
5	MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe the only
6	research was on the Sprung structure through Sprung.
7	MS. KATE MCGRANN: If you could scroll
8	down to the last paragraph on this page, and it spills
9	over to the following page, it says:
10	"We are aware of one supplier of the
11	type of insulated architectural
12	membrane structure that would allow
13	for satisfactory year-round swimming
14	pool use."
15	Then it goes on to say:
16	"In future it would be possible to
17	relocate or re-purpose the insulated
18	architectural membrane structure at
19	another location, adapt it to
20	another use or otherwise re-
21	commission it to a new owner within
22	this expanding market."
23	Are you aware of any information that
24	staff had about the practicality of relocating, re-
25	purposing or or selling the fabric membrane

- 1 structure being proposed here to put up around the
- 2 pool?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Once again, I
- 4 don't believe there was any independent research done
- 5 on this topic, it was information that was presented
- 6 to certain staff through the supplier.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If you could go to
- 8 page 4 of this document. Scroll down a little bit
- 9 more.
- 10 The paragraph said:
- 11 "The estimated cost for the supply
- 12 and construction of the basic
- 13 insulated architectural membrane
- 14 arena is \$7,392,000 as compared to
- 15 11.1 million to 12.3 million,
- 16 (estimates provided by WGD) for the
- 17 pre-engineered steel arena built
- using conventional construction."
- 19 When we looked at the WGD report, the
- 20 estimate for the pre-engineered steel building was
- 21 \$7,632,124.29 million.
- I'm going to ask that that report be
- 23 turned up for a moment. It's at TOC201266.
- 24 And if we could scroll down, keep
- 25 going. Stop for a second. I'm going to ask you a

- 1 couple of questions to help with our comparison.
- In the introduction section this says
- 3 the design of the new arena will respond to climatic
- 4 and environmental conditions. It goes on to talk
- 5 about the use of sustainable products, and it ends
- 6 with:
- 7 "The new arena is proposed to be
- 8 designed to LEED silver
- 9 certification levels."
- 10 Do you remember anyone at the Town
- 11 providing instruction to WGD that -- that they wanted
- 12 the estimates to -- to be -- or to include an arena
- 13 designed to LEED silver certification levels?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 15 that specifically.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we scroll down
- 17 from here, there is a list of green initiatives set
- 18 out and then cost provided for each of the options.
- 19 I'll just ask you to keep scrolling.
- 20
- 21 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 22
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: So we've gone
- 24 through and added them all up and they add out to
- 25 \$1.15 million.

- 1 If you could keep scrolling down so we
- 2 can see the base estimate. Keep going. There you see
- 3 site costs at one 1.164 pre-eng building option A 7
- 4 point -- you know, 7,632,124.29 and then there's the
- 5 possibility of an additional 1.15 million.
- 6 The staff report sends out a range of
- 7 11.1 to 12.3 million. Do you know how staff got from
- 8 WGD's estimates to those numbers?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I cannot
- 10 recall.
- 11 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know if the
- 12 estimates that are set out in the staff report were
- 13 provided to WGD for WGD's review and comment on their
- 14 accuracy or otherwise?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I do not.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we go back to
- 17 the staff report at CJI6146?

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we go back to
- 22 page 4, please.
- 23 So we just looked at this and this is
- 24 where at the beginning of the paragraph it sets out
- 25 the estimates for the insulated architectural membrane

- 1 and the estimates for the pre-engineered steel
- 2 building.
- 3 About half way through the paragraph it
- 4 says:
- 5 "The interior space that is provided
- 6 within the architectural membrane
- 7 structure allows for the cost
- 8 efficient addition of a second floor
- 9 lounge area, with a view of the ice
- 10 surface. The cost of the second
- 11 floor improvements within the
- 12 architectural membrane is included
- in the above estimate, whereas a
- 14 similar addition to the pre-
- 15 engineered steel arena would add up
- 16 to a million to the investment."
- I think you've already answered this
- 18 question, but to your knowledge, was WGD asked to
- 19 provide an estimate for the addition of a second floor
- 20 mezzanine to the arena?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I do not know.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have an
- 23 understanding of -- of whether this additional million
- 24 for the second floor is included in the 11.1 to 12.3
- 25 estimate, or if it would be on top of those numbers,

- 1 like an additional cost?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I have had no
- 3 opportunity to recently review and examine these
- 4 numbers, so I'm not sure.
- 5 And quite frankly, I -- I can't even
- 6 recall where they came from back then.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: That
- 8 was going to be my question. I mean, who -- do you
- 9 know who would have prepared part of it?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I do not.

- 12 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we go to page 6
- 14 of this report?
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: You --
- 16 you can see why I'm asking. There an almost \$4
- 17 million dollar difference between what -- what we're
- 18 told a pre-engineered building is going to look like
- 19 and what the architects say it's going to cost.
- 20 The -- the numbers, if you use the
- 21 correct numbers, they price about the same.
- 22 Who -- who -- I appreciate that you
- 23 weren't a team player and that there's some exclusion
- 24 going on here, but -- but who would be able to draft
- 25 this? Apart altogether from the fact that you -- I

- 1 appreciate you wouldn't know as much about the
- 2 contents as one might have normally expected, but who
- 3 -- who's draft -- how does that happen?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I would sincerely
- 5 like to answer your question. There was so many hands
- 6 in the pot and things changing that didn't follow
- 7 proper protocol or procedure, and I would -- I really
- 8 have tried to recall the details of it, but they're
- 9 very vague to me, it was a long time ago and I've been
- 10 in other jobs handling large projects since that time
- 11 and it's very difficult for me to go back to that
- 12 place where there was no standard protocol or process
- 13 that I was familiar with.

- 15 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turning to page 6,
- 17 which is on the screen in front of you, if you looked
- 18 at the heading element of competition was included in
- 19 the gathering of estimates.
- 20 Here is says:
- 21 "The manufacturers of the
- 22 architectural membrane structure
- knew they were in competition with
- 24 the more traditional forms of
- 25 construction."

- 1 Do you know anything about what's
- 2 described here? Are you aware of any -- anything that
- 3 staff or anyone from the Town said to the
- 4 manufacturers of the architectural membrane structure
- 5 about them being in competition?
- 6 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I have no idea
- 7 what was said to the architectural membrane company,
- 8 but I know I never told WGD that they were in
- 9 competition.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: That was going to
- 11 be my next question.
- 12 Based on -- it appears that the
- 13 instructions that you provided to WGD were cost out,
- 14 both a fabric building and -- and a pre-engineered
- 15 steel building and provide us with information that
- 16 compares them.
- 17 Do you understand how that could have
- 18 changed into a situation in which WGD believed they
- 19 were in competition with an architectural membrane
- 20 structure?
- 21 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I don't
- 22 understand that. In fact, WGD contacted me very upset
- 23 about this.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And that -- that
- 25 correspondence I think is reproduced in the Foundation

- 1 Document.
- 2 Under the heading "Cost Effectiveness
- 3 and Benefit to the Town", it says:
- 4 "Through the investigative process
- 5 it has been determined that the
- 6 architectural membrane structure
- 7 would provide the most cost-
- 8 effective and all-inclusive solution
- for the Town's needs."
- 10 We've seen the -- we've seen the
- 11 estimates that are set out in the staff report and
- 12 we've talked about those.
- Do you know what "all-inclusive
- 14 solution" is referring to here?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I do not.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you part of
- 17 any discussions with staff about which of the two (2)
- 18 options was more inclusive of factors that were
- 19 important to the Town as far as the arena went?
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe I was.
- 21 I articulated that we have not taken this to the
- 22 community, all our consultation was based on a multi-
- 23 purpose community centre that had benefits beyond the
- 24 features on their own, and that was one (1) of my
- 25 concerns because that's what the community valued.

- 1 Not to mention, some of the operating
- 2 efficiencies and the energy efficiencies that are
- 3 created in that type of environment, versus stand-
- 4 alone facilities.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Looking at the --
- 6 the italicized heading "Sole Source", it says:
- 7 "Through staff research it has been
- 8 determined there is only one
- 9 supplier that can meet the
- 10 specifications staff developed for
- 11 the facilities."
- Do you know what staff research is
- 13 being referred to here?
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I do not.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know if WGD
- 16 Architects was ever asked to determine whether --
- 17 whether there were any other suppliers that could meet
- 18 specifications that staff developed for the
- 19 facilities?
- I mean, we see the report where they
- 21 provide estimates for a fabric building and a pre-
- 22 engineered steel building, but do you know if a
- 23 question like this was ever put to them?
- 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Could you repeat
- 25 the question part, please?

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I quess what I'm
- 2 trying to figure out, and doing a very bad job
- 3 explaining, is the staff report references staff
- 4 research that concludes that there's only one (1)
- 5 supplier that can meet the specifications staff
- 6 developed for the facilities.
- 7 Do you know if that conclusion was ever
- 8 put to the independent architectural consultants the
- 9 Town retained, WGD, for -- for comment or
- 10 confirmation?
- 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't believe it
- 12 was.
- 13
- 14 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 15
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If you go up to the
- 17 top of the page, I just want to take a look at the
- 18 recommendations for a second.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Of the report, I
- 23 should say. The recommendations are that council
- 24 direct staff to proceed with the purchase and
- 25 construction of an isolated architectural membrane

- 1 facility for a year-round single-pad arena and simular
- 2 language for a structure of the existing pool.
- 3 At the time that these recommendations
- 4 were made to Council, at the August 27th, 2012,
- 5 meeting, did you have an understanding of who the Town
- 6 would be purchasing the membrane from?

7

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I -- no, I
- 11 don't.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have an
- 13 understanding of who would be constructing the
- 14 architectural membrane facilities?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I believe I
- 16 did not.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: There's a slide
- 18 presentation that was made to council at the August
- 19 27th council meeting. We can see that it's circulated
- 20 to you. You provide some commentary on -- on staffing
- 21 numbers.
- I want to ask you about a different
- 23 aspect of that presentation though. It's found at
- 24 TOC206027.

2.5

```
183
 1
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 2
 3
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we go to
    slide 23 of this document?
 5
 6
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: This is a slide
   that addresses the construction of a single-pad arena
   that can be phased into a double pad. And if you
10
11
   scroll down, I just want to take a look at the bullet
12
   point that says:
13
                      "Either building can be LEED silver
14
                      certified; however, the
15
                      architectural membrane building has
16
                      the LEED requirements already built
                      in."
17
18
                   Do you remember what you thought that
19
   statement meant at the time?
20
                  MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I don't.
21
22
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
23
24
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: We know that
25 Council voted on August 27th to proceed with the
```

- 1 recommendations that staff made to purchase and
- 2 construct Sprung facilities for the arena and the
- 3 pool.
- 4 At that time, some information had been
- 5 provided to Council about options for how to pay for
- 6 these two (2) facilities but a decision had not yet
- 7 been made about how the -- the purchase and
- 8 construction would be funded.
- 9 Earlier in the day we talked about a
- 10 vote that council had passed to strike a phase 2
- 11 steering committee to look into funding options and
- 12 develop the -- guide the development of a funding
- 13 strategy.
- 14 We can see that a callout for
- 15 volunteers had been released back in the -- the
- 16 spring/early summer and the Town had received
- 17 applications but the committee was never struck.
- Do you know why that committee was
- 19 never struck?

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 24 exactly. But I believe that's when all the background
- 25 competing interests started being raised. And I

- 1 believe somebody from the EMC suggested I not proceed
- 2 until we have further clarity.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And we see some
- 4 discussion about that at the -- at the July 16th
- 5 meeting, and it's -- it appears to have been put on
- 6 hold. But, ultimately, the committee is -- is not
- 7 struck at any point in time.
- 8 Do you know why the committee was --
- 9 was never struck?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From what I
- 11 recall, all these other options came forward first and
- 12 overshadowed that.
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Who
- 14 suggested that you not do that?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I cannot recall a
- 16 specific person. Most of my discussions were with
- 17 representatives of the EMC.

- 19 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: We can see that
- 21 there's some discussion about -- about that that takes
- 22 place at the July 16th meeting that's set out in the
- 23 transcript and -- and earlier in the Foundation
- 24 Document.
- 25 I don't want to revisit that so much

- 1 because I'm not asking why it was put on hold. It's
- 2 more now the Town has -- or Council has voted to
- 3 construct these two (2) facilities. We know they have
- 4 to be paid for.
- 5 Council had voted to -- to strike a
- 6 committee to investigate funding. The committee's
- 7 ultimately not struck.
- 8 After the Council vote, Councillor
- 9 Keith Hull posed some questions, and one (1) of them
- 10 was about why that phase 2 steering committee was not
- 11 struck.
- 12 If we turn to paragraph 654 in the
- 13 Foundation Document...

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: I want to look at
- 18 the first bullet point. It says, in response to a
- 19 question about why Council did not form a second
- 20 committee to pursue all funding and fundraising
- 21 options, the answer to that was provided, was there
- 22 are no funding opportunities at this time.
- Do you remember if you were involved in
- 24 drafting or reviewing that answer?
- 25 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I cannot

- 1 recall.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Does that answer to
- 3 that question make sense to you?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It may have been
- 5 partially true, but it doesn't feel comprehensive.

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: At any point prior
- 10 to Council's decision on August 27th to proceed with
- 11 the Sprung structures, did you become aware that Abby
- 12 Stec, Paul Bonwick, or Green Leaf was involved in
- 13 discussions about -- about Sprung BLT options
- 14 available to the Town for recreation facilities?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Can you repeat the
- 16 first part about the time?
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Before Council
- 18 voted to proceed with the two (2) structures on August
- 19 27th.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I had no idea.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: After August 27th,
- 22 did you become aware that Abby Stec, Paul Bonwick, or
- 23 Green Leaf had been involved in discussions with
- 24 representatives of the Town about the Town's
- 25 recreation facilities for Sprung?

188 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not until much 1 2 later. 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember how you became aware that they had been involved? 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Through communication amongst colleagues of information that came out much later. 7 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And when you say, "Information that came out," are you referring to information that came out in the media or otherwise? 10 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: The media was definitely one (1) source. And in reading the 13 documents, I certainly learned way more than I ever 14 knew. 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm looking at the time. I think that I can be finished in the next ten 19 20 (10) to fifteen (15) minutes, so I'm proposing that we do that before we take the afternoon break. Does that 21 22 make sense to you? 23 24 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2.5

189 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we go to paragraph 62 of summary document 2-4, please? 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph 6 describes that Joe MacDonald, of the Parks, Recreation, and Culture Advisory Committee, circulated an email to other members of that committee on October 10 5th, 2012. 11 And to that email is attached a file named, "2012/08/20 Centra Park new arena options." 13 This -- this paragraph describes that the attachment 14 was a version of WGD Central Park new arena options. 15 And I'm going to ask that that be pulled up. It's TOC225, I believe it was 728. 17 18 (BRIEF PAUSE) 19 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It must be a top-secret document because... 21 22 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I am -- I am 23 looking for the attachments that's referenced in that 24 paragraph in Summary Document 2-4, paragraph 62. 2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could scroll
- 4 to page 9, and this is all a very long exercise to say
- 5 to you that we've reviewed this document and it is the
- 6 version that includes the information about an
- 7 insulated fabric membrane, and if you scroll down to
- 8 page 10, it sets out the estimates that we've looked
- 9 at before that were contained in what appears to us to
- 10 be the final report that WGD sent across.
- 11 Do you recall learning that a version
- 12 of this report or this report was being circulated
- 13 amongst the Parks, Rec, and Culture Advisory
- 14 Committee?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't
- 16 specifically recall that; however, the Parks, Rec --
- 17 Park -- PRCAC Committee -- the Parks, Recreation,
- 18 Arts, and Culture Committee -- was very interested in
- 19 this venture, and so it doesn't surprise me that they
- 20 would have access to information.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could go to
- 22 paragraph 64 of Summary Document 2-4. This paragraph
- 23 describes an email that Mr. Houghton sends to Mr.
- 24 McNalty on October 5th. He sends him excerpts from
- 25 the WGD report regarding energy use/thermal

- 1 performance, enclosure durability, mechanical and
- 2 electrical systems, and constructability. This is the
- 3 information we saw earlier where WGD is comparing the
- 4 pre-eng steel to the fabric building. In his email,
- 5 Mr. Houghton wrote:
- "Dave, this is what WGD Architects
- 7 said when they compared a steel
- 8 fabricated building to a Strum sec -
- 9 Strung -- Sprung structure. Can
- 10 you help with the errors in their
- 11 comments? Once again, this is time
- 12 sensitive."
- Were you aware that -- that Mr. McNalty
- 14 was being asked to go through this exercise at this
- 15 time?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Not with any
- 17 specifics.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, what do you
- 19 recall about --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Actually, I -- I
- 21 truthfully can't recall if I knew or didn't.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could you pull up

192 TOC0 -- 600194? 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This is an October 8th email that Mr. Houghton sends to members of Council, members of the EMC, yourself, Ron Martin, 7 Dave McNalty, and Dennis Seymour. In it, he writes: "On Friday afternoon, Councillor 9 10 West sent me information that was 11 being circulated by the Friends of Central Park. This information was 12 13 a report produced at our request by 14 WGD Architects comparing a steel 15 fabricated building to the insulated 16 architectural membrane building. 17 The report is being misread mainly 18 because the report originally was 19 not comparing the proper structures 20 and was revised partially by WGD. Town staff were aware of what was 21 22 and was not revised, but without 23 this knowledge, one can see how this 24 could be misleading. The following 2.5 is an excellent report from Dave

193 McNalty fully explaining the report 1 and how it is being misinterpreted 2 and how it should be understood." 3 I had asked you questions about whether you could recall any discussions or concerns raised by staff about the WGD report when staff received it. 7 Do you remember this memo and information being circulated at this point in time, after the WGD report was being looked at by members of the public? 10 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Now that you've shown me this, I recall it, but I can't recall any detailed context for it. 13 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember if 15 you reviewed Mr. McNalty's memo at the time to see if you agreed with the conclusions that he came to? 17 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I believe I 18 did not. 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: One of the attachments to this document sets out WGD Architects' 20 developed project costs. I'm going to ask that that 21 22 document be shown to you, and it's at TOC600196. 23 24 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2.5

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And if you take a
- 2 look at this, it sets out an estimate for the pre-
- 3 engineered steel building and -- scroll down -- a list
- 4 of recommended upgrades. It shows that contingencies
- 5 included in the WGD budget were taken out, and then
- 6 numbers for a second-floor mezzanine were included.
- 7 1.164 two eighty-one million for site, park, and
- 8 development was included, bringing us to a subtotal of
- 9 10,165,475. And then a 10 percent is added on top of
- 10 that for design fees, permits, and miscellaneous, and
- 11 then an additional 10 percent is added on top of that
- 12 for a contingency, bringing the total to 12.3 million
- 13 -- just over 12.3 million.
- 14 Do you remember reviewing this document
- 15 at that -- at the time that Mr. Houghton circulated
- 16 Mr. McNalty's memo?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I don't recall
- 18 reviewing it prior to it being circulated.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You -- do you
- 20 remember reviewing it when it was sent around to
- 21 Council, the EMC, and others on staff?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I'm sure I look --
- 23 I reviewed it, but I can't recall my reaction or
- 24 follow-up from this.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have any

195 understanding as to who made these adjustments or why they were made? 3 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No, I -- no, I don't at this time. 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: To your knowledge, was this information ever provided to WGD for their review or -- or comment? 7 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I have no 9 knowledge of that. 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we turn to 14 paragraph 707 of the Foundation Document, please. 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm just bringing 19 you here for a point in time in reference. In or about October 2012, the concept of a therapeutic pool is introduced to the Council, and Council ultimately 21 votes to include the therapeutic pool in the Heritage 22 23 Park pool project. 24 Do you remember how the concept of a 25 therapeutic pool came up?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can't recall
- 2 specifically, but there were numerous conversations
- 3 with the shutdown of the therapeutic pool that existed
- 4 in the design components of the Central Park Steering
- 5 Committee having a warm pool for younger swimmers.
- 6 Perhaps even the Clippers may have raised it, so --
- 7 but at this point, I can't recall specifically one
- 8 source or the prominent source of where this came
- 9 from.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Those are my
- 11 questions.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Take
- 13 ten (10) minutes.
- 14
- 15 --- Upon recessing at 3:30 p.m.
- 16 --- Upon resuming at 3:40 p.m.
- 17
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Ms. Proctor,
- 20 my name is Chenoweth, Fred Chenoweth, and I represent
- 21 Mr. Ed Houghton. I have a few questions to clarify
- 22 some of the answers you have given through the course
- 23 of this proceeding.
- 24 But before I get into that, am I
- 25 correct in my understanding that you were a member of

- 1 the Central Park steering committee that made the
- 2 recommendation for the multi-use recreational
- 3 facility?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I would correct
- 5 that I was the staff liaison, or the staff facilitator
- 6 of the committee. But the committee had a membership
- 7 that voted on things, that I was the staff facilitator
- 8 of that.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 10 I -- I take it you supported the -- the eventual
- 11 report that came out of the steering committee?
- 12 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, that is
- 13 correct.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 15 And you've indicated to us that -- is it -- would it
- 16 be fair to say that -- that as things developed, there
- 17 appeared to be a bit of a struggle to some extent
- 18 between the steering committee idea of a MURF and the
- 19 alternatives that were later being reviewed by
- 20 Council, including a fabric roof?
- 21 That was a bit of a -- a bit of a
- 22 competition between those two (2)?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I'm not sure I
- 24 could agree with that. From whose perspective are you
- 25 asking the question?

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm just
- 2 asking if that's a reality, no particular perspective.
- 3 I mean, my observation of these things, and maybe
- 4 you're going to disagree with me, is that it became --
- 5 one (1) of the aspects of this thing was a competition
- 6 between the suggested suggestion of the steering
- 7 committee and the suggestion of alternate structures
- 8 that was bring -- being brought up by some of the
- 9 council members and others as we moved towards August
- 10 27th?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: From my
- 12 perspective because it's the only one (1) that I can
- 13 speak from clearly, there -- there was no competition
- 14 between the two (2). It was a matter of doing our due
- 15 diligence to present Council with all the information
- 16 they needed to make an informed decision, and that
- 17 would include industry expert opi -- factual
- 18 information, but also input from the citizens on their
- 19 preference.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You've
- 21 already told us, I think, that at no time did anyone
- 22 suggest to you that your involvement with the steering
- 23 committee gave you any sort of conflict of any kind.
- In response to some of my friend's
- 25 questions, you indicated that was never suggested to

- 1 you by anyone?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I'm sorry, could
- 3 you please repeat that question?
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: In answers
- 5 to some of my friend's questions, you said that no one
- 6 through the course of -- of the period between the
- 7 steering committee report and -- and August -- which
- 8 was on March 5th, I think, 2012, the time of the vote
- 9 by Council on August 27th, I think you confirmed that
- 10 no one had suggested to you that this created any sort
- 11 of conflict for you, your being on the steering
- 12 committee.
- 13 Is that fair?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I --
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: No one made
- 16 that suggestion to you?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Well, I don't see
- 18 any conflict. And I was not technically on. I was
- 19 facilitating the steering committee.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 21 So, you don't feel that your involvement with the
- 22 steering committee and your support of that report put
- 23 you in any sort of odd position with respect to your
- 24 ongoing research you were doing for the Town with
- 25 respect to alternatives?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No. I think I
- 2 expected the same research from the steering committee
- 3 that I would have expected from the alternate options.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 5 You, I think, indicated in some of your preliminary
- 6 comments that you joined the Town of Collingwood in or
- 7 about August of 2010.
- 8 Is that correct?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I -- I was hired
- 10 prior to the summer of 2010. I came here for a short
- 11 time, yes, somewhere around there, correct.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 13 Well, that -- that was the evidence you gave to --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yeah.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- to my
- 16 friend. And there was a new council that was elected
- 17 in October of -- of 2010?
- 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So, your
- 20 opportunity to work with the Council that existed at
- 21 August 10, 2012, when you -- or August 10 -- August of
- 22 2010, when you joined Collingwood, your opportunity to
- 23 work with that existing Council was limited?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 So, you wouldn't have had much of a chance to judge
- 2 status of working with that council versus the status
- 3 of working with the new council that was elected on
- 4 October 10th?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That is correct.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You spoke of
- 11 the development of the executive management committee
- 12 after April of 2012 when Ms. Wingrove was terminated
- 13 and Mr. Houghton was hired.
- 14 And you would have known, I take it,
- 15 having been with the Town for a period of time at that
- 16 point, in excess of a year, you would have known of
- 17 the other obligations that Mr. Houghton had at the
- 18 Town, including his obligations with -- with the power
- 19 distribution company, Collus, and Collus PowerStream?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You knew he
- 22 had obligations with -- other obligations in the Town
- 23 in addition to his Collus obligations?
- 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Public works and
- 25 engineering, correct.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I'm
- 2 assuming that you understood that the necessity for an
- 3 EMC, for an executive management committee, was that
- 4 very reality, that the Town had asked Mr. Houghton to
- 5 become CAO and that he had other responsibilities and
- 6 there was a concern as to whether or not he could meet
- 7 all of the obligations, including the new obligations
- 8 as CAO if he -- if he did not have the assistance of
- 9 an EMC. You would have understood that?
- 10 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I understood that
- 11 that was his choice, to -- to manage the competing
- 12 responsibilities and a way to assist him.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 14 So, I take it you would have thought that the creation
- 15 of the EMC was an -- an understandable thing to do at
- 16 that time and for Mr. Houghton to request given his --
- 17 given his responsibilities that he had?
- 18 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I -- I can't speak
- 19 to that. That's not my area of responsibility or --
- 20 or scope.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Okay. But
- 22 in any event, I had the impression Mr. Houghton sat
- 23 you down at -- at one (1) juncture and explained what
- 24 the EMC was about and what it was attempting to
- 25 accomplish?

- 1 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you were
- 3 content with that explanation?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 6 And you made every effort to make your relationship
- 7 with the EMC a successful one?
- 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Absolutely.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 10 And it was a little different, no question, then, the
- 11 situation where there was simply, as was the case with
- 12 Kim Wingrove, one (1) single CAO, but this was a new
- 13 arrangement, but under all the circumstances, a
- 14 sensible arrangement and one (1) you were prepared to
- 15 deal with?
- 16 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: One (1) I was
- 17 prepared to deal with.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Now, I'd ask
- 23 you -- as if we could turn up -- if we could, please,
- 24 TOC0155056, which I believe is a letter written by
- 25 Kevin Lloyd.

204 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just a 1 second, you say you thought it was written by ...? 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Kevin Lloyd. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: like this is from Marta Proctor. 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Maybe go down a little further then, if we could. There we go. 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: 8 Yeah. 9 10 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: If we could review -- allow the witness an opportunity to review 13 the whole letter from Kevin Lloyd, if we could, 14 please. 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Further 19 down. Just -- if you'd have a look at that, Witness, 20 and review as we go. 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well -- well, just a minute. Make sure that the witness had 22 23 an opportunity to read it before you start moving. 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Thank you. I'm good right here for now. Thanks.

1

- 2 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And the date
- 4 of that email, as I understand it, was the 8th day of
- 5 May.
- 6 Can we just go back up to see the date
- 7 of the email? Thank you.
- 8 And again, bring the email up so the
- 9 witness can have a look at it.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you
- 14 would have had a -- you would have had an opportunity
- 15 to review this email, I think you told us in your
- 16 evidence-in-chief.
- 17 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I've reviewed a
- 18 lot of the information, but I didn't retain all of it
- 19 and didn't see all of it, so I -- I'm reading it right
- 20 now.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 22 But you would have had a chance to see that --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 24 wonder if we could just let the witness read it first
- 25 and then ask a question.

```
206
 1
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Certainly.
 2
 3
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 5
                  MS. MARTA PROCTOR: If we could move
 6
   up, please.
 7
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 9
10
                  MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Okay. Read the --
11 this email.
12
  CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
13
14
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
                                             Thank you.
15
  And this was an email you would have had occasion to
   see in or about May 8th of 2012 when it came out?
17
                  MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I believe so.
18
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
19
   And you would have been aware at that time that it --
   at least one (1) of the Councillors, in this case
20
   Kevin Lloyd, is saying that:
21
22
                      "I hate to be the one who rains on
23
                      anyone's parade, however, we must be
24
                      pragmatic. I believe the project
2.5
                      will not fly at a price tag of $35
```

207 million today. We can get what the 1 2 public wants now and complete the vision over time." 3 So you would have been aware, at least as early as May, that there were some who were asking questions about the appropriateness of proceeding with 7 the full MURF project at that time? MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct. 8 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right. 10 And again who were expressing the view that the cost of that project was -- was possibly be -- beyond the 11 12 reach of the Town as of that period of time, and this 13 is written in May of -- of -- of 2012. 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct. 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right. And you also would have had occasion, I think you told us, to -- to see the email of Councillor Rick Lloyd of 17 June 14, and I think that's TOC0177 -- 017221. 18 19 Could we pull that up, if we could, 20 please? 21 22 (BRIEF PAUSE) 23 24 COURT OPERATOR: Sorry, counsel, could 25 you repeat the number?

```
208
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes, I can.
 1
 2 It's -- I believe it's TOC017221, I believe.
 3
                     (BRIEF PAUSE)
 5
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Let me try
 6
7
   again. TOC0172221. Thank you.
8
9
                         (BRIEF PAUSE)
10
11 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
12
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And could
13 you take an opportunity to read that -- that email,
14 which is June 14th -- June 14th, 2012.
15
16
                         (BRIEF PAUSE)
17
18
                 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I've read the
19 email.
20
21
                         (BRIEF PAUSE)
22
23
                MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Sorry, I've read
24 the email.
2.5
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you
```

- 1 very much.
- 2 So you indicate that -- is it fair to
- 3 say the -- the email requests that Mr. Houghton obtain
- 4 prices to build a Sprung building over the Centennial
- 5 Pool and to get one of their structures, i.e., Sprung,
- 6 to cover the outdoor rink?
- 7 That appears to be the request of
- 8 Councillor Lloyd, the Deputy Mayor, on or about the
- 9 14th of June --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- 2012? So
- 12 it's -- it's pretty clear that when you told us at
- 13 earlier times that your first recollection of -- of
- 14 hearing anyone talk about the Sprung buildings was in
- 15 a conversation you had with Deputy Mayor Lloyd on July
- 16 12th -- July 12th at the opening of the new fire hall,
- 17 that would be inaccurate in that it appears you would
- 18 have known of the Sprung request for pricing, you were
- 19 copied on this email, as early as June 14th, 2012.
- 20 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: You are correct.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good,
- 22 thank you.
- 23 And you would have known as a result of
- 24 a review of that email that, as with Kevin Lloyd, the
- 25 email we just reviewed moments ago, in May there was

- 1 another councillor who obviously was wishing to look
- 2 at alternatives and had concerns about -- about moving
- 3 forward with the project suggested by the steering
- 4 committee.
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes. I heard
- 6 through emails, that is correct.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 8 And -- and were you hearing any -- any -- any general
- 9 buzz around the -- around the Council offices or
- 10 anything of that nature at that time that suggested
- 11 that there were people concerned about the cost and --
- 12 and concerned about trying to bite off too much at
- 13 that particular time --
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Certainly I --
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- the
- 16 possibility of looking at -- at alternatives?
- Did you hear any buzz to that effect,
- 18 around the -- around the Town?
- 19 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I can -- there
- 20 were two (2) questions there. Around Town, around the
- 21 Council offices --
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, I --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: -- so --
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Let's --
- 25 let's take them one (1) at a time. Around -- around

- 1 Council.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: There weren't
- 3 really Council offices. Councillors didn't sit in
- 4 office here, but clearly there were emails that
- 5 started circulating and --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes.
- 7 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: -- again, as I
- 8 stated before, my direction should come from Council
- 9 as a whole, and any time there was direction from
- 10 Council as a whole I would act on that.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I noticed
- 12 that.
- My point is that you would have
- 14 understood from at least Kevin Lloyd's document and
- 15 the document of June 14th that there were Councillors
- 16 who were beginning to look at other alternatives.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: In my previous
- 18 experience, Councillors would give direction to staff
- 19 to look at other alternatives.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I understand
- 21 that that's your position.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: M-hm.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: My question
- 24 is different than that.
- 25 Did you know as a result of these two

- 1 (2) emails that we've looked at, that there was
- 2 Councillors who were beginning to consider
- 3 alternatives to the steering committee report?
- 4 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you
- 6 very much.
- 7 One (1) further document, and again
- 8 we'll look at -- at -- at paragraph 192, if we could,
- 9 of the Foundation Document.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Just before
- 14 we do that, in response to Mr. Rick Lloyd's email, you
- 15 did send a further email. And maybe we could pull it
- 16 up at this time. It's TOC0172248.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And that's
- 21 the top email. You would have sent an email on or
- 22 about the 14th of June to Dennis Seymour and Darin
- 23 Potts?
- 24 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That is correct.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Have I got

- 1 it right that Dennis Seymour is managing the pool and
- 2 Darin Potts is managing -- I'm sorry, is managing the
- 3 arena, Dennis Seymour is, and Darin Potts is managing
- 4 the pool? Have I got that right?
- 5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: No. Dennis
- 6 Seymour --
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Okay.
- 8 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: -- is our manager
- 9 of facilities --
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: -- or was, at that
- 12 time, and Darin --
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That would
- 14 include the arena?
- 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: And Darin Potts
- 18 looked after our bookings, and we --
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your...?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Bookings, rental
- 21 permits.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Good. Okay.
- 23 Thank you. In any event, I take it you sent this
- 24 email to these two (2) individuals as a course of
- 25 action to follow, once you saw the June 14th email of

- 1 Rick Lloyd.
- 2 Is that fair?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 5 And you were -- asked them to -- to get some
- 6 approximate pricing with respect to both of those
- 7 concepts?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: That's correct.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you sent
- 10 them the email from Mr. Lloyd?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And is it
- 13 fair to -- to assume that as a result of your sending
- 14 them that -- that brief email, that one (1) of the
- 15 places they might go to find out approximate pricing
- 16 would be to Sprung, the organization that was
- 17 mentioned in the email of Mr. Lloyd?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: It would be, yes.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So you
- 20 wouldn't be surprised if they went off and -- and
- 21 asked a series of questions of Sprung?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, they could
- 23 have.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 2.5

215 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Indeed, you also wrote another email, again dated June 14th, on that day to Ed Houghton. And that is email TOC0172251, TOC0172251. Could you pull that up, 7 please? 8 9 (BRIEF PAUSE) 10 11 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I see the 12 email. 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right. 14 And you've had an opportunity to read it? 15 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Yes, I have. 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right. Thank you. No doubt that again, in spite of your view 17 18 that the email of Mr. Lloyd was inappropriate, your 19 oft-expressed view that -- that you wanted direction to come from Council, you were -- you were assisting Mr. Houghton and telling him in furtherance of the 21 22 request that you got from Mr. Lloyd, or that you saw 23 from Mr. Lloyd, that you had some previous work that 24 had been done in this area? 2.5 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: You are correct.

- 1 And as a point of clarification, if I could ask, I
- 2 seem to recall now as I'm going through this that the
- 3 fire hall opening preceded all this, not afterwards.
- 4 So I don't have that recollection clearly, but I
- 5 recall the sin -- me discussing covering options
- 6 before, but I could be wrong. So again, those dates
- 7 are confused for me, all right?
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, maybe
- 9 other things will tell us that, but my information is
- 10 that the fire hall opening was July 12th.
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Okay.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But in any
- 13 event, we'll leave that to other times and weigh your
- 14 evidence in -- in light of that. But --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Thank you.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- no
- 17 question that in spite of your view of Mr. -- Mr.
- 18 Lloyd's approach to these matters --
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: M-hm.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- you
- 21 proceeded to respond to his email. You got Seymour
- 22 and others to -- to make some inquiries about price,
- 23 and you told Mr. Houghton that you had some
- 24 information that may be of assistance in responding to
- 25 Mr. Lloyd's request, correct?

```
217
                   MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
 1
 2
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
 3
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 5
 6
                   MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And one (1)
   more note, looking at paragraphs 192 of -- of the
 7
   Foundation Document...
 9
10
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
11
12
                   MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Actually,
   it's 193. Could you pull that up?
13
14
15
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
16
17
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
                                              This appears
18 to be a comment of the mayor in a news article.
19
   Sandra Cooper says:
20
                      "Whether to go forward with Central
21
                      Park, Cooper said, 'I think there
22
                      will be other options that come
23
                      forward. The task force has been
24
                      notified and thanks for the work --
2.5
                      and thanked for the work that they'd
```

218

- 1 -- been done.' [She goes on to say]
- 'It's too much money,' [Cooper
- 3 said.] 'I've said that all along.
- 4 It's too much money.'"
- 5 Did you become familiar with that news
- 6 article and/or the views of -- of the mayor, Sandra
- 7 Cooper, on or about July 16th, when she's saying it
- 8 looks like it's too much money, the MURF project?
- 9 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: I was aware of the
- 10 article.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes. So we
- 12 need -- we now seem to having -- to be having a -- a
- 13 rather substantial crew of Councilors as high up as
- 14 the mayor who were expressing some concerns about the
- 15 appropriateness of -- of proceeding at this time with
- 16 the full \$35 million MURF project suggested by the
- 17 Steering Committee.
- Then you would have been aware of that?
- MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Correct.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 22 Chenoweth, if you're -- if you're moving on to another
- 23 point --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I am, sir.
- 25 Thank you.

219

1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,

- 2 it's four o'clock, and, you know, we've got to be out
- 3 of here by 4:00 because the Council meeting's tonight,
- 4 so maybe that's a good place to stop?
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you,
- 6 Your Honour, it is.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 8 right. Can we start tomorrow at 9:00? Does that work
- 9 for everybody? Okay. It's tomorrow at 9:00, and Ms.
- 10 Proctor, don't -- don't discuss your evidence with
- 11 anybody from now until tomorrow morning to avoid any
- 12 confusion or suggestion that people are influencing
- 13 your testimony.
- 14 MS. MARTA PROCTOR: Okay. Thank you.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yeah.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you,
- 18 Your Honour.

19

20 (WITNESS RETIRES)

21

22 --- Upon adjourning at 4:02 p.m.

23

24

25

```
220
2 Certified Correct,
 3
 5
 6
7 Wendy Woodworth, Ms.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

INQUINI	Te Collingwood	JD 09-23-2019	rage 221	01 270
\$	210:25	194:12,13	1572 4:14	196 3:7
\$1,164,281	212:7	12:55	1573 4:15	93:8,22
152:11	214:14	132:1	1574 4:16	1987 6:16
\$1.15	217:6	12th 25:6		19th 84:9
173:25	1.15 174:5	49:22	1575 4:17	108:12
\$35 206:25	1.164	50 : 22	1576 4:18	159:16
218:16	174 : 3	60:19	1577 4:19	
\$4 176:16	194:7	209:16	1578 4:20	2
\$44,000	1:00	216:10	1579 4:21	2 7:18
33:24	138:23	135 65:1		16:10
\$7,392,000	10 34:20	71:11	1580 4:22	34:22 37:6
172:14	145:1	13th 50:8	159 52:21	37:6 65:12
	152:8	14 207:18	58:11	81:4,10
\$7,632,124 .29	188:20 190:8	140 38:24	15th 140:2	82:5,9
172:21	194:9,11	71:17	166 54:4	86:16
1 / 2 • 2 1	196:13	142 72:8	55:18	104:3
0	200:21	143 46:8	58:19	128:24
017221	10,165,475	51:20	16th 64:24	132:25 135:8
207:18	194:9	72:8	78:20	139:13
	10:09 5:1	14th 53:2	80:3 81:3	142:15
1		55:10,21	83:9,13	148:6
1 8:8,20	100 38:13	208:14	92:11 94:9,12	168:19
36:10	103 48:3	209:9,19	96:21,22	170:20
42:1	105 48:20	211:15	98:8	179:17
53:17	10th 201:4	212:22	103:24	184:6,10 186:3,10
56:17 57:9	11.1	213:25	142:25	187:18
63:25	172:15	215:4	143:7,23	197:22
78:24	174:7	15 188:20	185:4,22	198:14
81:5	175 : 24	152 60:16	218:7	210:20
82:17	11:18	155 49:18	175 83:14	212:1
86:7	64 : 13	1561 4:3	176 84:18	213:24
93:23 94:24	11:31		177 86:9	2:00
101:24	64:14	1562 4:4	17th	131:24
116:4,6	11th 38:23	1563 4:5	103:24	2:09 132 : 2
122:5	39:6 48:7	1564 4:6	146:15	20 71:8
139:1	50:12	1565 4:7	151:21	130:3
166:10	64 : 22	1566 4:8	183 87:4	149:20,23
179:24	12 145:1		18th	150:6
181:4 186:9	12,000	1567 4:9	48:8,10	2010 10:14
188:12	19:22	1568 4:10	153:13	94:4
198:5,12	12.3	1569 4:11	154:25	128:19
202:23	172:15	157 50:7	192 212:8	200:7,10, 17,22
203:12,14	174:7	1570 4:12	217:7	
,16	175:24	1571 4:13	193 217:13	2011 14:20
206:20		13/1 4:13		2012 10:18

		OD 07 23 2013		01 2 7 0
14:25	22nd 78:2	71:1,8	36 142:24	177:16
15:11	156:2,7	74:20	37 143:3	60
18:18	157:1	78 : 5		14:14,17
23:8	23 183:4	81:12	39 144:11	600194
25:2,6		82:10,14	3rd 25:2	192:1
33:12	233 67:21	98:12	93:25	
38:23	234 67:20	104:12	113:12	62
39:6	68:11	108:2	114:3	189:2,24
43:16,24	23rd 1:23	126:14,23		63 14:22
44:7	113:12	131:4	4	64 190:22
50:8,12	114:2	141:23	4 3:3 8:22	
53:3	24 129:19	151:7,14	9:6,10	654 186:12
64:22,24 81:13	138:18	154:18	172:8	69 15:2
84:10		158:24 161:22	174:22	
93:25	2-4 129:19	162:20	4:00 219:3	7
103:24	139:25	182:4,19		7 68:8
110:5	142:24	183:25	4:02	163:11
113:13	144:12	187:10,19	219:22	174:3
115:13	146:13	,21	4:04 96:21	
128:22	189:2,24	198:10	4:23	7,132,124.
135:5	190:22	199:9	146:14	29 152 : 15
138:24	24th			7,632,124.
142:16,25	78:2,4	29 138:18	40 149:21	29 152:13
153:13	114:25	293 132:15	447 160:15	174:4
162:20	115:14	294 133:7	448 161:7	70 15:13
182:4	129:24			
189:10	156:2	295 133:11	45 146:13	707 195:14
195:20	161:20	2nd 14:20		728 189:16
199:8	25 73:24	132:20,24	5	7th 135:5
200:21	250 80:21		548961	138:16
201:12		3	135:3	150.10
206:16	25th 60:25	3 12:2	549 135:3	8
207:13	86:11	40:1	58 24:20	
208:14	130:4	112:10		8 68:9
209:11,19	160:21	134:7	5th 14:25	73:19
2012/08/20	161:21	139:2	15:11	81:7
189:12	261 108:6	3:30	140:1	89
2014 10:14	269	196:15	189:10	24:21,25
	114:23,24		190:24	8th 33:12
2019 1:23	· ·	3:40	199:8	37 : 2
20th 84:20	26th 48:20	196:16		192:6
110:5	161:22	30 6:23	6	205:4
141:15	270 114:18	31 139:25	6 3:6 8:23	206:16
21 163:5	115:13		15 : 22	
	275 130:25	32 141:2	16:3,8	9
219 96:16	27th 18:18	333 153:3	68:8	9 147:8,17
21st	23:8	338 159:9	148:3	190:4
163:7,9	48:11	35 35:3	162:25	9:00
220 3:14	70:12	33 30:3	176:13	219:8,9
	,0.12			Z19.0,9

INQUIRI	TE COLLINGWO	JD 09-23-2019	Page 223	01 270
95 19:23	43:13	8 : 22	183:9	aerial
25:4	accomplish	18:15,24	adequate	86:18
97 1:19	202:25	39:8 67:20	17:11	affect
9-inch	accordance	114:22	adjourning	29:8
145:2	88:1	168:21	219:22	167:18
9th	according	191:20	adjust	affected
138:17,24	114:8	217:12	168:2,6	29:5
	accountabl	adapt	adjusting	affordable
A	e 57:5	171:19	38:3	43:13
a.m 5:1		add 68:23	adjustment	afternoon
64:13,14	accuracy 150:21	82:25	s 195:1	5 : 19
abandoned	174:14	173:24		132:5,7
106:3		175 : 15	administer	188:21
Abby	accurate 30:2	added 37:1	12:13	192:9
187:11,22	105:10	173:24	administer	afterwards
abili	127:20	194:9,11	ed 7:20	150:13
125:18	167:3	adding	administra	216:3
	accurately	68 : 15	tion	against
ability	157:11	76:11	6:11,21	87 : 25
19:15,21 29:9	158:11	addition	17:20	agenda
158:5,17	accustomed	111:10	administra	161:2,20
167:3	29:12,13	175:8,14,	tive	aggressive
able 19:12	achievable	19 201:23	67:16	166:23
29:6	147:24	additional	advance	ago 36:13
85:21	148:4	68:16,24	39:5	177:9
101:17		69 : 5	70:15,25	209:25
137:18	achieved	70:13,21	80:18	agreed
176:24		73:23	83:13 94:8	105:24
Abruptly	across	90:12	94.8 96 : 22	163:23
167:8	113:13 190:10	92:11,18 142:4		193:16
absolutely		145:13	advantageo us 22:21	ahead 25:3
27:14	act 211:10	174:5		49:17
28:25	acting	175 : 23	advice	84:17
29 : 7	25:6	176:1	20:23	87:4
45:15	27:17 29:2	194:11	advise	142:10
63:22	133:8	address	85 : 21	153:8
92:19 203:8		16:25	140:8 164:1,7	air 29:21
	action 32:19	22:2		aligning
accepted 8:7 62:21	213:25	39:21	advised	41:6
		66 : 15	163:18	all-
access	actions 15:24	addressed	advising	inclusive
73:23	16:7 37:4	166:17	133:9	179:8,13
107:10,13 190:20		addresses	Advisory	allow
	activities 8:19	149:9,25	189:8	105:19
accessible		151:6	190:13	111:21
	actually			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOC	D 09-23-2019	Page 224	of 276
171:12	am 19:6	2:15	126:13,21	apples
204:12	75:8 , 9	angry	131:7	116:18
allowance	84:13	77:10	145:18	118:8,9,1
76:12	125:3,4		151:13	8
	158:10	annoyed	152:23	applicatio
allowed	169:24	77:10	163:19	n 74:9
109:17	189:22	answer	173:10	136:14
119:8	196:24	36:10	178:3	
127:6	218:24	45:7 46:1	198:21	applicatio
allowing	amalgamate	51:14	199:1	ns 184:17
146:8	d 7:13	52:11	209:14	apply
allows		85:21	anyone's	142:18
169:9	amenities	119:8	35 : 1	applying
175:7	126:2	145:25	206:23	41:25
	135:19	177:5	anvething	
Almas	Ameresco	186:21,24	anything 23:4 49:9	appointed
118:4	48:17	187:2	59:25	25 : 5
146:16	121:9,14	answered	105:12	appointmen
alone	122:17	108:1	123:12	t 27:17
180:4	among	117:22	126:4	
already	148:24	175:17	151:17	appreciate
44:7			163:16	176:22
66:11	amongst	<pre>answering 16:19</pre>	178:1,2	177:1
70:2 71:5	14:25	16:19	210:10	appreciate
78:12	28:13	answers		d 63:15
79:23	62:23	46:2	anywhere	approach
102:23	64:20	196:22	139:18	16:17
108:17	188:6 190:13	199:4	apart	28:7
157:13	190:13	anticipate	145:6	167:19
175:17	amortizing	d 112:1	176:25	216:18
183:16	34:18	anxious	apologizes	approached
198:21	amount	20:20	5:18	167:14,16
alternate	83:1	20:20		10/:14,10
168:10	148:15	anybody	appear	approachin
198:7	2221	100:19	115:7	g 57:12
200:3	analyse 103:4	101:21	APPEARANCE	appropriat
		113:6	s 2:1	e 21:9,20
alternativ	analysis	120:20	appeared	22:1
es 108:25	59:19	121:16	197:17	26:24
197:19	70:18	131:11		28:8 32:9
199:25	74:14,18	150:17	appears	42:3
210:2,16	76:5	158:23	126:3	43:3,8,22
211:16,19	105:15	164:1,7	161:18	45:4
212:3	136:14	169:14,15	178:12	49:15
altogether	and/or	219:11	185:5	57 : 6
176:25	13:18	anyone	190:9	66:15
aluminum	27:17	23:1 62:7	209:7,17	69:10
144:24	160:23	67 : 12	217:17	77:1
145:4	218:6	92:18	appended	105:8
	Andrea	113:25	152:1	107:10
1				

	I IC CODDINGWO	OD 09 23 2013		O1 270
131:21	147:2	13	23:15	84:2
appropriat	171:11,18	112:17,20	aspect	215:20
eness	172:13	,24 115:2	182:23	Associate
207:6	174:25	117:19		1:7 2:4
218:15	175:6,12	118:1,18	aspects	
	177:22	130:11	198:5	associated
approve	178:4,7,1	133:1,15,	assembled	69:11
15:19	9 179:6	23 137:5	133:18	79:13
37:2 83:3	181:8,25	139:6,13	Assess	81:23
approved	182:14	140:24	13:17	93:20
33:17	183:15	142:3,17,		95:15
37:5 , 15	192:16	19	assessing	134:11
66:11	architectu	143:2,5	124:16	assume
96:13	ral/	151 : 4	assessment	30:1
99:2	engineeri	154:8,11	22:6	141:22
approves	ng 68:17	155:7,11	125:20	214:13
16:9	69:5	161:1	asset 10:5	assumed
	70:14	172:14,17	45:14	9:15 11:8
approx		173:3,7,1		21:16
56:2 58:1	architectu	2	assign	79:11
approximat	re 11:3	175:15,20	99:20	
e 58:6	40:8	179:19	123:3	assuming
59:2,10	area	182:1	assigned	38:8
214:6,15	7:13,16,1	183:9	76:3	125:3
approximat	7 8:11	184:2	122:24	152:20
ely 150:8	32:8	189:12,14	123:18	202:2
_	41:22	213:3,14	assist	assumption
April	56:9	arenas	40:16	155:15
25:2 , 6	109:5	7:19	67:13	assured
48:7,9,11	123:25	144:19,22	76:1,5	75 : 25
,20	131:20	aren't	92:6	
201:12	175:9	36:11	98:24	attach
aquatic	202:19		99:24	110:5
95:1	215:24	arising	106:1	attached
architect	areas 8:17	22:12	123:23	108:14
37:17	arena 19:8	arose	124:6	144:21
38:17	34:24	151:9	134:5	146:18
125:9	41:17	arrangemen	140:22	189:11
	55:24	t	145:13	attachment
architects	68:6	203:13,14	202:12	110:8
77:24	81:6,23	•	assistance	143:4
110:5	83:22	article	69:20	189:13
176:19	86:19	217:18	202:8	attachment
180:16	96:24	218:6,10	216:24	s 189:23
191:6	97:6,8,24	articulate		193:20
192:14	,25 98:9	d 179:21	assistant	
193:20	102:6,16	arts 6:8	50:11	attain
architectu	108:12	9:17	assisted	136:20
ral	110:6	190:18	69:24	137:4
114:25	111:8,11,		assisting	attempt
		aside	assisting	

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 09-23-2019	Page 226	of 276
153:14	160:21	115:8	bad 146:5	169:6
attempting	161:20,21	116:19,24	181:2	begin
202:24	162:20	118:6	ball 38:6	43:12
	163:5,7,9	125:13	41:13	85:5
attend	182:4,18	126:6	41:13 116:2	
49:2	183 : 25	127:8	139:16	beginning
attendance	187:10 , 18	130:19,21		31:19
135:7	, 21 198:9	132:23	balls 67:7	65 : 11
	199:7,9	133:18	bank 36:12	95:11,15
attending	200:7,21	159:20,25	1740	174:24
40:20 44:1	Authority	171:3,10,	base 174:2	211:16
	8:8 9:7	23 178:2	baseball	212:2
87:17 135:25	11:23	187:11,22	39:22	begins
138:6,7		188:4	based	65:1
·	authorize	191:13	17:21	behaviour
attention	13:18	192:21	19:22	90:21
51:6	15:23	206:19	21:22	166:22
78:20	authorized	207:4	22:5	
attributed	16:6 37:4	218:9,18	25:18	behind
136:24	available	away 47:16	38:4	26:21
	13:11	57:6 70:1	46:24	55 : 5
August	20:10	71:4 92:4	52 : 12	56:20
10:14	59:12	98:22	54:9,22,2	101:6
70:12	77 : 4	102:11	3 66:5	169:10
71:1,8	113:19,22	132:21	73 : 7	behind-
74:20	114:14	136:3	82 : 15	the-
78:1,2,4, 5 81:12	187:14	167:25	100:8	scenes
82:10,14			134:16	29:22
98:12	avoid	awkward	178:12	57 : 1
104:11	165:23	120:19	179:22	
104.11	219:11		basic	belief
113:12	avoidance	B	172:12	17:19
114:3	148:9	bachelor		believe
126:14,23	awaiting	6 : 7	BC 10:1	6:18 21:4
132:20,24	146:21	background	became 9:9	23:11
135:5		6:6 24:22	24:5,17	27 : 6
138:16,17	awarded	26:18	32:14	34:4,15
,23	88:8	27:1,8	76 : 21	35:2
140:1,2	aware	37:1	97 : 15	37:22
141:15,22	29:24	40:20	188:4	38:13
142:16,25	50:21 , 25	59:12	198:4	46:5
143:7,23	59 : 21	63:24	become	47:15
146:15	84:8,13	86:15	95 : 22	49:4
151:7,14,	85:7 86:6	100:25	116:24	50:24
20 153:13	87:10,15	108:16	159:24	52:13,19
154:25	89:2 92:3	110:16,17	187:11,22	69:13
156:2,7	94:10,11,	124:4	202:5	71:2,7
157:1	13	184:24	218:5	73:1
158 : 24	95:14,23	backup		74:6,15
159:16	97:9 , 15	34:16	becoming	77:23
	113:8		118:6	78:14

INQUINI	. Te COLLINGWOO	05 25 2015	rage 227	01 270
90:1	best 19:4	170:22	106:8	123:6
97:17	22:7 , 8	172 : 8	107:2,3,1	125:1
106:13,17	42:5	197:17,21	7 110:4,7	126:10,16
107:20	44:17	bite	114:24	127:1
108:4	46:4	210:12	123:10,12	128:11
113:22	54:23		,13 130:4	129:21
119:21	61:13	blacked	bricks-	131:1,17
122:25	62 : 16	33:13	and-	132:17
123:10	79:19	blog	mortar	137:14
125:5,6,9	107:13	20:5,10	116:3	138:20
126:18,24	167:3	BLT 2:17		141:25
137:6	168:3		brief	143:11,20
139:9	better	126:22	5:8 , 22	144:14
142:20	20:1	187:13	6:20 13:1	147:5
146:20	44:21	board 93:5	15 : 5	149:5
158:5	58:10	boats 8:16	18:12	152:5
162:4	102:7		32 : 25	153:5 , 23
166:5	102:7	Bonwick	33 : 7	155:24
167:2	beyond	2:7	36:18,23	157 : 6
168:7	136:18	187:12,22	39:1,12	159:5,11
169:19	137:20	bookings	46:23	160:17
171:5	179:23	213:18,20	51 : 22	162:1,15
172:4	207:11	bottom	52:1,5,9,	164:10
179:20	bid 22:22	18:16,25	23 55:7	166:7
181:11	88:7	33:10	58:14 , 22	173:21
182:15	120:1,3,6	46:22	63 : 6	174:19
184:24	· · ·	134:1	64:16	181:14,20
185:1	bidder	137:24	65:3 , 22	182:8
189:16	120:1	157:24	67 : 23	183:1,6,2
193:17	Bill 2:17		70:7	2 184:21
203:24	5:10 , 17	bouncing	71:13,19	186:15
206:17,24	birds 9:3	55 : 4	72 : 10	187:7
208:2		box 68:5	80:14,24	188:16,24
believed	bit 11:23	breadth	82:23	189:4,18
28:19	13:4		83:6,17	190:1
99:23	15:10,13	162:5	84:15	191:23
168:11	19:16	break	87:1,13	192:3
170:12,13	20:8	64:10,19	88:10	193:24
178:18	34:13	131:22	93:14	195:11,16
	40:12	145:4	96:18	201:8
benefit	45:3	154:1	97 : 20	203:20
45:10	46:20	188:21	103:20	204:16
74:13	48:4	breaks	104:5,21	205:11
179:3	51:16	148:10	105:4	206:3,8
benefits	56:5 61:5		108:8	207:22
72:4	73:19	Breedon	109:9	208:4,9,1
73:21	147:13	2:14	110:1,11,	6 , 21
79:12	151:2	Brian	20 112:6	212:11,18
82:3	157:14	18:19,22	114:20	214:14
111:22	162:25	19:2	115:19	215:1,9
179:23	165:24	105:25	121:11	217:4,10,

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 228	of 2/6
15	21:1	209:14	callout	cause
bring	building	built	184:14	127:14,17
17:11,21	48:11	11:23,25	camping	cavity
32:21	50:3 , 5	19:23	9:4	148:2,3
47:16	53:8,10,1	172:17	CAO 25:1,6	Centennial
64:22	1,12,20	183:16	27:16,17	50:3
81:11,19	59 : 24	bullet	28:4 29:3	
198:8	68:7 , 10	74:11	32:15	94:1 97:5
205:8	73:21	104:7	54:18	104:16
bringing	79:1,3,5,	124:20	75:12,25	132:25
124:1	19 80:4	125:23	76:19	135:10
194:8,12	81:8	134:8	90:23	138:5
195 : 18	96:23 , 25	135:9	91:10	154 : 11
British	98:1,9,11	183:11	92 : 4	209:4
9:24	104:14	186:18	101:7	Contro
	116:3,9	bullet-	103:12	Centra 35:20
broaden	117:5	point	119:22	189:12
8 : 25	134:23	133 : 25	123:3,18	
brochure	136:13		127 : 7	Central
144:21	137:3	bunch 41:5	129:6,9	14:19,21,
brought	149:11	Bush 34:23	164:5	23
16:14	150:12	39:22	165:21	15:7,18
47:8	152:11,12 ,14	41:17	202:5,8	16:5,13,1
57:19	167:23	45:2	203:12	8,24
62:3,8	170:21	111:8	capacity	18:18,22
63:11	172:20	112:24	124:9	20:17
82:10	174:3	140:23		21:5 24:9 30:24
96:7	175:2	142:3,19	capital 9:18	30:24 37:3
138:3,13	176:18	154:7,8,1	10:22	39:20
198:8	178:14,15	1	11:6 69:9	
bubble	180:21,22	business	70:4 83:2	· ·
105:7	183:13,15	57 : 3	124:6	50:6,13
	191:4,8	69:3,11,1		61:17
bubble-	192:15,16	7,23 88:1	career	65 : 13
type	194:3	90:22	11:5	76 : 7
104:16	209:4	129:12	167:21	86:20
budget	buildings	135:10	case	104:8,15
85:5 , 9	19:22	busy 42:11	119:15	108:17
97:4,7	48:22	_	124:14	111:2,11,
105:20	50:19	buzz	142:14	19 112:15
194:5	51 : 11	210:9,17	203:11	126:2,4
budgets	60 : 5	bylaw	206:20	133:1
97:2,10,1	79 : 22	12:8,18	cases	137 : 7
6	82:9 87:7		11:12	138:5
-	116:14	C	catch	143:1,5
build	122:10	ca 31:17	20:19	154:10
122:14 209:4	136:20	calendar		158 : 18
	137:17	48:10	caught	189:14
builder	155:11	40.10	56:20	192:12

	ie comminance	JD 09-23-2019	rage 229	01 270
196:4	Chair	76:14	9:23	citizens
197:1	120:24	Chenoweth	choice	17:13,16
217:20	135:13,17	2:11 3:7	79 : 19	18:1
centre	chairing	117:12	202:11	82:20
42:8 64:4	135:14	196:18,19	202.11	198:18
94:19	133:14	•	choose	City
179:23	Chairs	,20 197:9,14	47:21	_
	120:25	198:1,20	chooses	7:14,23
centres	challenges		155 : 7	8:6,14
8:20	72:5 74:1	199:4,15,		63:16
ceremony	78:22	20	chose 43:5	CJI0008028
23:20	79:13	200:4,12,	71:3	4 : 7
		15,19,25	72:25	CJI6146
certain	Chambers	201:6,10,	167:21	162:13
17:10	1:18	21	chronologi	174:17
29:23	champion	202:1,13,	cally	
42:4	101:6	21	24 : 17	CJI8028
55:11	chance	203:2,5,9	88:25	65:1
69:24	28:19	,18,22	CTTE	71:11
122:16,22		204:3,6,1	CIIF	claim
160:8	201:1	0,11,18	140:22	144:24
172:6	205:22	205:2,3,1	141:21	Claire
certainly	change	3,21	circulate	20:13
26:7	8:10	206:1,13,	39:4	
35:16	27:19,22	14,18	circulated	clarificat
69:24	28:3,9	207:9,15	78:3,15	ion 61:17
100:23	55:14	208:1,6,1	151:5	90:10
188:13	129:8,9,1	1,12,25	161:19	216:1
206:1	3	209:11,21	165:12	clarify
210:14	changed	210:7,15,	182:19	28:4
Certificat	27 : 23	22,24	189:8	29:19
e 3:14	102:12	211:6,11, 20,23	190:12	30:21
	152:20	212:5,13,	192:11	42:10
certificat	160:10	20,25	193:8	60:22
ion	165:24	213:7,10,	194:15,18	
136:22	178:18	13,16,19,		85:21
137:3,4,9	ahanasa	22	circulates	161:4,24
,19	changes	214:4,9,1	133:4	162:8
173:9,13	27:25	2,19,24	circulatin	196:21
certified	34:23	215:3,13,	g 211:5	
136:23	changing	16	circumstan	clarity
183:14	177:6	216:8,12,	ce 62:15	26:11
220:2	charge	16,20	76:22	32:16
	98:2	217:2,6,1	168:1,2	41:2
cetera		2,17		47:14
21:1 40:9	chart	218:11,20	circumstan	66:2 67:7
154:12	72:3,7	,22,24	ces 66:14	90:16
chain	73:20	219:5,17	165:23	91:15 129:11
18:17,25	chat 48:21	•	203:13	
33:5,10	checked	Chief 1:7	citizen	185:2
55:12		children	11:6 18:6	clear

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWO	05 25 2015	rage 230	01 270
32:22	clo 120:22	63:15	133:5	2,25
46:5	close	69 : 18	191:11	67 : 12
47:17	16:14	90:25	200:6	90:20
66:4		93:20,23	commission	91:6 92:6
68:20	78:9	129:4		93:3
75 : 18	80:19	170:4	171:21	99:15,17
76:15	128:24	200:6,22	Commit	101:20
77:20	closely		40:5	107:22
84:13	28:13	Collus	commitment	108:18
90:11,17	119:3	201:19,23	54:10	110:16
119:16	168:4	Columbia	74:16	119:5
140:20		9 : 25	74:16	120:25
209:12	closer	1 /		137:7
	119:7	column/	167:24	158:19
clearer	closure	beam	commitment	163:3,14
88:21	66:10	135:16	s	184:11,17
clearest	coating	com 156:12	61:12,14,	l '
66:6	149:12,13	combinatio	18 75:22	,18 185:6,8
101:4	149.12,13		91:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	coatings	n 11:13	committed	186:6,10,
clearly	149:15,19	comes 24:5	167:22	20
11:9 57:8	Co-Chair	150:8	167:22	189:8,9
63:17	18:22	comfortabl	109:10	190:14,17
92:2	20:14	e 75:24	committee	,18 196:5
100:1,11			14:18,19,	197:1,6,1
145:25	cohesive	coming	24	1,18
198:13	39:18	30:10,12,	16:1,6,10	198:7,23
211:4	collaborat	14 31:1	,14,18,25	199:7,12,
216:4	ive 8:3	32:18,21	17:4,6,18	19,22
clerk		54 : 15	18:18,23	200:2
28:15,22	colleague	56:1,18	20:7,14,1	201:11
57:15	96:1	60:11	8 24:9	202:3
66:24	colleagues	66:1	25:7,10,1	210:4
73:3 91:7	28:14	75 : 23	3 , 16	212:3
93:4	30:15	84:22	26:23	218:17
118:3	41:2 42:2	101:4	27:10 , 18	committee'
119:6	57:3,11	commencing	28:24	s 15:8
128:7	62:24	5 : 1	29:3	20:10
133:8	63:16,20		30:16,19,	25 : 22
	119:4	comment	24	35:21
climatic	188:6	174:13	31:4,20	186:6
173:3	collect	181:9	32:7,11,1	
climbing		195:7	2	common
9:4	167:3	217:18	33:17 , 25	152:10
Clinnons	Collingwoo	commentary	34:9	communicat
Clippers 93:21,24	d	182:20	37:3,6,16	ed 77:4
94:2,10,1	1:2,17,20		38 : 11	communicat
	2:13 9:9	comments	40:5,16,2	ion 10:10
4,23	10:13,21	26:3	1 47:8	
95:16	11:20	29:16	49:1	39:24
96:3,8	12:7	35:7	57 : 15	103:12
196:6	57 : 21	46:15,18	66:4,19,2	119:11
			30.1,10,2	

	TE COTTINGMO(<u> </u>
165:10	143:24	68:15	162:7	56:2
188:6	147:9	82 : 5,19	167:5	58:1,6
communicat	173:1	107:12	169:6	59:1 87:6
ions 9:21	competing	111:1	210:11,12	141:10
	135:18	112:16	concerning	146:10
communitie	184:25	133:1,2,1	166:2	157 : 9
s 8:2	202:11	8,25		163:8
167:24		134:17	concerns	confirmati
community	competitio	196:4	63:19	on 181:10
7:19 8:4	n 9:8,25	comprehens	74:9,12	
9:24	177:18,23	ive 17:10	75:6 77:7	confirmed
10:2,7	178:5,9,1	61:2	82:3	60:21
14:3	9 197:22	127:21	98:21	115:5
94:19,22	198:5,13	187:5	114:7	129:25
167:24	compile		127:16	199:9
170:14	140:10	con 109:4	148:25	confirming
179:22,23	compiled	156:12	150:21	66 : 8
, 25	56:12	concept	151:8	confirms
companies	146:20	11:15	156:13 166:17	130:16
120:21		37:18,19	166:17 179:25	
122:2,16	complete	38:3,7,10	1/9:25	conflict
	35:4 53:9	71:4	210:2	20:24
company	98:20	78 : 25	210:2	22:16,19
22:8,21	99:10,17	106:4		48:12
23:2	207:2	112:19	concludes	198:23
80:9,12	completed	115:2	150:1	199:11,18
120:18	46:12	118:15	181:4	confused
126:21	75 : 3	130:11	conclusion	216 : 7
178:7	140:13	195:20,24	148:19	confusing
201:19	completely	concepts	149:1	24:18
compare	50:2	52 : 18	152:3	
135:18	113:18	113:1	181:7	confusion
162:21		214:7	conclusion	219:12
compared	completene	conceptual	s 60:10	conjunctio
79:22	ss 162:19	69:2	150:11,19	n 145:9
111:23	complex	70:19	,22	connected
158:1	33:19,21		,22 193:16	20:25
172:14	34:17	concern		45:12
191:7	comply	20:22	condition	
	170:10	74:22,24	14:5	connection
compares		75:1,2	conditions	23:9,16
Τ / Δ : Τ ρ	component	127:14	173:4	24:3
comparing	9:4 17:17	158:23	conduct	cons
116:18	134:15	164:20	154 : 9	153:18
118:8,17	136:13	202:6		Conservati
147:1	components	concerned	configurat	on 8:7
191:3	9:13 11:7	22:19	ion	9:7 11:23
192:14,19	12:5	101:3	116:4,7	
comparison	37:21	127:18	confirm	consider
111:19	38:9	158:16	40:10	35:16
				72 : 16

INQUINI	re Corringmoo	D 09 23 2019	Page 232	01 270
109:5	43:5	116:16	66:10	25 : 15
168:11	45:11	118:21	67 : 5	49:12,13
212:2	74:7	119:14	115:24	75:11,14
considerat	79:2,5,22	120:18	168:16	77:9
	81:24	127:5,6,1	COMMITMED	78:7 , 18
ion 26:10	102:5	0 145:8	CONTINUED	86 : 13
41:11	126:21		33:2	90:5
46:25	154:7,10	contacted	51:18	106:24
170:12	172 : 12 , 18	20:15	63:8	138:25
considerat	177:25	130:14	64:18	139:4
ions	181:25	178:22	88:12	157 : 14
71:25	183:9	contacts	91:13 93:16	158:7,11,
153:18	184:8	73:16		14
170:6	constructi	119:6	117:16	161:11,16
considered		contain	121:6 123:21	163:22
21:10	on/	81:18	123:21	166:11,22
60:24	implement	154:4		167:7,10,
108:24	ation		132:4,13 166:9	13,14
112:13	13:21	contained		169:12
	constructi	15:1	170:17 176:12	209:15
considerin	on-types	190:9	176:12	conversati
g 44:20	134:16	contains	185:19	ons 23:4
48:16	constructs	146:25	204:10	24:15
considers	34:17	content	204:10	24:15
73:20		156 : 9	205.2	26:20 67:3
consistenc	consult	203:3	208:11	75:11
	107:17			80:7,17
y 32:16	consultant	contents	contract	83:10,12
consistent	33:24	3:1 65:16	22:9	85:25
16:12	37:8 76:6	117:3	76:11	87:17
construct	77:19,21	157:17	157 : 11	88:13,18,
42 : 22	consultant	162:21	contracted	19,22
68:6 81:5	s 44:2	164:2	124:11	89:6,11,2
184:2	181:8	170:19	contractor	1,24
186:3	181:8	177:2	88:6	90:15
	consultati	context	125:8	91:3,15
constructa	on	14:11		107:6
bility	17:12,16	24:23	contracts	114:5
147:14	67 : 11	25 : 25	124:12	155:2
191:2	179 : 22	37 : 1	contrary	156:12
constructi	consulted	58:10	62:14,21	196:2
ng 82:8	71:5	75 : 14	contributi	
97:23	consulting	89:22	ons 96:9	Cooper 2:9
182:13	28:22	114:23		87:9
constructi	38:16	193:13	convention	93:24
on 2:17	20.10	contingenc	al 172:18	97:1 217:10 21
10:24	consumed	ies 194:4	conversati	217:19,21
11:18	67 : 3		on 22:13	218:2,7
34:18	contact	contingenc	23:24	coordinati
42:4,24	94:7	y 194:12	24:1,4	ng 10:6
	100:10	continue		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	JD 09-23-2019	Page 233	OI 2/6
coordinato	216:25	152:9,10	73:12,14	,23
r 55:24	217:1	154:2	74:19	201:2,3
133:8	218:19	174:3	77:1	210:9,21
	220:2	193:21	78:4 , 13	211:1,3,8
copied		F.C. A	79 : 17	, 10
115:7,15	correspond	cou 56:4	81:2,4,9,	215 : 20
132:22	ence	105:9	12,15,20	219:3
209:19	178:25	council	82 : 7 , 14	
copies	cost 42:8	1:18	83:3	council-
96:3	45:20	13:19	84:25	approved
copy 39:8	50:4	14:17	90:9,21	35:12
83:23	116:13	15:9,11,1	91:16 , 23	36:6
133:13	152:12,14	4,16,19,2	92:1,15	councillor
151:5	153 : 17	3	94:18	33:12 , 15
156:11	172:11	16:4,9,19	95:16	35 : 7
162:11	173:18	17:1,8,22	96:22,23	36:9 , 15
102:11	175:7,10	18:7 22:8	98:8	37:13 , 22
corporate	176:1,19	24:14	103:25	38:18
9:20	178:13	25 : 5	104:10	186:8
10:10	179:2,7	26:24	105:9	192:9
26:5	207:10	28:4 30:3	126:14,23	207:17
124:5	210:11	31:3	127:20	209:8
correct	costed	32:1,22	129:6,9	210:1
6:9,13,18	83:4	35:1 , 18	132:10	councillor
10:15,19	03:4	36:1	151:3,7,1	
16:15	cost-	37:2,14	5,25	s 33:13
19:7	effective	38:23	154:17,18	206:20
45:8,16	94:21	39:5 40:1	155:7	211:3,15, 18 212:2
91:11	costing	41:3	157 : 21	
95:9,13	11:16	42:10	158:14,24	Councilors
106:22	37 : 18	44:24	160:8,13	218:13
107:4	69:1,10,1	45:25	161:22	council's
140:25	7 70:19	46:15,25	162:20,21	26:10
151:22	82:19	47:17 , 21	164:1	49:22
156:17	106:19	50:12 , 17	165:12,15	90:13
164:21,23	134:15	53:2	, 16 , 23	106:3
165:8	139:15	54:11,14,	167:4	187 : 10
176:21	141:6,9,1	15,20,22,	181:23	
196:25	4	24	182:4,18,	counsel
197:4,13		55:3,11,1	19 183:25	2:3,5,7
200:8,11,	costs	2 57:4	184:5,10	8:15
18,24	34:18	60:11,24	186:2,5,8	117:14
201:5,20,	42:23	61:2,7,12	, 19	207:24
25	44:12	62 : 13	187 : 17	countries
207:8,14	59:11	64:1,21,2	192:7	19:23
209:10,20	74:8	4 66:5,8	194:21	couple
210:6	81:24	68 : 19	195:21	30:6 54:5
212:24	111:21	69 : 7	197:20	56:14
213:15	116:5,7	70:11	198:9 , 15	72:6
214:3,8,1	136:24	71:23	199:9	78 : 18
1 215:25	141:17	72:16,18	200:16,20	100:14
	146:23			

	. IC CODDINGNO	OD 03 23 2013		01 270
106:7	29:11	135:15	125:3,4	18:8
143:14	65:19		127:12	25:17 , 23
173:1	85:2		130:22	26:1 , 5
course	180:3	Dabrus	131:5	30:4 40:2
32:8,19	199:10	130:5	133:9,12	41:10
78:6	creating	140:3	145:15	42:11
196:22	148:2		150:16,23	54:22
199:6		dance	191:6	117:6,18
213:24	creation	76:21	192:8,25	dedicated
	202:14	Darin	David 87:7	17:5
court 5:18	crew	55 : 25	125:8	
65:6	218:13	212:22		deemed
207:24	crisper	213:2,3,1	day 49:22	121:2
cover 50:2	88:21	2,17	50:8 52:14	deferred
51:3		data/fact	60:19	37 : 7
52:17	criteria	62 : 16	70:1	Define
53:18	73:14		78:10	40:17
68:8	102:4,15	date 20:4	103:24	
94:1,14	103:3	46:12 73:8 76:9	115:13	defined
96:11	critical	138:3	140:12,14	10:4
97:4,6	113:21	205:3,6	141:16	32:19
102:24	140:9	·	144:17	definitely
104:15,17	Cross-	dated	161:9	66:23
105:8	examinati	14:24	184:9	90:15
135:23	on 3:7	18:17	205:4	153 : 19
209:6	196:18	23:7	215:5	170:12
covered		33:11		188:12
19:10	cuff 26:3	110:5	days 54:5	degree
23:22	cultural	143:7	141:17	107:23
56:24	9:13	215:4	dead 30:25	delayed
59:8	culture	dates	deadline	61:3
104:14	9:10,17	216:6	78:9	140:7
covering	10:13	Dave	140:19	141:17
38:12	13:12	76:3 , 18	deal	
73:20	91:19	83:23	203:15,17	delaying 141:10
74:9	95:8	84:5,19		
78:23	163:4	86:10	debt 45:21	delegation
79:1 80:3	189:8	87:8 90:2	decision	94:15
82:3	190:13,18	96 : 25	29:11	delegation
94:3,10	current	97 : 1	35 : 17	s 94:25
95:12,17	35:12	98 : 25	73:4 77:1	deliver
96:4,24	36:6	99:3,6,25	82:8,13	19:22
144:20	37:14	102:9,10	92:8	61:13
216:5	115:2	108:11	102:23	
Crawford	currently	110:4	113:21	delivery
9:2	70:3	113:3	122:16	7:1 12:13
Create		115:15,24	184:6	14:1
73:23	cut 136:3	116:11,15	187:10	delve
	cut-away	119:2,17 124:22,23	198:16	65 : 16
created		124.22,23	decisions	
Î.	i .	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1

	i ie eellingwo	OD 07 23 2013	rage 255	01 270
Dennis	31:18	n 4:2	95:3	139:16
55:24	33:4 54:8	12:24	104:24	difference
83:22	93:18	25 : 12	177:8	169:11
192:8	100:16	27:16	determine	176:17
212:22	135:12	design	74 : 3	
213:1,3,5	described	11:6,15,1	106:2	different
department	27:8 29:5	6 21:6,9	117:4	8:13
10:4	31:10	37:18,19	180:16	22:11
60:17	43:20	38:11		35:25
61:8,10	49:7,20	70:19	determined	47:20,22
89:16,18,	56:15	82:18	42:3 66:6	66:9 67:8
21,22	74:18	84:23	73:6	68 : 15
90:4	77:18	107:25	179:5	71:4
103:23	115:1	122:11	180:8	88:23
106:11	136:4	130:11	develop	89:14
125:6	161:12	133:1,2,1	11:11	102:5
135:4	178:2	8,22,25	15 : 24	116:2
163:1		134:14,18	16:7 37:4	129:6
167:2	describes	137:10	39 : 18	134:15
	14:17	173:3	81:10	182:22
department	25:5	194:10	184:12	203:10
s 8:3	33:16	196:4	developed	211:24
10:7	39:4 48:9		34:16	differentl
dependent	50:8 53:1	designated	44:1	y 63:17
149:19	55:19	42:23	180:10,18	90:22
depending	56:6 60:17	99:19	181:6	difficult
28:15	61:6 81:2	designed	193:21	24:18
	83:20	173:8,13	197:16	31:11,14,
depth	84:18	desire		17,18
59:19	87:5	106:3	developing	32:10,14
deputy	93:22		10:4	54:9 67:6
23:19	96:21	detail	developmen	76:22
49:21,24	108:11,16	20:1	t 15:19	168:13
50:21	114:24	72:21,23	23:10	177:11
51:2	130:4	134:19	39:19	
53:1,4	131:4	155:3	41:4 69:1	difficulty
55:9,20	132:20	detailed	107:14	55 : 16
58:6 60:4	138:23	11:16	125 : 10	diligence
87:8	140:1,2	25 : 12	184:12	17:23
88:24	144:16	70 : 19	194:8	198:15
93:4,24	153:11	77 : 17	201:11	diploma
94:8	159:14	81:11	developmen	6 : 11
96:25	160:20	82 : 18	ts 138:4	
101:5,9	189:7,13	124:10		dire 107:9
159:15,20	190:23	134:5,14,	diamond	direct
209:8,15		18 139:10	39:23	65 : 6
descri	describing	166:13	diamonds	101:9
33:16	119:11	193:13	38:6	181:24
	158:7,11	details	40:11	directed
describe	descriptio	19:8,10	41:14	81:4
27:24			116:2	

82:17	directly	41:21,24	displaced	135:9
directing	28:4	46:23,25	40:10	138:18
54:14	76:17	50 : 25	distribute	139:25
	111:24	51 : 10	d 78:12	141:3
direction	145:19	52 : 16	79:16	142:24
24:6,13,1	director	59 : 23		143:5
4 26:23	8:24	80:19	distributi	144:12
28:6,16,1	9:9,16	89 : 12	on 201:19	
7,18	10:1,12	90:9	diverse	151 : 25
29:23	13:11	91 : 22	9:11	152:8
31:1	91:19	95 : 12	document	153:8
32:1,17,2	95:7	102:4		155:5
2	163:4	137:1	13:7,9	159:9
35:18,25		151:8,17,	14:13,15,	160:15
41:2,12	directs	19,24	23 15:3 24:20	162:12
47:14,17	20:5	152:3	25:4	172:8
54:11,24	96:23	154 : 3	26:17	179:1
57:2,5	98:8	165:7		183:4
60:11,13	disagree	185:4,21	27:1,2 38:24	185:24
62:13	198:4	discussion	46:8	186:13
64:2,3 65:13,14	discovered	s 44:18	47:20	189:2,21,
·	85:14	49:10	48:3	24
66:4,7,12		59:11	49:19	190:5,22
68:1,2,14	discuss	66:5,18	51:20	193:20,22
71:16	20:20	67 : 18	52:12,21	194:14
75:17	50:19	84:7	58:12	195:14
79:11	94:5	95:20	60:16	211:14,15
81:9,15	155:14	102:9,14	63:25	212:7,9
82:21	219:10	106:1,14	64:23	217:8
88:7,23,2	discussed	107:21	65:1,8,11	documents
4 91:16	51:15	118:7	,17,20	26:18
99:7,21	61:11	137 : 7	66:19	27 : 5
100:20	67:1	145:18	67:13,20	29:21
101:6	78:25	148:24	68:12,13	39:10
103:12,25	110:8	150:20	71:10,16	47:19
105:9	130:9	154 : 23	72:20	48:18
127:19	137:8	155 : 9	79:16	78:1
130:7	138:10,14	179 : 17	80:22	100:25
158:17	141:19	185:16	83:15	109:12
211:8,9,1	151:11	187:13,23	85:24	114:10
8 215:19	154:17	193:5	87:4 93:8	118:12
directions	157:20	dismiss	96:16	122:6
17:22	discusses	169:14,15	108:6	132:25
35:20	147:11,12	dismissal	110:6	133:4,14
47:21,23	,13 154:3	129:11	114:18	138:12
65:13	discussing	169:13	129:19	143:1
67:8	49:23		130:25	151:3
90:10	150:10,16	dismissed	132:15	165:13
101:14	216:5	129:10	133:15	166:4
116:19		169:1	134:3	188:13
	discussion			

	. TO COMMINGWO			
dollar	153:12	126:13,22	ess 179:2	44:11
83:1	154:23	156:4,6	effici	email
176:17	159:14,21	158:13	139:5	18:10,17,
dollars	160:1,21	duties		19,21
18:2	165:11	13:4,15	efficienci	19:1
33:23	176:24	70 : 2	es 180:2	20:12
	177:3		efficient	21:12
donate	drafted	E	175:8	23 : 7
96:10	76:5	earlier	effort	33:5,10,1
done 11:25			41:1	1,15
56:8 59:9	drafting	119:25	203:6	36:10,16,
69:20	65:8	158:6		21
70:15,19	120:14	184:9 185:23	efforts	37:11,22
71:6,8	153:9	191:3	131:4	38:22
79:9	186:24		eighty-one	49:20
98:14	drafts	209:13	194:7	53:1,4
101:10	78:2	early 11:5		54:1,5,7
107:15	133:4	19:20	either	55:10,21,
108:17	151:6	207:5	11:10,15	23 57:24
110:15	156:3,5	209:19	66:10	58:9,20
113:15	160:7	easy 89:4	79:14	60:10
114:1,12	drawing	_	82:8	61:6
126:1,22	115:3,4	Ed 25:5	111:3	83:20,25
128:2,9	·	57:16	115:11	84:12,19
132:23	drawings	196:21	137:2,4	86:11
142:17,18	69:2,10,1	215:5	142:7	93:24
158:18	6 85:3,8	Eddie	146:11	108:13
172:4	86:19	34:23	183:13	109:24
215:24	88:6	39:21	elected	110:3
218:1	114:25	41:17	200:16	113:13
double	115:1,3,6	45:1	201:3	115:5,8,1
19:8	, 9	111:8	electrical	4,16,17,2
53:21	139:18,21	112:24	147:14	1,22
81:6	141:5,8	140:23	191:2	117:3
97:24	due 17:23	142:3,19	-1	120:23
98:10	31:15	154:7,8,1	element	122:6
183:10	95:1	1	177:18	124:19
doubt	141:21	Eden	elevator	125:24
215:17	142:12	8:9,15	134:10	129:24
	198:14	education	else 67:12	130:4,8,2
downhill	durability	6:7	95 : 25	3
8:18	147:12		100:20	133:7,12
downtown	149:9	Edwin 2:11	101:21	138:17
45:2	150:11	effect	109:18,21	139:25
draft	191:1	167:13,16	113:6	140:2
	during	169:10	121:16	142:2
60:22 78:13	10:14,16	210:17	150:17	143:4
108:11,13	76:7		155:20	144:20
108:11,13	78:2,3	effective	164:1,7	145:16
140:11	120:18	179:8	elsewhere	153:14
140.11	120.10	Effectiven	ETSEMHETE	154:19

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 238	OI 2/6
160:20	185:1,17	11:6	58 : 25	172 : 16
161:20	192:7	68 : 25	70:14	173:12
189:9,11	194:21	70:21,24,	81:18	174:8,12,
190:23	202:3,9,1	25 71:7	155:21	25 175:1
191:4		25 /1.7	157:21	177:19
	5,24	engaging	15/:21	
192:6	203:7	82:20	envisionin	179:11
205:4,7,8	emphasis	engineered	g 38:1	180:21
,15	8:1	144:3	envisions	190:8
206:11,15				estimating
207:17	emphasize	175:15	154:3	116:4,7
208:13,19	18:4	180:22	155:6	•
,24	76:24	194:3	equivalent	et 21:1
209:3,19,	enabling	engineerin	136:21	40:9
24,25	111:24	g 11:3	137:18	154 : 11
212:14,15		40:9	148:15	evaluate
,21	enclose	201:25		102:5,15
213:24,25	53:8	201.25	Eric 2:18	•
214:10,14	68:7 , 9	enhance	errors	event
,17	79:6 81:7	109:1	191:10	202:22
215:4,5,1	170:21	ensure		213:23
• •	enclosing		especially	216:13
2,18	79:18	92:6	59:5 64:2	events
216:21		98:17	98:21	
emailed	97:25	105:15	establish	8:17 9:13
35:7 56:6	98:10	116:17	32:4 41:2	eventual
138:24	124:24	118:8,17		197:10
	125:15,20	enter	154:6,9	
emails	171:4	59 : 11	establishe	everybody
36:11	enclosure		d 14:18	5:4 55:12
48:20	133:1	entire		67 : 5
50:9	147:12	68 : 5	estimate	101:20
56:15	149:8	entities	50:17	168:1
57:1	150:2	122:3	152:13	219:9
61:15			172:20	everything
96:25	171:1	entitled	174:2	95 : 25
100:25	191:1	132:25	175:13,19	
114:10	endorse	entries	,25 194:2	evidence
132:20	15 : 16	72 : 7	estimated	200:13
154:24	16:5 37:2		81:24	216:14
156:3		envelope	146:22	219:10
210:6	energ	143:17,25	152:10	evidence-
211:4	147:11	environmen		based
212:1	energy	t 149:20	172:11	
	143:17	168:15	estimates	17:24
EMC 53:2	144:1,10	180:3	81 : 11	evidence-
55:11	147:11,17	100.3	104:13	in-chief
61:7	180:2	environmen	105:12	205:16
89:8,11	190:25	tal 173:4	111:25	
115:15		envision	118:9	evolve
117:7,21	engaged		134:6	168:2
133:4,12	11:5	58:5 82:6	139:7	evolved
148:25	22:13	125:19		31 : 11
153:12	engagement	envisioned	152:10,16	-
100.14	engagement		153:17	

INQUIRI	TE COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	rage 239	01 270
exact 77:6	executed	38:3	165:14,18	exploratio
exactly	12:2	97:5,6,25	167:16	n 112:1
90:17	executive	98:10	211:18	explore
138:14	25:7,10,1	105:20	experience	29:23
160:10	3,22	111:8,13	d 17:6	31:8 64:3
161:16	26:22	171:1,4		75 : 17
184:24	27:9,18	182:2	experience	81 : 22
Examinatio	28:24	200:23	s 44:6	82:3
n-in-	29:3	expand	expert	110:24
	30:15,18	56 : 10	11:13	
chief 3:6	47:11	expanded	17:24	explored 30:5
6:1	49:14	73:25	37 : 18	30 : 5 68:22
examine	54 : 17		42:18	68:22
176:3	55 : 1	expanding	198:17	express
examined	57 : 14	171 : 22	expertise	62 : 20
111:18	61:23	expansion	11:2,11,1	74:24
	62:11	106:6	4 18:5	164:19
example	66:3,18,2	expect	25 : 18	expressed
35:23	2 67:12	5:19	26:7 32:7	74:22,25
36:11	76:1 89:7	69:19	69:22	75:1,2,7
43:23	90:20	09:19	75 : 21	
44:22	91:5 92:5	expectancy	76:4	expresses
54:9	93:2	150:4	124:1	20:22
100:10	98:24	expectatio	125:19	93:25
exceed	99:14,16	ns 76:16		expressing
84:24	101:20		experts 11:15	207:10
excellent	107:22	expected	17:13	218:14
192:25	119:5	140:7	31:23	expression
	138:1	148:17		32:2
excerpt	160:24	149:16,18	explain	44:25
108:16	163:3,14	150:3 157:10	25:15	
excerpts	201:11	177:2	42:10,13,	expression s 48:24
190:24	202:3	200:2,3	17 105:22	S 48:24
excess	exercise	•	148:12	extension
201:16	109:7	expedite	explained	91:23
	190:4	76:13	166:18	extensive
exchange	191:14	experience	202:23	17:11
20:12	Exhibit	6:15 , 21	explaining	
50:23 93:18	4:2	7:4 8:10	181:3	extent 197:17
138:17		9:1 10:21	193:1	
160:20	Exhibits	21:22,25		exterior
100.20	3:3 4:1	22:6	explains	107:12
exchanged	existed	27:11	20:15,20	external
132:20	69:13	43:7,15,1	explanatio	10:7
exclusion	196:3	7 , 19	n 5:13	11:10,13,
176:23	200:20	54:10	45:24	15
Excuse	existence	62 : 22	203:3	69:19,22
120:10	29:2	69 : 8	explicitly	75 : 20
		125 : 19	78:25	76 : 4
exec 26:22	existing	160:5	, 0 • 20	98:20

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 240	of 2/6
extremely	27:13	179:18	187:5	82:7,13
166:22	30:9	factual	199:21	83:1 86:7
extrusions	31:12	198:17	fees	101:24
144:25	42:6		194:10	140:12
145:5	45:21	fair		162:11
143.3	51:11	197:16	feet 145:1	190:10
	55:23	199:13	felt	finalized
F	67:18	209:2	17:5,7	78:4,12
fabric	74:20	214:2,13	24:10	108:2
50:1,5,18	83:22	fall	25 : 19	125:13
51:11	86:10	128:19	29:14,20	157:2
68:7 , 10	104:2		32:8 41:3	160:22
73:21	124:5	familiar	44:24	161:19
79:1,19,2	163:5	13:6 59:5	45 : 17	
2 80:4	180:4,11,	76:8,23	56:20	finally
81:8	19 181:6	125:4	60:9	112:23
96:25	182:14	154:20	63 : 15	137:23
98:1,11	184:2,6	177:13	66:15	findings
104:17	186:3	218:5	76:10	17:14
144:22	187:14 , 25	family	77 : 8	6. 170 0
145:20	213:9	77:3	82 : 15	fine 170:8
146:3	facility	91:21	88:1 92:8	fine-
152:14	11:19	fear 90:23	98:16	tuning
170:21	12:1,4,5		102:17,18	82:19
171:25	14:21	feasabilit	, 22	finish
178:14	23:10	y 37:20	120:20	24:11
180:21	31:12	feasibilit	140:16	
190:7	39:20	y 35:13	157 : 9	finished
191:4	41:4 42:1	37 : 25	170:10	141:15
197:20	43:11,23	38:17	Fernie	188:19
fabricated	45:2,5,10	74:3	10:1	finishes
191:8	,12	103:4,10		149:12
192:15	111:14	108:12	fifteen	fire 23:20
fabric-	182:1	110:6,25	188:20	51:1
covered	197:3	111:17	figure	56:22
80:9		125:20	181:2	209:16
	fact 6:23	feature	file	216:3,10
facilitate	41:16	39:23	189:11	·
13:20	45:16			Firman
facilitati	60:22	features	files 94:5	106:21
ng 199:19	74:12 95:23	21:9	fill 22:8	107:17
facilitato	149:11	82:20	f:lhamina	first 6:24
r 197:5,7	159:25	133:22	filtering	11:4
r 19/:3,/	165:11	179:24	29:12	13:6,15
facilities	176:25	federal	final	17:3
7:5 8:13	178:25	43:1 44:3	14:24	18:21
9:12,19		feel 55:1	15:8 16:2	28:9
10:8,22,2	fact/data	57:9 65:5	17:14	31:19
4 13:17	61:25	102:20	18:8,19	33:11
14:2,7,11	62:2	109:15	20:10	35:23
23:17	factors	100.10	35 : 21	39:15
1				

	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 09-23-2013	rage 241	01 270
42:16	206:25	177:24	80:22	169:4,21,
65 : 7	focus 6:16	formula	83 : 15	24
72:20	10:4 73:1	33:18	85 : 24	176:7 , 15
80:20	130:11		87:4 93:8	185:13
118:13		forth	96:16	189:20
119:5	Focussing	165:11	99:4	196:12
125:10	30 : 7	fortunate	108:6	204:1,4,8
133:17	follow-up	7:2 17:5	114:18	,21
149:9	115:5,23	forty-four	130:25	205:23
153:14	156 : 12	33:23	132:15	218:21
161:10	194:24		153 : 7	219:1,7,1
166:21	footage	forums	159:9	5
185:11	134:16	24:16	160:15	frankly
186:18	135:20	forward	178 : 25	176:5
187:16		17:11	185:23	
205:24	force	19:6	186:13	Fred 196:20
209:13	217:23	40:2,6,14	195:14	196:20
fit 19:21	foresee	41:8 47:9	212:9	Frederick
fitting	69:6	62:4,8	217:8	2:11 3:7
60:6	form 65:18	63:21	frame 26:3	117:12
	78:13	64:23	55:2 90:6	196:18,19
five	113:4	68:2	98 : 17	197:9,14
146:17	186:19	84:2,6,22	99:25	198:1,20
five-year		85:10	120:23	199:4,15,
69:2	formal	94:18	framed	20
fixed	88:6	97:22	75 : 2	200:4,12,
154:2	89:22	109:2		15,19,25
	formally	110:25	framing 168:10	201:6,10, 21
flags	66:12	146:23	170:5	202:1,13,
129:7	90:9	157:22		202.1,13,
fleet 86:9	format	185:11	Frank 1:7	203:2,5,9
163:5	140:11	210:3 217:20,23	5:3,11	10 22
fleshing	formation	,	31:9 51:7	204:3,6,1
153:19	16:9	forwarded	62:19,25	0 11 10
	27:18	55 : 22	63:3 64:9	205:2,3,1
flip 159:19	37 : 6	forwards	87:20	3,21
		20:12	88:3 91:9	206:1,13,
floor	formed	foundation	93:9	1/1 10
134:10,11	25:8	14:13,15	119:18,23	207.0 15
,22,23	101:13	24:20	120:7,10, 15 122:23	1 200.1 6 11
175:8,11,	former	25:4 27:2	123:2,14	1,12,25
19,24	7:14	38:24	128:15,21	209:11,21
flow	90:23	46:8 48:3	,25	210:7,15,
107:12	91:10	49:18	129:14	22,24
flowing	forming	51:20	131:23	211:6,11,
41:6	25:16	52 : 21	132:8	20,23
	34:8	58 : 12	164:18,24	212:5,13,
fluttering	103:1	60:16	165:3,6,9	20,25
63:25	forms	67 : 20	, 25	213:7,10,
fly 35:2		68 : 12	168:20,24	13,16,19,
Ī	ı		1	I

INQUIN	r te Contingwo	00 09-23-2013	rage 242	01 270
22	fulsome	100:15	29:22	47:14
214:4,9,1	136:14	101:25	43:4 57:2	94:22
2,19,24	functioned	210:8	101:6	100:24
215:3,13,	27:23	generally	127 : 20	147:21
16		27:12	Glen 8:15	greatest
216:8,12,	funded	62:21		28:19
16,20	184:8	118:16	goals	73:7,14
217:2,6,1	funding	119:11	39:17	
2,17	15:20	122:7	46:11	green
218:11,20	16:10	145:21	go-forward	139:5
, 24	33:18	156 : 25	39 : 18	173:17
219:5,17	34:6 37:7		gone 28:3	187:12,23
free 65:5	45:16	generated	30:25	green/
fmaal	46:14	72:14,18	87 : 25	efficienc
freely 117:23	140:23	generic	157 : 4	y 139:2,6
	184:11,12	130:13	173:23	Greenland
frequently	186:6,20,	geographic		121:14
28:23	22	7:17	governance	122:17
Friday	fundraisin	George 2:9	165:19 167:23	
192:9	g 34:8			Gregersen
friend	186:20	getting	government	110:4 114:24
200:16	funds 40:5	30:18,21	6:25 22:4	115:1,5
	44:12	99:7	43:1,2	130:4,8,1
friend's	96:10	128:23	44:3	0 138:24
198:24		153:8	167:22	140:3
199:5	funnelled	given 17:1	grain	
Friends	26:22	24:14	41:21	Gregersen'
192:11	furtheranc	25:1 39:7	44:10,22	s 141:1
front	e 215:21	41:3	45:1	grew 47:13
32:22	future	66:14	grant	group
55:19	10:8	72:23	42:14	20:25
57:4	23:21	75:17	139:9,11	25 : 18
124:19	46:25	76 : 4	140:19,21	26:4
177:17	106:6	79:11 87:21	, 22	28:13
FTP 85:2	112:21	91:17	141:21	67 : 1
flfillinn	154:6,9	93:10	142:7,12,	89:25
fulfilling 28:19	171:16	101:14	18	125:14
	FYI 115:23	103:25	grants	groups
full 77:7		116:25	41:24,25	84:25
115:17		127:9,20,	43:4	grow 7:3
117:3	gained 7:4	22 130:1	45 : 17	_
130:16	43:17	160:1	grateful	guarantees
136:12 207:7		196:22	105:19	150:8
218:16	gather	202:16,17	Gravenhurs	guess
	47:6	gives	t 9:14,22	181:1
fully	gathering	17:22	·	guide
99:11	177:19	53:24	great	25:16
103:7	general	54 : 24	136:7	184:12
193:1	30:23	giving	greater	
		9-1-19		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOC	D 09-23-2019	Page 243	of 2/6
	49:13	heard 5:12	143:22	72:19
Н	66:18	20:17	Heritage	87 : 24
half 175:3	75 : 11	28:5 45:7	50:4	honestly
halfway	88:7	56:22	86:18	21:5
136:18	139:4	73:10	124:23	51:13
hall 1:17	142:1	87 : 17	125:15	Honour
23:20	154:23	89:3 90:6	195:22	33:4
51:1	155:1	156:8	he's	52:12
56:22	157:15 166:17	166:4	20:20,24	64:6
209:16	196:5	210:5	90:6	117:13
216:3,10	201:15	hearing	144:21	219:6,18
Halton 8:7	218:12	29:15	hesitate	HONOURABLE
		137:11	84:4	5:3,11
handling	hazy 51:16	209:14		31:9 51:7
177:10	head 60:17	210:8	high	62:19,25
hands	89:22	heart 73:7	16:17,24 38:15	63:3 64:9
177:5	90:4	heated	42:14,19	87:20
happen	99:19	169:12	147:24	88:3 91:9
41:22	103:23 135:5	held 1:16	218:13	93:9
165:19	163:1	39:6		119:18,23
166:1	167:2	43:24	higher 148:2	120:7,10,
169:5		47 : 12		15 122:23
177:3	heading	64 : 22	high-level	123:2,14
happened	24:6 30:25	he'll 20:4	65 : 17	128:15,21 ,25
48:19	82:21		highlights	129:14
49:8	88:23	help 14:15 23:25	68:12	131:23
80:20	139:12	25:16	highly	132:8
90:7	147:8,17	37:8	43:11	164:18,24
109:13	149:8	44:13	hire 11:14	165:3,6,9
114:15 165:24	152:9	55:2 66:4		, 25
168:22	163:1	98:25	hired	168:20,24
	170:20	102:6	37:17 76:7	169:4,21,
happy 31:3	177:18	128:19	124:4	24
142:2	179:2	141:19	200:9	176:7,15
155:4	180:6	142:4	201:13	185:13
hard 99:3	heads	161:10	hiring	189:20 196:12
hate	61:8,10	173:1	33 : 24	204:1,4,8
206:22	89:17,18,	191:10		,21
haven't	21 106:12	helped	Hogg 50:10	205:23
44:16	healthy	67:15	hold 44:6	218:21
118:11	167:23	helpful	51:8	219:1,7,1
138:12	hear 23:9	19:9	185:6	5
152:20	24:2 49:9	helping	186:1	hoop-type
156:3	95:25	118:17	holds	135:15
having	117:13	helps	38:23	136:4
44:18	119:2	58:17	honest	hoped
47:14	210:17		36:9	25:19
		here's		

	r te contingwo	OD 09 23 2013	Page 244	01 270
hoping	50:10	identified	113:8	implied
161:3	huge 8:18	14:6	115:16	59:7 96:9
Houghton	94:17	69:16	118:13	importance
2:11		78 : 22	123:10	76:25
25:6,14	Hull 186:9	100:18	131:19	
28:10	humble	104:8	133:21	important
46:10,23	170:2	107:19	139:1	17:17
48:10,13,	hundred	108:1	141:23	18:7 67:4
21,22	86:5	117:10	142:2	77:8
49:3,7,9,	109:14	154 : 24	143:8	92:21
13,21,25	141:23	identifies	151:1	168:11
50:9,15,2		40:1,8	152:20	179:19
3 53:2	Hurontario	116 : 15	153:8	imposing
56:7	1:19		155:4	168:11
57:16	husband	identify	156:9	impression
60:21,25	9:23	47:11	159:18	92:25
61:7		48:5	161:4,16	129:1
66:24		122:2	162:10	202:22
73:3 77:9	i.e 209:5	I'11 6:22	166:23	
78:7 91:8		42:16	167:1,4,2	
93:4 97:2	ice 34:22	56:11	2 168:17	149:16
98:23	38:7 50:6	70:9 71:9	169:15,21	improvemen
99:7,12	52:17	134:1,2	172:22,25	ts 94:17
100:8,19	53:21,22	173:19	176:4,16	112:2,18,
102:12	56:9	I'm 6:5	181:1	22 175:11
123:1	104:14	10:1,5,9	186:1	inaccurate
128:8	108:12	12:23	188:18,20	89:2
131:13	110:6	14:9,12	189:15	209:17
133:9,13	111:10	18:9	193:21	
135:13	135:23	29:17	194:22 195:18	inappropri
146:16	175:9	37:19 , 23	197:23	ate 90:21
157:15	I'd 16:23	38:8,10,1	197.23	215:18
158:8,15	35:9	3,21	199:2	inch 148:3
159:14	36:8,9	39:7 , 15	202:1	include
160:21	78:19	42:18	204:24	9:1
161:8,12	131:20	45:8	205:19	37:19,20
163:19,22	203:22	47:24	213:2	38:12
165:5	idea 44:7	48:7	216:2	56:4 58:3
166:11	45:11	50 : 20		68:6 72:2
190:23	51:2	51:5	impact	82:19,20
191:5	55:13	58 : 20	42:24	117:23
192:6	80:5	64:6,7,24	63:19	155:18
194:15	92:17	67:14	impacts	173:12
196:21	178:6	71:15	81:25	195:22
201:13,17	187:20	72:20,22	implicatio	198:17
202:4,16,	197:18	80:16	n 28:12	213:14
22 209:3	ideas 28:7	99:12		included
215:5,21 216:23	29:20	100:12	implicatio	7:17 9:12
	30:4 47:6	103:14	ns 41:13	38:6,9
Houghton's	62:3,7	104:23	82:1	67:17
	<u> </u>			0 / • ± /

	i ie eoddinowo	JD 07 23 2017	rage 240	01 270
87:22,24	200:5	25	, 22	inherent
115:3,6	indicates	19:13,25	139:10	144:6
118:22	20:9,16	20:6	140:6,13	146:4
130:17	143:6	22:22,25	141:12	148:1
135:21	153:14	24:22	142:21	in haves
175:12,24		26:10,14	143:23	in-house
177:18	154:1	27 : 9	145:13	69:18
194:5,6,8	163:2,13, 16	29 : 12	146:15,19	106:18
includes	Τ 0	30:2,18,2	, 25	initial
	indicating	1 40:19	147:10,15	111:4
190:6	23:20	41:6 44:2	, 19	112:17
including	109:4	47:16	150:25	145:11
118:8	150:15,24	53:24	157:10 , 17	initially
143:1	indication	54:14,20	158 : 1	9:16
148:24	s 66:9	59 : 20	160:12	25:24
197:20		61:16	161:3	
201:18	individual	66:1	162:6	initiated
202:7	54:19	69 : 15	167:3	107:21
inclusive	55:4	73:15	170:5	initiative
179:18	165:12	75 : 18	171:23	17 : 4
	individual	76:9,25	172 : 5	28:18
incorporat	s 54:13	77:8,15	178:15	
ed 15:8	124:8,25	79:18,21	184:4	initiative
139:11	125:18	80:2,10,1	188:6,9,1	s 7:25
increasing	213:24	1 81:19	0	44:4
147:22		82:16	190:6,20	139:3,6
	indoor	84:5	191:3	173:17
Indeed	7:18	85:18	192:10,12	input
215:3	industry	86:15,19,	193:8	11:22
independen	54:22	22	195:6	17:13,16
ce 169:17	59:20	90:4,11	198:15,18	18:6
independen	198:17	91:16,24	205:18	28:6,16,1
t 172:4	influence	92:21	216:9,24	7 66:3
	165:20	93:19		106:25
181:8	103:20	94:11	informed	163:14,24
independen	influencin	96:1,3,5	198:16	198:18
tly 94:2	g 219:12	98:18	infrastruc	inquire
indicate	info 56:13	99:5	ture	137:22
48:12,19		101:4	11:24	
166:25	inform	102:18	44:19	inquiries
209:2	18:2	110:17	45:21	216:22
	informal	117:21	76:9 82:1	Inquiry
indicated	89:24	118:22	83:2	1:3 2:3,4
35:25	90:2	119:2	94:16,20	6 : 20
77:12	informally	121:24	122:13	
92:4	26:20	127:21	infrastruc	inside
94:25	90:7	131:8,11		145:3
98:19		134:5	ture/ball	installati
99:10	informatio	135:22	40:11	on 50:18
108:13	n	136:6,16	in-hand	Instead
197:15	17:21,24,	137:12,20	79:23	54:24
198:25		,,		J4.44

	TO COLLINGIO	00 00 20 2010	rage 240	01 270
instructed	lly	introducti	101:13	154:24
104:19	106:19	on 110:14	102:1	I've 7:1,4
instructio	inter	173:2	107:22	11:10
n 126:6	165:10	introducto	117:11,18	17:3
127:14		ry 71:22	, 25	21:22
139:20	interactio	_	118:6,16	31:21
173:11	ns 23:1	invest	149:3	50:16
	101:9	14:6	186:23	62 : 14
instructio	126:13,21	investigat	187:12,23	76:22
ns 55:15	interest	e 33:18	188:4	85:2 90:6
116:25	22:17	113:1	involvemen	100:24
127:9	32:3 43:6	186:6	t	125:11
129:25	44:25	investigat	107:6,10	141:12
178:13	45:3	ed	113:14	156:21
insular	48:24		157 : 1	159:17
39:21	73:7,14	79:4 , 12	198:22	161:16
insulated	106:17	investigat	199:21	166:4
97:5	interested	ion 74:2	involves	177:9
144:21	9:24	investigat	42:15	205:17
147:22	53:11	ive 179:4	42:13	208:18,23
149:9	94:14		Iroquoian	218:3
171:11,17	139:1	investing	9:2 11:25	
172:13	190:18	44:20	Irwin	
174:25		investment	66 : 25	job 12:24
190:7	interestin	18:6	131:6	27:15,19
192:15	g 9:5	44:23	133:12,14	29:6,9
	136:11	45:3,19	isn't	142:4
insulation	interests	175:16	31:20	167:2,14,
144:2 145:3	184:25	investors	78:24	16 170:11
145:3	interim	45 : 4		181:2
140:4	111:4		isolated	jobs 170:9
148:4,16	interior	invitation	181:25	177:10
		48:10	issued	
integrated	175:5	131:5	18:19	Joe 189:7
7:25	internal	invite	141:15	John 2:4
integrity	8:2 10:6	14:3	issues	join 138:1
170:3	11:11,14	105:25	22 : 12	
intel	46:14	invited	39:21	joined
26:8,13	140:10	26:6,7	63:19	12:6
	internet	48:2	113:21	200:6,22
intend	59:25	121:1,8	italicized	joint
131:20	interpret	122:21	180:6	110:16
intent	30:11	involve		JP 124:22
28:4		17:12	item 13:15	125:4
30:24	intervenin	59:16	73:18	
86:19	g 100:4,6		items	judge
intention	introduced	involved	114:5	201:1
25:15	24:12	11:18,22	115:25	judgment
	195:21	42:1	142:15	34:11
intentiona		95:20	153:16	JUDICIAL
	1			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOC	D 09-23-2019	Page 247	OI 2/6
1:3	Justice	52:20,25	102:13,20	· ·
juggling	1:7	54 : 3	, 25	140:21
67:6	justified	55:9,18	103:8,14,	
July 64:24	136:25	57:10,17,	22	142:1,9,1
78:20		23	104:7,25	4,23
80:3 81:2	K	58:11,18,	105:11,17	
83:9,13	kafuffle	24	106:20,23	
92:11	56:20	59:13,22	107:2,5,1	· ·
93:25	36:20	60:3,15	6,24	146:12
94:9,12	Kate 2:3	62:6	108:5,10	147:7
95:15	3:6 5:5	63:8,9,18	109:20,23	
96:21,22	6:1,2,5,1	64:6,11,1	110:3,13,	
98:21,22	0,14,19	8,19	22 112:9	150:18
103:24	7:9	65:5 , 10	113:5,10,	
103.24	10:11,16,	66:17	24	18,23
	20 11:17	67:10,19,	114:11,17	
110:5 113:12	12:6,15,2	25 69:15	,22	153:2,7,2
114:2,25	0,23	70:9,20	115:12,21	
114:2,25	13:3,10,1	71:9,15,2	116:23	155:4,17
129:24	4 14:9	1 72:12	117:9,16,	
	15 : 7	73:9,13,1	17,24	18,24
130:3,4	16:16,23	8	118:5,15,	
131:4	17:15	74:17,23	24 119:10	
185:4,22	18:9,14	75:5,10,1	121:7,16,	
209:15,16	21:18,24	3	20	159:2,8,1
216:10 218:7	22:10,18,	77:16,25	122:1,7,1	
210:/	24	78:11,17	5	160:5,14,
jump 25:3	23:7,14,2	79:8,15	123:12,21	
juncture	5 24:19	80:1,16	, 22	162:10,17
202:23	25 : 21	81:1,17	124:13,18	
	26:12,25	82:6,12	125:12,17	· ·
June 38:23	27:7,15,2	83:8,19	,23	164:6,16
39:6	4 28:21	84:17	126:12,20	166:9,10
43:16,24	29:1,8	85:13,20	127:3,8,1	167:6,9,1
44:7 48:7	30:6,17	86:7 87:3	3,16,18,2	2,18
49:17,22	33:2,3,9	88:12,13	5	168:17
50:8,12,2	36:3,14,2	89:5,16,2	128:4,13	170:17,18
2 53:2	0,25	0 90:8	129:17,18	171:7
55:10,21 60:18,24	37:24	91:2,14	,23	172:7
64:22	38:15,21	92:9,16,2	130:24	173:16,23
84:9,20	39:3,14	4 93:7,17	131:3,19	174:11,16
85:9	40:23	95:5,10,1	132:4,5,1	,21
86:11	42:13	4,19,22	3,14,19	175:22
207:18	43:14,20	96:2,15,2	133:21	176:12,13
207:18	44:5,9	0	134:20 135:2	177:15,16 178:10,24
209:9,19	45:6,23	97:14,22		
211:15	46:7	98:7	136:2,9,1 7	179:16
212:22	47:18	99:14,18	137:16,23	180:5,15
213:25	49:6,16	100:5,12 101:8,12,	137:16,23	181:1,16, 22
215:25	51:5,18,1	17,21,24	22	182:12,17
	9	1/ , 41, 44	۷.۷	102.12 , 17
1				

	. IC COHHINGWO	JD 09-23-2019	raye 240	01 270
183:3,8,2	79:17	later	leave	levels
4	125:18	23:12	10:17	173:9,13
185:3,19,	152:22	70:10	116:9	liaison
20 186:17	175:18	81:12	132:9	197:5
187:2,9,1	192:23	133:3	157:3	
7,21	195:5,9	188:2,7	216:13	life
188:3,8,1	known	197:19	leaving	149:18
8	201:14,16	layer	8:6 23:14	150:4
189:1,6,2	209:18,23	29:11		light
2	,	54:18	led 26:3	216:14
190:3,21			102:25	lighting
191:18,25	labelled	layered	103:1	107:11
192:5	115:3,4	100:1	151:19	
193:14,19	·	layering	LEED	likely
194:1,19,	lacked	100:18	137:8,18	67:17
25	26:10	layout	173:8,13	73:2
195:5,13, 18 196:10	Lake 9:2	38:8	183:13,16	78:17 139:23
18 196:10	landed	135:20	LEED's	139:23
Keith	113:3	lead 14:8	136:21	limit
186:9		124:9	137:2,4	127:22
Kelso/Glen	landsc		legal 40:9	limitation
8:9	125:8	leadership	_	s 56:10
Kevin	landscape	89:12	Leonard	127:4,22
33:12,15	125:9	leading	46:14	128:1
203:25	language	31:23	115:14,22	limited
204:3,13	182:2	118:7	117:18	109:5
206:21	large 7:17	126:14,23	129:24 131:6	200:23
209:24	8:17	129:10	131.0	
211:14	43:22	Leaf	146:16	limiting
key 26:5	177:10	187:12 , 23	153:11	59:25
		learn		limits
Kim 25:1	largely	21:24	Leonard's	130:19
203:12	130:18		154:24	Linda
kinds 60:5	large-	learned	159:14	93:22
63:19	scale	88:14	less 43:22	94:24
85:25	43:8	117:6 188:13	let's 31:7	line 19:17
102:5	largest		67 : 21	81:11
122:8	8:9,20	learning	169:2	92:2,7
knew	Larry	95 : 25	210:24,25	140:9,15
86:1,21	131:6	190:11	letter	142:3
117:22	133:12	least	203:24	163:12
118:19		63:24	204:13	170:8
168:21	last 86:13	72 : 22	level 11:2	lines
177:23	124:20	78 : 25		15:24
188:14	125:23	126:5	16:17,24 18:7	16:7 37:4
191:21	134:7	140:11	18:7 38:16	114:8
201:21	137:23	206:20	38:16 42:14,19	162:18
knowledge	141:8 171:8	207:4	139:10	
74:17	1/1:0	211:14	100.10	link 97:2
1				1

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	00 09-23-2019	Page 249	OI 2/6
list 3:3	206:21	125:9	27:10,18	37:15
4:1 46:18	207:17	155 : 2	28 : 24	
51:14	209:8,15,	168:14	29 : 3	manual
72:13,17	24	169:11	30:15,19	12:11
130:9	214:1,10,	170:9	49:14	manufactur
133:25	17	205:18	54 : 17	er 145:9
135:6	215:18,22		55 : 1	manufactur
173:17	,23	lots	57 : 15	
194:3	·	106:15	61:23	ers
	Lloyd's	107:11	62:11	177:21
lists	36:9,15	lounge	66:4,19,2	178:4
73:22	37:22	175:9	2 67:12	March
154:5	50:22	love 19:5	73:2	14:25
little	55 : 10	10ve 19.3	88 : 22	15:11
13:4	211:14	lunch	89:7,8	18:17
15:10,13	212:14	131:22	90:20	23:8
19:16	216:18,25		91:6 92:6	199:8
20:8	lobbied		93:3	Marcus
34:13	165:15	macdonald	99:15,17	105:25
40:12		123:12,13	100:21	106:8,20,
46:20	local 22:3	,18 189:7	101:20	21 107:17
48:4	167:22	·	107:20	
51:16,25	location	Macdonald	116:10,16	marginaliz
52:3,7	9:19 20:4	107:3,17	110:10,10	ed 8:1
56:5 61:5	111:2	MacNeil	138:2	Marjorie
73:19	171 : 19	83:23	160:25	105:24
147:13	locations	84:19		
151:2	40:10	86:11,17	163:3,14 201:11	Marjory
162:25		87:7	201:11	46:14
170:22	logical	96:25	202:3	115:14
172:8	164:19	133:12	manager	117:7,10,
203:10	long 44:16		7:23	18,23,25
204:7	128:16	madam 5:14	8:8,23	118:2
	177 : 9	main 150:2	11:9	131:6
living	190:4	mainly	55 : 23	159:18
9:24		192:17	67 : 17	Marjory's
Lloyd	longer		83:21	130:23
33:12,15	54:17	majority	86:9	mark 56:23
35:6,7	loop 96:7	30:14	124:5	mark 50:23
37:14	lose	69:21	125 : 7	market
38:18	170:11	manage	163:5	37:8
49:21		8:12,19	213:8	40:15
53:1,4	lot 8:2	42:22	managing	45:1
83:20,25	32 : 7	67 : 17	7:4 98:13	105:20
87:7,8	41:24	124:11	124:15	171:22
93:25	43:6 57:1	202:11	213:1,2,3	marketing
97:1	67:3,6,8			9:21
131:6	76:8 86:4	management	mandate	80:11
159:15	88:21 , 24	10:5 11:2 25:7,10,1	16:19	
203:25	90:15		17:1	Marrocco
204:3,13	119:1	3,22 26:22	35:12	1:7
	124:10	∠∪•∠∠	36:6	5:3,11

INQUINI	TE COTTINGMOOD	0 0 2 2 2 2 0 1 2	rage 230	01 270
31:9 51:7	25:11,24	86:3,24	24	23 170:1
62:19,25	26:16	87:15 , 23	127:7,11,	171:5
63:3 64:9	27:4,14,2	88:5,20	15	172:3
87:20	1 28:2,25	89:10,18	128:3,6,9	173:14
88:3 91:9	29:7,10	90:1,14	,18,23	174:9,15
93:9	30:13,22	91:5,11	129:5	175 : 21
119:18,23	31:15	92:1,13,1	130:21	176:2,10
120:7,10,	36:2,8	9 93:2,12	131:10	177 : 4
15 122:23	37:16	94:13	132:7	178:6,21
123:2,14	38:2,19	95:9,13,1	133:20	179:15,20
128:15,21	40:22,25	8,21,24	134:13,25	
,25	42:16	96:6	136:1,5,1	
129:14	43:18,25	97:12,17	1	182:10,15
131:23	44:8,15	98:5,16	137:6,21	183:20
132:8	45:15	99:16,23	138:1,7,1	184:23
164:18,24	46:4 47:4	100:7,23	1	185:10,15
165:3,6,9	49:4,11	101:11,15	139:8,22	186:25
,25	50:24	,19,22	140:17,25	
168:20,24	51:13,24	102:8,17,	141:20	20
169:4,21,	52:3,7,11	22	142:6,11,	188:1,5,1
24	54:2,7	103:2,11	20	1 190:15
176:7,15	55:17	104:23	145:15,22	
185:13	56:19	105:2,6,1	146:10	193:11 , 17
189:20	57:14,20,	4,24	148:21	194:17 , 22
196:12	21	106:10,22	149:2	195 : 3,8
204:1,4,8	58:8,16	107:1,4,8	150:14,23	196:1
,21	59:3,17	,20 108:3	151:10,16	
205:23	60:2,9	109:11,22	, 22	23 198:11
218:21	61:22	112:8	152:2,18,	199:2,14,
219:1,7,1	62:5,10,2	113:2,8,1	25 154:20	17
5	3	7	155:1,13,	200:1,9,1
Marron 2:9	63:1,13,2	114:4,16	21	4,18,24
	2 65:9,24	115:10	156:8,17,	201:5,20,
Marta 3:5	66:23	116:22	21	24
5:6,24	67:14	117:2,20	157:8,23	202:10,18
6:4,9,13,	69:8,21	118:2,10,	158:4,12,	203:1,4,8
17,22	70:17	20	20,25	, 16
7:10	71:2	119:1,16,	159:7 , 23	204:5,24
10:15,19,	72:19	21	160:3,9	205:17
25 11:21	73:11,17	120:4,9,1	161:15	206:5,10,
12:9,19,2	74:6,21,2	3,17	162:3,23	17
1	5	121:9,13,	163:8,21	207:8,14
13:8,13,2	75:8,12,1	17,23	164:4,12,	208:18,23
5	6 77:23	122:4,12,	22	209:10,20
16:15,21	78:8,14	19,25	165:1,5,8	210:5,14,
17:2,19	79:7,10,2	123:8,13,	,18	19,23
21:13,21 22:3,16,2	4 80:6	16	166:3,21	211:2,7,1
0	81:16,21	124:3,17	167:8,11,	7,22
23:3,11,1	82:11,15,	125:3,16,	15,20	212:4,24
8 24:4	25 84:11	22	168:23	213:5,8,1
21.1	85:11,16	126:8,18,	169:3,19,	1,15,17,2
1				

	INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	rage 231	01 270
	0	216:24	5 24:19	80:1,16	122:1,7,1
	214:3,8,1	maybe	25:21	81:1 , 17	5
	1,18,22	22:10	26:12,25	82:6,12	123:12,21
	215:11,15	198:3	27:7,15,2	83:8,19	,22
	, 25	204:6	4 28:21	84:17	124:13,18
	216:11,15	212:15	29:1,8	85:13 , 20	125:12,17
	,19 217:1		30:6,17	86:7 87:3	
	218:9,19	216:8	33:2,3,9	88:12,13	126:12,20
	219:14	219:4	36:3,14,2	89:5,16,2	127:3,8,1
	Martin	mayor	0,25	0 90:8	3,16,18,2
	192:7	23:19	37:24	91:2,13,1	
		49:21,24	38:15,21	4	128:4,13
	master	50:21	39:3,14	92:9,16,2	129:17 , 18
	12:2	51:2	40:23	4	, 23
	materials	53:1,4	42:13	93:7,16,1	130:24
	80:11	55:9 87:8	43:14,20	7	131:3 , 19
	131:14	88:25	44:5,9	95:5,10,1	132:4,5,1
		93:24,25	45:6,23	4,19,22	3,14,19
	Mather 2:4	94:8 97:1	46:7	96:2,15,2	133:21
	matter	101:5,9	47:18	0	134:20
	198:14	159:15,20	49:6,16	97:14 , 22	135:2
	matters	165:21,22	51:5,18,1	98 : 7	136:2,9,1
	46:13	209:8,15	9	99:14 , 18	7
	216:18	217:18	52:20,25	100:5,12	137:16,23
		218:6,14	54:3	101:8,12,	138:9,15,
	may 10:14	mayor's	55:9,18	17,21,24	22
	14:20	55 : 20	57:10,17,	102:13,20	139:12,24
	33:11	58:7 60:4	23	, 25	140:21
	37:2	McDowell	58:11,18,	103:8,14,	141:1
	45:22	2:13	24	22	142:1,9,1
	61:3		59:13,22	104:7,25	4,23
	66:25	McGrann	60:3,15	105:11,17	143:13,22
	68:25 70:22	2:3 3:6	62 : 6	106:20,23	144:16
	70:22	5:5	63:8,9,18	107:2,5,1	145:17,24
	90:16	6:1,2,5,1	64:6,11,1	6 , 24	146:12
	92:13	0,14,19	8,19	108:5,10	147:7
	108:25	7:9	65:5 , 10	109:20,23	
	120:6	10:11,16,	66:17	110:3,13,	149:7
	140:7	20 11:17	67:10,19,	22 112:9	150:18
	141:17	12:6,15,2	25 69:15	113:5,10,	151:1,12,
	149:17	0,23	70:9,20	24	18,23
	150:24	13:3,10,1	71:9,15,2	114:11,17	152:7,22
	158:20,25	4 14:9	1 72:12	,22	153:2,7,2
	159:3	15:7	73:9,13,1	115:12,21	5 154:22
	187:4	16:16,23	8	116:23	155:4,17
	196:6	17:15	74:17,23	117:9,16,	156:1,14,
	205:5	18:9,14 21:18,24	75:5,10,1	17,24	18,24
	206:16	22:10,18,	3	118:5,15,	
	207:5,13	22:10,18,	77:16 , 25	24 119:10	158:6,13,
	209:25	23:7,14,2	78:11,17	121:6,7,1	22 150.2 8 1
		20.1,14,2	79:8,15	6 , 20	159:2,8,1
ı					I

INQUIRY re	COLLINGWOOD	09-23-2019	Page 25	2 of 276
------------	-------------	------------	---------	----------

		D 09-23-2019	raye 232	01 270
3,24	99:3,6,25	147:13	122:19	165:12,15
160:5,14,	102:10	191:1	126:14,23	,16 189:9
19 161:18	103:13,16	media	131:4,9,1	192:6,7
162:10,17	108:11	188:10,11	2 132:10	193:9
,24	109:3		133:10	198:9
163:10,25	110:4,7	meet 19:15	135:5,7,1	membership
164:6,16	113:6	20:20	4,25	197:6
166:9,10	114:25	29 : 25	136:10	
167:6,9,1	115:15	76:2	138:3,6,8	membrane
2,18	116:11	84:23	, 16	51:3 59:4
168:17	119:2,12,	90:13	151:15	82:4
170:17,18	17 122:24	92:7	162:21	105:8
171:7	123:9	94:16	182:5,19	130:13
172:7	124:14	116:11	185:5,22	143:17,25
173:16,23	125:4	119:25	meetings	144:5,22
174:11,16	127:12	120:22	87:22,24	145:2,3,2
, 21	130:1,5,6	121:8,21	89:23	0 146:4
175:22	,22 131:5	122:16	90:2,5	147:2,9,2
176:12,13	132:24	180:9,17	96:1	5
177:15,16	133:3,13	181:5	121:2	148:11,16
178:10,24	140:4,6	202:6	122:18,22	149:25
179:16	143:1	meeting		150:3
180:5,15	144:18,20	15:11,15	meeting's	166:2
181:1,16,	145:16	19:3 47:1	219:3	171:12,18
22	150:16,24	48:1,6,11	meets	, 25
182:12,17	151:6,20	, 19	161:22	172:13
183:3,8,2	153:13	49:1,2,8	Megan	174:25
4	163:15	50:12,13	133:7	175:6,12 177:22
185:3,19,	190:24	60:18,25		178:4,7,1
20 186:17	191:13	61:4,9,10	member	9 179:6
187:2,9,1	192:8	64:24	12:16	181:25
7,21	193:1	67:1	18:20	182:6,14
188:3,8,1	McNalty's	72:18	46:15	183:15
8	123:23	75:24	133:12 196:25	190:7
189:1,6,2	193:15	78:4,20	190:25	192:16
2	194:16	80:18,20	members	
190:3,21	mean	81:3	31:3	membrope
191:18,25 192:5	105:12	83:9,13	47:10	143:25
193:14,19	123:15	84:1,9,21	55:10,11	memo
194:1,19,	176:8	87:6,10,1	66:3,18,2	108:16,21
25	180:20	6,18 88:5	1 67:11	110:13
195:5,13,	198:3	92:2,11	73:12	151:6
18 196:10	maant	94:5,9,12	89:24	193:7 , 15
	meant 13:22,25	96:22 98:8	90:20	194:16
McNalty	44:14	103:23,25	91:6	memorandum
76:4,5,18	183:19	103:23,23	95:16	108:11
77:18		104:12	106:11	109:5
86:10,12	meantime	114:8	117:7	Memorial
87:8 90:2	24:11	120:20	135:6 154:18	Memoria 34:23
97:1	mechanical	121:3	154:18	34:23 111:8
98:25		-21 . 0	T 00:0	111:0

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>
112:24	35:3	ous	219:11	94:19
140:24	172:15,21	194:10	mostly	multi-use
154:7,8,1	173:25	misinterpr	93:3	14:20
1	174:5,7	eted		33:21
memory	175:16,23	193:2	motions	41:4
36:12	176:17		60:23	43:23
142:5	194:7,12,	misleading	Mountsberg	45:10,12
151:12	13 207:1	192:24	9:3 12:1	197:2
mention	218:16	misquote	move 8:11	municipal
35:9 36:4	Mills	146:5	31:10	6:24 8:10
180:1	18:23	misread	32:13	14:4 42:4
	19:2	192:17	40:2,5	44:19
mentioned	20:3,5,16		49:17	88:1
17:15	,17 22:14	missing	64:7,8	
23:5 44:9	48:11,20,	166:19	82:18	municipali
52:13	23	model	84:17	ties 43:4
83:9	49:3,8,12	136:10	87 : 3	124:8
136:6	50:9,10,1	modified	108:19	municipali
214:17	1,12,23	106:5	206:5	ty 7:15
menu 47:13	83:23		moved	42:25
message	87:6	moment 172:23	7:12,22	45:19
35:24	mim 105:6		9:14	89:14
56:21	mind 5:16	moments	11:19	MURF
69:14	24:1,5,8	209:25	41:16	197:18
118:23,25	70:4,13	Monday	198:9	207:7
messages		140:12	moving	218:8,16
32:17,21	minimal	141:15	19:6	myself
100:1,18	112:21	Monday's	40:14	47:10
166:3	minimize	161:2	48:4 71:4	62:11
met 70:25	42:24		110:25	73:2 77:4
94:23,24	minimum	money	204:23	109:13
·	108:24	96:10 218:2,4,8	210:2	113:22
metadata	112:13		218:22	153:8
143:6	minute	months	multi	170:13
metal	100:14	8:23	179:22	
149:10	204:22	10:17		N
method		15:22	multiple	Namely
155:14	minutes	16:3,8	22:5	100:7
	46:9,19,2	23:12 56:23	24:15,16 32:17	
metre	60:17,20	168:14	36:11	nature 12:12
73:24	103:23		64:4 66:2	27:19
mezzanine	104:8	morning	69:25	55:15
134:10,11	105:7	5:4,18		122:8
175:20	135:4,12,	6:2,4	multipurpo	144:6
194:6	25 137:20	61:11	se 12:4	147:25
M-hm 169:3	138:15	91:17	42:8	210:10
211:22	188:20	132:24	multi-	necessaril
216:19	196:13	133:3 144:17	purpose	
million	miscellane	144.1/	64:3	y 26:23
	mracerrane			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	00 09-23-2019	Page 254	of 2/6
necessary	154:5,6,7	210:1	offline	121:15
40:1,6	,8,10	occasion	92:14,18	ongoing
98:18	notice	206:15	105:25	199:24
112:18	25 : 1	207:16	off-line	
necessity			67 : 2	on-one
202:2	noticed	occasions		26:21
	211:11	63:2,14	offset	Ontario
Neubauer	notified	113:20	42:7	1:20 6:12
2:18 5:17	217:24	occur	44:12	8:21
newly 10:3	notion	41:14	45:20	53:15
news	92:11	74:15	oft-	59:20
217:18	119:14	occurred	expressed	open 8:15
218:5	126:3	24:16	215:19	22:5,22
		26:21	Okay 6:22	57 : 5,12
nine	nowhere	28:9	7:10	66:7
144:17	106:14	52:16	30:6,17	
nobody	np 2:13	80:8	36:14,25	opening
102:18	numerous		37:24	23:20
117:2	7:3,12	occurring	38:15	51:1
118:21	23:4	28:5	47 : 18	56:22
non-	26:19	o'clock	49:16	209:16
directly	27 : 5	132:9	78 : 17	216:3,10
29:15	42 : 11	144:17	89:5,16	operating
	63:1,13	146:17	92:9	69:10
none 69:12	67 : 2	219:2	95:5,19	111:25
107:14	69 : 12	October	102:13	116:5,7,1
146:9	71:24	10:18	103:14	3
nor 37:21	76 : 18	189:9	105:17	146:22,23
62 : 17	102:9	190:24	106:20	154:2
137:21	113:19	192:5	107:2	180:1
normal	196:2	195:20	113:24	operationa
144:2		200:17	114:11,17	1 8:25
147:19		201:4	118:15	81:24
160:6	objective	odd 199:23	119:10	141:16
	158:17		134:20	operations
normally		offended	161:18	9:18
103:4	obligation	25 : 20	191:18	
177:2	s 114:8	offered	202:21	operator
note 61:15	201:17,18	94:4	206:10	65:6
217:7	,22,23	offering	213:7,22	207:24
noted	202:7	48:23	216:11	opi 198:17
135:17	observatio		219:9,14	opinion
	n 198:3	offhand	older	I -
notes	obtain	122:5	74:12	26:3,16 27:21
50:10	137:18	office		54:21
141:16	209:3	211:4	omitted	70:18
147:21		offices	75 : 3	70:18
150:7	obtained	210:9,21	one-on-one	74:21
nothing	148:2	210:9,21	25:14	123:17
23:6	obviously	411.0	ones	120.11
	_			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 09-23-2019	Page 255	of 276	
137:10,12	4,17,25	216:22	37:14	135:23	
	75:17		145:2		
opinions	81:5,10,2	otherwise		page 3:2	
17:24	3 82:17	72:15	outstandin	4:2 39:15	
144:9	102:6,16,	158:19	g 46:13	65 : 1	
opportunit	19 103:17	171:20	66:16	71:11,17	
ies 7:3	104:1	174:14	overall	72:7	
37:9 42:2	111:17	188:10	111:5,19	104:3	
45:18	120:22	ought	•	112:10	
73:25	124:16	151:24	overlap	135:8	
111:23	127:21		142:21	137:24	
186:22	143:2,6	outdoor	overseeing	147:8,17	
	·	7:18	10:23	152:8	
opportunit	148:7	23:21	11:1	162:25	
y 8:12,25	154:5	38:12	98:2,13	163:11	
14:6	155:10	50:6	overshadow	168:19	
109:1	173:18	52:17		170:20	
111:12	179:18	53:19	ed 185:12	171:8,9	
160:1	184:5,11	59:7 , 8	overview	172 : 8	
176:3	185:11	68:7,8,9	6 : 20	174:22	
200:20,22	186:21	73:21	16:17	176:13	
204:12,23	187:13	78:23	46:12	177:16	
205:14	189:12,14	79:1 , 18		181:17	
208:13	200:3	80:3 81:7	owner	190:4,8	
215:14	216:5	86:20	171:21	pages	
opposed	217:22	94:11		143:14	
73:15	order 19:4	95 : 17	P		
79:2	43:12	96:4,24	p.m 96:21	paid 186:4	
	61:1	97:6,24,2	132:1,2	panel	
option	135:18	5 98:11	138:23	144:3	
24:12	162:8	124:24	146:15,17	149:10,19	
35:17	organizati	125:15,21	196:15,16	·	
49:14	on 25:17	170:21	219:22	panels	
54:25		171:1,4	P3 37:8	147:22	
72:4	90:24	209:6	41:24,25	149:10	
81:5,7	214:16	outline	42:14,17	parade	
102:7	orient	19:6		35 : 1	
152:13,14	14:16	76 : 15	P3s	206:23	
174:3	oriented	103:3	42:3,19	paragraph	
options	12:11		package	14:14,17,	
31:2,5,7		outlined	146:19	22	
37:7	original	16:1	pad 50:6	15:2,13	
46:14,24	58:9	141:12	68:6	24:20,21,	
47:22	106:4	outlines	81:5,6	25 25:4,5	
51:4,15	originally	19:14	97:24	38:24	
62:13,17	192:18	39:16	98:9,10	39:3	
65:14,15	others	outlining	104:15	46:8,9,21	
68:13,21		130:23	112:20	48:3,9,20	
69:6,12,2	121:18		183:10	49:18,20	
5 70:18	122:17	outside		· ·	
71:17	194:21	35:11	pads 34:22	50:7	
72:2,13,1	198:9	36:5	38:7	51:6,20	
,, -					

	THE COLLINGWO	OD 03 23 2013	1 age 250	01 270
52:21,25	189:2,6,1	189:12,14	ps 34:5	104:5,21
54:4	3,24	190:17	43:3,19	105:4
55:18	190:22	192:12		108:8
56:6,16	195:14	194:7	pass	109:9
58:11,19	212:8	195:23	146:15	110:1,11,
60:16,17	na ma amamb a	196:4	passed	20 112:6
61:6	paragraphs	197:1	184:10	114:20
67:20,21	14:12	217:21	Pat 18:23	115:19
68:11	85:23		48:11	121:11
70:20	93:18	parking	50:9 84:5	123:6
71:22	97:4	106:15		125:1
80:21	217:7	107:11	path 66:11	126:10,16
81:1	parent	parks	167:21	127 : 1
83:14,19	93:23	8:9,24	patiently	128:11
84:18	<pre>park 12:2</pre>	9:9 10:12	121:24	129:21
86:9	14:19,21,	13:12,17	D - 4 1-	131:1,17
87:4,5	23	91:19	Patrick	132 : 17
93:8,17,2	15:7,18	95 : 7	19:2	137:14
2	16:5,13,1	125 : 7	83:23	138:20
96:16,20	8,25	163:4	87:6	141:25
105:18	18:18,22	189:7	Paul 2:7	143:11,20
108:6,10	20:17	190:13,16	187:12 , 22	144:14
110:23	21:5 24:9	, 17	PAUSE	147:5
111:15	30:24	partially	5:8,22	149:5
114:18,24	35:21	187:5	13:1 15:5	152 : 5
115:13	37:3	192:20	18:12	153:5,23
129:19	39:20		32:25	155:24
130:3,25	41:4,13	particular	33:7	157 : 6
131:3	47:7 49:1	10:23	36:18,23	159:5,11
132:15,19	50:4,6,13	20 : 25	39:1,12	160:17
133:6,11	61:17	22 : 15	51:22	162:1,15
136:18	65:13	59 : 24	52:1,5,9,	164:10
138:18,22	76:7	105:12	23 55:7	166:7
139:25	81:25	114:15	58:14,22	173 : 21
140:1	86:18,20	134:7	63:6	174:19
141:2	104:8,15	146:7	64:16	181:14,20
142:24	104.8,13	198:2	65:3,22	182:8
143:3	111:3,11,	210:13	67:23	183:1,6,2
144:11	20	particular	70:7	2 184:21
146:13	112:15,18	ly 153:17	71:13,19	186:15
148:8	,21	_	72:10	187 : 7
149:9,25	124:23	parties	80:14,24	188:16,24
153:3,11	125:10,15	87:16	82:23	189:4,18
159:9,13	126:2,4	partnershi	83:6,17	190:1
160:15,19	133:1	p 32:6	84:15	191:23
161:7	135:1	41:5	87:1,13	192:3
171:8	137:7	42:17,18,	88:10	193:24
172:10	137:7	20	93:14	195:11,16
174:24	143:2,5	44:11,21	96:18	201:8
175:3	154:10	45:5,9,13	97:20	203:20
186:12	154:10	partnershi	103:20	204:16
100.12	100:10	partnersni	103.20	201.10

205:11	148:6,14	es 63:16	pit 9:11	176:23
206:3,8	191:1	pertaining	placed	please
207:22	perhaps	133:15,16	117:19	6:19 7:9
208:4,9,1	34:19,21	mhaaa	127:4,23	16:21
6,21	42:7	phase	128:2	36:20
212:11,18	44:25	16:10	130:19	40:12
215:1,9	45:18	21:6,7,16	144:25	50:4
217:4,10,	72:8	37 : 6		51:20
15	150:16	82:18	placement	56:2
pay 184:5	196:6	111:5	118:1	58:1,8
peculiar	period	112:17 116:4,6	places	65 : 5
129:2,3,8	10:14	134:18	89:1	79:24
	42:23	184:10	103:5,8	84:3 98:6
people	113:12	186:10	214:15	128:19
17:6 23:5	156:4	100.10	plan 39:19	141:10
26:6,8	199:6	phased	69:3,11,1	142:9
29:22,25	201:15	81:6	7,23 84:3	146:18
87:18	201:13	97 : 24		159:9
88:14,15		98:10	planning	174:22
89:9	permit	183:10	7:25 9:19	180:25
93:20	145:19	phases	10:8,22,2	189:2
95:15	permits	32:13	3 11:1,3	195:14
99:20	55:25		38:22	199:3
100:3,6,9	194:10	phasing	39:5	203:23
,17,19,21	213:21	37:21	46:3,10	204:14
116:12		phone 77:4	47:3,6	206:6
122:21	permutatio	130:7	49:23	207:20
125:14	ns 154:15	156:16,20	51:9 54:6	215:7
164:13,14	person	photos	60:7 , 19	pleased
169:1,6,1	67:16	86:18,20	61:8	72:22
0,18	99:19		62:4,8 64:21	
170:8,9	117:10	phrase		plus
210:11	119:17	105:11	70:4 72:15	116:4,7
219:12	123:4	125:11		point 18:4
percent	125:5	physical	plans	37:1 38:5
38:13	163:22	28:11	11:24	75 : 25
86:6	164:5,19,	135:20	12:2	79:15
109:14	20	pick 102:6	125:10	96:7,12
141:23	166:16,24	_	plant 43:9	97:11
194:9,11	168:25	picking	plate 86:5	99:19
perception	185:16	22 : 7	91:18	107:13
29:4	personal	piece 75:3		119:5
	10:17	146:21	play 144:9	120:4,11,
Perfect	perspectiv	156 : 23	player	12
18:15	e 100:24	pieces	75 : 9	121:1,3,1
performanc		63 : 24	158:9,10	9,22
e 97:7	168:10 197:24	66:16	161:13	122:21
136:15			166:19	123:10
144:19,23	198:2,12	piecing	167:1	124:20
147:11,18	perspectiv	86:14	169:13	125:12,24
				135:9

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 258	OI 2/6
137:10	98:11	165:15,17	57 : 25	191:4
139:2	104:16	166:24	59 : 1	
140:16	105:9	199:23	212:23	pre-
145:17	109:7,13,	211:21	213:2,3,1	engineere
149:17	18,21		7	d
152:23	124:24	positions	·	143:16,24
154:25	125:15,21	7:8	power	147:1,8,2
155:11	126:2,4	possession	201:18	0 148:14
159:22,25	130:17	80:3	PowerPoint	149:10,21
162:19	132:25	possibilit	151:20	172:17,20
174:4	133:16	-	D	175:1
183:12	135:10	y 19:14	PowerStrea	176:18
185:7	137:5	44:10	m 201:19	178:14
186:18	137.5	94:3	PPPs 34:4	prefabrica
187:9	150:5	137:8	practicali	ted 116:5
193:8		174:5	ty 171:24	
	154:11	210:16	ty 1/1:24	preference
195:19	155:7,11	possible	practice	198:19
196:7	161:1	19:4 45:8	88:2	preferred
201:16	166:2	53:7	113:18	111:2
211:13	170:21	54:25	114:13	
216:1	171:2,4,1	127:22	160:6	prejudicin
218:23	4 172:2	148:10	165:19	g 22:21
points	182:2	171 : 16	practices	preliminar
17:10	184:3		54:23	y 19:13
107:11	195:20,22	<pre>possibly 42:22</pre>	62:21	56:8 59:9
113:21	,23,25		129:2,8	94:25
134:8	196:3,5	207:11		147:15
139:1,14	209:5	posted	pragmatic	200:5
policy	213:1,4	21:8,11,1	35 : 2	
12:11	Pool/	3	206:24	preparatio
17:21	Central	pot 177:6	PRCAC	n 26:19
24:13	135:10	_	190:17	70:16
32:22	pool/	potential	1//.2	106:15
	outdoor	23:10	pre 144:2	107:25
pool 23:21	53:3	30:8	175:14 180:21	111:25
34:22		41:13	194:2	prepare
50:3 53:9	pools 7:18	79:12	194:2	26:9
56:11,23	19:9	81:25	preceded	46:24
59:7	53:23	96:8	216:3	61:24
68:10	portfolio	112:20	preci	76:15
73:21,24	9:11,20	122:13	36:12	113:15
74:12	10:3,10	142:17		prepared
78:23	·	155:6	precisely	68:13
79:1,3,6,	posed	potentiall	36:12	161:5
18 80:4	186:9	y 45:20	157:16	176:9
81:7 82:4	position	48:25	pre-eng	203:14,17
94:1,3,11	8:7	107:11	115:3	
,14	9:8,15	124:22	130:12	preparing
95:12,17	10:1	Potts	152:12	67 : 13
96:4,11,2	22:22	55 : 25	174:3	presence
4 97:5,25	92:7	JJ • ZJ		

	TE COLLINGWO	JD 09 23 2013	rage 239	01 270
28:11	56:2	c 92:3	18,22	57:14,20
present	58:2,6	procedure	160:10	58:8,16
62:12	59:2 60:5	177:7	168:6	59:3 , 17
106:12	116:2,5,9		177:12	60:2 , 9
162:6	,13,17	procedures	179:4	62:5,10,2
167:4	209:18	12:11	processes	3
198:15	214:6,15	proceed	56:25	63:1,13,2
	primarily	33:22		2 65:9,24
presentati	6:25 11:1	74:19	Proctor	66:23
on 39:4,6	29:10	82 : 8	3:5	67 : 14
144:18	30:15	151:4	5:6,24	69:8 , 21
182:18,23	57 : 15	155:8	6:3,4,9,1	70:17
presented	67:16	158:15,24	3,17,22	71:2
15:9,21		181:24	7:10	72:19
16:2,8	primary	183:25	10:15,19,	73:11 , 17
35:20	8:1 10:4	185:1	25 11:21	74:6,21,2
40:4	principle	187:10,18	12:9,19,2	5
72:21	16:6		1	75:8,12,1
162:20	27:22	proceeded	13:8,13,2	6 77:23
172:5	37:3	216:21	5	78:8 , 14
	prior 28:2	proceeding	16:15,21	79:7,10,2
presently	60:11	196:23	17:2,19	4 80:6
141:5	71:8	207:6	21:13,21	81:16,21
preserves	78:15	218:15	22:3,16,2	82:11,15,
169:17	91:20	process	0	25 84:11
pretty	120:1	11:7 14:8	23:3,11,1	85:11 , 16
38:10	187:9	16:24	8 24:4	86:3,24
101:19	194:18	17:7,11	25:11,24	87:15 , 23
209:12	200:10	18:3	26:16	88:5 , 20
		21:8,11,1	27:4,14,2	89:10,18
previous	priorities	3,15,23	1 28:2,25	90:1,14
52:15,18	54:23	22:1,5	29:7,10	91:5,11
211:17	prioritize	24:11,12,	30:13,22	92:1,13,1
215:23	94:21	18	31:15	9 93:2,12
previously	priority	31:10,21,	33:14	94:13
99:6	68:21	24	36:2,8,15	95:9,13,1
136:6	00:21	32:1,10	37:16	8,21,24
prey 9:3	private	42:21	38:2,19	96:6
	7:20 34:5	62:14	40:22,25	97:12 , 17
price 22:7	42:18,19,	63:16	42:16	98:5,16
35:3 50:1	21 43:3	65 : 25	43:18,25	99:16,23
53:7,17	44:4,21	66:14	44:8,15	100:7,23
134:9,22	45:4,9,13	76:10 , 17	45:15	101:11,15
176:21	privileged	77:17	46:4 47:4	,19,22
206:25	7:2	82:13	49:4,11	102:8,17,
216:22		87 : 25	50:24	22
prices	probably	88:7 95:2	51:13,24	103:2,11
50:22	128:7	113:23	52:3,7,11	104:23
209:4	164:4	117:11	54:2,7	105:2,6,1
pricing	problemati	136:23	55:17 56:19	4
		155:6,16,	20:13	106:10,22
	1	, ,		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	JD 09-23-2019	Page 260	OI Z/b
107:1,4,8	151:10,16	197:4,12,	products	152:9
,20 108:3	,22	23 198:11	53:13	193:21
109:11,22	152:2,18,	199:2,14,	173 : 5	195:23
112:8	25 154:20	17		206:24
113:2,8,1	155:1,13,	200:1,9,1	profe 34:9	207:7,11
7	21	4,18,24	profession	210:3
114:4,16	156:8,17,	201:5,20,	al 168:9	218:8,16
115:10	21	24		·
116:22	157:8,23	202:10,18	profession	projects
117:2,20	158:4,12,	203:1,4,8	als 34:10	11:6,12,2
118:2,10,	20,25	, 16	program	2 43:8,22
20	159:7,23	204:5,24	10:5	59:20
119:1,16,	160:3,9	205:17	13:18	64:4
21	161:15	206:5,10,	124:6	65:14
120:4,9,1	162:3,23	17	programmer	107:15
3,17	163:8,21	207:8,14	7:11	111:1
121:9,13,	164:4,12,	208:18,23		177:10
17,23	22	209:10,20	programmin	prominent
122:4,12,	165:1,5,8	210:5,14,	g 6:25	196:8
19,25	,18	19,23	7:12 8:3	
123:8,13,	166:3,21	211:2,7,1	9:12,18	promo 136:7
16	167:8,11,	7,22	11:4	130:7
124:3,17	15,20	212:4,24	73 : 25	promoted
125:3,16,	168:23	213:5,8,1	programs	8:24
22	169:3,19,	1,15,17,2	7:1,5,21	prompted
126:8,18,	23 170:1	0	8:12 10:9	165:4
24	171:5	214:3,8,1		
127:7,11,	172:3	1,18,22	project	proper
15	173:14	215:11,15	7:22	14:5 31:8
128:3,6,1	174:9,15	,25	11:16	76:10
8,23	175:21	216:11,15	19:20	177:7
129:5	176:2,10	,19 217:1	20:21	192:19
130:21	177:4	218:9,19	21:6 24:7	property
131:10	178:6,21	219:10,14	35:2	14:4
132:6,7	179:15,20		40:4,7	proposal
133:20	180:14,24	procuremen	41:19	37 : 25
134:13,25	181:11	t	42:7,22	94:1
136:1,5,1	182:10,15	76:10,12	46:12	
1	183:20	155:10,14	61:18 69:9	proposed
137:6,21	184:23	,15,18,19		14:20
138:7,11	185:10,15	157:21	81:11	18:6
139:8,22	186:25	produced	83:2	35:11
140:17,25	187:4,15,	53:12	106:5	36:5
141:20	20	192:13	109:2	37:14,21
142:6,11,	188:1,5,1	product	111:4,6,2	62:18
20	1 190:15	22 : 15	0 112:16	67:9 94:5
145:15,22	191:16,20		113:7	112:15
146:10	193:11,17	131:13 136:7	114:7	172:1
148:21	194:17,22		119:12	173:7
149:2	195:3,8	146:7 150:7	122:11,24	proposing
150:14,23	196:1,19	150 : 7	123:4	38:4 95:4
			145:10	
i	i			

INQUIKI	TE COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	rage 201	01 270
188:20	93:19	public	47 : 5	109:12
propping	96:4	6:11,15,2	105:21	117:13
29:20	98:18	4 34:4	179:23	133:17,22
	104:10	35:4	purposes	134:21
pro-rated	108:25	39:23	137:12	146:2,8
150:8	110:14	42:17,19		162:18
pros	115:9	43:2	purposing	166:11
153:17	116:20	44:21	171 : 25	175:18
	126:7	45:4,9,13	pursue	176:8
prospectiv	129:25	54:10	38:20	177:5
e 119:25	130:8	70:23,24	41:3 43:5	178:11
protocol	131:7	71:6	81:4,10	180:23,25
177:7,12	134:5,19	73:15	96:23	186:19
provide	135:16	125:5	98:9	187:3
6:20	137:19	167:21	104:1	197:25
14:2,4	139:19	169:18	186:20	199:3
16:16	147:10	193:10	pursuing	203:10
19:24	157:9	201:24	97:23	205:25
21:3 30:2	158:3	207:2	97:23 137:2	211:23
35:6	162:22	public/	137:2	216:17
56:11	172:16	private	push	questioned
57 : 6	173:18	43:19	120:19	76:19
60:13	174:13	44:11	putting	
61:1,25	175:5		22:21	questions
62:16	178:13	public/	44:25	6:6 12:17
68:19	184:5	stakehold	51:10,11	24:23
76:6	186:21	er 68:24	66:19	28:3 30:7
86:17	195:6	70:21	85 : 5	45:25
91:25	providers	published	100:21	46:3
94:4	8:4	14:24	134:6	47:24
99:4,21	provides	pull 39:9	PVC 149:23	56:14 69:4
104:13	110:16	135:2	150:6	70:10
141:18	111:11	191:25	150.0	77:17
142:4	135:22	207:19		78:19
144:8	143:23	212:15	Q	80:17
175:19		215:6	qualificat	83:11
178:15	providing	217:13	ions 22:6	87:19
179:7	60:11		question	99:21
180:21	85:8 90:4	pulled	16:19,22	100:13,15
182:20	100:20	189:16	24:2	103:15
provided	106:25	purchase	37 : 12	107:18,25
7 : 2	131:11	181:24	41:17	117:4,21
12:8,10	145:12	184:1,7	43:18	119:4
15:14	147:23	purchasing	52 : 12	128:1
16:20	156:3	12:8,18	58 : 24	134:2
26:18	173:11	86:10	63:10	150:24
46:11,15,	provincial	163:5	65:7 , 17	151:9,13,
23 82:16	43:1 44:3	182:6	79 : 25	14 152:24
86:22	proximity		86:8 92:8	153:9
90:11	119:7	purpose	98:6	160:11
		40:24	101:25	
		l l		-

INQUIRI	te COTTINGMOG	09-23-2019	Page 262	OI 2/6
161:6	71:25	reality	103:3,12	191:19,21
162:12	92:10,13	198:2	104:18	193:5,12
166:13	184:25	202:4	107:16	194:17,23
168:8,18	193:5		109:6,14	196:1,7
173:1	196:6	really	113:2,17	216:2,5
186:9		102:18	114:4,9,1	·
193:4	raising	177:7	1,12,14	recalling
196:11,21	92:17	211:3	115 : 10	141:19
198:25	146:1,2	reason	118:2,3,5	recap
199:5	158:23	71:3	119:17	138:25
207:6	range	87:21	121:8,14,	receive
210:20	94:17	93:10	18	96:3
214:21	144:25	106:13	122:4,6	146:24
	150:5	148:13	124:3	
quickly	174:6	159:2	128:6	received
141:11	rather	164:7	131:7,10,	75 : 6
quite	43:10	168:25	12 134:25	97:10,15
11:23	142:15	reasoning	136:6	141:4
21:4	218:13	105:23	137:11	148:20
36:13			138:6,7,1	150:12
45:2	re 19:14	rec 74:20	2 139:8	156:5,10
87:23	30:13	190:13,16	140:15,18	184:16
145:6	117:14	recall	142:12	193:6
165:24	171:20,24	12 : 15	145:11,15	receiving
176:5	reach	13:8	,22 146:2	22:25
quotes	207:12	22:24	148:21	54:1,5,20
88:6	reached	23:3,4,8,	149:2	55:15
135:18	150:22	12,19,23	150:14,23	81:14
138:25		25 : 12	151:10,16	145:11
130.23	reaching	30:14,23	152:2,3,1	154:19
R	59 : 23	40:20	8,19	recent
	reaction	41:1,9	153:1	61 : 15
R-12 144:4	54 : 5	46:1	155:1,2	71:23
R-19 144:4	57 : 17	47:2,15	156:5,11	
R-30	136:10	49:11,12	157:9,15,	recently
144:24	166:15,16	50:25	16,24	140:18
147:24	194:23	54:1 57:8	158:21,22	176:3
148:4	reading	59:4,17,1	159:1	recessing
151:17,19	26:17	8,22	160:4	64:13
·	29:21	60:2,3	162:4,23	132:1
races 8:18	44:1	62:6,11	163:18	196:15
rain 35:1	52:12	72:13,21,	164:14	re-clarify
rains	104:23	23 73:4	168:4	41:7
206:22	104.23	78:6,8,9	173:14	
	148:19	80:7 85:7	174:10	recollecti
raise	150:14	86:21	176 : 6	on 44:17
63:20	150:14	91:22	177 : 8	46:5 47:5
92:14	166:4	96:12	184:23	62 : 16
96:10	188:12	97:9,12,1	185:11,15	81 : 22
146:8	205:19	4 101:23	187:1	84:12
raised	∠∪J•⊥J	102:3	190:11,16	85:17 , 24

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWOO	70 07 23 2017	rage 203	01 270
86:4	recreation	14:19	89:7 95:6	139:9
92:17	6:16 , 21	26:25	179:14	140:18
113:25	7:5,11,24	30:9	188:9	relation
134:14	9:10,12,1	43:14	reflect	31:11
156:19	6,18	67:5 89:8	31:17	126:1
163:6	10:3,13	139:22	77:7	
209:13	11:19	referen	167:5	relationsh
216:4	12:4	161:16		ip 203:6
recommend	13:12		reflected	released
53:16	14:11,21	reference	17:14	184:15
	23:17	23:19	105:16	relevant
recommenda	27 : 13	32:4	137:20	
60:12	30:8	33:20	157:11	59:12 , 20
62:12	39:20	76:6	165:11	relo
recommenda	41:25	88:24	reflection	139:15
tion	42:5	105:16	18:1	relocate
38:20	43:11,23	108:14	reframe	171:17
82:7	45:5,20	110:18	89:3	
105:19	55:23	119:13		relocating
154:4	83:21	195:19	refurbish	171:24
197:2	91:19	referenced	142:19	relocation
recommenda	95 : 7	66:17	refurbishm	39:22
tions	163:4	88:14	ent	139:16
13:19	187:14,25	91:18,20	140:23	remainder
15:1,14,2	189:8	101:16,18	refusal	36:21
1,25 16:8	190:17	161:17	166:12	
17:8,12,1	recreation	189:23		remarks
3 24:8	al 23:10	references	refused	26:1
32:12	104:1	70:21	163:17	remember
35:10,19	197:2	84:20	refusing	16:13
36:5	redevelop	139:17,20	163:19	23:15
37:5,13	41:12	181:3	regain	31:16
38:4 47:8		referencin	42:23	38 : 5
70:12	redevelopm			44:17
154:16	ent 15:18	g 37:23	regarding	50:21
181:18,23	46:16	referred	61 : 17	51:12
182:3	50:13	27 : 25	190:25	56:18
184:1	65:14	106:8	registrati	58:25
recommende	108:18	180:13	on 55:25	59:14
d 15:17	111:5,20	referring	reinforced	62:2
36:1	112:16	21:12,14	26:17	65:7,19
81:4,10	redevelopm	26:14	114:10	66:21
194:4	ents 12:3	27:1		74:4 77:6
	redrawing	37:20	reinforces	78:11
recommendi	116:1	38:14,16	41:9	81:14,17
ng 22:8	TTO•T	43:15	related	85:22
54:22	re-	56:18,21	45:13	89:13,21
72:16	establish	74:4,7	74:11	101:12 102:8
recorded	ing 38:3	76:22	94:4	102:8
46:19	refer	77:21,22	124:7	107.9,24
				109.40

INQUIRY re	COLLINGWOOD	09-23-2019	Page 264	of 276

INQUINT	TO COHETINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 204	01 270
112:25	98:5	102:2	179:11	108:20
115:8	180:24	104:8,11,	180:20	178:25
117:9,24	187:15	12 105:2	181:3,22	
118:24	199:3	108:2	190:10,12	re-purpose 171:17
119:15	207:25	113:15	, 25	
121:21	repeated	114:2	192:13,17	requ 75:19
122:8	5:15	118:7	,18,25	request
135:24	69:14	120:14	193:1,6,9	22:2
136:3		123:24	197:11	50:22
137:1,17,	repercussi	125:13	199:7,22	53:6 58:7
19	ons 90:24	140:11,19	212:3	60:4,6
138:9,13	replace	141:15,23	reported	61 : 21
139:4,19	122:14	142:7,8,2	27:20	90:13
145:8		2 143:2	46:22	122:20
146:1	replacemen	144:1	92:3	192:13
148:19,23	t 150:5	145:12	123:10	202:16
150:10,20	reply	146:3,14,	125:7	209:7 , 18
151:8,23	55 : 12	20,25	164:5,20	215:22
152:16	report	147:3,15	166:16	216:25
154:19,22	14:24,25	148:20		requested
155:9	15:8,9	150:12,20	reporter	60:23
156:22	16:2,6	,22,25	5:14	62:1 98:3
157:19 , 25	18:19	151:5,6,9	reporting	104:10
161:23	20:10	,14,24	27:19	
164:6	27 : 16	152:1,17,	reports	requesting
167:9	34:11	19,21	20:5 61:9	61 : 15
173:10	35 : 22	153:3,10,	82:16	requests
183:18	37:3,5	12,15	105:18	29:13
186:23	47:16	154:23	135:10	54 : 19
188:3	54 : 18	156:4,6,9	142:25	55 : 4
193:7,14	61:2	, 13	165:12,17	209:3
194:14,20	62:12	157:2,12,	166:1	require
195:24	65 : 19	18,20	represent	35:13
remind	70:11,16	158:2	196:20	37 : 25
132:11	71:1,8	159:15,21		68:16
remove	75:2,3	160:2,7,2 2	representa	69 : 22
139:17,20	76 : 20	161:1,14,	tive	76 : 25
	77:5,7,11	19,25	22:14	required
renderings	,13,14	162:11,19	50:9	27:16
85:3,9	78:3,9,12	,22,25	representa	38:20
renovation	,16	163:2,7,1	tives	41:16
s 12:1	81:12,18	6,20	53:15	44:20,23
rental	82:9,14	164:3,8	80:8 84:9	45:2 66:2
213:20	85:18,19	166:1,12	185:17	68:25
	90:13	167:5	187:24	70:22,24
repainting 149:17	98:4,12,1	168:18	represente	75 : 19
	4,17	170:19	d 80:8	125:20
repeat	99:1,5,8,	172:19,22		149:18
16:22	11,17,20 100:22	174:6,12,	reproduced	
79:25	100:22	17 176:14	68:1	requiremen
	101:10			ts 141:13

183:16				
183:16	71:10	216:24	13:23	34:1
Requires re	solved	responds	30:2	160:1
1 - 1	24:10	20:3	33:25	161:1
		48:14	165:22	163:1
1 1	sort	50:15	rest 81:25	174:13
139:10	9:24	141:14	105:10	176:3
reread re	sources	161:8	141:11	195:7
36:9	68:20			204:12,20
res 162:3	70:2	response	restating	205:15
	75 : 20	21:3,20	92:22	209:24
	76:4	24:2 35:6	restrictio	reviewed
48:13	98:20	55:20	n 145:23	46:14
research	99:1	57:7,18,2	restructur	61:22
17:20 re	spect	1 58:6	e 68:9	72 : 13
F 4 00	10:23	61:24		150:19
l	31:16	62:3	result	152 : 17
	67 : 25	63:10	64:23	161:2
1 - 0 -	68:13	75:6	71:23	163:3,9,2
	130:1,10	98:25	169:5	3 190:5
1 - 1 - 0 - 0	134:21	141:2	209:23	193 : 15
1 1 1 1 1	138:4	146:6	211:25	194:23
100 5 10	143:25	166:20	214:13	197:19
1 101 1	144:9	186:18	results	205:17
100 01	157:4	198:24	45 : 9	209:25
0000	161:14	212:14	59:10	
	166:1	responses	resuming	reviewing 45:16
	170:2	55 : 2	64:14	109:12
	199:23,25	63 : 18	132:2	122:6
researchin	214:6	responsibi	196:16	135:24
g 42:2		lities	190:10	159:21
170.23	spectful	13:5,16	retain	163:7
reserve	168:9	28:10	69:19	186:24
154:6,9 re	spectful	40:18	205:18	194:14,18
residents	ly 35:9	202:5,12,	retained	,20
170:4	36:4	17	181:9	
re	spective	responsibi	retainer	revised
resistance	155:10	lity 7:3	37:7	146:14,18
90:19		11 CY /:3	5/./	192:20,22
		11.0		132.123,22
rocictant	spond	11:9	retaining	revisit
resistant	20:23	14:1,7	retaining 38:16	•
75:9	20:23 26:9	14:1,7 28:12,20	-	revisit 185:25
75:9 92:23,25	20:23 26:9 99:21	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4	38:16	revisit 185:25 Revisiting
75:9 92:23,25 144:7	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20	38:16 retired 9:22	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2
75:9 92:23,25 144:7 148:1	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19 141:7	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20 54:11	38:16 retired 9:22 RETIRES	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2 revisits
75:9 92:23,25 144:7 148:1 resolution	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19 141:7	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20 54:11 98:17	38:16 retired 9:22 RETIRES 219:20	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2
resistant 75:9 92:23,25 144:7 148:1 resolution 62:1	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19 141:7 161:5	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20 54:11 98:17 99:10,25	38:16 retired 9:22 RETIRES 219:20 retrofitti	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2 revisits
resistant 75:9 92:23,25 144:7 148:1 resolution 62:1 resolution	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19 141:7 161:5 173:3 216:21	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20 54:11 98:17 99:10,25 202:19	38:16 retired 9:22 RETIRES 219:20	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2 revisits 38:8
resistant 75:9 92:23,25 144:7 148:1 resolution 62:1 resolution s 65:18 re	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19 141:7 161:5 173:3 216:21	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20 54:11 98:17 99:10,25 202:19 responsibl	38:16 retired 9:22 RETIRES 219:20 retrofitti	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2 revisits 38:8 RFP 21:14 155:6
resistant 75:9 92:23,25 144:7 148:1 resolution 62:1 resolution s 65:18 re 66:7	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19 141:7 161:5 173:3 216:21 sponding 35:19	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20 54:11 98:17 99:10,25 202:19 responsibl e 7:17	38:16 retired 9:22 RETIRES 219:20 retrofitti ng 111:7	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2 revisits 38:8 RFP 21:14 155:6 RFQ 21:15
resistant 75:9 92:23,25 144:7 148:1 resolution 62:1 resolution s 65:18 re 66:7	20:23 26:9 99:21 127:19 141:7 161:5 173:3 216:21	14:1,7 28:12,20 29:4 42:20 54:11 98:17 99:10,25 202:19 responsibl	38:16 retired 9:22 RETIRES 219:20 retrofitti ng 111:7 returned	revisit 185:25 Revisiting 38:2 revisits 38:8 RFP 21:14 155:6

	i i e eellinene	OD 09-23-2019	1 4 9 6 6 6	01 270
130:5	Ryan 2:14	54:25	21:2	47:25
Rick 49:21		scenario	33:10	50:20
87:8 97:1	S	15 : 17	34:13	51:8
159:15	sake	34:16	35 : 5	108:22
207:17	162:19	59:6	36:15 , 20	110:23
212:14		74:10	37 : 12	124:20
214:1	salesman		40:12	134:1,10,
	48:15	scenarios	46:17,20	11,21,23
rink 38:12	samples	43:3	48:18	148:8
53:3,19	131:13	108:23	50 : 7	172:25
56:9 59:8	Sanderson	109:4	51 : 24	175:8,10,
68:7,8	18:20,22	112:12	56:5,16	19,24
86:20	19:2	scenes	58:9 61:5	
209:6		26:21	65:6 68:4	
rinks	Sandra 2:9	55 : 5	73:19	204:2
53:21,22	87:8 97:1	56:21	81:3	second-
risk	217:19	scheduling	104:3	floor
170:15	218:6	48:12	108:15,22	194:6
	sandwich		110:15	
rock 9:4	144:3	schematics	112:10	second-
role 7:23	Sara 118:3	116:2	114:23	last
10:25		Schollenbe	133:6,24	148:8
11:4,8	sat 202:22	rger	135:6	section
13:11	satisfacto	133:8	143:3,14	64:8
17:20	ry 171:13	schools	147:7,12,	143:14
25:9,13,2	Saunderson	7:19	16 149:7	147:18
2,25 30:2			152:7	149:8
95:7,11	20:3,12,1 9,22	scope 30:4	153:25	154:4
roles	21:19	76:25	162:25	170:24
40:17	22:13,25	77:8	163:11	173:2
	23:6	111:20	168:19	sector
Ron 192:7	120:24	136:12	170:20,22 171:7	42:21
roof		162:5	172:8,24	44:4
130:13	Saunderson	202:20	172:0,24	
197:20	's 20:18	screen	183:11	seeing 72:20
roofing	save 116:2	12:24	190:3,7	114:9
149:22	saw 20:18	27 : 3	194:3	118:13
150:6	55:16	55 : 19		138:11
	56:1,17	57 : 24	scrolled	152:16,18
roofs	60:4	64 : 25	118:11	l
144:4	84:12	124:19	scrolling	seek
147:22	100:6,20	133:11	173:19	28:6,16
room 89:15	114:10	134:8	174:1	66:3
rough	147:19	177:17	searching	90:10,11
50:17	152:20	scroll	168:14	91:15
	191:3	13:4		seeking
run 167:25	213:25	15:10 , 12	sec 132:9	66:7
rural 8:11	215:22	18:14,15,	191:8	90:16
rush 92:20		24 19:16	second	122:18
93:11	scattering	20:2,8,11	39 : 16	seem 216:2

INQUIKI	TE COLLINGWOO	09-23-2019	rage 207	01 270
218:12	190:23,24	214:21	146:1	146:16
seemed	192:6	seriously	153:16	showed
32:17	senior	167:25	Seymour	54 : 8
80:7	47:11	servant	55 : 24	135:14
seems	73:2	54:10	57 : 24	shown
19:21	88:22		58:25	12:24
169:11	89:6	service	83:22	39:8,16
	99:12	6:15,24	84:1,8,20	64:25
seen 31:21	106:11	7:25 8:4	132:25	115:16
99:9	sense 28:6	18:7	133:5	134:8
100:25	43:10	167:21,24	163:15	136:3,10
125:11	74 : 13	169:18	192:8	193:12 , 22
130:23 138:12	120:2,5	services	212:22	shows
158:12	145:19	6:16 7:1	213:1,3,6	194:4
156:3	187 : 3	10:2,9	216:21	
166:4	188:22	11:14	shadow	shut 103:5
179:10	sensible	12:14	137:9	shutdown
	203:14	14:2,3,4	shape	196:3
select		17:9	113:3	shutting
32:3	sensitive	37:17		103:9
selected	191:12	43:11 133:8	share 160:6	
25:18	sent 27:5			sic 146:9
42:21	37:11	servicing	shared	sign
69:6	48:10	106:15	25 : 12	77:11,12,
72:15	49:21	107:1	28:12	13
selection	53:1	session	29:4 77:5	163:17,20
73:13	56:15	39:7,17	117:2	164:2,8,1
selling	84:19 108:11	40:21,24	133:19 136:7	3 166:12
171:25	113:13	46:1,6,11	150:7 151:25	signature
	113:13	47:6		19:17
send 21:19	114.23	51:10	sharing	163:12
140:2	131:5	61:8	160:11	significan
146:6 212:15	159:14	sessions	sheets	t 8:10
	190:10	44:1	60:22	27:25
sending	192:10	sets 58:19	61 : 25	43:10
86:10	194:20	72:4	62:3,16	44:23
93:24	212:21	174:24	she's	45 : 19
133:14	213:23	190:8	218:7	154:14
145:16	214:9	193:20		significan
151:20	sentence	194:2	shifting	tly 27:23
153:12 214:13	137:24	several	64:2	_
	148:8	10:17	129:12	silver
sends 61:7		23:12	short	136:21
83:21	September	56:23	57 : 20	137:3,4,1
132:24	1:23	73:2 80:7	64:10	8
142:25	series	111:17	200:10	173:8,13
144:18	8:12 15:1	114:6	shortly	183:13
146:3,14	74:14	143:1	20:4 85:6	similar
174:6	86:18	145:23	113:13	9 : 15
1				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 09-23-2019	Page 268	OI 2/6
76:21	117:5	139:23	source	87 : 16
109:7	situation	156:10,16	101:4	89:11
148:3		,19 185:1	155:19	91:3
175:14	178:18		180:6	92:17
1	203:11	somehow	188:12	99:19
simply	six 8:23	42:24	196:8	100:13
203:11	15 : 22	45:10,12		103:15
Simpson	16:3,8	77:5 90:7	sources	111:1
93:22	ski 8:20	129:3	66:2	113:25
94:24		156:10	sourcing	114 : 5
simular	skill	someone	137:10	123:3,4
182:1	123:23	156:15	Southern	130:23
	skilled	160:24	8:20	134:21
simultaneo	34:9	somewh		136:13
us 41:23	124:8	91:17	space 7:19	150:19
sin 216:5			14:16	151:2
	skills	somewhere	175:5	152:19
sincerely	124:7	118:22	spaced	155:2
177:4	slanted	200:11	145:5	185:16
single	102:23	sorry		
53:21	slide	18 : 20	spaces	specifical
54:18	39:6,9,16	24:20	7:6,20	ly 7:24
68:6 81:5	,25	99:13	span	13:15
98:9	40:1,8	104:24	149:18	23:12
104:14	45:24	117:12	speak	30:7 56:3
112:19	144:18	120:16	32:16	58:3
203:12	146:6	140:1	128:4	59:15,18
single-pad	182 : 17	142:9	145:19	73:4 91:6
81:23	183:4,8	147:17	161:21	93:3
112:17	·	199:2	198:13	101:18
115:2	slightly	207:24	202:18	107:21
182:1	89:14	208:23		109:22
183:9	smaller	213:2	speaking	114:14
	7:14 12:5	sort 21:14	44:2	117:7,25
sir 218:24	social	52:16	57:13	119:13 122:2
sit 63:23	112:2	155:15	88:15	129:19
211:3		198:23	95:16	139:23
site 85:2	sole	199:10,23	113:25 128:6	146:2
106:15	155:19			158:21
107:14,25	180:6	sorts 8:18	156:24	159:1
117:19	solicit	9:5	speaks	162:4
118:1	17:9 45:3	sought	74:11	173:15
152:10	solution	121:21	speci 58:2	190:16
174:3	179:8,14	soul	89:10	196:2,7
194:7		168:14		
sites 64:5	solutions		specific 12:3,10	specificat
86:16	122:13	sounding	23:4,6	ions
	somebody	37:8	25:4,6	180:10,18
sitting	59 : 9	40:15	49:12	181:5
36:12	109:18	45:1	72:7	specifics
situated	116:14	105:20	12.1	30:23
1				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 269	OI 2/6
101:25	115:4,6	1 62:7	165:15,16	alone
191:17	116:9,12,	64:2	,17	97 : 7
	17	65:19	166:12	
speed 138:13	118:6,16,	67:13 , 15	168:5,18	standard
138:13	21 119:14	68:19	170:19	136:21
spending	120:17	69:18,23	171:3,24	137:18
7:12 9:6	121:15	70:11 , 16	172:6	177:12
33:22	122:17	71:1	174:6,7,1	stands
spent 9:10	126:13	72:13	2,17	168:25
18:2	127:5,6,1	79:4,17	178:3	start
	0	80:2	179:11,17	
spills	130:10,14	81:4,9,18	180:7,10,	6:5,22
171:8	131:5,14	82:7,14,1	12,18	14:14
spite	133:9,19	7	181:3,5,2	17:2
215:17	135:17	90:11,13	4 184:1	67:21
216:17	136:20	91:25	192:21	75:15
	137:17	96:23	193:6	107:18
spoke	139:17,20	97 : 23	194:21	131:20
46:11	144:18	98:3,4,8,	197:5,7	204:23
66:22,23	145:13,19	11,12,13	211:18	219:8
140:6	146:9	100:22		started
157:18	151:4,20	101:10,14	staffing	7:11,14
201:10	158:15,24	,16	182:20	8:8 10:18
spring/	171:6	103:25	stage	57:1,2
early	184:2	103.23	134:1	60:10
184:16	187:11,13	,18 105:2		128:18
G	,25 191:9	108:2	staged	129:5
Sprung	209:4,5,1	113:15	106:5	184:25
19:25 20:16	4,18	114:1	stages	211:5
	214:16,21	118:7,8,1	19:20	starters
23:1,5,9,	·	7 119:14	34:17	72:12
16,24	Sprung's	123:24	stakeholde	158:11
24:3	19:21	125:5,13	r	
48:2,11,2	square	127:5	70:24,25	starting
2	134:16	135:9	71:7	34:21
49:10,14	135:20	146:6	73:16	72 : 7
50:9	-1-66	148:24		88:18
52:13,19 53:12,20	staff	151:13	stakeholde	94:15
80:8,18	12:7,17	152:1,23	rs 8:5	95 : 6
83:11,13,	15:9,14,2 3 16:6	153:3,10,	10:7 14:3	148:7
20,23,24		12 154:23	71:5	starts
84:9,19	17:22 25:13	156:4,6	82:21	19:1
85:3,8	26:24	157:2,17,	94:15	71:17
86:11,18,	32:23	20 158:3	stand	170:24
22	37:4	159:15,21	37 : 10	state
87:7,18	46:24	160:2,7,2	180:3	60:20
96:13,25	40:24	1	standalone	
97:2,5,10	50:22	161:14,25	111:3	stated
,16	51:4	162:11,22	111:3	63:17
100:10	54:14,21	163:16	151:25	69:13
102:24	60:6,13,2	164:1		92:2
102.24	00.0,10,2	_ ~	stand-	100:8,11
ı		l		ı I

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 09-23-2019	Page 270	of 276
211:8	198:6,22	28:7 34:6	83:21	203:7
statement	199:7,11,	184:13	95:3 97:3	succinctly
170:24	19,22	Street	144:5	24:17
183:19	200:2	1:19	145:20	88:25
	210:3		147:25	
states	212:3	stretched	150:1	suggest
24:25	218:17	70:3	151:4	131:21
110:23	step 55:20	strike	187:11,18	158:16
status	Stonning	184:10	192:19	165:20 198:22
201:2	Stepping 150:18	186:5	198:7	190:22
stay 31:1		strong	209:5	suggested
170:13	steps	167:23	struggle	50:11
	30:20	struck	197:17	61:1
stayed 73:5	40:6,17	184:17,19	struggling	185:1,14
	46:24	185:7,19	47:11	198:6,25
Stec	87:5	186:7,11		199:10
187:12,22	90:12	·	Strum	210:3,10
steel	stop 50:20	structure	191:8	218:16
116:5	172:25	23:22	Strung	suggesting
172:17,20	219:4	52:13,19	191:9	158:8
175:1,15	stopped	53:10,18	sub 97:3	suggestion
178:15	126:5	79:13		21:19
180:22		82:4	subject	198:6,7
191:4,7	stopping 55:4	96:13	53:3 142:3	199:16
192:14		97:6 102:24		219:12
194:3	strate	115:3,4,6	submission	suggestion
steering	64:21	116:6	139:9	s 29:16
14:18,19,	strategic	130:12	submission	30:9,12,1
24 15:8	7:25	135:23	s 22:5	8 38:18
16:1,5,10	17:21	137:3	submitted	
,14,18,25	24:13	143:16,24	163:13	suggests
18:18,23	38:22	144:3		34:21
20:6,9,14	39:5	147:1,2,9	submitters	156:19
,18 24:9	46:3,9	, 20	22:23	161:15
30:24	47:3,5	148:11 , 15	subset	suitable
31:20	49:22	, 17	72:15	44:19
32:11,12	51:9 54:6	149:22	subsidized	suited
35:21	60:7,19	152:14	43:12	42:5
37:3,6	61:8	171:6,12,		44:21
38:11	62:4,8	18 172 : 1	substantia	summarize
40:4,15,2	64:21	175:7	1 218:13	62:12
0 47:8	72:14	177:22	substantia	
110:16 137:7	strategica	178:4,20	ted	summarizes
158:19	11y 94:20	179:6	104:13	46:9
184:11	strategies	182:2	105:12	summary
186:10	16:11	191:9	subtotal	46:23
196:4	45:16	structures	194:8	65 : 18
197:1,11,	strategy	53 : 18	successful	71:10
18	15:20	59:4 80:9		129:19
	13:20		9:8,25	

INQUIR	Y re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 271	of 276
130:9	136:4	149:12,13	136:12	11:2 18:5
138:17	supposed	191:2	140:22	31 : 23
139:25	119:20		155 : 19	technicall
141:2		T	talks	y 199:18
142:24	sure 14:4	table 3:1	34:22	_
144:11	27:6	31:7	71:22	technologi
146:13	38:10	42:12	110:15	es 135:19
189:2,24	44:24	69:12	111:7	telephone
190:22	67:14	78 : 22	149:11,13	157:14
summer	125:6	108:20		ten 34:19
8:16	141:4,23	109:4	task	188:19
126:22	145:25 156:9	152:9	217:23	196:13
128:19	176:4	168:8	tasked	
184:16	194:22	tag 35:3	97 : 23	tender
200:10	194.22	206:25	125:14	21:15
superior	204:22		tasks	155 : 22
148:18		taking	99:20	terminals
	surface	30:20	tax 18:2	41:21
supervisor	52:17	48:7,21	cax 10:2	44:10,22
7:13,16	175:10	85:14,22	taxpayer	45:1
11:8	surprise	90:12	18:1	terminated
55:24	190:19	95:23	teach	201:12
83 : 22	surprised	talk 48:25	168:5	
supplier	85:13,17,	94:18	team 26:2	terminatio
22:14	19 214:20	105:24	47:11	n 25:2
59 : 24		106:13	49:14	terms 6:14
146:7	sustainabl	120:22	50:14	13:23
171 : 10	e 10:5	122:9	54:17	32:4 38:6
172:6	173:5	146:9	55 : 2	59 : 13
180:9	swim 93:21	165:16	61:23	76 : 6
181:5	swimmers	173:4	62 : 11	81:19
suppliers	93:23	209:14	67 : 15	82:1
180:17	196:5	talked	70:5 75:9	83:12
supply		44:10	76 : 1	105:16
172:11	swimming 8:16 19:9	59:15	88:22	108:13
	53:22	91:14	89:7 , 8	110:18
support	73:24	115:23	93:21	111:18
17:25	171:13	131:14	98:24	116:20
40:3		179:12	106:11	119:24 127:3
55:13	switched	184:9	116:10,16	144:23
61:25 94:1	109:15	talking	138:2	
	Sworn 3:5	31:13	158:9,10	testimony
199:22	5:24	35 : 24	160:25	219:13
supported	system	36 : 6	161:13	thank
197:10	130:13	37 : 15	166:19	5:12 , 19
supporting	149:11	48:6	167:1	10:11
43:2		64 : 20	168:5	35 : 7
supports	systems	78:21	169:13	45:24
135:16	53:20	89:9	176:23	48:21
100.10	147:14	115:14	technical	58:16
1				

			- J -	
64:11	71:21	tidbits	4:10	193:22
129:14	93:19	95 : 25	110:9	тос600350
130:6	97:4,7	tight	TOC0189770	12:25
197:14	108:15	75 : 24	4:11	TOC9284
201:6	110:14,17	timeframe	TOC0195146	143:9
203:18 204:24	112:14 133:7	99:11	4:12	
205:7	139:13	timeline	TOC0201266	TOCO600350 4:3
206:14,18	145:3	48:5	4:15	
208:7,25	147:10	75 : 20		today
209:22	154:14	76 : 2	TOC0206027	26:19
212:5	165:10	98:21	4:16	35:3
213:16,23	169:11,16		TOC0225879	90:17
214:24	174:4	title	4:17	140:7 207:1
215:17	176:23	107:9	TOC0548266	
216:15	181:4	titled	4:8	to-day
217:2	182:17	108:12	TOC0548961	70:2
218:20,25	185:21	110:6	4:13	Tom 83:20
219:5,14,	thermal	143:5,15		87:6
16,17	144:6,23	TOC 135:3	TOC0600194	131:6
thanked	145:4	TOCO 192:1	4:18	133:9
217:25	147:11,18	TOC0009284	TOC0600196	tomorrow
thanks	148:1,9	4:14	4:19	219:8,9,1
18:25	thermoplas		TOC131863	1
48:22	tic 148:3	TOC0131863	18:10	tonight
83:25	they'd	4:4	TOC155056	219:3
204:25	217:25	TOC0155056	33:5	top 21:2
217:24		4 : 5		37:12
themselves	they're 68:2,3	203:24	TOC170303 39:10	56:16
149:13	133:23	TOC0170303		67:4
therapeuti	177:8	4:6	TOC188039	100:2,18
c		TOC017221	109:24	108:21
195:20,22	thickness	208:2	TOC189770	112:10
,25 196:3	147:23	TOC0172221	115:17	147:16
thereabout	thin 29:20	4:20	124:20	175:25
s 84:10	third	208:7	TOC195146	181:17
	135:9		133:23	194:9,11
thereafter	thirty	TOC0172248	TOC201266	212:21
146:17	6:23	4:21	147:3	topic
therefore		212:16	172:23	26:21
28:14	thorough	TOC0172251		28:15
29:25	61:24	4:22	TOC206027 182:24	89:12
43:4	thoughts	215:6		92:10
99:11	169:16	TOC0177	TOC225	101:1,5
106:18	thousand	207:18	189:16	102:9
134:18 162:7	33:23	TOC0188039	TOC548266	172:5
	throw 51:2	4:9	103:18	top-secret
there's			TOC600196	189:21
20:5 31:1	thus 47:12	TOC0188040		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 273	of 276
Toronto	124:16	57 : 5,12	42:10	159:8
5:18	137:2	treasurer	55:2 67:7	160:14
7:14,23	155:10	12:22	70 : 3	164:16
8:6,14	157 : 22		76:24	168:17
i i	173:10	28:15,22	90:3,18	186:12
tossed	178:3	46:13	103:9	195:13
47:7	179:3,19	57:16	105:15	203:23
total	181:9	66:24	109:13	
194:12	182 : 5	73:3 91:7	120:23	turnaround
totally	184:16	93:5	123:11	62:18
105:7	186:2	119:6	127:19	72:23
103:7	187:14,24	128:7	140:18	turn-
towards	192:21	treatment	167:1	around
82:13	199:24	43:9	168:5	75 : 24
85:9	200:6	triathlons	181:2	
111:13	201:15,18		210:12	turned
133:25	,22 202:4	8:18		172:23
198:9	207:12	tried	Tucker	turning
Town	210:18,20	76:15	20:13	45:23
1:2,17	, i	89:3	tuned 31:1	57 : 23
2:13	Town's	90:23	. 10 14	83:8
10:13	14:11	103:3	turn 13:14	124:18
11:19	23:17	120:19	14:9,22	153:8
12:7	27:13	156:21	15:2,12	170:18
13:24	104:1	166:25	38:21	177:16
15:23	179:9	168:9	46:7 48:2	
23:10	187:24	170:2	49:18	turnkey 97:3
24:3	TPO 149:23	177 : 8	51:5,19	97:3
27:17		triple	52:20	twin
30:9	traditiona	53 : 22	54:3,16	112:20
33:12	1 31:21		60:15	two-pad
40:14	177:24	Trudell	67:19	116:4,6
	traffic	2:17	71:15	·
44:23	107:12	5:10,16,1	76:2	type 42:4
45:14,22	+	7	78:19	43:5 44:4
48:23	training 12:8,10	true 168:7	83:14	59:5
55:23	·	170:3,4,1	93:7	62:15
69:17	21:22,25	3 187:5	96:15	70:5
79:20	124:4		103:15,18	74:7,9,16
83:12,21	transcript	truthfully	109:23	79:13
84:24	3:14	191:21	110:8	95:3
85:8	185:23	try 28:17	114:18	160:10
93:21	transition	30:20	115:12	168:15
96:4	111:12	90:6	128:13	171:11
97:10,15		94:20	129:18	180:3
100:14	translate	208:6	132:14	types
102:7	30:11	truina	138:16	79:2,5,22
110:24	translatin	trying 26:9	142:23	150:12
112:4	g 29:14		144:11	152:11
113:6		29:19,25	146:12	
121:22	transparen	30:10	153 : 2	typical
122:9	t 22:4	32:13,20	155 : 4	123:3,9
i l				I

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-23-2019	Page 2/4	of 2/6
149:10	ing 12:16	upon 5:1	152:2	viable
typically	74:7,8	26 : 7	valuable	45 : 18
43:8	113:11	28:14	25 : 19	Vice
149:22	124:14	64:13,14	value 34:1	120:24
	137:17	94:15	144:7	view
	150:15	95:6	146:4	43:21,24,
ultimately	156:25	99:12	147:20	25 44:6
16:9	175:23	132:1,2	148:1	55:14
185:6	182:5,13	149:19		62:20
186:7	195:1 196:25	196:15,16 219:22	valued	70:23
195:21			179:25	101:13
unanimousl	understood	upset	values	103:1
y 16:5	47:2	178 : 22	144:2	148:5
_	83:10	urban	147:21,23	175:9
unclear	89:23	8:10,20	148:2	207:10
24:6	118:21	urgent	variable	215:17,19
162:9	170:7	142:15	154:2	216:17
uncomforta	193:3		variety	viewed
ble 77:9	202:2,9,1	usage	7:5,19	92:22,25
understand	0 211:14	143:17	149:12	·
6:7 10:12	undertake	144:1,10		views
13:22	69:9	use/	various	218:6
16:24	undertakin	thermal	54:13	village
18:8	g 65:25	190:25	73:11	9:2 11:25
19:19	undertook	user 84:25	111:22 154:4	vision
24:1	17:8			35 : 4
25:9,22		usual 160:6	ven 9:1	46:16
30:1,3	unfamiliar	100:0	vendors	207:3
32:20	66:1,14	usually	121:2,8,2	voicing
40:24	Unfortunat	31:23	1	55:12
44:13	ely	119:6	venture	
89:3	122:21		190:19	volunteer
92:20	157 : 23	V		14:18
100:15	unique 9:1	vacation	venue 8:17	17:4
103:7	_	77:3 78:1	venues	31:20
119:10	University	91:21	9:1,5	volunteers
127:5,24	6:8,11	113:11,16	version	31:22
140:6	unless	, 20	157 : 19	32:3,6
141:9	142:11	114:2,6	163:7	184:15
145:25 156:1	update	116:24	189:14	vote 64:1
157:15	56:12	132:21	190:6,11	81:2
178:17,22	upgrade	156:2,6	versus	184:10
205:4	112:23	157:1	35:18	186:8
211:20		vague	47:13	199:8
	upgraded	177 : 9	143:16	voted 16:5
understand	34:22	vaguely	147:9	37:2
able	upgrades	59:18	180:3	74:19
202:15	94:20	125:4	201:2	151 : 7
understand	194:4	139:8		158:15,24
1	l			i

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 09-23-2019	Page 275	of 276
183:25	Wednesday	192:10	152:9,23	202:6
186:2,5	138:2	Western	157:9	217:20
187:18	140:14	6:12	158:1,2	whichever
197:7	week 60:18		162:22	41:11
votes	78:2,3,7	we've	172:16,19	
151:4	86:14	29:16	173:11	whole 9:3
195:22	141:8	49:23	174:13	24:14
190,22	156:7	56:8,12	175:18	26:24
		61:14	178:8,13,	38:8
	weekly	68:1 74:1	18,22	41:20,24
waiting	89:23	108:20	180:15	54:12,15,
121:24	weeks	119:9	181:9	20,24
waive	75 : 23	130:18	189:14	55:3
34:10	weigh	150:19	190:10,25	60:12
walking	216:13	151:3	191:3,6	70:4
170:8		173:23	192:14,20	74:14
	welcoming	179:10,12	193:6,9,2	160:8
walls	48:24	190:5,8	0 194:5	167:15
144:5	we'll	212:1	195:6	204:13
warm 196:5	56:10	219:2	WGD's	211:9,10
warrantied	58:18	WGD 77:24	130:20	whom 73:10
149:20,23	64:9	99:4,24	150:10	164:20
·	73:19	100:10,11	174:8,13	who's
warranty	77:16	,14	whatever	106:8
53 : 25	78:17	103:16	169:1	177 : 3
wasn't	212:8	105:23		
7 : 23	216:13	109:4,6	Wheeler	whose
22:22	Wendy	110:4,14	2:15	197:24
25:19 , 20	124:22	112:4,25	whereas	William
29:24	125:6	113:7	175:13	2:13
38:6	220:7	114:24	whether	willing
41:6,19		115:25	26:20	170 : 14
59:18	we're 27:2	116:14,20	41:24	
92:14	29:17	118:9	46:1	Wingrove
103:6	30:25	124:15	70:23	25:1
118:22	31:12	127:9	80:4	91:10
120:2,5	32:18	130:1,5	85:21	168:22
wastewater	48:4	134:5,9,1	102:3	201:12 203:12
43:9	49:16	9,22	106:2,4	
Water	54:4,18 65:12	138:17,24	137:2	winter
	70:10	139:5 140:3	140:18	8:19
107:1	112:10	140:3	145:18	111:13
waterfront	115:10	143:23	148:25	wish 88:20
8:16	120:25	144:18	150:20	wiching
41 : 22	120:23	145:12,19	151:24	wishing 210:1
WD 138:24	121:19,22	146:3,9,1	156:10	
	128:20	4 148:20	169:16	withhold
we'd 11:14	147:2	150:22	175 : 23	117:21
26:1 54:3	176:17	151:5,9,1	180:16,17	witness
91:14		3,20,24	193:4	5 : 5
	West	J, 4U, 44		

INQUIRI	re COLLINGWO	OD 09-23-2019	Page 2/6	01 2/6
204:12,19	0 99:24	113:3,7	146:17	114:13
,22	100:13	119:3,12	159:16	142:25
205:9,24	101:10	125:14		153:13
219:20	102:1,10	139:7	written	163:15
	103:9,15	142:15	118:13	192:7
wonder	105:16	168:4	203:24	
117:13	106:18	201:2,3	204:2	youth 8:1
205:24	107:14	·	207:13	you've
wonderful	108:17	works	wrong 45:8	6:15 29:5
131:14	109:17	20:16	216:6	33:4
	110:15	125 : 5		43:17
wondering	112:3	201:24	wrote	45 : 25
20:24	112:3	workshop	55 : 25	65 : 12
160:23	113:15	38 : 22	138:25	69:16
Wood	•	39:5	191:5	72:3
124:23	116:20,21	46:3,10	215:4	91:17,19
125:8	123:18,24	47:3,12,1		100:15,18
F7 describ	124:1,10,	3 49:23	<u> </u>	107:19
Woodworth	15,23	54:6	year-round	107:13
220:7	126:1,22	60:8,19	73:23	139:14
wording	127:23	62:4,9	111:10	157:13
163:24	128:2	·	170 : 25	161:12
work 6:15	130:1,20	64:21,23 71:24	171 : 13	
13:24	134:6		182:1	175:17
14:10,20	142:16,18	72:15		193:11
16:13	145:14	worried	yelling	197:15
17:18	158:1,18	170:9,11	77:10	198:20
	165:21	worry 92:5	166:23	215:14
20:6 21:16	167:19	wolly 32.3	yesterday	
	200:20,23	worse	84:1	
27:12	215:23	102:7	yet 66:8	
30:8,25	217:24,25	worth 34:2	_	
31:4,8,20	219:8		121:1	
,22	worked	would/	153:21	
32:9,13	6:15 8:2	could	159:18	
37:17,18,	11:10	58:3	184:6	
19 41:7	17:3	write	YMCA 32:6	
54:14	28:13	140:5	41:5	
56:8 60:6	42:6 99:3	145:7	York 6:8	
62:7 66:9		160:22	7:15	
67:4	working			
68:17	10:21	writes	you'll	
69:5,17,2	14:5	53:5 84:1	46:18	
0,22,23	32:5,11	86:12	56:23	
70:5,14,1	41:1,20	130:10	143:18	
5 73:1	60:14	141:3	170 : 22	
75:4,19	62 : 2	144:21	younger	
76:3,8,13	72:24	192:8	196:5	
, 15 79:9	77:18	writing		
82:5 88:7	99:20	26:20	yourself	
96:8	100:21	50 : 15	20:13	
98:3,14,2	105:23	56 : 7	53:2	
			55 : 10	
1				i .