

TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD JUDICIAL INQUIRY

Before:

Associate Chief Justice Frank Marrocco

Held at:

Collingwood Town Hall Council Chambers 97 Hurontario Street Collingwood, Ontario

May 14th, 2019



```
2
1
                 APPEARANCES
2
3 Kate McGrann
                         ) Inquiry Counsel
4 John Mather
                         ) Associate Inquiry
5
                          ) Counsel
6
7 Michael Watson ) Alectra Utilities
8 Belina Bain (np)
                         ) Corporation
9
10 (No Counsel)
                         ) For Paul Bonwick
11
12 George Marron ) For Sandra Cooper
13
14 (No Counsel) ) For Timothy Fryer
15
16 Frederick Chenoweth ) For Edwin Houghton
17
18 William McDowell ) For Town of Collingwood
19 Ryan Breedon
                         )
20
21 Patrick Gajos (np) ) For Collus PowerStream
22
                          ) Corporation
23
24
2.5
```

		3
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	C
2		PAGE NO.
3	List of Exhibits	4
4		
5	TIMOTHY EDGAR FRYER, Previously Sworn	
6	Continued Examination-in-Chief	
7	by Ms. Kate McGrann	5
8	Cross-examination by Mr. William McDowell	126
9	Cross-examination by Mr. Frederick Chenoweth	159
10	Cross-examination by Mr. Michael Watson	179
11	Cross-examination by Mr. George Marron	225
12	Cross-examination by Mr. Paul Bonwick	237
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		0.7.0
20	Certificate of Transcript	273
21		
23		
24		
25		

_					
					4
	1		List of Exhibits		
	2	Exhibit No.	Description	Page No.	
	3	118	CPS0007018_0001		
	4	119	TOC0518041		
	5	120	TOC0521817		
	6	121	CPS0002668		
	7	122	CPS0007026_0001		
	8	123	CPS0011306		
	9	124	ALE0005133.0002		
	10				
	11				
	12				
	13				
	14				
	15				
	16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				

```
5
   --- Upon commencing at 10:01 a.m.
 2
 3
                  THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Good
   morning.
 5
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN:
                                     Mr. Fryer, you
 6
   understand you're still under oath?
 7
                  MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
 9
            TIMOTHY EDGAR FRYER, Previously Sworn
10
11
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: Good morning, Mr.
12
   Fryer.
13
                  MR. TIM FRYER: Good morning.
                   THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
14
15
   Actually, before, let me just make a brief
   announcement. They're -- one (1) of -- one (1) of you
   has an appointment this morning of a medical nature,
17
   nothing serious, so we'll -- we'll take 11:30 to 1:30
18
19
   break, which will include lunch, and we'll sit a
   little later to make up the time if we have to.
21
22
   CONTINUED EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
23
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: When we left off
24
   yesterday, we've been discussing the promissory note,
  and the payments that Collus was making to the Town
```

- 1 under the promissory note. Was that a beneficial
- 2 arrangement to the Town, in your opinion?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And can you explain
- 5 why, please?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: When restructuring
- 7 occurred in 2001, there was the opportunity, if -- if
- 8 LDCs wanted to, to formulate debt that was considered
- 9 shareholder debt, and that would be in the form of a
- 10 promissory note. So our first inclination from an
- 11 approach standpoint was the -- the distribution of
- 12 debt equity for our size utility.
- 13 This is something that Mr. Chapman
- 14 spoke about yesterday. It was a 50/50 debt/equity
- 15 ratio. So we were considering taking out a promissory
- 16 note in the whole amount, the 50/50. But in
- 17 conversations with the CAO, we decided there was an
- 18 opportunity to purchase, and we ended up purchasing
- 19 Clearview assets and Thornbury assets.
- So in order to do that, we needed to
- 21 take third party debt. So the reason it ended up
- 22 being \$1.7 million was that was the differential
- 23 between the amount that a 50/50 debt to equity ratio
- 24 would be, which would have been approximately \$5
- 25 million, and it was about 3.3 million to purchase the

- 1 other utilities.
- 2 We didn't want to take on any more
- 3 leverage than the 50/50. So that's why stayed at a
- 4 one point seven (1.7). And again, the interest
- 5 payment went directly to the municipality, and that
- 6 was the structure that we settled upon.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And can you just
- 8 help me understand why that was beneficial to the
- 9 Town?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: Because they were
- 11 receiving the hundred and twenty-four thousand dollars
- 12 (\$124,000) per -- per year as a -- as a -- we called
- 13 it a cash dividend.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turning back to the
- 15 -- the shared services arrangements as they were in
- 16 practice.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: A little
- 21 recalibration at the beginning of every day. How's
- 22 that? Okay. I'm going to try not to move.
- 23 Turning back to the shared services
- 24 arrangements as they existed as at the beginning 2012,
- 25 were those beneficial to the Town?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe so.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And how were they
- 3 beneficial to the Town?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I think all I can
- 5 give -- give you is a general statement, but we -- we
- 6 felt that the costs that were being incurred for the
- 7 services were being done by power and -- and solutions
- 8 to complete the operational things they needed to were
- 9 most cost-effectively done in the arrangement that we
- 10 had. We felt very confident that with our -- our
- 11 external auditors' review, that the allocations were
- 12 being done appropriately.
- 13 And then with our comparative analysis
- 14 at the end of each year, contained within our -- our
- 15 business plan and -- and annual report, we were
- 16 confirming that we were in the low range of the admin
- 17 costs per customer, which would -- would -- we would
- 18 take to mean that we were doing things cost-
- 19 effectively.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So I
- 21 understand you to be saying that the shared services
- 22 resulted into -- in efficient costs or lower costs for
- 23 the ratepayers? Is that right?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: We believed so, yes.
- 25 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'd like to

- 1 understand how the shared services arrangements were
- 2 beneficial to the Town.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: So I was saying to
- 4 power and to water, and so the water side was the
- 5 Town, and -- and that's why I was equating it to be
- 6 beneficial to the Town.
- 7 What you'll be referring to possibly as
- 8 the Town services that were done outside of water, so
- 9 for instance, Mr. Houghton acted as -- as the CEO of -
- 10 or, sorry, the director of public works, and that
- 11 cost was going into the water department. And the
- 12 work was being done for the other department, but
- 13 again, it was going in at a cost-effective level, as
- 14 far as we were concerned.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So am I
- 16 accurately summarizing your answer when I say that
- 17 services were being provided in a cost efficient way
- 18 to the ratepayers, and also to the extent that the
- 19 Town was receiving services under the shared services,
- 20 they were being provided in a cost-effective, cost
- 21 efficient manner?
- MR. TIM FRYER: We believed so, yes.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When it came to the
- 24 work that you were going to be doing on amending the
- 25 shared service agreements, was it a goal of yours to

- 1 maintain those benefits, the cost-effective benefits
- 2 of the services to the ratepayers and the Town through
- 3 the amendments that you were making?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. That was one (1)
- 5 goal, yes.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: It's my
- 7 understanding that those agreements were not amended
- 8 before the share sale Transaction was finalized in
- 9 July 2012. Is that right?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: No. From what I can
- 11 see, there was an agreement to continue on with the
- 12 current ones which we had been doing prior the shared
- 13 purchase, and they were going to work on that.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did -- did the
- 15 agreement to agree that you described cause you any
- 16 concern that there may be a loss of the benefits that
- 17 you've described to me, the cost efficiencies enjoyed
- 18 by the ratepayers and the Town?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: At -- at that
- 20 particular point in time, I wasn't responsible for --
- 21 for that aspect of it. In and around March, there was
- 22 the situation where Cindy Shuttleworth was taking on
- 23 the -- the role of working through the transactions,
- 24 so to speak, in the Collus Power side, and I was
- 25 concentrating on the other work, and -- and

- 1 Collingwood public utilities, so I wasn't aware of the
- 2 July 31st agreement letter until I read the documents.
- 3
 Actually, I -- I'll correct myself on
- 4 that; until I was a member of Council and it came to
- 5 light in the information that we were provided through
- 6 the other reviews that were done.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have any
- 8 concerns when you handed over responsibility for
- 9 amending the shared service agreements a couple of
- 10 months before the Transaction was going to be
- 11 finalized that the benefits may be lost if they
- 12 weren't finalized?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, because I knew the
- 14 work -- the real part of the work was going to involve
- 15 power. And since Ms. Shuttleworth was going to be
- 16 dealing with that, I was comfortable with -- with
- 17 returning the -- the duties over to her, and -- and
- 18 through her to Mr. Houghton.
- 19 I -- I wasn't surprised that they
- 20 couldn't be finalized, and they had -- like, they had
- 21 to do some sort of a letter, that when I saw the
- 22 letter and -- and read the contents, I wasn't
- 23 surprised that that had happened. I just didn't know
- 24 about it, even though I was there.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Why weren't you

- 1 surprised that they couldn't be finalized before the
- 2 Transaction was finalized?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I think just -- there
- 4 was so much work to be done in regards to firming up
- 5 possibly what services could come from PowerStream
- 6 directly, because that was one (1) of the goals of the
- 7 -- of the partnership was to utilize their -- their
- 8 back office expertise while we were going through our
- 9 -- our -- dealing with the various successions that we
- 10 had to do, and -- and those types of things.
- 11 So I felt, certainly at the time, that
- 12 the agreements were going to be in a state of flux,
- 13 and they probably would be prepared in -- in a form
- 14 that was pretty much acceptable in time for the cost
- 15 of service study going in, because the OEB would need
- 16 to approve them.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: The letter that you
- 18 mentioned, which letter were you talking about?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: The July 31st
- 20 agreement that there'd be a continuation of service, I
- 21 believe.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we can take a
- 23 look at paragraph 622 of the Foundation Document,
- 24 please.
- 2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 MS. KATE MCGRANN: 3 I'll ask you to scroll down a little bit further so we can take a look at the whole thing. So this paragraph describes a supplementary agreement which -- way of the obligation to finalize the shared service agreements. Were you aware in March -- that in March there was a letter that was signed as well that 10 sort of agreement to agree type letter? 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Not to my recall. I can't -- I can't remember that one (1) until seeing it 12 as a member of Council. 13 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So let's 15 focus on this -- this description of the July 31st letter, then. We'll scroll down. The conditions in 16 17 this letter included the following: 18 "That Collus PowerStream would 19 provide services to the Town of 20 Collingwood on a fully allocated 21 cost basis, plus a return on 22 investment."

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

arrangement before the Transaction?

Was that consistent with the

MR. TIM FRYER: I believe so.

23

24

2.5

14 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okav. "That Collus PowerStream would not 2 3 pay more than fair market value for any of the services supplied by the 5 Town of Collingwood." Was that consistent with the 6 arrangement before the closing of the shared sale 7 Transaction? 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't recall anything in the prev -- in the -- in the agreements 10 11 that specifically talked about provision of services by the Town of Collingwood, so I can't say for certain on that. 13 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Looking at 15 (c): 16 "The shared service agreements would 17 be reviewed annually so that the 18 costs of the services under the 19 agreements could be revised. If the 20 parties could not agree on a revised cost of services, the cost would 21 22 increase by 3.5 percent of the 23 year's -- previous year's costs." 24 Was that consistent with the

arrangement that existed before the shared sale

- 1 Transaction?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: My recall of the other
- 3 agreements was that it was based on inflationary
- 4 change if -- if necessary. So I -- I'm -- I don't
- 5 believe that that's exactly the way, but...
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you explain
- 7 what you mean when you say it was based on an
- 8 inflationary rate change, if necessary?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: My recall of the
- 10 agreements was that it recognized a base cost, and
- 11 then referred to the fact that there could be
- 12 inflationary increases to that amount over the course
- 13 of time.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 15 whether it set out a method for calculating the -- the
- 16 inflationary increase?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember if
- 19 during the time that -- between 2001 and 2011 there
- 20 were inflationary increases applied?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: That was more to -- to
- 22 set a level that the services couldn't exceed. So as
- 23 we've explained through the course of evidence, the
- 24 methodology that was used was to take the exact cost
- 25 that was being incurred and split it over to the two

- 1 (2) departments, on -- on the approved percentage
- 2 basis.
- 3 And then in -- at the end, of the year
- 4 you'd look at the total costs that had been incurred
- 5 and make sure that it hadn't exceeded the level within
- 6 the agreement.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You weren't
- 8 involved in the negotiation of this letter at all?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you asked to
- 11 review it before it was signed?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE).
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did anyone consult
- 14 you at all about how the shared services would be
- 15 addressed in -- as in the resulting entity from the
- 16 share sale transaction?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Stepping back in
- 19 time from July 31st, 2012, to the beginning of 2011,
- 20 can you look at paragraph 140 of --
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Are
- 22 you moving on to --
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: -- the Foundation
- 24 document.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --

- 1 something different than the shared services? Let me
- 2 -- do I understand correctly that -- that the shared
- 3 services were known and identified?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, sir.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 6 the costs of those services was known --
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- at
- 9 least to the previous fiscal year.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: If I may, one (1) of
- 11 the major costs of course was the labour of the
- 12 employees that were involved, so we had each of the
- 13 departments involved -- that actually was in a table
- 14 that was contained in a document I reviewed with Ms.
- 15 Chaplin yesterday.
- 16 It showed the -- the department, so it
- 17 showed Finance, which included myself and -- and
- 18 others that were part of that group and our split was,
- 19 I'm going to say 55 percent Power and 40 percent
- 20 Water, and then the 5 percent that was remaining was
- 21 costs that stayed within Solutions in regards to the
- 22 employees for things like benefits and -- and that
- 23 that had to be recovered.
- 24 And so those charges went over to the -
- 25 to the individual companies with a -- with a small

- 1 mark-up on them and the revenue that came back from
- 2 those charges going over was applied to Solutions,
- 3 meant to be eventually on a break-even basis, that
- 4 Solutions would be a break-even corporation.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 6 the services supplied by the Town to Power were known.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: If I may, sir, so
- 8 Solutions was a Collus entity and it provided services
- 9 to Collus Power, the LDC, Collingwood Public
- 10 Utilities, the water utility, and some of the
- 11 employees who also did work for the Town of
- 12 Collingwood. The Town of Collingwood itself wasn't
- 13 providing services back to Collus. It was Collus
- 14 providing them outright to the LDC and to the Town.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So in
- 16 terms of trying to figure out the -- the value of
- 17 these shared services, all of the information required
- 18 to do that was known?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 21 every year you must have gone through an exercise to
- 22 do that, correct?
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: In order to have our
- 24 external office -- external auditors approve of the
- 25 methodology we're using to transfer costs, we had to

- 1 annually review, and then in regards to things -- Mr.
- 2 Erling spoke about this a little bit. If we were
- 3 purchasing a billing system, we would look at how much
- 4 of the costs should be allocated to Water and how much
- 5 should be allocated to -- to Power, and we would
- 6 allocate it that way.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So to
- 8 have done a -- a valuation of the shared services for
- 9 -- so that it would be done prior to the closing of
- 10 this sale, how -- how long would that take?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: So the -- the
- 12 information that was available to the partners was the
- 13 costs that had been incurred through the years through
- 14 the financial statements, so they would have had a
- 15 fairly decent idea on -- on what the kind of costs
- 16 were.
- 17 It was more the fact that, in -- in my
- 18 estimation, they were thinking that PowerStream might
- 19 be providing some of the services to Power rather than
- 20 -- than through the Solutions company, and we were in
- 21 a state of flux as well because of our conversion from
- 22 GAAP to IFRS, as to whether or not we would keep the
- 23 corporate structure we had or would we move the shared
- 24 employees over to the public utilities and then just
- 25 simply charge charges over.

- 1 So it wasn't just a matter of figuring
- 2 out the services; it was a matter of figuring out the
- 3 structure. I think that was probably part of the --
- 4 the reason for delay.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Was
- 6 the sale premature in that sense, that it happened
- 7 before those considerations could be affected?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: I had made that
- 9 comment to -- to the Board and to Mr. Houghton, that I
- 10 felt that one (1) thing that really needed to be
- 11 worked out before we went through an exercise of -- of
- 12 a sale would be to get our shared services in order,
- 13 but I -- I could understand the reason it was decided
- 14 not to.
- 15 Part of the argument, of course, was
- 16 again what Mr. Erling talked about. You really needed
- 17 to know exactly who your partner was in order to know
- 18 how the -- the agreements were going to carry forward
- 19 with the -- the shared partnership agreement.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: There
- 21 were four people who were going to bid and -- and
- 22 until your partner is going to be one (1) of the four
- 23 (4) bidders.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah. And the
- 25 likelihood was that Hydro One wouldn't provide

- 1 services back to power because typically, in my view,
- 2 you wouldn't be looking to them to do your billing or
- 3 things like that, but there probably was a fairly good
- 4 chance that if it was one (1) of the other three (3),
- 5 because they were in LDC and -- and had very similar
- 6 billing characteristics as us, that they possibly
- 7 could be involved in something like that.
- 8 Or, for instance, GIS services for
- 9 engineering, it -- it wouldn't be a case where you
- 10 probably have Ontario Hydro, Hydro One providing GIS
- 11 services to power, but it very well could be that you
- 12 would have that through somebody like a PowerStream.
- So all I'm saying is that I can -- I
- 14 can understand the reasoning, I can understand my
- 15 reasoning for saying we should get these sorted out,
- 16 it was more about the arrangements between the Town
- 17 and ourselves, but I could recognize that there was
- 18 going to be changes probably brought about by whoever
- 19 the partner was that eventually settled upon.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: If you
- 21 settle upon PowerStream as the partner, then you could
- 22 do the calculations that had to be done to figure out
- 23 how you're going to apportion shared services.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Once they knew what
- 25 services PowerStream might provide and what would be

- 1 provided by internally, yes, then it could have been
- 2 worked out.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Was
- 4 there -- was there ever a reason provided that you
- 5 ever heard about why that wouldn't take place prior to
- 6 the closing of the transaction?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: No. As I mentioned, I
- 8 wasn't respons -- or I wasn't involved in that part of
- 9 it for those -- basically the six months leading up to
- 10 when the transaction was closed.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 12 you.
- 13
- 14 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: If you could turn
- 16 to paragraph 140 of the Foundation document. I'm
- 17 going to ask you some questions about the valuation
- 18 and options analysis that KPMG did for Collus Power in
- 19 early 2011.
- This paragraph describes a letter from
- 21 Mayor Cooper to Collus chair Dean Muncaster and Ed and
- 22 it says:
- "As you may know, our new Council
- 24 was partly elected to get our
- spending and our municipal debt

Transcript Date May 14, 2019 23 under control." 1 2 And she goes on to say: 3 "As a result, I have asked our CAO, Ms. Wingrove and our department 5 heads to look for opportunities 6 within their areas of responsibility to explore cost reduction opportunities and still offer similar levels of service." 9 10 The letter goes on to say: 11 "I would like to ask that Collus 12 look for similar opportunities in 13 part to help reduce our debt and 14 create greater efficiencies for 15 Collingwood residents. I recognize 16 the input during the budget 17 presentations..." 18 And the letter goes on from there. 19 you ever see a copy of a letter along these lines from 20 Mayor Cooper? MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe so. 21 22 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When do you recall 23 learning that Collus Power was going to be getting a 24 valuation done?

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it was early

2.5

- 1 in 2011.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: How did you learn
- 3 about it?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it was Mr.
- 5 Houghton informed me that there was going to be some
- 6 work done, and I believe the reasoning he gave was
- 7 similar to the wording in this document.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And when you say
- 9 the reason he gave was similar to the wording, did you
- 10 understand that the purpose of getting the valuation
- 11 done was to look for opportunities to reduce the
- 12 Town's costs?
- MR. TIM FRYER: My understanding was
- 14 that they wanted to look at their assets and know what
- 15 their options where. It would make sense that in
- 16 order to do that you'd want to have a valuation of the
- 17 -- of the utility assets.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. In the
- 19 letter that we just looked at, we're looking at
- 20 getting spending under control, getting municipal debt
- 21 under control, opportunities to explore cost
- 22 reductions, Collus looked for opportunities to reduce
- 23 the Town debt and create greater efficiencies.
- Do you remember understanding at the
- 25 time that the valuation was being done that a focus of

- 1 the valuation was to help the Town try to reduce its
- 2 costs?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't believe
- 4 that that was conveyed in -- in the conversation about
- 5 the reason for the valuation.
- I just maybe would add, I think one (1)
- 7 of the reasons Mr. Houghton would have been discussing
- 8 it with me is I could do a valuation, but I would've
- 9 at that point in time, for two (2) reasons, said I
- 10 think it's a good idea that somebody else is involved,
- 11 because I don't have -- I've got a lot of workload on
- 12 me, but also you -- it's good to have a third party
- 13 objective view of it. And -- and then it would just
- 14 be a case of where I would be reviewing to see if I
- 15 saw anything that stood out to me.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What did you
- 17 understand about the nature of the valuation that was
- 18 going to be done in terms of levels of detail and
- 19 things like that?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't recall
- 21 anything during those initial conversations other than
- 22 it would be a general review and -- and I would've
- 23 equated that to mean just a -- an overview.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What did you
- 25 understand about who made the decision to have the

- 1 valuation done?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: All I can recall is
- 3 being informed that the -- the Town wanted to do this.
- And so I'm not really sure from a
- 5 decision-making standpoint.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we look at
- 7 paragraph 150 of the Foundation document, please?
- 8 So in terms of timing, this is after
- 9 KPMG is provided a scope of work that's been accepted,
- 10 there's a kickoff meeting planned for March 11th,
- 11 2011. We've heard from you, I think, that you don't
- 12 believe that you were in attendance at that meeting,
- 13 is that correct?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't recall being
- 15 there.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph goes
- 17 on to say that Mr. Houghton determined that only the
- 18 Board chair, Dean Muncaster and you would attend the
- 19 meeting and be in the loop.
- I want to focus on the second part of
- 21 that sentence, the "in the loop" section. What did
- 22 you understand about who knew that a valuation was
- 23 being done at this time?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't recall that Ed
- 25 explained to me that Mr. Muncaster was aware, but I

- 1 would have assumed that because I -- I do believe that
- 2 Mr. Houghton wouldn't have exercised it without at
- 3 least talking to the Chair.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And beyond
- 5 yourself, Mr. Houghton, and Mr. Muncaster, who else
- 6 did you understand was aware that the valuation was
- 7 being done?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: At -- in my recall
- 9 from back then it would have just been -- I would've,
- 10 as I said, assumed Mr. Muncaster and -- and Mr.
- 11 Houghton and -- and that would've been all I would've
- 12 been thinking would know. Other than the fact that it
- 13 had been conveyed to me that it was something that
- 14 Council wanted, so Council would be aware that we were
- 15 undertaking it.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was it your
- 17 understanding that you should only be communicating
- 18 with KPMG, Mr. Houghton, and Mr. Muncaster about the
- 19 valuation?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: That would have been
- 21 the approach I took, yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any
- 23 understanding as to why knowledge of the valuation was
- 24 limited to the individuals you've described?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: No, but I can

- 1 appreciate that it's not the type of information that
- 2 you want to have too much of a circulation with at the
- 3 -- at the beginning stages.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Explain to
- 5 me why you understand that. What's your
- 6 understanding?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Even though you're
- 8 just getting information, it's information about what
- 9 the value is of -- of the asset and it involves
- 10 employees and -- so as a -- as a person who is
- 11 responsible for about ten (10) employees, I would
- 12 prefer that they weren't thinking along the lines that
- 13 there could be a possible sale, because I wasn't
- 14 thinking along the lines that there was a possible
- 15 sale. To me, I was just -- we were just getting a
- 16 valuation and confirming what I -- as I said, had a
- 17 pretty good handle on what it would be anyway.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So that fact that a
- 19 valuation was being done, you didn't read into that,
- 20 that a potential sale of some or all of the LDC was
- 21 being considered?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: Every time a Council
- 23 changed, you knew there was a possibility that -- that
- 24 there would be some sort of exploration into what can
- 25 we do here. And we had various stages at different

- 1 times with other Council.
- 2 So to me, this was just the initial
- 3 stage, and with a brand-new Council it was totally
- 4 understandable, in my view.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And a valuation had
- 6 been conducted of the LDC before?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Just information from
- 8 me, not -- not on a formal basis.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: KPMG is retained to
- 10 conduct the valuation and options analysis. What was
- 11 your role with respect to the valuation and options
- 12 analysis?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I expected that I'd be
- 14 a resource of information thinking along the lines
- 15 that a lot of the information was readily available
- 16 and -- and wouldn't produce an awful lot of workload.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And did that
- 18 expectation turn out to be true?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe so. I -- I
- 20 -- when I was responding to Mr. Erling's request for
- 21 information I recognized that, as a -- as a consultant
- 22 tasked with a job, he'd be asking for spec -- as much
- 23 specific information as he could.
- 24 And I'd try to -- to convey what I
- 25 could with the resources I had available, and then

- 1 suggest where I thought it was applicable that those
- 2 were specifics that would take a lot of time. And my
- 3 understanding was that there would be a general
- 4 overview.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: At any time during
- 6 the period in which KPMG was doing its work on the
- 7 valuation and options analysis did anyone speak to you
- 8 about the nature, content, or timing of your responses
- 9 to KPMG's request for information?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: No. I didn't become
- 11 aware of that until I read the document.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you say you
- 13 didn't become aware of that, what are you talking
- 14 about?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: I've seen where
- 16 there's been some -- about me taking some time. I
- 17 thought, in looking at some of the emails, that there
- 18 was a couple instances where there was a
- 19 misunderstanding be -- between myself and the other
- 20 party as to who was expecting the next response to
- 21 come from.
- So, as I said, that -- that's -- I --
- 23 I've seen some of the comments. And -- and some of it
- 24 is internal chatter, so to speak, so -- but it didn't
- 25 convey that they felt that I -- I was being tardy.

```
1
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN:
                                     Okay. Were you
   being tardy in your responses to the request for
   information?
 3
                  MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe so,
 5
   no.
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you receive a
 6
   copy of the draft valuation when it was provided on
   May 24th, 2011?
 9
                  MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
   certain. I'm expecting I did. But I'd be speculating
10
   if I said to you that for certain I remember getting
12
    it. But I -- I do recall an email from Mr. Rockx
13
   where I was responding on specific finetuning, so I
14
   have to think that comes from me receiving the draft.
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN:
15
                                     Okay. Can we look
   at paragraph 163 of the Foundation Document?
17
18
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
19
20
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
   learning at some point after May 24th, 2011, that the
21
22
   valuation that KPMG assessed for Collus Power was 14.1
23
   million to 16.3 million?
24
                  MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
2.5
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was that consistent
```

```
1 with what your expectations were for the value of the
2 Company?
```

- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Certainly not out of -
- 4 out of the -- the area, no.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn up
- 9 KPM1032?

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: This is a slide
- 14 show that KPMG put together setting out their
- 15 assessment of the options available to the Town with
- 16 respect to Collus Power. Are you familiar with this
- 17 document?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 19 certain that I saw it when it was issued on the 24th
- 20 of May.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Are you familiar
- 22 with it sitting here today?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Sorry?
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Are you familiar
- 25 with it sitting here today? You've seen this document

- 1 before?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I have, yeah.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall if
- 4 you saw it before you began your work on the Strategic
- 5 Partnership Task Team?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't recall for
- 7 sure on that, no.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn to
- 9 CJI7986?
- 10
- 11 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 12
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: I bring you to this
- 14 document to -- to help place you in time. So, this is
- 15 minutes from the Council meeting of May 30th, 2011.
- 16 This is the Council meeting in which you, Mr.
- 17 Muncaster, and Mr. Houghton present the financial
- 18 statements along with the business plan for the Collus
- 19 entities.
- We've talked about this already, but do
- 21 you recall this?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, at this point
- 24 in time, KPMG has delivered its draft valuation. From
- 25 our review of the available materials about this

- 1 meeting, there's no mention of the fact of the
- 2 valuation.
- 3 Do you know why the valuation was not
- 4 mentioned at this meeting?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Again, I -- I may be
- 6 speculating, but I think it's an education opinion --
- 7 opinion. This is May 30th. We're presenting the
- 8 annual report, just had received the valuation, so
- 9 Council wouldn't even have been apprised of the
- 10 valuation information yet, and I would have thought
- 11 that would be a major reason for not including it.
- 12 Plus, as I said, this was a public
- 13 presentation. And, again, even information about the
- 14 possibility that a valuation's been done would
- 15 probably resinate to our employees that there was a
- 16 possibility of a sale and -- and cause some angst.
- So, my reflexion on it is that we were
- 18 reporting on the usual aspects that we would because
- 19 nothing had been taken to a stage where something in
- 20 the works was -- was -- would -- would necessitate a
- 21 change.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, I have a couple
- 23 of questions about what you just said. First of all,
- 24 did you know at the time -- on Monday May 30th did you
- 25 understand that the valuation wasn't going to be

- 1 mentioned?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't recall a
- 3 conversation about that, but I would have assumed that
- 4 it wouldn't be.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you -- I just
- 6 want to understand what you understood at the time.
- 7 So, do you remember having any -- considering whether
- 8 or not the valuation would be mentioned at all at the
- 9 time?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah, and sorry about
- 11 that. I -- I would have thought back then that it
- 12 wouldn't have been an appropriate time to be putting
- 13 information to Council in a public setting about the
- 14 valuation, so that's what I meant about assumed.
- 15 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I -- I may have
- 16 misunderstood you. I thought that you told us that
- 17 you believed that Council knew that the valuation was
- 18 being done during the time that KPMG was doing its
- 19 work?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: They would have known
- 21 about the valuation work but not about the -- the
- 22 draft options that had been put together, I guess,
- 23 with the May 24th information and the -- and the total
- 24 value of the valuation. I don't believe they had been
- 25 updated on that yet.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, it was your
- 2 understanding as at May 30th, 2011, that Council was
- 3 aware that the valuation and strategic options work
- 4 was being done?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: That -- that work was
- 6 being done. The reason I'm saying Council was aware
- 7 was because my understanding from Mr. Houghton was
- 8 that Council was the one (1) who had directed him to -
- 9 to take a look at the options.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So, you
- 11 thought that they knew that the work was being done
- 12 but you didn't think they had been provided with the
- 13 work product, the conclusions, at this point?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: Certainly, not any of
- 15 the detail like -- like what we just talked about,
- 16 yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And you've
- 18 explained to me why, in your view, it wouldn't be
- 19 appropriate to give that information in a public
- 20 meeting, concerns for Collus staff, for one (1),
- 21 you've explained that.
- 22 Are you aware of any reason why Council
- 23 couldn't go in camera to receive that information on
- 24 May 30th?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I'm not aware of any

1 reason, no.

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

4

- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And you've
- 6 explained to us that you didn't see the valuation and
- 7 options analysis work as an indication that a sale of
- 8 some or all of Collus Power was being seriously
- 9 considered. Is that fair?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I quess it's a matter
- 11 of -- I knew it was being considered. I -- I wasn't
- 12 putting seriously into the -- into the mix of that.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: When did you first
- 14 become aware that a sale of some or all of Collus
- 15 Power was -- was being considered in a -- in a serious
- 16 way?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it would
- 18 have been the June 27th in camera session with Council
- 19 when, in my opinion, we were instructed to go forward
- 20 with an option and -- and, basically, empowered by
- 21 Council to start to look at that as a -- as a bonafide
- 22 possibility.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn up
- 24 CPS4397, please?

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This is a slide
- 4 deck that was presented to Council in camera on the
- 5 June 27th Council meeting that you're mentioning.
- 6 Are you familiar with this slide deck?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I am familiar
- 8 with it.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you given the
- 10 opportunity to review it before it was presented to
- 11 Council on June 27th, 2011?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe so.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you involved
- 14 in any discussions about the options that are reviewed
- 15 in this slide deck before they were presented to
- 16 Council?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Not to any degree, no.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Had you been
- 19 involved in any discussions about a potential
- 20 strategic partnership that Collus Power would enter
- 21 into before this slide deck was presented to Council?
- MR. TIM FRYER: My recall about
- 23 strategic partnership was the July 8th Board meeting,
- 24 but since reading the material and seeing this, I know
- 25 the strategic partnership is part of this slide

- 1 presentation. So I must've heard about it on
- 2 June the 27th.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you said that
- 4 you understood at the end of this meeting that you had
- 5 been instructed to proceed with an option, what option
- 6 did you think you been instructed to proceed with?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: The partnership idea.
- 8 I didn't equate that to mean majority or minority. I
- 9 just -- can we take a look at an idea of a
- 10 partnership.
- 11 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what did a --
- 12 what does a partnership idea mean to you? What would
- 13 that look like on the ground?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: At that time, I
- 15 would've considered a partial sale of some sort to --
- 16 towards the area of upwards of 50 percent. I -- I
- 17 didn't think that they were thinking of majority. So
- 18 I hadn't probably put anything in my head at that time
- 19 as to what was anticipated. I was aware that in -- in
- 20 some -- some circumstances out in the industry, there
- 21 had been sale of 10 or 15 percent to -- for some LDCs.
- 22 So just as I said, I didn't have a
- 23 like -- like a percentage in mind, but I -- I
- 24 certainly felt that what the possibility was was that
- 25 we were looking for a partner who would maybe buy a

- 1 portion of the -- of the asset -- or shares of the
- 2 corporation.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And when you
- 4 say "upwards of 50 percent," can you help me
- 5 understand what you mean by that?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, I would consider
- 7 that 50 percent or more would be a majority sale,
- 8 and -- and my understanding at the time was that they
- 9 were not interested in becoming a minority partner.
- 10 So we would have been looking at only the potential
- 11 for something upwards to 50.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. I just --
- 13 because you say -- because you said "they" and upwards
- 14 to 50, what did you understand the Town was
- 15 considering selling?
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: That they were
- 17 interested in finding out more about an option of a
- 18 share sale for up to 50 percent of the shares, that
- 19 they called or referred to as a partnership.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Who is "they"?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: Council and -- and ...
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So the first
- 23 time you become aware of this potential sale of some
- 24 or all of Collus Powers on June 27th at this meeting
- 25 when you believe that you've been -- Collus Power has

- 1 been empowered to explore the potential sale of up to
- 2 50 percent. Is that right?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And then you
- 5 mentioned -- it was a slightly different understanding
- 6 at the July 8th meeting, and that's the Collus Power
- 7 Board meeting. Is that right?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, it is.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So we turn up
- 10 CPS7018 30001.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Before
- 12 you --
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Yeah.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- or
- 15 while you're doing that, was it your understanding
- 16 that the Town did not want to sell -- did not want to
- 17 lose control?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: They -- they didn't
- 19 want to become a minority owner.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So
- 21 when you say up to 50 -- if you don't want to become a
- 22 minority owner, does that mean less than 50 percent
- 23 would be sold to you at that time?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: At that point in time,
- 25 that's the way I would have looked at it. I would've

- 1 said anything 50 percent or more is essentially losing
- 2 control.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Right.
- 4 Okay. Thank you

5

- 6 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So we're looking at
- 8 minutes of a meeting of the Board of Directors of
- 9 Collus Power from Friday, July 8th. If we could
- 10 scroll down to page 3, please.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: We're looking at
- 15 the first full paragraph where it says:
- "Upon motion duly made, seconded,
- and carried, the meeting moved
- 18 in-camera to discuss a matter
- 19 pertaining to personnel and/or
- 20 property."
- 21 And we see that:
- 22 "The Board rose from the in camera
- 23 session and reconvened the regular
- 24 meeting."
- 25 And it says that basically:

"The Board unanimously voted to 1 2 support the preferred option of 3 moving forward with the strategic partner option and fully supports 5 management in the execution of the direction." 6 Is it your recollection that during the in camera portion of this meeting, there was a discussion about the options and ultimately a decision to move forward with the strategic partner option? 10 11 MR. TIM FRYER: It was clear to me, based on -- on this meeting, the concept of not just 13 having somebody purchase shares and -- and become a -like a -- like a partial owner, but we were looking 14 15 for somebody who would be a partner, and it be all encompassing, so to speak. It would be they would become involved in possibly the provision of services 17 18 or back and forth and -- and take advantage of -- of 19 expertise and -- and those types of things. 20 So it became quite clear to me at this meeting that it was what they were terming to be a 21 22 strategic partnership was the -- was the term that was being used. 23 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was there an 2.5 in-camera discussion about those kinds of things?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm positive that
- 2 that's what was being talked about, yes.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have a
- 4 recollection of that discussion?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: No, other than that it
- 6 was clear to me about the concept.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And other -- when
- 8 you say "all encompassing," what do you mean by that?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: You could have a
- 10 situation where somebody just purchase share sales,
- 11 and they're not even on your Board of Directors. It
- 12 was clear that that wasn't going to be the case here.
- 13 So that's just one (1) example of that.
- 14 Another would be in this particular
- 15 case, the anticipation was that the partner would be
- 16 able to assist us with their expertise.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And those are the
- 18 things that you -- were being discussed at this
- 19 meeting.
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: It was clear to me
- 21 that that's what they were looking for, based on -- on
- 22 the information I received at that meeting.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Anything else you
- 24 recall learning at that meeting?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Not at this time, no.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any
- 2 concerns that -- it sounds to me like you had one (1)
- 3 understanding of what was being pursued when you left
- 4 the Council meeting on July 27th, and you had a
- 5 different or better understanding of what was being
- 6 pursued when you left the Collus Power Board meeting
- 7 on July 8th. Is that fair?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. I would say your
- 9 statement about a "better understanding" of what
- 10 was -- and -- and now I had the Board's empowerment to
- 11 proceed with that -- that objective, as well.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: To your knowledge,
- 13 did anyone take the additional information that you
- 14 learned at the July 8th meeting back to Town Council
- 15 so they, too, could have a better understanding of
- 16 what was being pursued?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 18 certain. I know the mayor was a liaison and would've
- 19 been at this -- in fact, was at this meeting. And --
- 20 but I -- I can't say for certain that she would've
- 21 conveyed that directly back.
- 22 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You were a -- you
- 23 were a member of the Strategic Partnership Task Team.
- 24 Is that correct?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I was.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember how
- 2 you were selected to be a member of that team?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm -- not so much
- 4 how. I know it happened at an in camera session of
- 5 Council. It was identified who would be on the task
- 6 team.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know who
- 8 picked you to be on the task team?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 10 certain, no.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know why you
- 12 were picked to be on the task team?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm CFO of the utility
- 14 that was involved.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Is that your
- 16 understanding of why you were picked, or was that
- 17 explained to you?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: That would be my
- 19 understanding.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What did you
- 21 understand your role on the Strategic Task Team to be?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: Certainly as a
- 23 resource. I -- I did consider the situation that
- 24 there was five representatives on it, that three
- 25 were -- were Board members and two (2) were -- or

- 1 Council members. And I considered them to be what I
- 2 would call the voting members of the -- in reading the
- 3 materials and that, I -- I don't think that's conveyed
- 4 in -- in any way that there was votes, and -- and it
- 5 was only the five (5) of them voting.
- But I certainly, as a member of the
- 7 Task Team, looked more at my role as being a resource.
- 8 I did participate in the -- in the scoring, which
- 9 would make sense to me to do that, put it over the
- 10 whole group.
- But, in the end, I think, if there was
- 12 any decisions to be made, I would be turning to the
- 13 people who were the voting members of the Board and --
- 14 and Council, that they would be the ones making the fi
- 15 -- ultimate decisions.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Fair to say that
- 20 the Strategic Task Team did make a number of decisions
- 21 before it received and evaluated responses to the RFP?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I guess we would have
- 23 made decisions about the criteria for it. So, yes,
- 24 there would have been some decisions made in -- in
- 25 advance of that.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: On how to conduct
- 2 better meetings?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I believe they
- 4 would have been at the Strategic Planning Task Team
- 5 sessions.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were there ever any
- 7 discussions at the Strategic Task Team meetings or
- 8 otherwise about the idea that there were decision-
- 9 making members of the team and resource members of the
- 10 team? Was that ever discussed?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe it
- 12 would have been a discussion point.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was it ever
- 14 explained to anyone that -- that you would not be
- 15 participating in the decision-making function of the
- 16 Strategic Task Team up to the evaluation of the RFP
- 17 responses?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: No. My recall of it
- 19 is that I just assumed that that was the role.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: But what was the
- 21 Strategic Partnership Task Team there to do?
- MR. TIM FRYER: It was there to
- 23 conduct an exercise to determine possible strategic
- 24 partner alternatives.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what did you

- 1 understand the goals of the strategic partnership to
- 2 be? What was the Tow -- what was the Town and Collus
- 3 Power looking to achieve by entering into a strategic
- 4 partnership?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Finding a partner that
- 6 would allow the utility to at least continue to
- 7 function in the -- in the -- to the level -- the high
- 8 quality level that it had been on a go forward basis,
- 9 recognizing there was numerous influences within the
- 10 market as to required changes.
- 11 And there was issues about continuing
- 12 on and being able to do the -- the services that we
- 13 needed to do at the most cost-effective way. So, we'd
- 14 be looking to the partner to -- to achieve that, as
- 15 well, so, basically, grow the value of the
- 16 organization.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. When you say
- 18 provide services in the most co -- cost-effective way
- 19 possible, are we looking at most cost-effective from
- 20 the perspective of the ratepayer?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And I think you
- 23 summarized your explanation of what the goals for the
- 24 strategic partner were, to grow the organization?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: Grow the value of.

- 1 And that's why I just says 'yes' to the ratepayer
- 2 question, because, in my view, the goal for the
- 3 shareholder was to grow the value of the organization.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was one (1) of the
- 5 purposes of the strategic partnership to put Collus
- 6 Power and its partner in a position to grow the size
- 7 of the organization, acquire other LDCs or engage in
- 8 further partnerships?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I certainly was aware
- 10 that there was discussion during our meetings about
- 11 potentially bringing other LDCs within the model just
- 12 in a general sense. That was the discussions that I
- 13 recall, is just that it was a possibility.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: We had looked
- 15 earlier at the -- the mayor's letter from January 2011
- 16 that talked about the need to try to reduce costs for
- 17 the Town. Did you understand that to be a goal of the
- 18 strategic partnership?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: No, I -- I didn't know
- 20 the specifics. I just knew that they had talked about
- 21 it at -- I thought it was a strategic planning
- 22 session, but it may have been a budget session of
- 23 Council.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. My question
- 25 is actually, did you understand one (1) of the goals

- 1 for any strategic partner would be to reduce the costs
- 2 to the Town?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: No.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 8 participating in any discussions about the RFP
- 9 criteria with the Strategic Partnership Task Team?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I have to say I don't
- 11 recall. I'm assuming it happened in a strategic
- 12 partnership meeting -- or task team meeting and I
- 13 would have been part of it, but I cannot say for
- 14 certain.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall that
- 16 KPMG was retained to provide assistance with respect
- 17 to the RFP procedure?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What did you
- 20 understand their role to be?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: A third-party resource
- 22 providing us with -- with their objectivity and -- and
- 23 using Mr. Herhalt to -- to lead the discussion so that
- 24 the other members of the task team could participate
- 25 in -- in things more fully.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was it your
- 2 understanding that KPMG had been asked to provide
- 3 advice about the nature of the strategic partnership,
- 4 the quantum of shares to be offered, things like that?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't recall that
- 6 they were, no.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 8 attending bidder presentations that were made to
- 9 members of the Strategic Task Team in September of
- 10 2011?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I did.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What was your
- 13 understanding about the nature of the information that
- 14 was discussed at those meetings?
- MR. TIM FRYER: That was looking at
- 16 expressions of interest from -- from the four (4)
- 17 selected pro -- proponents. And it was, again, all-
- 18 encompassing delivery of information from those four
- 19 (4) proponents as to the current organization they had
- 20 and the aspects of where they saw -- working with
- 21 Collingwood as a partner, where they could see it
- 22 going.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 24 whether the information that was discussed at those
- 25 meetings was intended to be treated as confidential?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: I would certainly have
- 2 thought so.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 4 whether the Strategic Task Team gave the bidders any
- 5 feedback on their presentations either during the
- 6 presentation or afterwards?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't recall what --
- 8 I -- I expected there would have been at least a brief
- 9 expression back to them at the time of the meeting
- 10 thanking them, more or less, but I can't recall any
- 11 specifics about -- about that part of things after
- 12 that.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And just to
- 14 understand your answer, you expect that the Strategic
- 15 Task Team would have expressed thanks for the work put
- 16 in but nothing more than that?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah. And if I recall
- 18 correctly, it was -- all of Council was there, as
- 19 well.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You think that all
- 21 of the members of Town Council were present at the
- 22 presentations that the bidders made to the Strategic
- 23 Task Team in September?
- MR. TIM FRYER: That's my
- 25 recollection, yes.

54 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we turn to TOC518041, please? 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. KATE MCGRANN: Scroll to the bottom to see the first email in this chain. This is an email from you to Brian Bentz on September 15th, 10 11 2011. You say: 12 "Hey, Brian. I hope that you're 13 doing real well. We haven't seen 14 each other for a long time." 15 Are -- are you familiar with this 16 email? 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I am. 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I think you've 19 mentioned that you were aware of Brian Bentz before 20 your work on the Strategic Task Team. How did you know Brian Bentz? 21 22 MR. TIM FRYER: I knew Brian from his days when he was the treasurer at the Barrie Public 24 Utilities. And I'm going to put thirty (30) years ago 25 to that kind of an area of time.

```
1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You met -- you
```

- 2 first met him approximately thirty (30) years prior to
- 3 this email?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And at the time
- 6 that you first met him, thirty (30) years prior, did
- 7 you have a work or social relationship with him at
- 8 that time?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: We were -- we -- we
- 10 worked together on -- there was an exercise that
- 11 actually started out of Barrie PUC to look at a
- 12 Georgian Bay cooperative utility. Don Douds was the
- 13 CEO there, and it was kind of my first delving into
- 14 some possibilities that the province would be looking
- 15 for changes and -- and that.
- So we had met and -- and gone
- 17 through -- through things, because the Georgian Bay
- 18 utilities, Collingwood was one (1) of them, and -- and
- 19 Barrie was one (1) of them. So we had done some work
- 20 together on that. And had a social relationship, but
- 21 nothing other than just his acquaintances.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: When did you work
- 23 on that particular initiative end?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Oh, it's -- it's -- it
- 25 was in the -- I hate to talk about decades, but I'm --

- 1 late 80s or early 90s I would think. So...
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you maintain a
- 3 social relationship with Mr. Bentz after your work on
- 4 the Georgian Bay initiative ended?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any
- 7 contact with him at all between that time and this
- 8 email on September 15th, 2011?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: No. I'm -- I'm sure I
- 10 would have bumped into him at some various
- 11 professional things that we would have been attending.
- 12 But my last recollection of meeting with Brian was I
- 13 was working on a -- on a working group that was
- 14 looking into a billing -- a customer billing solution,
- 15 and we met with Brian at his office down at -- at
- 16 PowerStream. And I'm going to say that was around
- 17 2006.
- 18 And I recall when we finished the forum
- 19 part of the meeting, I remember we were leaving, and
- 20 I -- I said to Brian, it's been a long time, and he
- 21 said yeah. And I said, next time you're going to be
- 22 in my area, let me know, and we'll get together. But
- 23 as I said, that was 2006.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And you don't
- 25 remember any contact other than incidental contact at

- 1 industry events between 2006 and 2011?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No. In fact, this
- 3 email is the only one (1) of the three thousand
- 4 (3,000) documents that there was.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So on this
- 6 date, you reach out to him and invite Mr. Bentz to
- 7 play golf. Why did you send this email?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Just as I said,
- 9 this -- the last time I talked to him, I said you're
- 10 going to be in Collingwood, make sure I know, and
- 11 we'll do something.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you consider at
- 13 the time that you sent this email that Mr. Bentz was
- 14 going to be -- it was potentially going to be a bitter
- 15 RFP process that you would be evaluating?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I certainly understood
- 17 that they were one (1) of the proponents we were
- 18 speaking with. At this particular date, it was the
- 19 strategic -- or the -- the partners coming -- the
- 20 proponents coming and presenting their expression of
- 21 interest. So it wasn't at the RFP stage yet.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And how did the
- 23 fact that it wasn't at the RFP stage yet factor into
- 24 your consideration about sending this email?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: It didn't. I'm just

```
saying that it wasn't.
 2
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Other than the fact
   that you had previously years ago discussed getting
 3
   together with Mr. Bentz when he was in Collingwood,
 5
   what prompted you to send this email on this date?
   Why did you want to go golfing with him?
 7
                   MR. TIM FRYER: Well, just as it
   reads:
 9
                      "When you're here in Collingwood on
10
                      Monday, I wonder if you'd be
11
                      interested and plan to hang around
12
                      for a golf game in the afternoon. I
13
                      was hoping you would be able to join
14
                      me as my quest and play at Georgian
15
                      Bay Club or Lower Bay Club. I
16
                      wasn't sure if you already had an
17
                      opportunity to play Georgian Bay or
18
                      not. If not, then it would probably
19
                      be your best choice, and you would
20
                      really enjoy it. The weather isn't
21
                      supposed to be great as it's been in
22
                      the last week. I figure we'd play
23
                      around 2, 2:30...fast."
24
                   I -- it's just a -- to me, it's a
   personal email just saying we're going to have a golf
```

- 1 game.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So the last
- 3 sentence in the email says:
- 4 "I know it is short notice, so it
- 5 may not work, but it would be great
- to get a chance to chat with you."
- 7 Was there anything in particular that
- 8 you wanted to chat with Mr. Bentz about?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, just as I said,
- 10 it was a social personal chat, I guess.
- 11 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was this email
- 12 related in any way to your work on the Strategic
- 13 Partnership Task Team, the considerations of looking
- 14 for a strategic partnership, or Collus Power in any
- 15 way?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you ultimately
- 18 play golf with Mr. Bentz?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe so. I
- 20 believe we were rained out.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any
- 22 communications with him after this email exchange?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you advise the
- 25 Strategic Partnership Task Team that you had reached

- 1 out to invite Mr. Bentz to play golf?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Why not
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I didn't -- at the
- 5 time, I didn't think that that was an issue.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Other than your
- 7 role on the Strategic Partnership Task Team, what
- 8 involvement did you have in the RFP process that
- 9 Collus Power ran?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I recall that I would
- 11 have been in the discussions about the criteria and
- 12 the weighting of the criteria.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you recall
- 14 about that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I would have been part
- 16 of the discussion on trying to determine what we
- 17 thought was the best percentage layout. And my
- 18 recollection is that I had -- had suggested a
- 19 50 percent financial. I can understand that they
- 20 wanted to put a fairly heavy weight onto the strategic
- 21 partner side of it.
- 22 So the result was, as we know,
- 23 30 percent financial, and -- and 30 percent strategic
- 24 partner, and then dividing the other components with
- 25 10 percent.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. I'm reacting
- 2 to your use of the phrase "would have" when you
- 3 started your answer. Do you have specific
- 4 recollections of the discussions you engaged in about
- 5 the RFP criteria?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 7 certain, other than that I know that I would have been
- 8 there, and we would've talked about them.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 10 what happened when you suggested that you thought that
- 11 the waiting for the financial offer should be
- 12 50 percent?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe there would
- 14 have been discussion, and it was determined that it
- 15 wouldn't be.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have any
- 17 recollection of those discussions?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Not formally, no.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you specifically
- 20 recall telling the other members of the task team that
- 21 you thought it should be 50 percent waiting on the
- 22 financial component though?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I'm going to say yes,
- 24 but I can't say for certain.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall

- 1 whether that would have been done in writing or in
- 2 person?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: It would have been at
- 4 the meetings, and so it would have been verbal.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn to
- 6 paragraph 293 of the Foundation Document, please.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So I'm going to
- 11 scroll down so that you can see table 4.1 in the
- 12 evaluation criteria.
- MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Going -- so can you
- 15 explain to me your understanding of how the points are
- 16 apportioned to your -- you had said thirty (30)
- 17 financial, thirty (30) non-financial, and then the
- 18 other components ten (10). Explain to me how you saw
- 19 this working.
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: Sorry? I'm not sure I
- 21 follow what your question is.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: We've had other --
- 23 we've heard evidence from other witnesses that their
- 24 view of the waiting was that it was thirty (30) points
- 25 for the financial bid, 70 percent for the non-

- 1 financial criteria. It's my understanding from things
- 2 that you've said that you viewed this a little bit
- 3 differently, that you saw it as a 30:30:10:10:10
- 4 situation. I'd like to understand what you understood
- 5 the waiting to be.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: So if we can just
- 7 scroll a little bit up to see the full -- so -- so the
- 8 first 30 percent is what I would consider to be
- 9 financial to a degree because I do believe the -- the
- 10 rates are part of financial, but that's down a little
- 11 bit lower. So that's the 30, and -- and it has, as we
- 12 can see, I guess, nine bullet point items that
- 13 they're -- they're looking at.
- 14 So certainly, I would look at that as
- 15 one (1) component from a statistical standpoint. If
- 16 you're looking at that as one (1) component, then it
- 17 would make sense that you're looking at something that
- 18 has similar weighting as another component. And
- 19 that's the provision of strategic and specialized
- 20 resources and supporting growing the Collus business.
- 21 So in my view, you easily could have
- 22 put out three (3) packages: a financial package, a
- 23 strategic partner package, and the other qualitative
- 24 factors that are down here.
- Does that answer your question?

6.4

1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: It does. Could we

- 2 scroll up for a second.
- 3 So you're -- when you look at proposed
- 4 representation on Collus Power Board of directors, you
- 5 viewed that as a financial criteria?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: In the -- in the
- 7 essence of what's there, yes, I would.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, and the
- 9 second last bullet point, proposed capital structure
- 10 shareholders agreement, buy sell arrangements, right
- 11 of first refusal, and shotgun provisions, you viewed
- 12 those as financial considerations.
- MR. TIM FRYER: That's what I meant
- 14 about the essence with the bullet points that are
- 15 equated into there, yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Would you agree
- 17 with me that those two (2) bullet points that we
- 18 looked at, representation on the Collus Power board of
- 19 directors and capital structure shareholder's
- 20 agreement, right of first refusal, and shotgun
- 21 provisions, those would be important considerations
- 22 for an entity that doesn't want to lose control of
- 23 what it's selling?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Can you run that by me
- 25 again? Sorry.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Sure. I understood
- 2 you to be saying that you understood that the Town did
- 3 not want to lose control of the LDC when it entered
- 4 into a strategic partnership.
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: The question of
- 7 representation on the board of directors would be an
- 8 important consideration for another that doesn't want
- 9 to lose control of the entity.
- 10 Would you agree with that?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I would say they're
- 12 important considerations, yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: The contents of the
- 14 shareholders agreement would be an important
- 15 consideration for an entity that doesn't want to lose
- 16 control of what's being sold?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Concepts like right
- 19 of first refusal and whether there are going to be
- 20 shotgun provisions included in the agreements, those
- 21 would be important considerations for an entity that
- 22 doesn't want to lose control of what it's selling?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall any
- 25 specific discussions about whether those kinds of

- 1 considerations should be given more weight given the
- 2 goals for the strategic partnership?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't recall, no.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So I understand
- 5 that you were involved in discussions about how to put
- 6 the RFP together.
- 7 When you say that you thought that the
- 8 financial considerations should be given a 50 percent
- 9 weighting, are these bullet points here that are
- 10 currently assigned to 30 percent weighting, those are
- 11 the considerations you thought should be weighted 50
- 12 percent?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I would say yes.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Outside of your
- 15 role as a member of the strategic partnership task
- 16 team, stepping back into your role as CFO of the
- 17 Collus entities, what involvement, if any, did you
- 18 have in -- in the RFP process?
- 19 Did you participate in the population
- 20 of the data, were you responding to requests, were you
- 21 involved in discussions about bidder questions and
- 22 things like that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, to both of those.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. First of
- 25 all, would you tell me what your involvement in the

- 1 data room was?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: The requests for data
- 3 would have come through to me and I would've
- 4 percolated those out to the appropriate parties or
- 5 taking care of it myself.
- As we mentioned yesterday, it
- 7 eventually became electronic and -- and housed at
- 8 Collus. So I would've been the one (1) tasked with
- 9 making sure the person responsible for getting the
- 10 data into the data room was -- was taking care of
- 11 that.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: How would those --
- 13 how would those requests come into you? Would they
- 14 come in through KPMG, would they come directly to you?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: It should have come
- 16 through KPMG because the proponents would've been
- 17 directed to put anything towards them first.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Should have come
- 19 through KPMG, did they come through KPMG?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm going to say yes.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall
- 22 receiving requests from anyone other than KPMG?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And then in terms
- 25 of passing that information on to the people who would

- 1 obtain the information, are you referring to staff at
- 2 Collus Power?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And would the
- 5 process be similar for other requests from -- from
- 6 bidders? Did they -- did they ask -- did you respond
- 7 to requests other than data room related requests from
- 8 bidders?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I did -- I don't
- 10 recall receiving anything directly from a bidder.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What about through
- 12 KPMG?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: That's who it should
- 14 have come through, yes, and that's who I remember it
- 15 coming through.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: During the time
- 17 that you were working on the strategic task team, were
- 18 you aware that Mr. Bonwick was providing services to
- 19 PowerStream?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I was aware that Mr.
- 21 Bonwick worked for -- was doing work for PowerStream,
- 22 I'm going to say around the same time. So during the
- 23 RFP process for certain I would've been aware.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: How did you become
- 25 aware of that?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe I was just
- 2 informed and -- and my recollection is by -- by the
- 3 CEO and in a verbal form.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, when you say
- 5 the CEO, are you referring to Mr. Houghton?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So your
- 8 recollection is that Mr. Houghton told you in a
- 9 conversation that Mr. Bonwick was working for
- 10 PowerStream?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: That's my recollection
- 12 of it, yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember if
- 14 you were told what Mr. Bonwick was doing for
- 15 PowerStream?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you learn at
- 18 any time before the selection of PowerStream as the
- 19 successful proponent what Mr. Bonwick was doing for
- 20 PowerStream?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you learn what
- 23 he was doing for PowerStream at any time before the
- 24 transaction was finalized?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Just the matter of the

- 1 solar vents. I knew that Mr. Bonwick's company was
- 2 involved and I knew PowerStream was involved along
- 3 with us.
- 4 So at that time I would've equated that
- 5 to be the kind of work that he was doing with
- 6 PowerStream.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: At any point after
- 8 you learned from Mr. Houghton that Mr. Bonwick was
- 9 working for PowerStream, did you ask any questions of
- 10 anyone to determine what kind of work Mr. Bonwick was
- 11 doing for them?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Why not?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: I didn't see it as an
- 15 issue on my -- on my side.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were the other
- 17 members of the strategic task team aware that Mr.
- 18 Bonwick was working for PowerStream?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't know.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall any
- 21 discussions at strategic task team meetings or
- 22 otherwise with other members about the fact that Mr.
- 23 Bonwick was working for PowerStream?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you have any

- 1 concerns at any point -- did you ever ask yourself,
- 2 let me restart that. Did you ever ask yourself
- 3 whether Mr. Bonwick was doing work on PowerStream's
- 4 response to the Collus Power RFP?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You've explained to
- 7 us that you were involved in the evaluation of the
- 8 responses to the request for proposals. Do you have a
- 9 specific recollection of -- of reviewing the responses
- 10 and assigning scores?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you recall
- 13 about evaluating --
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 15 Actually, you're not getting into the evaluation.
- 16 We'll take ten (10) minutes now.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just a
- 19 second. As my counsel points out to me, we're going
- 20 to have to break for lunch at 11:30, so we'll just
- 21 carry on for another fifteen (15) minutes.
- 22
- 23 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you recall
- 25 about evaluating the responses to the non-financial

- 1 portion of the RFP?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I recall that we were
- 3 given the information to evaluate and then we met as a
- 4 -- as a team and we presented our findings at the
- 5 meeting.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you score the
- 7 responses before the meeting or at the meeting?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: I would have had my
- 9 scores prepared for the meeting.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you change any
- 11 scores that you assign as a result of the meeting?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you recall
- 14 about evaluating the financial responses to the
- 15 requests for proposals?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I didn't evaluate the
- 17 financial responses. The -- I think there's some e-
- 18 mail evidence of it being said that I wouldn't be
- 19 doing evaluation of the financials.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I want to make sure
- 21 that I understand what you're talking about.
- Did you review the financial responses
- 23 and assign a score to them?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: So reviewing them for
- 25 the scoring, yes.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. When you say
- 2 you weren't involved in evaluating them, do you mean
- 3 involved in -- what do you mean by that?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: They were taken away
- 5 and evaluated by KPMG to put it into a format that we
- 6 could utilize that hopefully would give us a decent
- 7 apples-to-apples comparative table format. That was
- 8 my understanding.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And was the
- 10 comparative table format what you used to assign the
- 11 scores?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what do you
- 14 recall about -- was there -- sorry, was there a
- 15 similar process followed in which scores were assigned
- 16 and then there was a meeting to discuss the scores
- 17 that were assigned?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe so but I
- 19 can't say for certain on that.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall if
- 21 there was any discussion about the responses to the
- 22 various financial criteria amongst the members of the
- 23 strategic task team before the successful proponent
- 24 was selected?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I'm not aware of

7.4

- 1 any.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you share any
- 3 of the information that you learned through your role
- 4 on the strategic task team with anyone outside of that
- 5 team --
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: -- at any point in
- 8 time?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn to
- 11 paragraph 345 of the Foundation Document?
- 12
- 13 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 14
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: This paragraph
- 16 discusses some email correspondence in late October of
- 17 2011, and if you look at the bottom of the paragraph
- 18 it says, "In Tim Fryer's response the next day," so it
- 19 would be October 22nd, you indicated that:
- "The transaction will most likely be
- 21 the Collingwood Utility Service Corp
- shares being sold by the Town of
- 23 Collingwood."
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Could you bring up the
- 25 email, please?

75 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Yes. 2 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm sorry, Ms. McGrann. 3 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: TOC521817, please. 9 (BRIEF PAUSE) 10 11 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Scroll to the 12 bottom and allow Mr. Fryer to -- to read it. 13 MR. TIM FRYER: And just -- so -- so, 14 yes, it -- it's the November 2nd, and -- and as you read it, yes, I remember it. 15 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you recall 17 about conversations at this point in time about which 18 entity's shares would be sold? 19 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I guess just if -- if we can scroll up with the information that's in 20 the response. Sorry -- sorry, scroll down. 21 22 So as it reads there, that we were 23 considering the options and the structure of the 24 Utility such going forward. The last paragraph is --25 is -- or that last sentence is an important one.

```
"In the event of the transaction
 1
 2
                      occurring at the holding company
 3
                      level, i.e., by selling -- selling
                      shares in -- in CUS, the assets in
 5
                      Operations (sic) Solution Corp,
 6
                      which is now a subsidiary of CUS,
                      would likely be transferred out of
                      CUS in the advance of the
                      transaction."
 9
10
                   So, I certainly was aware that the
11
   Board understood we were looking at corporate
12
    structure for a number of reasons, and in this
13
   particular case this is explaining to Hydro One that
14
    it looked like the sale at the holding company would
15
   be achievable.
16
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And just to
17
   put this response in context because you've only
18
    looked at a portion of the email, what you're looking
19
   at here is a draft response to Hydro One.
20
                   We haven't seen any evidence that this
    response was actually provided, but stepping away from
21
22
   that at this point in time, so end of October, early
23
   November, were you involved in discussions about which
24
   entity's shares would ultimately be sold?
2.5
                   MR. TIM FRYER: Definitely we were
```

- 1 looking at the tax implications. There's an earlier
- 2 email. I -- I can get you the number maybe at break
- 3 and I'll show you the one (1) I mean, that was talking
- 4 about the tax issues for Hydro One, and I believe it
- 5 was dated October 28th, and this relates to what was
- 6 being conveyed in this response back to Hydro One.
- 7 So -- but I had explained in earlier
- 8 evidence through the proceedings that the conversion
- 9 from GAAP to IFRS was putting us into a situation
- 10 where we had to look at our corporate structure and
- 11 decide if we were going to continue to maintain it the
- 12 way it was, and so I felt all along there was a good
- 13 chance that we would be going down to a single
- 14 operating company with a holding company or just a
- 15 holding company going forward, but -- but again,
- 16 everything was in kind of a state of flux at that
- 17 particular time.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So I understand
- 19 that you're referencing an earlier email. You and I
- 20 cannot discuss your evidence on break. The only way
- 21 we can discuss your evidence is in front of everyone
- 22 and Justice Marrocco in the way that we're doing it
- 23 now, but I don't think that we --
- 24 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: If it assists,
- 25 that -- the email he's referring to is KPM1804.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: That's useful, but
- 2 I don't intend to go there right now because what I'd
- 3 like to understand is, why are we only looking at the
- 4 tax implications of which shares are going to be sold
- 5 at this point in time? Why didn't these discussions
- 6 happen before the RFP was put together?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: So instead of putting
- 8 an RFP out for Collus Power, put an RFP out for
- 9 Collingwood Utility Services for the holding company.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Or even taking a
- 11 step back in time, stopping to ask the question, what
- 12 are the tax implications of selling shares in Collus
- 13 Power versus selling shares in the holding company and
- 14 which should be the subject of the RFP.
- Do you know if that was considered
- 16 before the RFP was put together?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe so,
- 18 because we felt there was tax exemption being
- 19 provided. This turned out to be a different tax
- 20 issue.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. What tax
- 22 exemption did you think was available when the RFP was
- 23 put together?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: The transfer tax.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what tax issue

- 1 is being discussed here?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: This is because -- and
- 3 the other email will show that, but my recollection,
- 4 the other email says that because Hydro One could not
- 5 own a percentage, they either had to buy something in
- 6 whole or not. If they didn't buy it in whole, then
- 7 there was this tax implication for them.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So your
- 9 understanding of this discussion is that you're
- 10 looking at or it's that what's being discussed is tax
- 11 consequences specifically related to the sale to Hydro
- 12 One?
- MR. TIM FRYER: That's what that was
- 14 referring to, yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Ultimately, what
- 16 ends up being sold is shares in the holding company.
- 17 Do you know why that decision was made?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it was the
- 19 best from a tax planning standpoint. And to the
- 20 earlier question, reflecting on being concerned about
- 21 the RFP going out for Collus Power and not for the
- 22 holding company, as I said, I felt pretty strongly
- 23 that most likely Collus Solutions would be dissolved
- 24 and there would just be Collus Power, so I wasn't
- 25 overly concerned at that point in time that it was

- 1 going out as Collus Power and not as the holding
- 2 company itself.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to try to
- 4 summarize what you and I have discussed over the last
- 5 few minutes. You understood that shares in the LDC
- 6 were put out for sale because there was an available
- 7 tax exemption -- there was an available tax exemption
- 8 for shares in the LDC and shares in the LDC were put
- 9 out to RFP.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe the RFP was
- 11 put out for the LDC, Collus Power.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: It was. And was it
- 13 your understanding at the time that there was a tax
- 14 exemption available for sale of those shares?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 16 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And then it's later
- 17 discovered that there is a potential tax consequence
- 18 if the shares are sold to Hydro One?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And then
- 21 ultimately, shares in the holding company are sold
- 22 because that's most advantageous from a tax planning
- 23 standpoint?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: And I think from a
- 25 corporate standpoint it was decided that it should be

```
1 the whole entity.
```

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you involved
- 3 in those discussions?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe so.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So when you say
- 6 that you believe it was also most beneficial from a
- 7 corporate standpoint, are -- are you guessing?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: And I guess I
- 9 shouldn't say I don't believe so, because as I said I
- 10 was advising about Collus Solutions and -- and the
- 11 restructuring, so I certainly was involved about
- 12 corporate structure and -- and as we scroll up in this
- 13 email, I believe this is the one (1) where I had
- 14 advised that it would likely be the holding company at
- 15 -- Mr. Stern. So I obviously was part of the
- 16 conversations and the decisions that were being made.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This would be a
- 18 good time for me to take a break in my questions.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: 1:30.

20

- 21 --- Upon recessing at 11:31 a.m.
- 22 --- Upon resuming at 1:34 p.m.

- 24 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Good afternoon, Mr.

- 1 Fryer.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Good afternoon.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'd like to ask you
- 4 a couple more questions about the work you did scoring
- 5 the financial responses to the RFP. You had told us
- 6 that you weren't involved in the evaluation of the
- 7 bids, that KPMG did some work to -- to create a sort
- 8 of apples to apples comparison with those responses.
- 9 Have I got that right?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: That's my
- 11 recollection, yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you shown the
- 13 results of KPMG's work to help you in your scoring of
- 14 those responses?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: That's what I recall.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we pull up
- 17 CPS2668?
- 18
- 19 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 20
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Is
- 22 there a problem, Mr. Glicksman?
- THE COURT OPERATOR: A little bit.
- 24 Internet delay.
- 2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

- 3 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Just while there's
- 5 some internet issues, why don't we turn to paragraph
- 6 239 of the Foundation Document?

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Let's go with third
- 11 time's a charm, paragraph 293 of the Foundation
- 12 Document. We looked at these evaluation criteria
- 13 earlier today.
- 14 The first question I have for you, if
- 15 we could scroll down to the look at the -- the bullet
- 16 points that form that 30 percent of what has been
- 17 referred to as the financial considerations, do you
- 18 recall any discussion amongst the members of the
- 19 Strategic Task Team about how to apportion the thirty
- 20 (30) available points across the items that are listed
- 21 there of Canada bullet points?
- There's nine (9) of them, so there's
- 23 not an easy division.
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't recall
- 25 that.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall how
- 2 you approached apportioning the thirty (30) points
- 3 across those nine (9) items when you scored the
- 4 financial responses?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Nothing that I can
- 6 specifically bring to mind. I -- I just can't think
- 7 of specific things, that's what you're asking of, if I
- 8 can narrow down things about the partnership.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 13 while they're doing that, let me address the
- 14 scheduling issue. On the 21st, I have to attend a
- 15 swearing in of a judge in Toronto, which means that we
- 16 would sit from 9 to 12 or so, and then break, and then
- 17 come back the next day.
- 18 What makes more sense to me is that we
- 19 won't sit on the 21st, but we will sit later than
- 20 usual on the 22nd, 23rd, and 24th to make up the time.
- 21 And so, I'd ask you to think about that and let us
- 22 know in the -- in the next little while whether that
- 23 makes sense because I really -- I really can't see the
- 24 wisdom of dragging everybody here for three (3) hours,
- 25 and then -- and then adjourning again, when we can

- 1 make up that time by just sitting later when
- 2 everybody's here.
- 3 But let me know if that causes grave
- 4 problems. I might do it anyway, but I'd at least like
- 5 to know if it's going to cause you any problems.
- 6 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Just could I
- 7 ask because you probably know about these things, what
- 8 time is the swearing in that day, at 4:00?
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: 4:30.
- 10 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: 4:30. Thanks.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: You
- 12 know, so you want to make sure -- you got to leave
- 13 early enough to make sure you get there, otherwise,
- 14 it's a bit awkward.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, they
- 16 can't start without you, so.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It
- 18 does look a bit awkward.
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm going to switch
- 22 to a different part of the questions that I have to
- 23 ask you that don't rely on documents. And then, once
- 24 we're able to pull documents upon relativity, I'll
- 25 come back.

- 1 What I'd like to ask you is this.
- 2 We've seen reference to different emails that are
- 3 referred to in the Foundation Document and otherwise
- 4 in which other people express views or suggest that
- 5 you were -- you had some opposition to the sale.
- 6 What was your view of -- of the
- 7 proposed sale that was outlined in the RFP of the
- 8 responses that were received and of the ultimate
- 9 selection of PowerStream?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: And -- and you're
- 11 correct. There was some -- I -- I didn't see any
- 12 evidence in -- in my emailing to people that indicated
- 13 that, but others had -- had surmised that either from
- 14 -- information from somebody else or -- or on their
- 15 own.
- 16 My belief was that Council had chosen a
- 17 path that I, as CFO of the organization, needed to
- 18 make sure was done in an appropriate fashion, and I
- 19 was -- I was working towards that.
- 20 I continue to say, and I've said it
- 21 many times, I'm a proponent for municipally owned
- 22 local distribution corporations. My beliefs and my --
- 23 my discussions with them through the course of time
- 24 when they're making their decision, ultimately, they
- 25 decided that they were going to go with the approach

- 1 that they wanted.
- 2 And I was acceptant of that and -- and
- 3 moving forward, as far as I was concerned.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Why did you -- why
- 5 are you a proponent of municipally owned utilities?
- 6 Why did you think that that was important? Or what
- 7 were --
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: I --
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Why did you see the
- 10 municipality would be losing through the -- the sale?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I believe
- 12 strongly that municipal LDCs are a viable operation if
- 13 the pillars are in place to -- to work successfully
- 14 with the shareholder. And in Collingwood's case, the
- 15 shareholder decided something different.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: At any point during
- 17 the time that you were a member of the Strategic Task
- 18 Team or through to the time that the deal was
- 19 completed did anyone come and speak to you about
- 20 concerns they had about your views of the share sale?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: The time that it -- it
- 22 was brought to my attention that there was concerns
- 23 was in an in camera meeting of Collus Solutions. And
- 24 I believe the date was December the 7th. It was 7th
- 25 or 8th.

- And, at that point in time, I was -- I
- 2 wasn't aware that it was going to happen, but I was --
- 3 in the in camera session I was asked to explain my
- 4 situation with the -- with regards to the share sale.
- 5 And I explained that, just as I said, I
- 6 recognized that the shareholders made a decision and I
- 7 certainly will put my efforts behind bringing that to
- 8 fruition.
- 9 But I did consider the fact that not
- 10 exploring all four (4) options fully to me wasn't the
- 11 appropriate way to proceed. But I did explain that I
- 12 wasn't prepared really to say any more at this point
- 13 in time because it was -- as I said, it was a surprise
- 14 to me to -- to be asked, but I was fully prepared to
- 15 meet at another appointed time and -- and discuss it
- 16 further if they would like.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Who asked you to
- 18 explain your position on the share sale?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: That was a Collus
- 20 Solution Board meeting. When we went in camera, the
- 21 chair of the Board was Joan Pajunen, and so she was
- 22 the one (1) who posed the question.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you say that
- 24 you advised them that you thought that all four (4)
- 25 options should have been considered more fully, what

- 1 four (4) options are you referring to?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, by only seeking
- 3 out a strategic partner and, in fact, narrowing it
- 4 down to four (4) individual utilities to approach, I
- 5 guess there was five (5) at -- at one (1) point in
- 6 time, I thought that really the approach would have
- 7 been to put an RFP out there and see what other
- 8 proposals we got from other interested parties.
- 9 So, I didn't have anything specifically
- 10 in mind other than making sure it was an open process
- 11 to any of the LDCs or interested parties in -- in the
- 12 -- in the marketplace in Ontario.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: I want to
- 14 understand what -- what is different between the RFP
- 15 process you're envisioning and the one (1) that was
- 16 actually put in place, so -- so could you describe
- 17 more fully what you're talking about?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: The one (1) that went
- 19 forward was only to the four (4) proponents.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay.
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: And I would have
- 22 envisioned an RFP that went out to everybody, any --
- 23 any interested parties, I guess, and it would just be
- 24 saying, give us your proposals.
- 25 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Without any

- 1 parameters around what the proposals might be?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm assuming -- I -- I
- 3 never got into detail with people and this is the type
- 4 of thing that I would have been prepared to talk about
- 5 in more depth with them, but they never pursued that
- 6 with me, but that was my contention, was that could we
- 7 be leaving something off the table here that -- that
- 8 could be available if we didn't go out.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. In my notes
- 10 of your answer to one (1) of my questions that I asked
- 11 you a few minutes ago, I've got you saying that you
- 12 thought that all four (4) options should have been
- 13 considered more fully.
- 14 Have I got that right?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: In a sense I felt that
- 16 that would happen, by -- by going out into the
- 17 marketplace, so the four (4) options being the full
- 18 sale, partial sale, I guess partial being minority or
- 19 majority, which would be three (3), and then the
- 20 partnership one (1) would be the fourth.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Why didn't
- 22 you raise those -- that view earlier in the process?
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, as I said,
- 24 during the process I made it known what I believe was
- 25 best way to move forward and the decisions were made

- 1 along the way to proceed with the way they were going.
- 2 It -- it wasn't the first time I'd made
- 3 recommendations and the decision had been to do
- 4 something different. That happens. You're an officer
- 5 of the Corporation and decisions are made and
- 6 sometimes they're what you recommended.
- 7 An example I'll give is the formation
- 8 of -- of the -- the new structure to meet the
- 9 Electricity Act. I felt that the shared employees
- 10 should stay in the public utilities rather than move
- 11 them into the service company within the -- the OBCA
- 12 structure, and I -- I wasn't successful with that, but
- 13 that didn't change my approach to dealing with it
- 14 after the fact, and it would be the same with the RFP
- 15 process.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So I
- 17 understand you to be saying that even though you had
- 18 particular views and opinions on how things should
- 19 progress, those views and opinions didn't impact the
- 20 way you approached your job as CFO of the Utility.
- 21 Is that fair?
- MR. TIM FRYER: They can't.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And it didn't?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'd like to --

- 1 focussing specifically on the -- the RFP process --
- 2 understand the views that you say that you aired
- 3 earlier in the process. So we've heard you give
- 4 evidence that you thought that the -- waiting for the
- 5 financial components of the RFP should be 50 percent.
- 6 Were there any other positions that you
- 7 put forward to your discussions on the STT or
- 8 otherwise that weren't realized in the RFP process?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Not that come to mind,
- 10 no.
- 11 MS. KATE MCGRANN: There's the 50
- 12 percent. And that's it?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Save and except for
- 15 your conversation at the Collus Solutions meeting in
- 16 December about the fact that you thought all four (4)
- 17 options should have been considered more fully and
- 18 perhaps taken to market in the form of an RFP?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Why didn't
- 21 you raise that concern earlier or that view earlier,
- 22 that, well -- well, that maybe we should be taking
- 23 different options more -- considering them more or
- 24 putting them in the RFP as well?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I believe I did

- 1 voice it but it was decided not to.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: When did you do
- 3 that?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I -- it would
- 5 have been through the process.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can you recall
- 7 specifically voicing those views?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I cannot.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have any
- 10 recollection of how you may have -- have done it or
- 11 who you might have -- have expressed it to?
- MR. TIM FRYER: My expectation is that
- 13 it would have been at strategic partner meetings, but
- 14 as I said, I can't say specifically.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Is there anything
- 16 else that you can tell us that you do remember about
- 17 views that you voiced about the RFP content or
- 18 process?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: No, and -- and one (1)
- 20 of the reasons I do believe it would have been at the
- 21 -- at a partners' meeting is because when we attended
- 22 other things like the in camera sessions with the
- 23 Council, I -- I didn't speak at those, so that's what
- 24 I'm saying, I would have verbally con -- conveyed my
- 25 feelings and I believe it would have been at the

- 1 strategic partner task team meetings.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: We've heard
- 3 evidence from former Deputy Mayor Rick Lloyd that you
- 4 attended at his flower shop and expressed your views
- 5 about the potential share sale to him with his staff
- 6 in the shop.
- 7 Did you -- do you have any recollection
- 8 of a discussion like that?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: No, I did not.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. What
- 11 discussions, if any, did you have with Rick Lloyd
- 12 about your views about the share sale?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I had none.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: No conversations
- 15 with him at all about it?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Before
- 18 you move on -- Mr. Fryer, why did you think 50 percent
- 19 was a more appropriate percentage?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I said to your staff,
- 21 because I'm an accountant, so that was part of it. At
- 22 the time --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 24 Probably not always -- you shouldn't assume I'm privy
- 25 to the interviews but just --

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah. So -- so I felt
- 2 that financial should -- and it seemed to me like you
- 3 wouldn't go any less than half, and on that basis, as
- 4 I said, I could understand the other argument about
- 5 the partnership aspect having the same weighted value,
- 6 so you wouldn't have just fifty (50) and fifty (50)
- 7 because you wanted to equate those other four (4)
- 8 criteria you're using. So could it have been 40/40,
- 9 and 5/5/5/5? I -- that would have probably met my
- 10 kind of criteria, but in the end they decided to go
- 11 with the 30/30 and the 10s for the other four (4).

- 13 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. I understand
- 15 that Relativity is up and running again, so could we
- 16 please turn up KPM2668? And to reposition you, I
- 17 wanted to ask you some more questions about the
- 18 scoring of the financial components.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And in particular
- 21 we had talked earlier about whether or not cost
- 22 savings for the Town were a particular focus for or
- 23 goal for the partnership. I understood your evidence
- 24 to be no.
- 25 Is that accurate?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Can you repeat that
- 2 again? I think I distracted --
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Yeah. We had
- 4 talked earlier -- I had asked you earlier about
- 5 whether achieving cost savings for the Town was an
- 6 objective for the strategic partnership that was being
- 7 examined here, and I think you said no, that wasn't
- 8 the main goal.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: That's not something I
- 10 had in mind. I would have had in mind ensuring that
- 11 we were at least maintaining the cost to the Town that
- 12 we had with -- with the current arrangement without
- 13 having a partner.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 15 discussions amongst the members of the strategic task
- 16 team about a goal for the strategic partnership being
- 17 to reduce costs for the Town?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Not specifically, no,
- 19 I do not.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do -- I think that
- 21 you said that you did remember -- that you understood
- 22 that the Town didn't want to sell more than half of
- 23 the Utility.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe we went in
- 25 with the approach of up to 50 percent.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you understand
- 2 that the Town did not want to lose control of what was
- 3 being sold?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: That was my
- 5 understanding.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'm showing you a
- 7 chart that we understand was put together by KPMG. Is
- 8 this what you remember being shown in terms of the
- 9 results of their evaluation of the financial
- 10 components of the bid?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 13 when you were provided with this chart?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could you tell us
- 16 whether it was before the meeting of the strategic
- 17 task team to review the financial considerations or
- 18 whether maybe it was presented to you at that meeting?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 20 certain.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Do you
- 22 remember if you had access to this chart before you
- 23 scored the financial responses to the bids?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 25 certain on that either.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could scroll
- 2 down a little bit, I'm looking at the business issues
- 3 in the -- in the column to the left. Stop there,
- 4 that's perfect.
- 5 You see the -- the heading "Governance"
- 6 on the left-hand side here --
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: -- second one (1)
- 9 from the bottom? And then there's a summary of -- of
- 10 the responses in each of these columns.
- Do you recall any discussion amongst
- 12 the members of the strategic task team about the
- 13 responses from the bidders on the question of
- 14 governance?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Not specific
- 16 conversations. I know we had them, but I can't say
- 17 what was discussed.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What -- what do you
- 19 recall about the discussions know you had?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, there's a fair
- 21 difference in some of the approaches here, so I know
- 22 we would've been thinking what would we like to see.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When you use the
- 24 word "would have", it suggests to me that you're going
- 25 back in time and trying to piece together what

- 1 probably happened, and what I would like to know is
- 2 what you remember about what did happen.
- 3 Do you have a specific recollection on
- 4 having any discussions about the government's
- 5 responses with the members of the strategic task team?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: I'd have to say no
- 7 then.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And if we could
- 9 scroll down to the next page, keep going. Exit
- 10 strategy. Do you see that heading there on the left-
- 11 hand side, second up from the bottom?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 14 having any discussions with any members of the
- 15 strategic task team about rights of first refusal,
- 16 liquidity rights or shotgun clauses, things like that?
- 17 The responses in the bids?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: I definitely recollect
- 19 the shotgun clause, because I was the one (1) who, I
- 20 believe, first mentioned that you probably need to
- 21 look at a shotgun clause.
- 22 And that was when I would've become
- 23 aware of the fact that they were considering 50
- 24 percent.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Sorry, can you

- 1 explain what you mean by that?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: At -- at the time they
- 3 decided that they were actually going to pursue 50
- 4 percent rather than -- I -- I know the RFP proposal
- 5 had gone out is up to, I said if that's the case, then
- 6 we'd need to consider whether or not we need to have a
- 7 shotgun clause, because it appeared to me that would
- 8 be a situation where you'd have no clear majority
- 9 owner and you'd have to have some sort of methodology
- 10 for -- for dealing with things like -- I didn't have
- 11 any -- I don't have any immediate recollection about
- 12 first -- or first right of refusal. That just seems
- 13 to me to be a standard thing that you put in, but.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'd like to
- 15 understand some more about the specific memory you
- 16 have of mentioning the shotgun clause when it was
- 17 decided that it would be 50 percent.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: When was it decided
- 20 that it would be 50 percent?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: I can recall being in
- 22 -- and I'm -- I do have the memory very vivid, so I'm
- 23 -- believe it was strategic partner task team meeting
- 24 and I was sitting -- I was sitting at the far end of
- 25 the table, where I don't normally sit, I normally sit

- 1 at the other end.
- 2 And I remember Mr. Houghton saying that
- 3 we were going to be looking to approach this as a --
- 4 as an equal partner, true partner situation and that
- 5 it was Mr. Muncaster that had come -- had come forward
- 6 with that idea.
- 7 And I remember looking at him at the
- 8 time and him nodding his head. That's the memory I
- 9 have of when I became aware of -- of the fact that
- 10 they were going to go with 50 percent.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 12 whether that occurred before or after the RFP was
- 13 issued?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 15 certain, no, when it was. But I know that is the time
- 16 that I brought up that we would need to consider a
- 17 shotgun cause as -- as part of the agreement.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. When you
- 19 were looking at the responses to the RFP, do you
- 20 recall having discussions with the strategic task team
- 21 about the responses on a shotgun clause, what it would
- 22 look like, whether one (1) would be included or not?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't remember.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember
- 25 whether you assigned a monetary score to the responses

- 1 to the financial components of the bid? I know we've
- 2 talked about how you would allocate those 30 point
- 3 shares, but do you specifically recall actually
- 4 assigning numerical scores to those financial
- 5 responses?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: I specifically recall
- 7 giving Hydro One the highest score. And -- and I know
- 8 I would've scored the others, but I -- and now we are
- 9 just talking about my memories back then. I can't
- 10 recall specifically what I gave the other three. And
- 11 I haven't -- and that includes I haven't come across
- 12 it in the -- in the evidence either.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Could we
- 14 turn up CPS --
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 16 before you do that.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Sorry.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Can
- 19 you recall why you gave Hydro One the top score?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: Because they had the
- 21 best monetary offer and the other parameters that went
- 22 with the analysis, I felt that they were the top
- 23 offer.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: The
- 25 exit strategy for them was a right of first refusal,

- 1 that's all it was?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.

- 4 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Just because of the
- 6 fact that you have such a specific memory of bringing
- 7 up the need for a shotgun clause and Hydro One did not
- 8 propose to include one, can you remember how that
- 9 affected your score at all?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we turn up
- 12 CPS11306. And I understand that that's an open
- 13 question, because relativity may not be cooperating
- 14 with us right now.
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: Sorry, I -- I didn't
- 16 catch that.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: It was a terrible
- 18 joke. I think the internet may be out, so it might
- 19 take us a second. No, here we are.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could look at
- 22 the -- the totals sheet. My understanding that this
- 23 is the only information that we've got about the
- 24 scores that were assigned for the financial component
- 25 of the bid.

- 1 If you look at the first row across the
- 2 top, it's payment for up to 50 percent of shares and
- 3 other considerations and 3.1, and there are totals
- 4 here for each of the respondents.
- 5 Do you recall ever seeing a sheet that
- 6 set out the scores that each of the members of the
- 7 strategic task team assigned to the respondents for
- 8 the financial component of the bid?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't remember
- 10 receiving -- you're talking about the individual
- 11 member scores, even though it wouldn't identify who
- 12 the member was, and I don't recall getting anything
- 13 like that.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn up CPS
- 15 7026 0001.
- 16 These are the minutes of a joint
- 17 meeting of the Board of Directors of Collus Power
- 18 Corp. and Collus Solutions Corp. held on December 2nd,
- 19 2011. If you could scroll down a little bit. That's
- 20 -- that's perfect.
- 21 It looks from the minutes that -- if we
- 22 scroll up a little bit. That board meeting was in
- 23 camera, comes out of the in camera session, scroll
- 24 down, and votes to approve and accept the findings of
- 25 the strategic partnership task force team and make

- 1 recommendation to Council that Collus Power undertake
- 2 negotiations with PowerStream for the purpose of
- 3 entering into the strategic partnership arrangement.
- Do you recall attending this meeting?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I would've been
- 6 there.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have any
- 8 recollection of what was discussed at the meeting
- 9 before this vote was taken?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turn up
- 12 ALE5133.0002.
- This was a slide presentation made to
- 14 Council in camera reporting on the results and
- 15 evaluations of the strategic partnership RFP
- 16 responses.
- Were you at the Council meeting where
- 18 this presentation was given?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I believe so.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Go to slide 15,
- 21 please. Scroll a little bit down.
- 22 Here's some information that was
- 23 presented to Council about the responses to the
- 24 financial components of the bid, in particular I'd
- 25 like to draw your attention to the second last row,

- 1 the governance row.
- 2 Do you recall what discussion there was
- 3 at the Council meeting about the responses on the
- 4 point of governance?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't.
- 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall if
- 7 there was any discussion about the implications of
- 8 each of the responses on the ability of the Town to
- 9 maintain some control over the utility after the sale
- 10 was completed?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we go to slide
- 13 18, please.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm
- 15 sorry, just before you do that.
- 16 Can you just explain, if you look under
- 17 governance portion it says PowerStream -- about there
- 18 says 50 percent Town, 50 percent PowerStream, majority
- 19 independent.
- 20 What -- what -- how -- how did -- how
- 21 would that work?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: So the -- when they
- 23 form the unanimous shareholders agreement, the
- 24 structure was to be three (3) members appointed by
- 25 PowerStream, three (3) members appointed by

- 1 Collingwood, and two (2) of them had to be
- 2 independent, is -- is according to the shareholders
- 3 agreement.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: This
- 5 says "majority independent."
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: So -- so that's what
- 7 I'm saying. If four (4) were independent and two (2)
- 8 could be dependent, then -- then you'd have a
- 9 majority.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So two
- 11 (2) of the three (3) would be independent?
- MR. TIM FRYER: The -- that's the way
- 13 they wrote it into the agreement -- the Unanimous
- 14 Shareholders Agreement -- is that they wanted two (2)
- 15 independents and one (1) could be a dependent. And --
- 16 and a dependent would be somebody who's affiliated
- 17 with the -- with the appropriation in some fashion.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 19 you.
- 20
- 21 CONTINUED BY MS. KATE MCGRANN:
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Could we turn to
- 23 slide 18, please.
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

```
108
 1
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Because of your
    specific recollection about the shotgun clause, I
   wanted to ask you about point number 4 on this slide
 3
   which sets out further clarifications. It says:
 5
                      "In your response, the RFP noted a
 6
                      shotgun clause. Can you expand on
                      your thoughts?"
                   The response is:
                      "PowerStream does not like this
 9
10
                      approach and in fact does not like
11
                      the phrase. The reason it is
                      mentioned is because it is stated
12
13
                      this way in the RFP itself. Their
14
                      views on liquidity is exactly that
15
                      of ours and specifically David
                      McFadden's."
16
17
                   Do you recall what the views on
18
    liquidity referenced here were?
19
                   MR. TIM FRYER:
                                  No, I don't.
20
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you recall what
21
   David McFadden's view on liquidity was?
22
                   MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't.
23
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: D you recall any
24
   discussions about the shotgun clause or the other
   information on this bullet point at all?
25
```

Transcript Date May 14, 2019 109 MR. TIM FRYER: 1 No. MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn to 2 paragraph 617 of the Foundation Document, please? 3 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Paragraph 617 describes the shotgun clause. This paragraph says 9 that: 10 "The Town or PowerStream could offer 11 to purchase all the other shareholders shares at any time. 12 13 Such an offer would trigger a 20-day 14 period during which the other 15 shareholder had to either accept the 16 offer and sell all its shares or buy 17 the offering shareholder shares at

the offered price per share."

19 Is this what you were envisioning when

20 you suggested that a shotgun clause be included?

21 MR. TIM FRYER: No. And as I

- 22 mentioned, I wasn't involved in the negotiations in
- 23 the final Unanimous Shareholder Agreement structuring.
- 24 So I wasn't aware of actually this 20-day period until
- 25 I was a member of the Council.

```
1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. What about
```

- 2 the shotgun clause is not what you envisioned?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Sorry?
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: You said that you
- 5 suggested a shotgun clause be included as soon as you
- 6 understood that 50 percent was what was going to be
- 7 sold.
- 8 What about this shotgun clause is not
- 9 consistent with what you had envisioned would be put
- 10 in place?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I would have thought
- 12 20 days was a very restrictive time period for a
- 13 municipal situation. And that's what we were going to
- 14 be in. And I just wouldn't think it be lengthy
- 15 enough. In fact, when I first saw it, I thought, is
- 16 there a one (1) missing in front of it?
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what about --
- 18 can you help me understand why you thought it was too
- 19 restrictive? What were the implications of that for
- 20 the municipality?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: The implications of
- 22 the shotgun clause is that one (1) partner can offer
- 23 an amount of money to the other partner for the -- for
- 24 their shares, and the other partner has the period of
- 25 time allowed to decide if they're going to pay that

- 1 amount to the partner who made the initial offer or
- 2 not.
- And if they do decide to do that, the
- 4 other partner has to accept it. If you don't decide
- 5 to do that, then you have to accept what they've
- 6 offered to you.
- 7 So being a situation where the utility
- 8 was going to be fully leveraged so it was going to
- 9 have its 60 percent, that situation -- if there was
- 10 going to be a situation where Collus was having to
- 11 respond to an offer from PowerStream or Alectra,
- 12 eventually, then it would require -- if there was any
- 13 thought to -- to purchasing it back, it would
- 14 require -- definitely require Town Council approval.
- 15 And trying to get approval for
- 16 borrowing or getting money from Town Council, I would
- 17 have thought 20 days would be very, very restrictive.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. So the offer
- 19 Collus -- it wouldn't be Collus responding to the
- 20 offer. It'd be the Town responding to the offer.
- 21 Correct?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. The Unanimous
- 23 Shareholders Agreement, so it'd be Town of Collingwood
- 24 would be accepting the offer.
- 25 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And the concern was

- 1 that 20 days wouldn't be sufficient to get -- for Town
- 2 Council to be able to respond basically.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: It would -- I'm not
- 4 saying it can't be done because, as -- as Mr. Chadwick
- 5 explained when -- when I was speaking to him in -- in
- 6 this, Council has borrowing room.
- 7 But it would be making a decision most
- 8 likely without public input to any major degree
- 9 because you'd be rushing through to -- to get it done
- 10 'cause I believe that was 20 calendar days, too. It
- 11 wasn't 20 working days.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So I understand
- 13 that you didn't have any input into how the shotgun
- 14 clause was finally drafted. Were there any
- 15 discussions on the Strategic Task Team about what
- 16 parameters would be required or acceptable to the Town
- 17 as far as a shotgun clause went?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: No. That's wasn't
- 19 part of the task team discussions.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: After PowerStream
- 21 was successfully selected as the successful proponent,
- 22 what involvement did you have in the negotiations or
- 23 the agreements that were put together for the deal?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Basically none. The
- 25 conversations I had directly with PowerStream was in

- 1 regards to the upcoming cost of service filing and
- 2 deciding if we thought that it would be best to
- 3 proceed or may ask for an exemption for a year in
- 4 order to work on things, like service agreements and
- 5 that. So I met with Mr. Glicksman and Mr. Houghton
- 6 down at PowerStream. We had those discussions, and we
- 7 did emailings back and forth.
- 8 But those were really my only
- 9 discussions of any degree with PowerStream after they
- 10 had been selected. The negotiation part of the -- was
- 11 being handled by others.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Have I got it right
- 13 that the discussions that you had directly with
- 14 PowerStream and with Mr. Houghton were related to the
- 15 position you'd take. These would be the regulator on
- 16 the shared services and other issues that would lead
- 17 to rate --
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: On the -- on the 2013
- 19 cost of service filing, which is an extensive filing.
- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: No input into the
- 21 terms of the Shareholders Agreement or --
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: -- the Share
- 24 Purchase Agreement?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I did not.

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Were you involved
- 2 in any of the discussions around those documents?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: No. May I -- that was
- 4 being handled by Ms. Shuttleworth.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we turn up
- 6 TOC --
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 8 if I might -- sorry -- before you do that. You
- 9 indicated that the prevailing view was that
- 10 Collingwood should not lose control of the Utility.
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe that, yes.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: This
- 13 shotgun clause would allow PowerStream at some point
- 14 to buy the entire utility, wouldn't it?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. And the
- 16 protection for Collingwood would -- would be that they
- 17 couldn't give you a low price without running the risk
- 18 of you turning around and -- and paying it.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: T
- 20 appreciate that. But I thought that the Town didn't
- 21 want to lose control of the utility.
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: And I believe that
- 23 that -- they didn't believe that they were losing
- 24 control.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: If you

- 1 were giving somebody the right to buy your shares?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: I guess because you
- 3 have the same right to buy theirs.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Is --
- 5 was that the discussion at the Strategic Partnership
- 6 Task Team if there was one?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 8 certain, Justice Marrocco, that there was discussion.
- 9 I -- I mean, I'm providing you my view of it, I guess.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 11 you.

12

- 13 CONTINUED BY MR. KATE MCGRANN:
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I have a couple
- 15 questions about Amaizeingly Green. Could we turn up
- 16 CPS7018 0001?

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we look at the
- 21 last paragraph at the bottom of the second page...
- 22 So, these are the minutes of the July 8th, 2011,
- 23 Collus Power Board meeting.
- MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, here it says:

	116
1	"A discussion ensued as to the
2	status of Amaizeingly Green's
3	account. Mr. Houghton stated that a
4	payment should be received today and
5	that weekly payments would be
6	received until the account is
7	current.
8	Amaizeingly Green is suggesting that
9	the account will be cleared up by
10	November."
11	Is your recollection that that
12	statement turned out to be accurate? Did they get
13	their accounts cleared up by November of 2011?
14	MR. TIM FRYER: That was the promise
15	we were having the customer, yes.
16	MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And was that
17	what turned out to be the case? Did they actually pay
18	it off by then?
19	MR. TIM FRYER: I know it was paid
20	off. I can't say for certain that it was exactly on
21	that schedule, but it wouldn't have been too far off.
22	MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. This
23	paragraph goes on to say:
24	"Mr. Houghton stated that he has a
25	conference call at their head office

- scheduled for July 13th. Once the
- 2 account is current, we will be
- 3 requesting a \$1 million line of
- 4 credit."
- 5 Can you explain to me what the \$1
- 6 million line of credit request is there?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Utilities have the
- 8 right to ask the customer for a security deposit,
- 9 whether it's a residential customer, commercial, or
- 10 industrial customer. And in the case of our
- 11 industrial customers, we hadn't taken that approach
- 12 and didn't generally have lines of credit even on
- 13 them.
- 14 But what they had agreed to was a
- 15 fairly unique situation to other utilities out there.
- 16 We were actually the envy of them. They had agreed to
- 17 a weekly payment schedule, the major -- major
- 18 customers that we had.
- 19 So, we would provide them information
- 20 on a weekly basis as to what their consumption had
- 21 been the week before. They would make payment on
- 22 that. So, in a sense, it's a partial payment over the
- 23 four (4) weeks of the month.
- 24 And then we would do the normal billing
- 25 at the end of the month. And what the differential

- 1 was between the payments they made and -- and left
- 2 would be the amount outstanding.
- 3 So it reduced the risk quite a bit for
- 4 the utility because, unfortunately, the billing of
- 5 customers is somewhat like when customers are using
- 6 your credit card but you're not using your receipts
- 7 until you get that bill.
- 8 And you're talking about a customer
- 9 that was being billed in the order of probably between
- 10 a hundred and two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000)
- 11 a month for electricity charges.
- 12 So, we had been using that approach.
- 13 In the case of Amaizeingly Green, they had encountered
- 14 difficulty. We were aware of it. We were talking to
- 15 them regularly, but it meant that they were falling
- 16 behind. And this was the culmination of when things
- 17 started to turn around and they were bringing it back
- 18 up to -- to current.
- 19 So, at the time -- and I don't recall
- 20 this particular sentence but, at the time the
- 21 statement was made, obviously, that we would request
- 22 the line of credit rather than ask for a million
- 23 dollar security deposit which would cost them money,
- 24 more money than it would be to have a line of credit,
- 25 we would take the line of credit, and if we had to

- 1 exercise on it, we would, whereas if we took a
- 2 security deposit, it would -- mo -- money would come
- 3 to us and we'd put it in our bank account and we would
- 4 pay them interest on it.
- 5 So, the -- the line of credit was the
- 6 one (1) that was talked about here.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. And do you
- 8 know whether this line of credit was ultimately put in
- 9 place in respect of Amaizeingly Green?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe it
- 11 was.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: The last thing I
- 13 want to ask you about is the solar attic vent
- 14 initiative that Collus Power participated in. Do you
- 15 remember that Collus Power was involved in a project,
- 16 solar attic vents in homes?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, we were.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What do you recall
- 19 about what that project was?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I remember the project
- 21 being presented to the Board at a Board meeting and
- 22 the Board agreeing to undertake what I believe they
- 23 called a pilot project to initiate the process, I
- 24 guess, of -- of getting out there and selling
- 25 customers solar vent products.

- 1 And one (1) of the parts of the
- 2 proposal was that we would offer the ability for the
- 3 customer to put the charge onto their bill and pay it
- 4 over a period of time. I can't recall specifically
- 5 the period of time.
- 6 I'm thinking it was a year, but it
- 7 would just be speculation, but it was something like
- 8 that. It wasn't just two (2) or three (3) months. It
- 9 was definitely a little longer period. And it was
- 10 meant to be a way of promoting the sales of them
- 11 because people wouldn't have to necessarily put the
- 12 money upfront right away.
- So, that's what I recall, is that we --
- 14 that was presented and the Board approved it. And
- 15 then we undertook operationally to -- to start to put
- 16 that process in place.
- 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: So, who did the
- 18 work to take care of the billing for the -- the pilot
- 19 project then?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: That became my
- 21 responsibility.
- 22 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you -- or did
- 23 the Company receive any payment for doing that billing
- 24 work?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't remember for

- 1 certain, so I can't say 'yes' or 'no'.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Other than
- 3 overseeing the billing for the solar attic vent pilot
- 4 project, did you have any other involvement in that
- 5 project?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: At the time, you
- 8 told us that you were aware that Mr. Bonwick was
- 9 involved in the project. What did you know about his
- 10 involvement?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I believed that it was
- 12 Paul's company that was involved in -- in the -- I
- 13 believe that that's what I remember as the -- as the
- 14 situation.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, and what
- 16 company was that?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it was Green
- 18 Leaf.
- 19 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you remember how
- 20 you came to -- to understand that that was Mr.
- 21 Bonwick's company?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I can't recall why I
- 23 knew that, but that's what I thought was going...
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And I think you had
- 25 told us earlier that you also knew that PowerStream

- 1 was involved with that project.
- 2 Did you think there was any connection
- 3 between Green Lead and PowerStream?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I did not. Or
- 5 I'm sorry, what I should say there is -- is when I
- 6 knew that Green Leaf was involved in -- in the -- in
- 7 the units, I equated that to the fact that, oh, and
- 8 PowerStream is, too.
- 9 So, I knew at the time that Paul was
- 10 doing work for PowerStream, so I assumed that there
- 11 was some relationship there from that. And that --
- 12 that was probably all I would assume at that point in
- 13 time.
- 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Do you
- 15 recall if you asked any questions to understand better
- 16 who was involved in the pilot project or what they
- 17 were doing?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: I felt that the
- 19 information that the Board had received when they made
- 20 the decision was everything we needed to know.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And what -- what
- 22 information do you recall the Board receiving?
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: Things like the cost
- 24 of the unit, how we were going to promote it, the fact
- 25 that we were looking to other partners, and -- and

- 1 PowerStream was one (1), I believe Wasaga Beach was
- 2 another, Orangeville, possibly, so along those lines,
- 3 and then the idea of -- of what they were going to
- 4 charge for the unit.
- 5 Now I think about that. What was going
- 6 to be charged for the unit would have been meant to
- 7 recover any costs that we were incurring to run the
- 8 program through the billing and -- and things like
- 9 that.
- 10 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Was it your
- 11 understanding that Collus Power would incur the cost
- 12 of running the pilot program and those costs would be
- 13 recovered through the payments that people made for
- 14 their solar attic vents?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: And I can't say if it
- 16 was fully recoverable or -- or not because I can't
- 17 recall on that.
- 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay. Okay. So,
- 19 leaving aside the recovery for a second, was it your
- 20 understanding that Collus Power would bear the costs
- 21 of running the pilot program, some or all of those
- 22 costs would be recovered through the purchase price of
- 23 the attic vents?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: I certainly felt that
- 25 it was something that we were doing, and the

1 incremental cost of it would have been definitely

- 2 covered.
- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What was your
- 4 understanding of Mr. Houghton's involvement in the
- 5 pilot project?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: As I said, he
- 7 presented the proposal to -- to the Board and received
- 8 approval to go on with the process. And that, to my
- 9 knowledge, was the only involvement with the -- with
- 10 the units, was taking that particular product and
- 11 taking it forward as -- as the Board wanted.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you know how he
- 13 identified that product as a product that should be
- 14 taken forward to the Board?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I don't.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did he have any
- 17 discussions with you about his decision to take that
- 18 product to the Board before he did so?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: No. And -- and I
- 20 wouldn't expect that he would have.

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Those are my
- 25 questions.

- 1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I -- I
- 2 just have one (1) other question. Amaizeingly Green,
- 3 it eventually did go bankrupt?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, it did.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 6 was there a loss --
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: I've --
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- as
- 9 a result of that?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I've tried to remember
- 11 specifically, but I -- I'm going to say to you, no,
- 12 because I don't recall there being one (1).
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: It would have had to
- 15 be fairly significant for me to -- to know of one (1),
- 16 so I can't say for certain that there wouldn't have
- 17 been a small amount.
- But as far as I can remember, when we
- 19 got the -- them back up to current, they continued to
- 20 be current.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So --
- 22 so if there had been a significant loss associated
- 23 with their ultimate bankruptcy, you -- you probably
- 24 would know about it?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I definitely

- 1 would remember that
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.
- 3 Thank you. Who's...
- 4 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: I assume I am.
- 5 I -- I will be pretty brief --
- 6 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 7 right.
- 8 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: -- twenty-five
- 9 (25) minutes, a half an hour.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go
- 11 ahead.
- 12
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL:
- 14 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So, my friend
- 15 has done a very good job of going through the various
- 16 aream -- areas of your testimony, Mr. Fryer, so I'll
- 17 be jumping around a bit with a few questions.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 19 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: On the
- 20 financial criteria, you thought that they should be 50
- 21 percent rather than 30 percent. That's your -- that's
- 22 correct?
- MR. TIM FRYER: That would be my
- 24 initial rememory -- memory is that I was thinking you
- 25 wouldn't go anything less than fifty (50).

1 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And

- 2 when asked why that was, you said, Well, that's
- 3 because I'm an -- an accountant. And what you really
- 4 mean, I think, is that the financial criteria,
- 5 property understood, are metrics. They are things you
- 6 can measure, correct?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Most certainly, it's -
- 8 it's something you can measure more easily than
- 9 qualitative, yes.
- 10 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And --
- 11 and as I look at the list of non-financial criteria --
- 12 we don't need to go through them -- but a lot of them
- 13 are subject to a lot of interpretation, correct?
- 14 Like, the quality of customer service, and --
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I would agree,
- 16 yes.
- 17 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And the
- 18 -- and how they treat their employees, for example?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. Yes.
- 20 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So just dealing
- 21 with a customer one, it's not as though in evaluating
- 22 that criterion the Strategic Task Team went out and --
- 23 and did some kind of analysis of Hydro One customers
- 24 and their complaints and that sort of thing, correct?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: They provided

- 1 information in their proposal that was -- I'm just
- 2 making sure I don't get told to -- they provide
- 3 information towards those criteria to -- to supplement
- 4 what we would probably because we're in the industry
- 5 know about the four (4) proponents already.
- 6 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right but just
- 7 on customer service what you would know is, I gather,
- 8 I'm not being critical, it would be antidotal
- 9 information?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: It certainly would be
- 11 subjective, yes.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. Going
- 13 now to the shotgun clause, I just had a few questions.
- 14 The shotgun clause required the Town -- if the Town
- 15 wanted to retain control, required the Town within
- 16 twenty (20) days to purchase the -- the other half of
- 17 the Utility, correct?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. Right.
- 19 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And PowerStream
- 20 as a company is much larger than one-half of the
- 21 former Collus?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Much larger, yes.
- 23 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right And so
- 24 the thing about the shotgun clause is that there's an
- 25 asymmetry in the ability to buy, I assume.

1 MR. TIM FRYER: Between the larger

- 2 entity and the smaller entity.
- 3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Correct.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Smaller entity being
- 5 the Town of Collingwood --
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Yes.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: -- which isn't probably
- 8 an awful lot smaller than PowerStream but it isn't an
- 9 LDC.
- 10 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right, it isn't
- 11 an LDC and there's a couple of problems, one (1) is,
- 12 as you said, you've got a -- you've a member of a
- 13 municipal council, you've got to persuade the Council
- 14 within twenty (20) days of the wisdom of buying the
- 15 other half, correct?
- MR. TIM FRYER: That would be what the
- 17 challenge would be.
- 18 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And
- 19 then a -- another challenge, I suppose, is that in the
- 20 signing to sell half of it in the first place, you
- 21 commissioned a valuation, and that valuation process
- 22 took I think three (3) months, correct?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. And -- and that
- 24 was just a general.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right, and is -

- 1 we may get into it a little bit, but it required an
- 2 awful lot of data collection by you, and responses to
- 3 complicated questions, and so on, and that was hard
- 4 enough to do in three (3) months?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 6 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And so it's
- 7 pretty impractical within twenty (20) days?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm. I -- I thought
- 9 it was very restrictive, and -- and I wouldn't have
- 10 wanted to be the financial person accepting that
- 11 challenge on -- on getting information to a Council to
- 12 make a decision about whether they should or
- 13 shouldn't.
- 14 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And so
- 15 when you were recommending that a shotgun clause be
- 16 inserted, you were thinking that there has to be some
- 17 way out of a -- a deadlock that could develop, right?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Different views on how
- 19 the organization needed to grow, or that type of
- 20 thing.
- 21 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Sure. And so
- 22 you need a way to get divorced, if I can put it that
- 23 way?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Amiably.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Amiably, but

- 1 also you weren't thinking of twenty (20) days.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: As I said, I'm -- I am
- 3 -- I've said it numerous times. I thought there was a
- 4 one (1) that should have been in front of it.
- 5 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. So in
- 6 other words, do it in four (4) months or something
- 7 like that?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: It seemed to me like
- 9 that would be the time period that you want to work
- 10 with.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Okay. And so
- 12 the terms of the shotgun clause were the subject of
- 13 negotiation, I assume?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I didn't
- 15 participate in that part of it, so I can't say for
- 16 certain.
- 17 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Was it the case
- 18 that Mr. Houghton was instructing the lawyers on
- 19 behalf of the Town on issues like this?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: And again, because I
- 21 wasn't participating, I didn't really know who was --
- 22 was taking on what role in that part of it.
- 23 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. But in
- 24 order for us to understand how we arrived at this
- 25 twenty (20) day period, we would have to see the back

- 1 and forth between the lawyers for the Town and the
- 2 lawyers for PowerStream, I take it?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I quess you --
- 4 you would, yes.
- 5 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And the lawyers
- 6 for Collus, I guess, potentially, too.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: And again, I didn't
- 8 know who was doing what roles in that regard.
- 9 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: No, fair
- 10 enough. And I guess just on the valuation point, the
- 11 shotgun clause that was negotiated couldn't be
- 12 exercised within two (2) years. Is that --
- MR. TIM FRYER: I --
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: -- your
- 15 recollection?
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: -- I believe that's
- 17 correct, that it was a -- a minimum of two (2) years
- 18 before it could -- could be exercised.
- 19 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So if you're
- 20 four (4) or five (5) years out, you know, Collingwood
- 21 seems to me to be growing. There might be a different
- 22 customer base. You really do need a valuation to
- 23 understand what it is you're selling or buying?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: It -- we've talked
- 25 about it in the valuation evidence we've heard over

- 1 the -- over the few weeks that it is possible to get a
- 2 pretty good rule of thumb together fairly quickly.
- 3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: The problem with
- 5 selling a public asset is you need to be able to show
- 6 you've done your homework, and -- and --
- 7 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: The value for
- 8 money.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: -- and you'd want to
- 10 make sure that you had objective views to -- to show
- 11 that you've done your homework. And -- and so you
- 12 would want to have a third party involved rather than
- 13 just necessarily internal resources, even though you
- 14 may have a good idea --
- 15 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: M-hm.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: -- what the figure's
- 17 going to be, I think it's about being able to show
- 18 that you've done your homework.
- 19 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Fair enough.
- 20 So let me just ask you a couple of questions about
- 21 rates. So you were involved with the process of
- 22 setting the customer rates, I take it?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I was.
- 24 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And we heard
- 25 from Ms. Chaplin the distribution rates were based on

- 1 a deemed capital structure of 60/40 debt to equity?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, it was.
- 3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And that in
- 4 fact is how Collus's rates were -- were calculated,
- 5 based on that deemed capital structure --
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Every LDC in the
- 7 Province was, yes.
- 8 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Okay. Now let
- 9 me back to this big question that we've heard some
- 10 evidence around. As I've heard your evidence, this is
- 11 your attitude towards the sale. You've said a number
- 12 of things. One (1) is that you had a huge number of
- 13 competing responsibilities at the same time?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I did.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And you've
- 16 given us a list, and on behalf of the Town, we will
- 17 stipulate that that's a long list of things that you
- 18 had to do.
- 19 You also have said that you had
- 20 reservations about the process that was followed, that
- 21 the pro -- so in other words, the RFP you thought
- 22 should have had terms which said, We're considering
- 23 selling all or part of our utility; give us your
- 24 proposal?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. So I didn't have

- 1 concerns with the actual process that was undertaken.
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I just felt that it
- 4 could have been more put towards looking at the other
- 5 options. But as I said, I recognize Council had
- 6 decided not to when they decided to go for the
- 7 partnership, and that process was undertaken properly.
- 8 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Okay. And
- 9 there -- there are a lot of emails in which people
- 10 within KPMG are saying, you know, Tim doesn't seem to
- 11 like what we're doing, and Tim seems to be going very
- 12 slowly. You -- you've seen those. I don't need to
- 13 pull them up?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: No, I've seen them.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And is it your
- 16 evidence that that was their perception, but that was
- 17 not, in fact, true?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, through the
- 19 course of my years with the Utility, there was
- 20 instances where people would have an opinion about
- 21 what I was doing, or not doing, and --
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: -- and I had to weigh
- 24 those -- in those particular cases. I didn't know
- 25 that they were saying those things.

- 1 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: So I looked towards
- 3 whether there was anything within my emails that I
- 4 actually came out and said, and there wasn't. So I --
- 5 I looked at it as just internal chatter, and -- and if
- 6 I'd known about it at the time, I probably would have
- 7 looked at it the same way then, too. I just would
- 8 have said, I've got to keep doing what I'm doing, and
- 9 make sure the customers aren't affected.
- 10 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So is this
- 11 phrase that you heard on CNN a while back between the
- 12 -- the generals and President Trump that the generals
- 13 would say that the slow march -- certain orders, in
- 14 other words, they would carry out the instructions,
- 15 but just do it in a very slow way. That's not what
- 16 you were doing here, I take it?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe there
- 18 was -- at any point in time that -- that I was tardy
- 19 in -- in doing what I could do to move any of the
- 20 initiatives forward in the best light possible.
- 21 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Okay. And can
- 22 we pull up -- it's TOC70115.
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 25

```
137
 1
                  MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Still...
 2
 3
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 5
                  MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Let the record
 6
   reflect that Ms. McGrann has broken the Internet.
 7
 8
                   Okay. Well, let me --
 9
                   THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
                                                  Well,
   you know, you know, why -- why don't I -- I would have
10
11
   taken a break at some point anyway. I'll take it now
   and see if we can get the Internet up and running.
13
                  MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Sure. Okay.
14 Thanks, Commissioner.
15
   --- Upon recessing at 2:36 p.m.
17
   --- Upon resuming at 2:49 p.m.
18
19
                   THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We're
20
  up and running apparently.
21
22 CONTINUED BY MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL:
23
                  MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So I think I
24 was looking for TOC70115.
2.5
```

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Now, if you
- 4 scroll down -- there. So this is an email that I
- 5 assume you've seen.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I have.
- 7 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And --
- 8 and this is one (1) from Rick Lloyd to Mr. Muncaster,
- 9 who was the Chair of Collus, and Mr. Lloyd seems
- 10 terribly cracked up about things in this email.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, he does.
- 12 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And in the
- 13 second paragraph he says:
- 14 "I remain concerned about Tim and
- 15 his obvious distaste for the idea
- and his lack of professionalism."
- 17 At this point I take it that Mr. Lloyd
- 18 hadn't raised anything like this with you?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 20 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And then he
- 21 carries on and he says:
- 22 "I believe that he still seems to be
- 23 opposed to the whole idea."
- 24 You've said that you had certain
- 25 reservations, which you've expressed, but you were

- 1 carrying out the instructions.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: That's what I
- 3 explained to the Board when I was asked about it, yes.
- 4 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And
- 5 that's what I was coming to, that -- so he says we're
- 6 going to have a board meeting, we're going to
- 7 hopefully talk about this with -- with Tim. And that
- 8 happened?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: That's the boardroom
- 10 (sic) I believe when I -- I spoke of earlier, I was in
- 11 camera asked to explain my position about this.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And
- 13 that's where you set out the -- set out the points
- 14 about the four (4) options which might have been --
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I would have said
- 16 that we should have explored our options.
- 17 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. I just
- 18 wanted to make sure that that was the same meeting
- 19 that we're talking about.
- 20 And did anybody in the Board meeting
- 21 suggest that you were acting with a lack of
- 22 professionalism?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No. My recollection
- 24 is that the -- the Chair asked me to speak in regards
- 25 to my position about the sale and I said what I had

- 1 referred to and explained that it was a surprise to me
- 2 to -- to be asked and -- and I wasn't prepared to
- 3 speak any more in depth on it, but I would if they
- 4 wanted to -- at another point in time.
- 5 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Okay. Now, can
- 6 we pull up KPM2496?

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 10 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So this is a
- 11 few months later, February the 4th, 2012; Mr. Houghton
- 12 to several of the people at KPMG in relation to MAAD,
- 13 M-A-A-D, application matters. And Mr. Houghton says:
- 14 "Can you please stop any more
- 15 contact with Tim until further
- notice? I will explain what details
- I can later."
- I take it you weren't aware of this
- 19 email in -- at the time?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I was not.
- 21 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. Now, I
- 22 don't want to go too far into this, but you took a
- 23 medical leave at some point?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I did not.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right.

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: If I may, I -- I was --
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Sure.
- MR. TIM FRYER: I was put on to an
- 4 imposed leave of absence.
- 5 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: By Collus?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So it wasn't a
- 8 -- wasn't a medical issue at all?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: That'd be personnel
- 10 matter and that'd be confidential.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Yeah. Well,
- 12 it's not confidential here unfortunately.
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: No. No, that's -- but
- 14 I'm just saying it would be -- that's why I'm saying I
- 15 considered it to be an imposed leave of absence.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. But
- 17 it's probably obvious why I'm asking this. We've
- 18 heard some evidence here about the way in which Mr.
- 19 Houghton and Mr. Lloyd dealt with employees at the
- 20 Town.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: There have been
- 23 allegations of harassment, and frankly, allegations of
- 24 bullying. You were here for that evidence.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.

- 1 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour,
- 3 I -- I'm having a little trouble with that question.
- 4 I don't recall any evidence that ever suggested that
- 5 Mr. Houghton had in any way bullied anyone at the
- 6 Town. There was some suggestions by Ms. Wingrove that
- 7 she had some trouble obtaining meetings with him,
- 8 which we'll deal with, but I don't recall any
- 9 allegations of bullying at any point in this
- 10 commission with respect to Mr. Houghton.
- 11 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, Mr. --
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I wonder if
- 13 the question might be rephrased?

14

- 15 CONTINUED BY MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL:
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: No, I think My
- 17 Friend might want to look at the transcript, but let
- 18 me ask you a different question.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That'd be
- 20 good.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 22 no, no, no. Let's not start that.

- 24 CONTINUED BY MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL:
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So you were put

- 1 on a -- on an imposed leave.
- 2 Was your physician involved in any part
- 3 of this, because -- and the reason I ask that is that
- 4 I've seen in the documents reference to you coming
- 5 back from an -- from a medical leave.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: It may say medical
- 7 leave. I didn't consider it to be a medical leave.
- 8 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Okay. So what
- 9 were the circumstances in which you took the leave?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I came into the office
- 11 one (1) morning and immediately Ms. Hogg -- Mrs. Hogg
- 12 and Mr. Houghton attended my office, shut my door, and
- 13 informed me that I had ten (10) minutes to leave the
- 14 building, just do what I needed to do, and -- and that
- 15 I was being put on leave.
- 16 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Did they
- 17 explain why?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: They reviewed that
- 19 they had concerns for me and -- and such from a
- 20 medical standpoint. I told them that I was fine and I
- 21 told them that I felt that I had two (2) choices here.
- 22 I could say no, which would cause a problem
- 23 internally, and -- and I didn't see that being a -- a
- 24 -- a real positive position going forward for
- 25 everybody involved, so I said I accepted it.

- 1 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And you were
- 2 fine in fact medically?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: In my opinion I was.
- 4 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Did you seek
- 5 any medical person's opinion about that or --
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: In order to come back
- 7 when I did, which was roughly six (6) weeks later, I
- 8 had to have doctor's authorization to do it.
- 9 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Okay. Which
- 10 you obtained, I assume?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I did.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And you
- 13 obtained it the first time you asked for it?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: Within the parameters
- 15 -- I believe, if I remember correctly, it was a
- 16 minimum of a month, so -- so I had to -- I knew I was
- 17 going to have to wait for the month.
- 18 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right. And
- 19 when you returned to work, did you go headlong into
- 20 everything you had been doing or did you manage to get
- 21 a bit of a -- bit of relief from that massive
- 22 workload?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, because of work
- 24 it had fallen behind on some of the initiatives, so I
- 25 was back to a sixty (60) or sixty-five (65) hour work

- 1 week.
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And how long
- 3 did you stay with Collus PowerStream?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Sorry, what do you
- 5 mean by "stay with"?
- 6 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: When did your
- 7 employment with the company merge or otherwise ceases?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: So I -- I spoke to Mr.
- 9 Houghton about -- about looking at retirement the day
- 10 that we found out the OEB had approved the
- 11 transaction.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: I am of the same view
- 14 as Mr. Erling. I was somewhat surprised that the OEB
- 15 approved it. I thought that there'd be the
- 16 possibility they might not because of the situation
- 17 with the review panel still to bring their -- their
- 18 findings down, but I recognize that the OEB works
- 19 within their -- their parameters and it was simply
- 20 that it met the no-harm test and -- and the OEB could
- 21 not -- could not (sic) approve it.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And
- 23 then the effective date of your retirement was?
- MR. TIM FRYER: September 30th, 2012.
- 25 I believe the ruling from the OEB would have been in

- 1 early July, if I remember correctly, of 2012.
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. Now,
- 3 just while we're on the -- the subject of employment,
- 4 in the shotgun clause there was a two-year period
- 5 before either side could pull the trigger as it were.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: That's my
- 7 understanding of the clause, yes.
- 8 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Which suggests
- 9 to me that there'd be a -- sort of a two-year period
- 10 of stability within the Corporation?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Certainly neither
- 12 partner could initiate that clause.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. Were
- 14 there discussions about whether the executive team
- 15 would stay involved once the transaction took place?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Not with me, no.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Were you aware
- 18 of any other discussions?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: No. I -- I quess I
- 20 should just correct myself on that, Mr. McDowell.
- 21 Certainly I was aware of the fact that
- 22 in the RFP, it was about what are the plans for the
- 23 employees, and I believe PowerStream didn't indicate
- 24 anything, so my -- my belief was that things would
- 25 carry on as is.

147 1 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. 2 MR. TIM FRYER: I quess --3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, that's really what I'm getting at, whether you had an expectation one (1) way or the other. 5 Could we pull up CBB39? I know I'm 6 moving around here but just to cover off a couple of 7 these questions. 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 13 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Just before we 14 leave the past subject, did you think that placing you 15 on an involuntary leave was a reasonable or an unreasonable thing to have -- for the CEO to have 17 done? 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Oh, I thought it was 19 unreasonable. 20 21 (BRIEF PAUSE) 22 23 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And the only 24 discussion that you had with them about that, I take 25 it, was when you were advised that you had ten (10)

- 1 minutes to -- to leave?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: And they explained
- 3 things and -- and gave me the ten (10) minutes, yes.
- 4 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. You
- 5 never put your position in writing back to Mr.
- 6 Houghton or Ms. Hogg or anybody else?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: You mean in --
- 8 immediately?
- 9 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Or at any time.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I can't recall if
- 11 there was written paperwork back and forth. I -- I --
- 12 I can't say anything for certain on that.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So these are
- 14 the notes prepared by Mr. Neate and Mr. Neate was the
- 15 auditor?
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: He was a member of
- 17 what was Gaviller and Company at the time, and he was
- 18 one (1) of the partners and he was the partner
- 19 responsible for doing the audit work for the utility.
- 20 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: He had done
- 21 that for a number of years?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, he had.
- 23 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: He was a
- 24 trusted business advisor, I take it?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I certainly

- 1 considered him to be, yes.
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: You had a lot
- 3 of faith in him, I assume.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I did.
- 5 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right, so
- 6 Mr. Neate joins a meeting of the strategic task team.
- 7 Was there just one (1) meeting or was there more than
- 8 one?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I am sure that there
- 10 was more than one (1) meeting. I can't say that there
- 11 was more than two.
- 12 And it -- Mr. Neate joined because I
- 13 had recommended to the -- to the team that Mr. Neate
- 14 be involved because I didn't have any tax experience
- 15 when we moved to the OBCA format. I was a municipal
- 16 accountant and -- and so the partner at the time was
- 17 Ken Miller at Gaviller, both him and Ralph served --
- 18 served our tax purposes. All of our tax work went
- 19 through them over the course of time.
- 20 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right. So
- 21 Mr. Neate joins a meeting and he raises a number of
- 22 issues here. So one (1) of them, just for example,
- 23 5.5 million and 1.7 million, the Town could extract
- 24 out of the utility without doing the deal, right? So
- 25 he says that?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And what that
- 3 means is that the Town could take out 5.5 million by
- 4 way of dividend?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: They could because the
- 6 -- that was the difference between the borrowing room
- 7 that we were using and what was remaining to reach the
- 8 deem structure.
- 9 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right. And
- 10 then the 1.7 million is a promissory note?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: So that was part of
- 12 what we already had, so that actually could at -- be
- 13 added to the -- to the difference as well.
- 14 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Then he raises
- 15 an issue about the shotgun clause and he had concerns
- 16 that were similar to yours, it looks like.
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: He -- he does say that
- 18 in this note, yes.
- 19 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right, and
- 20 then control, he raises the issue of the 50/50 split
- 21 on the board?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 23 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So are these
- 24 the kinds of issues that you would expect him to raise
- 25 in his capacity, as a business advisor?

```
1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, and in the first
```

- 2 bullet talks about the -- the fact that there is a --
- 3 we knew it was a moving target, so to speak, until the
- 4 books actually closed on July 31st, 2012, and you're
- 5 able to put your financial statements together you
- 6 wouldn't be able to know for certain what your working
- 7 capital adjustment figure would be.
- At the time that he wrote this, he
- 9 indicated that it was about a \$1.1 million adjustment.
- 10 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: But -- and -- and
- 12 everybody was aware of that because both -- or any of
- 13 the other proposals that were put forward looked at
- 14 confirming things with the -- the final statements,
- 15 they were using the current statements we had, they
- 16 were saying we would expect that these would be
- 17 adjusted according to what the final figures are.
- 18 So we -- we knew we were working with a
- 19 -- with a moving target that could go up or down
- 20 depending on the circumstances.
- 21 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: That's fair.
- Now, let me pull up KPM1804. And this
- 23 is the document than Ms. McGrann didn't want you to
- 24 look at, but I'm going to ask you to look at it.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.

1 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Just scrolling

- 2 down, I don't want to go too deeply into this, but
- 3 this was what you're talking about relative to Hydro
- 4 One, correct?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: And the reason I
- 6 mentioned it was because it's dated October 28th, and
- 7 to me that first paragraph underneath consequence of
- 8 sale, the rest of it is detail about why, but the --
- 9 the last sentence is the tax issue arises in the event
- 10 of Hydro One as the buyer of a partial interest in
- 11 Collus Power.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- MR. TIM FRYER: But the October 28th
- 14 date shows me that we were informed, this didn't come
- 15 to me, it had come to Mr. Houghton, that we were
- 16 informed about the possibility there's -- that's how I
- 17 believe the November 2nd response came to be.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Yes.
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: And then I was simply,
- 20 on November 3rd, contacting Mr. Stern and -- and
- 21 basically conveying the message that was previously
- 22 conveyed in -- in the -- in the documentation that had
- 23 come together.
- 24 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right.
- 25 Now, there was a particular issue with Hydro One, as

- 1 it turned out, the transaction with PowerStream had to
- 2 be structured differently than originally contemplated
- 3 for tax reasons.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say for
- 5 certain if it went just Collus Power, because they had
- 6 the transfer tax exemption for certain, as would Hydro
- 7 One, and I don't know enough about the other part of
- 8 things. Again, my expertise wasn't in that field.
- 9 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: That's all
- 10 right, we can -- we can dig it out with other
- 11 witnesses.
- 12 But -- but the point is that at the end
- 13 of the day it had been contemplated the Town would
- 14 sell the shares of Collus Power and instead the shares
- 15 of the Holdco were sold, correct?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Now, -- but let
- 18 me ask you this, in your view, did this create a
- 19 perception that there was something disadvantageous
- 20 about doing it with Hydro One because of this
- 21 particular problem?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: No. I -- I don't
- 23 believe so. It was simply indicating that a partial
- 24 interest of Collus Power only could generate this
- 25 issue.

- 1 Mr. Stern had referred to the fact that
- 2 there would be possibly ways to minimize it and I
- 3 don't believe that ever got explored because it never
- 4 was going to go to that point.
- 5 So I don't know whether that would have
- 6 meant that there could have been an elimination of it
- 7 or not.
- 8 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right,
- 9 that's fair.
- 10 So let me ask you, you were a member of
- 11 Council from 2014 to 2018?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I was.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And am I right
- 14 in my perception that the shared services agreement
- 15 then, the way that shared services were being carried
- 16 out and paid for became a real issue of friction
- 17 between the Town and Collus PowerStream?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I -- I agree with
- 19 the term that Mr. Roger used, which was never there
- 20 was an erosion of trust amongst the -- the parties
- 21 that were involved. And in any partnership, if you
- 22 have an erosion of trust, it can -- it can put things
- 23 into a real concerned state.
- 24 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: And is it fair
- 25 that because there was this uncertainty surrounding

- 1 the arrangement about shared services, that that
- 2 contributed to the erosion of trust?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I'd be more inclined
- 4 to think it went the other way. I think there was the
- 5 erosion trust that led to do we really want to be
- 6 doing these shared services this way now?
- 7 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right.
- And just to pull up CPS2668 for a
- 9 second, then scrolling down. I think it's towards the
- 10 bottom, I think. Okay. There we go, shared services
- 11 relationship.
- 12 So none of the proponents has really
- 13 any specificity in the way they approached this item.
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: I would agree, it was
- 15 just general, yeah.
- 16 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And
- 17 when I talk about uncertainty, that's what I'm talking
- 18 about.
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Because -- you're
- 20 correct, it had to be worked out with whatever partner
- 21 you're going to proceed with.
- 22 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Just briefly on
- 23 the solar vents' issue, did -- did Collus Power have
- 24 an employee code of conduct when you were working
- 25 there?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: We have seen in
- 3 the documentation documents which suggest that Mr.
- 4 Houghton had a financial interest in the solar vent
- 5 company.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: I've seen information,
- 7 I'm not going to say it suggests it because to me
- 8 there's not proof, so.
- 9 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right, but
- 10 if you accept from me that an inference could be drawn
- 11 that he had a financial interest, would that have been
- 12 improper given his position at Collus Power?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I would expect so.
- 14 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: But there was
- 15 no code of conduct that addressed that situation?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No. But we have our
- 17 fiduciary responsibilities of -- of our professional
- 18 conduct.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: You've
- 20 anticipated my next question, so --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: -- thank you.
- 23 And then I think this is my last question or two (2).
- 24 If we turn up TOC67989...
- 2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So, this is in
- 4 November. And I wanted to scroll up a little bit
- 5 here. What you say there:
- 6 "I would like to talk to you about
- 7 the damage of Ian Adams misquoting
- Rick in the newspaper article. I
- 9 know he is the deputy mayor, but you
- 10 were supposed to be the
- 11 spokesperson. He really shouldn't
- 12 have said anything."
- So, this has to do with Mr. Lloyd
- 14 talking about how the proceeds received from the sale
- 15 might be used?
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: Not just the proceeds.
- 17 He ex -- he included information about the dividend.
- 18 If it was just about the proceeds, I probably wouldn't
- 19 have reacted to it.
- 20 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. But the
- 21 dividend, as well.
- MR. TIM FRYER: So, he -- he made some
- 23 references about dividend and -- and such.
- 24 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. And
- 25 then this caused a bit of a fuss with other LDCs in

- 1 the area, I take it?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Trying to understand
- 3 the statement, yes.
- 4 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. What
- 5 was problematic about the statement?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: It is eight (8) years
- 7 ago. And -- and I didn't recall this email until I --
- 8 I looked at it in the document. So, the way it seems
- 9 to me would have been is it was saying about the
- 10 dividend. And, as I explained here, I -- I told him
- 11 that I couldn't discuss the use of funds for
- 12 acquisitions because Council hadn't decided that and
- 13 that I was unsure of the impact on a dividend because
- 14 we hadn't seen all the financial information that
- 15 would be applicable.
- So, I gave them some general
- 17 information because I -- in my belief, I'm not
- 18 supposed to be speaking about. I made reference to
- 19 one (1) spokesperson.
- 20 My recollection of things was that the
- 21 -- we were -- we were told, as members of the
- 22 Strategic Partnership Task Team, that there would be
- 23 one (1) spokesperson, and they chose that Ed would be
- 24 the spokesperson, Mr. Houghton would be the
- 25 spokesperson, and -- and that's what I'm referring to

Transcript Date May 14, 2019 159 there. 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 Those are the MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: questions on behalf of the Town. Thank you, Committee. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: 9 you. Now who's next? Mr. Chenoweth, go ahead. 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr. McDowell 12 13 asked you about a series of matters. He asked you 14 about the shotgun clause. And as I understand it, the 15 concerns you expressed about the shotgun clause at the time was the -- the length of time to respond to the shotgun, i.e., twenty (20) days? 17 18 MR. TIM FRYER: I didn't express that to them because I wasn't aware of the length of time. My involvement with -- about the shotgun clause is that I felt when they started to talk about a 50/50 21 situation, they probably needed to consider a shotgun 22 23 clause because it seemed to me like there would be an 24 appropriate term to come to an agreement with a

25

partner about.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I
- 2 understand. That's more helpful yet. So, the reality
- 3 is that it was really, to some extent, on your
- 4 suggestion that consideration was given to a shotgun
- 5 clause in the first place?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe that I was
- 7 the one (1) who mentioned it first, yes, I do.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 9 you think that a shotgun clause was a necessary
- 10 concept given the fact that it was 50/50 and, to use
- 11 Mr. McDowell's phrase, if you had to do a divorce, you
- 12 had some -- some way of doing it, maybe even in an
- 13 amicable way?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: I would call it
- 15 amicable because the party that was making the offer
- 16 would recognize that they could be given the same
- 17 amount for the shares and he chose to do that, yes.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: The point of
- 19 it all is you were in fa -- in favour of putting in a
- 20 shotgun clause?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: At the time, I didn't
- 22 know how it was negotiated, so I can't comment at the
- 23 time how I would have felt about seeing that.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So, you
- 25 eventually, however, at later times when you saw the

- 1 provisions of the shotgun clause, you did have some
- 2 concern, and that concern was with respect to the
- 3 twenty (20) day period?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: And now I was a member
- 5 of the shareholder, and so I was looking at it from a
- 6 shareholder per -- perspective, yes.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. Did
- 8 you express that -- that concern about the twenty (20)
- 9 day period at any time when you were at the
- 10 shareholder, as it were, when you were a councillor?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. When I -- when I
- 12 became aware of the twenty (20) days, I thought that
- 13 that was very restrictive and put us in -- in what I
- 14 felt was a risky -- more risky situation.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So, you made
- 16 some comment to -- about -- to that effect at Council?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I would have, yes.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 19 Thank you. You would have. Do you specifically
- 20 remember making such a comment at Council?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it would
- 22 have been in a public session, but I can't tell you
- 23 for certain when it was.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Okay. All
- 25 right. That's the only concern you ever expressed

- 1 about the shotgun clause, i.e., the shortness of the
- 2 twenty (20) day period when you were a councillor or -
- 3 or otherwise?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. The -- again,
- 5 the reason that I was concerned was because the
- 6 utility was fully leveraged. The money would have to
- 7 come from the municipality.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 9 So, you didn't at any time ever express a concern
- 10 about the concept that Mr. McDowell has introduced,
- 11 i.e., the concept of an asymmetry between the parties?
- 12 That was never something that you raised with anyone
- 13 as a councillor or otherwise?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: Again, I wasn't part
- 15 of the negotiation of the terms --
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I know that.
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: -- of the shotgun so I
- 18 -- I didn't, no.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 So, we know that. But you didn't raise -- you raised
- 21 -- you -- you tell us you believe you might have
- 22 raised the 20 percent -- or the twenty (20) day issue,
- 23 at later times -- you didn't at later times raise an
- 24 inappropriate symmetry between -- or an asymmetry
- 25 between the two (2) parties to the shotgun provision.

- 1 That's not something you raised at a --
- 2 at a later time. You only raised the question of the
- 3 twenty (20) days?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I wouldn't have had to
- 5 raise anything else. To me, the twenty (20) days was
- 6 the riskier situation of anything.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 8 Ralph Neate -- my friend, Mr. McDowell, took you to
- 9 Ralph Neate and his comments. I don't think I have to
- 10 bring you back to the document mostly because I don't
- 11 have the document number in front of me. But in any
- 12 event of that --
- MR. TIM FRYER: That's quite all
- 14 right. I -- I'm fine with it.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- I think
- 16 we all remember it. Ralph Neate, in his comments,
- 17 didn't mention the twenty (20) days, correct?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't recall seeing
- 19 it in it, no.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 21 His concern, as he expressed it and as I read it
- 22 moments ago, was an entirely different concern. And
- 23 that was, after two (2) years, PowerStream could buy -
- 24 could buy Collus out?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 2 So that it appears that, if he had a concern with the
- 3 shotgun clause, and he appears to have had one (1), it
- 4 was a different concern than yours?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't comment on
- 6 that. And I know he's coming --
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But sure --
- 8 sure you can. It was -- yours was twenty (20) days.
- 9 He was that after two (2) years you could be bought
- 10 out. They're two (2) different concerns, are they
- 11 not?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: And I'm trying to
- 13 think of the date of the email, or the notes, because
- 14 it could be that the twenty (20) days hadn't been
- 15 established yet.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Let's
- 17 just pull the note up. What is the number?

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

20

- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Is it
- 22 39, CBB39? Yes.

- 24 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: His concern

- 1 is expressed at -- at paragraph 3, shotgun --
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- clause,
- 4 PowerStream will effectively be -- and -- and is there
- 5 a -- is there a date with respect to this note, just
- 6 to assist the witness? Is that evident from the
- 7 document?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: No. But we do know
- 9 that it was from a Strategic Partner Task Team meeting
- 10 which would have been before the terms of the USA were
- 11 negotiated.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 13 I think that's -- I think that's adequate. And I do
- 14 have a note of the date at another location.
- In any event, his expressed concern
- 16 with respect to the shotgun clause was that
- 17 PowerStream will effectively be able to purchase the
- 18 other half of the utility after two (2) years?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: As he understood it at
- 20 the time, yes.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 22 Thank you. I'm simply suggesting to you that contrary
- 23 to the suggestion of my friend Mr. McDowell, his
- 24 concern about the shotgun clause was not the same as
- 25 your concern. It was a different concern in that your

- 1 concern was about the twenty (20) days, and it arose
- 2 after the clause was drafted. Correct?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: The twenty (20) days
- 4 arose after this was drafted.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm having a
- 6 little difficulty getting a direct answer to the
- 7 question. I'm simply suggesting to you that the
- 8 concern expressed by Mr. Neate with respect to the
- 9 shotgun clause is a different concern than the 20-day
- 10 concern that you expressed with respect to the shotgun
- 11 clause, forgetting about the timing.
- 12 Is that not the case?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: Forgetting about the
- 14 timing? Yes, that's the case.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You're not
- 16 aware that Mr. Neate expressed any other concerns at
- 17 any other times other than the concerns he expressed
- 18 in this note.
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: None come to mind.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 21 All right. We have heard -- and you, in fact, created
- 22 a document which is now part of the record in this
- 23 proceeding -- indicating that going into 2011, you had
- 24 a lot on your plate.
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: The -- I quess the LDC

- 1 did, and -- and well, I guess all the entities did.
- 2 And finance department had quite a bit on its plate,
- 3 yes.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It did. It
- 5 had changes of accounting rules and a whole variety of
- 6 extra tasks that were not part of your normal routine.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 9 So you had a lot of work to do, and it was
- 10 challenging.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: One (1)
- 13 individual, Mr. Houghton, appears to have expressed to
- 14 one (1) of the KPMG individuals -- he used the word
- 15 "scrambling." It may not be a word that you would
- 16 have chosen, but I take it, it in essence gets across
- 17 the idea that you were -- you had substantial work on
- 18 your plate and, in that respect, wasn't inappropriate.
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 21
- 22 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 23
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: If I could
- 25 just -- I'm not sure I understand your position on the

1 Shared Service Agreement, and I just wanted to attempt

- 2 to clarify that.
- But as I understand it, it was
- 4 difficult to bring clarity to the Shared Services
- 5 Agreements as you approach the closing in that, to
- 6 some large extent, this was a matter to be negotiated
- 7 by the potential eventual -- or negotiated with the
- 8 potential eventual partner?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I had explained that
- 10 around March, as far as I was concerned, the -- the
- 11 duties on taking forward the amendments to the service
- 12 agreements had been turned over to Ms. Shuttleworth
- 13 and -- and Mr. Houghton.
- 14 So I wasn't -- at the time of getting
- 15 close to closing, I wasn't really involved in the --
- 16 in the direct conversations about it. But I also have
- 17 said that it wouldn't -- I wouldn't -- I wasn't
- 18 surprised that it was tough to nail down at that point
- 19 in time.
- 20 So is that helpful with my response?
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It is -- it
- 22 is helpful. Yes. And I take it one (1) of the
- 23 reasons that it would be difficult to nail down is
- 24 because it was likely a matter that would require some
- 25 negotiation with the eventual chosen partner.

1 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, in that point in

- 2 time, it was PowerStream, and -- and it would take
- 3 some ironing out as to what services were going to
- 4 continue, because as I've mentioned, one (1) of the
- 5 premises behind the partnership was to draw upon the
- 6 expertise of the -- of the partner. And so there may
- 7 have been a role or two (2) that needed to come from
- 8 them as part of the shared services.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 10 I was again a little confused by some of your answers
- 11 with respect to your position on the STT team. You
- 12 indicated that you regarded yourself as a resource,
- 13 which makes sense, but you regarded the others as --
- 14 or some of the others as voting individuals.
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: And the individuals I
- 16 considered to be that were the voting members of the
- 17 Board and -- and Council that were part of the task
- 18 team.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: So the five (5)
- 21 members.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did anyone
- 23 ever tell you that -- explained to you in some way
- 24 that you were allegedly a non-voting member of the STT
- 25 team, or is this something that -- a concept that came

- 1 to your mind --
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: The concept --
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- that you
- 4 thought was fair?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: -- the concept was in
- 6 my mind, and it would be similar to when I would
- 7 attend Board meetings or audit committee meetings.
- 8 There were voting members, and -- and I was the
- 9 resource for them. So that concept was in my mind in
- 10 regards to the task team, as well.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 12 But no one ever told you that you had a restricted
- 13 position with respect to your activities on the STT
- 14 task force team. Correct?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: No. And -- and I was
- 16 able to provide input.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 18 No one told you that you had a restricted position.
- 19 Correct?
- MR. TIM FRYER: As I said, it was my
- 21 concept in my mind, yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 23 Fine. Did you ever tell anyone else on the STT team
- 24 that you were a non-voting member of the team?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe so.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 2 So this was something you -- this concept that you
- 3 had, you kept that to yourself.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: And I would have
- 5 considered Mr. Houghton and Ms. Wingrove and -- and
- 6 Mr. Herhalt to be of the same situation.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 8 Well, we'll get to them in due course.
- 9 The information I've been provided with
- 10 is that the STT team worked on the basis of consensus.
- 11 The team came to an agreement with respect to an issue
- 12 and then proceeded forward with respect to that issue.
- 13 Is that in keeping with your observation during the
- 14 time that you were a member of the team?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe that there
- 16 was consensus. I just believe that the consensus
- 17 would have come from -- what I considered to be
- 18 essentially the voting members.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: But definitely, I was
- 21 providing input as required.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did people
- 23 put up their hands to vote, or did it just -- it was
- 24 just a consensus developed in the room, or do you
- 25 recall?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe it was
- 2 a show of hands at any point in time.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But in any
- 4 event, it's -- it is your sense that the STT team
- 5 decided ideas or concepts as a result of reaching a
- 6 consensus.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: You know, whether it
- 8 was the nine or whether the five, it would have been
- 9 by consensus, yes.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 11 I'm sorry. Is there --
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I guess -- I
- 13 guess the only instance that that wouldn't be the case
- 14 is when we did our scoring because we did our scoring
- 15 individually. Every person's scoring was considered
- 16 equally. So in that particular case, all nine were
- 17 considered as -- as equals.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 19 And when I -- when we talk about a consensus, you mean
- 20 that you -- that you would all agree on an issue. Is
- 21 that correct?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: My recollection would
- 23 be that those five members would indicate that, but
- 24 it's just -- I can't say instance, so it's
- 25 speculative.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm sorry.
- 2 It's what?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: It's speculative.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It's
- 5 speculative.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 8 Very good. Do you recall any issues other than the
- 9 issues you described in which everyone voted their
- 10 particular way with respect to the scoring? Do you
- 11 recall any other issues in which things were proceeded
- 12 other than by way of a consensus?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: No. I felt the
- 14 process was completely as it should be.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 16 And -- and with respect to -- to process, as I
- 17 understand it, were you have the view that Collus
- 18 Power had the -- the benefit of good, solid board
- 19 members, including Mr. Muncaster and Mr. McFadden?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And did you
- 22 see that as being one (1) of the -- the strengths of
- 23 the Collus situation, the involvement of those two (2)
- 24 individuals?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: For the Task Team?

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: No, just in
- 2 general at Collus in the running of the organization.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: The -- the Board
- 4 structure was very, very good for qualifications.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 6 Thank you. And did you -- were you of the view that
- 7 they made a useful and substantial contribution?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Most definitely.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did the
- 10 process that the Town entered into to -- to eventually
- 11 reach the strategic partnership that it eventually
- 12 entered into?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And that was
- 15 a great benefit to the Town?
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: Their service was,
- 17 yes.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 19 And did you believe that the STT team concept was a
- 20 useful part of the process that the Town undertook to
- 21 -- to reach its eventual result?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: I certainly understood
- 23 the premise behind why it was formed, and -- and the
- 24 members that were -- were part of it, and -- and so as
- 25 I've said, I felt the process was -- was a process

- 1 that was solid, yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 3 And did you think the same about the fact that Council
- 4 appears to have been consulted with respect to these
- 5 matters on as many as six (6) occasions through the
- 6 course of the process?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: I knew because I had
- 8 attended some of the meetings that they were being
- 9 apprised regularly, yes.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 11 And you thought that was an appropriate way to
- 12 proceed?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- in the later part
- 14 of it, because I wasn't attending those meetings, I
- 15 can't really speak to whether or not it was adequate.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But
- 17 certainly with respect to the meetings you attended,
- 18 you thought it was an appropriate thing to do and an
- 19 appropriate part of the process?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I thought that
- 21 the information was being provided.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And the two
- 23 (2) public meetings were a useful part of the process?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: They were an essential
- 25 part of the process, yes.

1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.

- 2 And the -- the assistance of KPMG was useful
- 3 throughout the process, including its involvement with
- 4 the STT?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: I think it's important
- 6 to have an objective view as well, yes.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And the
- 8 legal advice -- and you may -- may not have known much
- 9 of this in that you weren't part of the negotiations,
- 10 but you were aware that Aird & Berlis was involved
- 11 acting allegedly for both the Town and Collus?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, I wasn't aware of
- 13 that.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you
- 15 aware that they had legal advice with respect to the
- 16 formation of the -- the partnership agreement between
- 17 Collus and PowerStream --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- and with
- 20 respect to the creation of the unanimous shareholders
- 21 agreement?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: I certainly expected
- 23 that they have, and -- and had no reason to not think
- 24 that they had legal.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Knowing all

- 1 that and making the comments that you -- that you
- 2 have, did you form a view as to the appropriateness of
- 3 -- of the process that was used to eventually achieve
- 4 the strategic partnership with PowerStream?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: I --
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you have
- 7 a view of that process?
- MR. TIM FRYER: What --
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you
- 10 regard it as a fulsome, rigourous, useful process?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: The process to pick
- 12 partners -- pick the partner --
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I've
- 14 just under -- outlined it to you and had your comment
- 15 on it, all the way from the satisfactory board members
- 16 that you had to the use of KPMG and other
- 17 professionals.
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah. Again, the
- 19 knowledge I had of what happened during the time I was
- 20 there, I felt that the process was solid. In
- 21 reviewing the information after the fact as a member
- 22 of Council, I was concerned about that part of things,
- 23 but I was not aware of it at the time. So as far as I
- 24 was concerned, the process had proceeded properly.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.

1 So when you say you were concerned about that kind of

- 2 things, I take it you're referring to some of the
- 3 items that are brought out in the Foundation Document.
- 4 Is that correct?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes --
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: -- which is after the
- 8 fact for me.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. Did
- 10 you regard the result that was achieved, i.e., the
- 11 partnership with PowerStream, to have been a good
- 12 result?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: I never formed
- 14 opinion, whether it was a good result, or not a good
- 15 result, because I always said to the people who ask me
- 16 that, I can't tell you if the first 50 percent was --
- 17 was handled properly until I know what happens with
- 18 the second half.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 One (1) moment.
- 21
- 22 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 23
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I believe
- 25 those are all the questions I have of this witness.

- 1 Thank you.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 3 you, Mr. Chenoweth. Mr. Watson, are you next?
- 4 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Yes --
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 6 Watson, are you next?
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- thank you,
- 8 Your Honour.

- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MICHAEL WATSON:
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Mr. Fryer, I
- 12 think you know that my name is Michael Watson, and I'm
- 13 one (1) of the lawyers for Alectra, which of course is
- 14 the current name of PowerStream.
- 15 You understand that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- 17 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I have about half
- 18 a dozen areas to try to get through fairly quickly.
- 19 And as Mr. McDowell did, I'll try to -- I'll -- I'll
- 20 be bouncing around a little bit.
- This morning you gave some evidence
- 22 about knowing about and meeting Brian Bentz of
- 23 PowerStream many years earlier?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And -

1 - and you said that you knew him when he was treasurer

- 2 of Barrie?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: And that may not have
- 4 been his title, but --
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I --
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: -- that's what he was
- 7 doing.
- 8 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- and -- sorry,
- 9 treasurer of Barrie Public Utilities is how you
- 10 described it?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 12 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And that was
- 13 about thirty (30) years earlier, before 2011, I think
- 14 you said?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 16 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I wanted to ask
- 17 you, you said that you worked together with Mr. Bentz
- 18 with respect to Georgian Bay, a cooperative utility,
- 19 and you said that at that time, the Province would be
- 20 looking for changes. Do you remember that?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: And I didn't --
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: First of all, do
- 23 you remember saying that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. I

- 1 want to ask you --
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 3 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- I take it what
- 4 you were saying is that you were aware at the time
- 5 when you were working with Mr. Bentz that the Province
- 6 would be looking for changes?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe that was the
- 8 premise behind Mr. Douds wanting to pursue the idea of
- 9 looking at a regional kind of utility situation.
- 10 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And when you say
- 11 "a regional kind of utility situation," do you mean
- 12 some kind of getting together of a number of regional
- 13 small LDCs into a larger entity?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 15 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I take it that
- 16 you were aware of the fact that it was government
- 17 policy to reduce the large number of LDCs in Ontario?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: It wasn't a -- it
- 19 wasn't a firm policy. Certainly, we felt that the
- 20 premise was there. And I -- and -- and continue now
- 21 to believe strongly in the ability for a municipal LDC
- 22 to function by itself.
- 23 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I -- I understand
- 24 that. I'm not asking you about that. You've made
- 25 that point before. I'm asking you about the

1 government policy, and I want to try to keep your

- 2 answers --
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 4 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- to my
- 5 question.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. Sorry.
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: We have your
- 8 evidence from before.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Sorry.
- 10 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I think you are
- 11 prob -- were probably aware of the fact, given your
- 12 long service with -- with Collus, that in the 1990s,
- 13 there were upwards of three hundred (300) LDCs in
- 14 Ontario?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Three hundred (300)
- 16 plus, yeah.
- 17 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And that
- 18 by the, say, early -- well, mid to late 2000s, that is
- 19 just before this Transaction took place, that had been
- 20 reduced to a number that we've seen of between eighty
- 21 (80) and eighty-two (82), something like that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And that was the
- 24 clear direction that the Province was going, and I
- 25 take it from your observation?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 2 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 3 indeed, there had been discussions that the Collus
- 4 Power Board meetings, at various times, that the
- 5 direction of the Provincial government seemed to be in
- 6 the direction of trying to have more consolidation as
- 7 time went on, right?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: That was being
- 9 conveyed, yes.
- 10 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And one
- 11 (1) of the reasons for that, whatever you yourself
- 12 felt, you understood that one (1) of the reasons for
- 13 that was the Provincial push to -- to try to get
- 14 better efficiencies among the larger LDCs?
- MR. TIM FRYER: That was one (1) of
- 16 the goals of that, yes.
- 17 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And I
- 18 take it that -- that this was one (1) of the things
- 19 that you were working with Mr. Bentz on with respect
- 20 to Georgian Bay and a larger cooperative utility back
- 21 thirty (30) years earlier?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, that would have
- 23 been part of the work.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And then
- 25 you also were asked -- well, you were asked a lot of

- 1 questions about shared services and so on. But -- and
- 2 with respect to -- remember the promissory note? This
- 3 morning, you were asked questions about that?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And in
- 6 the course of that you talked -- you were asked about
- 7 the use of the funds, do you remember?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE).
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and what I
- 10 want to get to is that you talked about -- you said
- 11 that the funds went to purchase assets of Clearview
- 12 and Thornbury. Do you remember that?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: You're talking about
- 14 the -- the initial purchase of those assets.
- 15 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Indeed.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 17 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Indeed. And --
- 18 and of course you were with Collus at that time when
- 19 the assets of Clearview and Thornbury were purchased?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I was.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And what year was
- 22 that?
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: It would have been
- 24 2001, I guess.
- 25 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And I take it

- 1 that this was just within about two (2) years after
- 2 so-called deregulation and -- of the electricity
- 3 market in -- in Ontario?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. Were
- 6 you involved in the discussions, any discussions at
- 7 all that all that led to the acquisition by the Town
- 8 of those assets?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I was.
- 10 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: What was your
- 11 role?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: It would have been
- 13 financial resource and it certainly -- my recollection
- 14 of it was that we felt that the Utility side, that it
- 15 was the right thing to approach the Town about,
- 16 because we felt those two (2) smaller utilities were
- 17 doing exactly what the government kind of was
- 18 initiating and -- and pushing for, is if you're going
- 19 to be a standalone utility, you can expect this, so
- 20 they wanted to look at what's -- what possibilities
- 21 they had for -- for solutions.
- 22 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. I --
- 23 I'm a big fan of figuring out what pronouns mean, so
- 24 when you say "they" and "them" and they wanted to find
- 25 out, who do you mean?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: So Clearview Council
- 2 as the decision-maker about whether or not to form an
- 3 LDC and Thornbury, the same. We were dealing with
- 4 them looking into their options and we were taking to
- 5 our shareholder the idea that we could maybe consider
- 6 purchasing those assets.
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. At
- 8 the time, did either Clearview or Thornbury have a
- 9 public utility?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 11 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: But I take it
- 12 what you're saying is that Clearview and Thornbury
- 13 provided electricity distribution services to the
- 14 ratepayers?
- MR. TIM FRYER: As an LD -- as an MEA,
- 16 (sic) I guess would be a better description instead of
- 17 LDC because they hadn't formed the LDC yet.
- 18 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay. Well, I
- 19 guess we'd better make that clear. What's an MEA?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: Municipal electric ut
- 21 -- sorry, MEU, municipal electric utility, which was
- 22 basically the description that we use to describe
- 23 ourselves unless we were a public utilities prior to
- 24 the changes to the new structure.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And

- 1 at that time was Collus an -- an MEU?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: We were a pubic
- 3 utilities and we had both the Hydro and Water
- 4 Department within the public utility.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 6 so Clearview and Thornbury had municipal utilities,
- 7 yes?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And those
- 10 utilities owned assets?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, they did.
- 12 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And did both of
- 13 those utilities constitute LDCs, local distribution
- 14 companies, for electricity?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I don't believe they
- 16 ever formed their LDC. I believe we dealt with the
- 17 transaction prior to that, in conjunction with the
- 18 time restrictions being put in place.
- 19 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and so
- 20 when you're talking about now forming an LDC, are you
- 21 talking about the requirement to form a business
- 22 corporation under Ontario Business Corporations Act
- 23 with respect to the distribution of electricity in
- 24 municipalities?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I am.

- 1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 2 so I take it that then -- when that took place within
- 3 municipalities, those new business corporations then
- 4 became called LDCs?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, they did.
- 6 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 7 so who initiated the process by which, or who
- 8 initiated the first discussions by which Clearview and
- 9 Thornbury ended up selling assets to Collingwood?
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say
- 11 personally that it was me, but I would have been a
- 12 part of the management and board at the Utility
- 13 deciding to approach the Town about it.
- 14 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: My question was a
- 15 bad one. What I really meant was, was it Clearview
- 16 and Thornbury on the one (1) hand or was it
- 17 Collingwood on the other that initiated the
- 18 discussions with the other?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it would
- 20 have been them initiating it with us because they
- 21 would have been looking at what options they had.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And again when
- 23 you say "would have," I take it what you're saying is
- 24 that's your best recollection?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Unfortunately, yes, it

- 1 is.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: That's fine. It
- 3 was many years ago, even more than this one.
- And I take it, therefore, that what
- 5 happened is that they contacted Collingwood and asked
- 6 are you interested.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: And we saw an
- 8 opportunity.
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: They contacted
- 10 Collingwood and asked are you interested?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe so.
- 12 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And on
- 13 your side, you saw an opportunity --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 15 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- to increase
- 16 the number of -- of customers --
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 18 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- and to grow
- 19 Collus?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And you thought
- 22 that was a good idea?
- MR. TIM FRYER: We did.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And I
- 25 take it that Clearview did not put out an RFP.

```
1 MR. TIM FRYER: My recollection, two
```

- 2 (2) transactions were different. Clearview turned out
- 3 to be a purchase of the Utility, and I don't believe
- 4 many -- any employees were involved, but I -- I can't
- 5 say that for certain, so I shouldn't speculate, but I
- 6 think there were two (2) types of different
- 7 transactions, but the thing I did -- that does stand
- 8 out in my mind about the Clearview one (1) is, I can
- 9 remember Mayor Geddes and Town Council at the time,
- 10 when we approached them about Clearview and got the
- 11 authorization to approach Clearview with -- with an
- 12 intent to express interest, that the -- we explained
- 13 to Town Council that Clearview knew one (1) of its
- 14 options was to -- to sell to Hydro One, and they were
- 15 not eager to do that.
- So the premise that they were putting
- 17 forward, that our understanding was and we put to
- 18 Council about, was that they didn't want us to turn
- 19 around and sell to Hydro One afterwards. I think it
- 20 was meant to mean somewhat short term, but -- but it
- 21 is something that -- that was conveyed.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And I'll -- I'll
- 23 take that as an answer to a different question that I
- 24 haven't yet asked.
- MR. TIM FRYER: All right.

- 1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: The question
- 2 was: Did Clearview put out an RFP? I take it the
- 3 answer is "no."
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't recall, so I
- 5 can't say for certain.
- 6 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: So I -- I think
- 7 what you said is that Clearview approached
- 8 Collingwood. Isn't that right?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: And -- and I don't
- 10 know if they did an RFP though.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And you don't
- 12 have any information that -- that they did?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 14 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Nor Thornbury?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 16 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And was it
- 17 explained to you by anybody, including Clearview, why
- 18 Clearview was not interested in selling to Hydro One?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: They were looking at
- 20 long-term rate implications.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Such as?
- MR. TIM FRYER: They felt that the
- 23 Hydro One rates were higher and they didn't want their
- 24 customers paying those rates if they -- if that could
- 25 be avoided. I guess that's all I can say for certain.

1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: That's fine, all

- 2 right.
- Now, I want to go onto the next thing.
- 4 You were asked a number of questions about shared
- 5 services, and -- do you that this morning?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And you
- 8 said that shared services were a low or low cost and
- 9 beneficial to both the ratepayers and the Town.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe they were,
- 11 yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I'm just
- 13 reviewing what you said this morning. I just want to
- 14 refresh your memory. That's what you said?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I did.
- 16 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And the Collus --
- 17 Collus and the Town wanted a strategic partnership to
- 18 enhance the provision of -- of shared services?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Certainly not to lose
- 20 any of the advantages we had, yes.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And that would
- 22 entail a strategic partner providing such services to
- 23 Collus?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: That was an
- 25 expectation of the partnership, yes.

- 1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And --
- 2 and could I please have Foundation Document paragraph
- 3 293 brought up -- 293?

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And 293 of course
- 8 has, and we've seen this many times, the evaluation
- 9 criteria. And if we can go -- scroll down, please a
- 10 little bit. Under the second 30 percent one (1) we
- 11 see one (1) of the two (2) bullet items is "Provision
- 12 for strategic and specialized resources."
- Do we see that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And that's really
- 16 what we're talking about here, correct?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 18 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And so that was
- 19 an important component of a 30 percent component,
- 20 right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and you
- 23 said at one (1) -- in your evidence, you said:
- 24 "The likelihood was that Hydro One
- 25 wouldn't provide the shared services

- 1 back to Power."
- 2 Remember saying that this morning?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Not specifically but I
- 4 definitely believe you're telling me --
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Well, I remember
- 6 you saying it because I wrote it down. And when you
- 7 said Power, I take it you were talking about Collus
- 8 Power.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah. Can you read
- 10 that to me again?
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Sure. Quote:
- 12 "The likelihood was that Hydro One
- wouldn't provide the shared services
- 14 back to Power."
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay, yes. I did -- I
- 16 do recall saying that, and my premise was that I
- 17 didn't expect that we would be doing something like
- 18 billing --
- 19 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And -
- 20 and --
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: -- through Hydro One.
- 22 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- just -- just
- 23 so you know, my -- my second job is as a court
- 24 reporter. Okay, so -- and -- and what was the source
- 25 of that expectation that you didn't think Hydro One

- 1 would do that?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: When I made the
- 3 statement, I guess I was just looking at it from my
- 4 experience, so to speak.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: That -- that's
- 6 fine. I understand. And -- and you had a lot of
- 7 experience. What was that experience with Hydro One
- 8 that made you think that?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I wouldn't see us
- 10 using their billing services, I guess, is the way I
- 11 was looking at it.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Why?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: I guess just kind of
- 14 thinking along the lines of more about the customers
- 15 becoming part of a billing system that handled
- 16 millions of -- a million customers plus at the time, I
- 17 quess, when I was -- was making that comment.
- 18 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Were you
- 19 concerned about Collingwood's fifteen thousand
- 20 (15,000) approximately customers getting lost in Hydro
- 21 One?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: And I would -- I would
- 23 say yes, that would be part of it, yes.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay. Now I want
- 25 to go onto something else. You were asked this

1 morning many questions about the valuation that -- of

- 2 Collus Power that was being done in the spring of
- 3 2011?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And about the
- 6 possibility of the sale of all or part of Collus?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 8 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Do you remember
- 9 that at one (1) point, you said, Every time there was
- 10 a new Council, there was the possibility of something
- 11 being done about Collus, in response to that question?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 13 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And I take it
- 14 what you mean is that every time there was a new
- 15 Council, every -- what it was back then, every --
- 16 every four (4) years, that possibility of something
- 17 like a sale of all or part of Collus came up.
- Is that what you're saying?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Or certainly we knew
- 20 it would be a possibility that it would come up.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And -
- 22 and I take it, this is going back many elections
- 23 over some decades, perhaps even. Is that right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. So --
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And -

- 1 and did new Councils discuss that, to your
- 2 knowledge, that possibility over the years?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Not to any significant
- 4 degree.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: But there was
- 6 some discussion, I take it?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I am sure that
- 8 there would have been, yes.
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay. And so I
- 10 take it, then, that in the spring of 2011, the
- 11 prospect of a -- the prospect -- the possibility of a
- 12 sale of all or part of Collus shares did not come as
- 13 any thunderbolt to you. It wasn't a huge surprise
- 14 because of what had been going on and the discussions
- 15 previously.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Well --
- 17 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Is that fair?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: -- the valuation was
- 19 in the spring, and that wasn't a surprise, because
- 20 certainly, the shareholder can ask us to tell them
- 21 what the value of their utility is.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And --
- 23 and a -- a valuation is -- is -- it really not only
- 24 does prudent but really necessary before you consider
- 25 and enter into any kind of transaction to sell all or

- 1 part of shares, or do a merger, or an acquisition, or
- 2 anything significant, right?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: With a third party
- 4 giving you the -- the information, yes --
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: -- because their
- 7 objective.
- 8 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And, you
- 9 know, we've seen some of the documentation, a draft
- 10 letter about -- or -- or a draft memo about reducing
- 11 costs within the Town?
- 12 You've seen that, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 14 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And I
- 15 -- I take it you -- well, you were a voter in
- 16 Collingwood, right?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm. Yes.
- 18 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Yes? And so
- 19 therefore you paid attention, I take it, to the
- 20 various platforms of the politicians in the October
- 21 2010 municipal election?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 24 Sandra Cooper in particular, who was elected mayor,
- 25 and who had been on Council for many years ran partly

- 1 on a -- a platform of cutting costs, and trying to get
- 2 the financial house in order.
- Is that a fair way to put it?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: She would have, yes.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Well, she -- not
- 6 only she would have, she did?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Yes. And -- and
- 9 you -- you don't need a valuation of Collus to figure
- 10 out a way of cutting costs, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And so --
- 13 all right, fair enough. We'll leave that point, then.
- 14 Now next, talking about the sale of
- 15 some shares, part shares, you said this morning -- and
- 16 -- and I noted this, it was interesting -- you said
- 17 that in the past, there had been sales of 10 or 15
- 18 percent of the shares of LDCs.
- Do you remember saying that?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I did say that, and I
- 21 -- I am aware of -- of 10 percent ownership for some.
- 22 It may not have been a share sale. I'm -- I can't say
- 23 that for certain, but I'm not sure what other kind of
- 24 sale it could be, but I believe Westario was a utility
- 25 that had a 10 percent interest sold to Fortis.

- 1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Sold to?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Fortis.
- 3 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 4 when was that?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: And -- and now I'm
- 6 completely speculating, because I --
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Then don't.
- 8 Please -- please don't do that.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: -- so -- so I won't --
- 10 I won't do that.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: That's
- 12 not helpful if -- if you're completely speculating.
- 13 That -- that's just not helpful.
- 14
- 15 CONTINUED BY MR. MICHAEL WATSON:
- 16 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and are
- 17 there any other examples of a very small minority
- 18 shareholding like that of one (1) entity in an LDC
- 19 other than that one?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: The only one (1) that
- 21 comes to mind is Mississauga, when -- when OMERS -- it
- 22 wasn't OMERS. I believe Mississauga had a small
- 23 interest sale --
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay.
- MR. TIM FRYER: -- some years back.

- 1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I -- I take it,
- 2 therefore, apart from those two (2), the vast majority
- 3 -- the vast -- vast majority of transactions involving
- 4 LDCs, that -- that reduced the number from three
- 5 hundred (300) to approximately eighty (80) were
- 6 mergers and acquisitions of some form or other?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, they were.
- 8 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Because
- 9 otherwise, it -- it's nothing -- the sale of a few
- 10 shares is really nothing more than an investment in an
- 11 LDC, and the number of LDCs remains the same, right?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: And I would --
- 13 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Sorry, is that a
- 14 yes?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 17 sorry, but I -- if you want -- if you had something --
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: No, I -- I'm fine,
- 19 yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. Now
- 21 moving on, then. You -- with respect to the RFP, you
- 22 said, quoting, "I thought the RFP should be sent to
- 23 everyone."
- Do you remember saying that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: The offer should be

- 1 made to everyone, yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Well, what you
- 3 said is the RFP should be sent to everyone.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I said that, yes.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And -
- 6 and you then immediately said, "Well, to all
- 7 interested parties," right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: That's what you
- 10 said? All right. And the interested parties would be
- 11 possibly other LDCs within Ontario who might be
- 12 interested in doing some kind of strategic
- 13 partnership, or merger, or acquisition with Collus,
- 14 right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And you have to
- 17 figure out who those were, right, who the interested
- 18 parties might be?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: By approaching
- 21 them, yes?
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: Or make an all-out
- 23 offer.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right, but
- 25 the all-out offer would be to the -- everyone --

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah.
- 2 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- right. And
- 3 then --
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- but you
- 6 qualified by saying, Or to the interested parties.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah. And --
- 8 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 9 to figure out -- you'd have to figure out who the
- 10 interested parties were to figure out, you know, to
- 11 what address to put on the front of the RFP, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: And that's why said
- 13 that I couldn't really comment specifically, because I
- 14 hadn't developed any kind of complete idea about it.
- 15 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I understand.
- 16 But in order to identify interested parties -- and
- 17 KPMG had some discussion about this, to see whether
- 18 there was any interest out there, in -- to identify
- 19 them, you've got to go out and -- and talk to the, you
- 20 know, the potential suitors, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and there
- 23 would be some obvious ones that would be out there
- 24 that everybody in the LDC industry would know about,
- 25 right?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And certainly,
- 3 your next door neighbour in Barrie, PowerStream, would
- 4 be right at the top of the list as to potential
- 5 interested parties, right?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: And our immediate next
- 7 door neighbour, Wasaga Beach.
- 8 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right.
- 9 Wasaga Beach, I take it, was fairly small compared
- 10 with PowerStream?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Well, yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Very small, with
- 13 all due respect, fair enough?
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: A similar size to us,
- 15 yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 17 so it would be no surprise that if people were trying
- 18 to figure out who might be interested parties, that
- 19 those people would go to PowerStream, Horizon,
- 20 Veridian, and poss -- Hydro One, fair?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- definitely, yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And I'd like to
- 23 go to the financial evaluation that you were asked
- 24 about. And this CPS11306.

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Just while we're
- 4 getting that up, Mr. Fryer, we have heard evidence --
- 5 and I don't want to look at this just for the moment.
- 6 We've heard evidence that there was
- 7 some either suggestion or direction that the -- that
- 8 when -- when scoring, the various members of the -- of
- 9 the SPTT would be asked to give the maximum marks to
- 10 the top ranked bidder, and then lower marks to the
- 11 others.
- 12 So, for example, on the financial
- 13 component, the top one, because there was thirty (30)
- 14 -- thirty (30) points available, or 30 percent, would
- 15 be given thirty (30), and then the others would be
- 16 given lower amounts.
- Do you remember something about that?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: It was said that that
- 19 was a -- a directive, that we were supposed to start
- 20 at the top mark, but I don't recall that being the
- 21 case.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: When you say you
- 23 don't recall that being the case, are you saying you
- 24 don't recall that being a directive, or do you not --
- 25 or do you recall -- not recall it actually being done?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: I know it wasn't done.
- 2 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: You know it
- 3 wasn't done. I see.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and so let
- 6 -- let's just take a look and we want to get to the
- 7 financial ones, but just -- let's just look at the
- 8 first one (1) here, which was the provision of
- 9 strategic and specialized resources, and I believe
- 10 this is the first tab and we see the various names on
- 11 the left side, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Including you,
- 14 right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 16 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And of course, on
- 17 -- on -- on this one (1) the total of point value
- 18 under the criteria was 30 percent right?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 20 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And do you see
- 21 that -- that everybody except Mr. Garbutt, in fact,
- 22 gave 30 percent to PowerStream, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: So everybody
- 25 except Mr. Garbutt seems to have followed that

- 1 directive, right, including you?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: I wasn't following the
- 3 directive, I just gave him thirty (30) points because
- 4 I felt that that was what they deserved.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Oh, I see, yes.
- 6 And to be fair, you also gave 30 to Horizon, right?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I did, and I
- 8 think that proves it.
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Which was why I
- 10 wanted to -- I wanted to make sure it was proven.
- 11 Let's go down then, I believe, to the last one (1)
- 12 which is the financial one, if I have this right. All
- 13 right, yes. Okay.
- 14 So we now have the -- so this now is
- 15 various other totals. And do you remember that you
- 16 were asked this morning about whether you'd seen any
- 17 document having the individual breakdowns, that is,
- 18 the individual votes by the members of the SPTT on --
- 19 on these items?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I remember being asked
- 21 and I said I did not see it.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And we
- 23 haven't seen any document that shows that thus far.
- There were nine (9) members of the
- 25 SPTT?

```
1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
```

- 2 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and on
- 3 this one (1) the -- all -- all of these items -- these
- 4 are the so-called financial items which together
- 5 totalled thirty (30) --
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: -- points, right?
- 8 And -- and we see that Hydro One
- 9 received two hundred seventy (270) then for the
- 10 payment of up to 50 percent and the other
- 11 considerations, do you see that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I do.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And that top
- 14 line, that's the 30 percent financial, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay. And so,
- 17 therefore, summoning up my -- well, not quite calculus
- 18 but arithmetic, 9 times 30 equals 270, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, it does.
- 20 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: So therefore all
- 21 members of the SPTT obviously gave Hydro One thirty
- 22 (30) points, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And then we see
- 25 PowerStream at 243, do we see that?

```
1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, we do
```

- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And --
- 3 and again I don't know how this worked exactly, but it
- 4 so happens that when you divide 243 by 9 you get
- 5 exactly 27. Can we accept that arithmetic?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And therefore,
- 8 the members of the SPTT gave PowerStream 90 percent on
- 9 financial, 90 percent of what the members together
- 10 gave to Hydro One. Do you see that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: On average, yes.
- 12 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Yes. Well, and
- 13 therefore some might have been below, but therefor
- 14 some above?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Exactly. Three (3)
- 16 could have been 28, three (3) could have been 27,
- 17 three (3) could have been 26.
- 18 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: In -- indeed.
- 19 So when we talk about, you know, this
- 20 difference between Hydro One and PowerStream, when all
- 21 of the members of the SPTT did their own independent
- 22 reckonings and evaluations, they gave PowerStream 90
- 23 percent of what they gave Hydro One, right?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: On average, and even
- 25 if some had scored a little bit lower it couldn't have

- 1 been too much lower, because there's not enough room
- 2 there to -- to make up the difference.
- 3 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Exactly.
- 4 Let's then talk about a little bit
- 5 more. We love the shotgun buy sell. So, I want to
- 6 talk about a couple of things. One, first of all, is
- 7 the concept, and the second is the actual terms in the
- 8 shareholder agreement.
- 9 So a shotgun buy/sell is -- is a -- a
- 10 process in an agreement whereby one (1) party can make
- 11 an offer to the other party either to buy or sell the
- 12 other party's shares, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 14 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And the
- 15 shotgun nature of it is that the recipient of the
- 16 offer -- I -- and sorry, before I go there.
- 17 The offer or to either buy or sell
- 18 shares, has to name a price at which the offeror is
- 19 prepared either a) to sell or b) to purchase the
- 20 shares, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And the shotgun
- 23 nature of it is that the recipient has the choice
- 24 either to purchase or to sell the recipient's shares
- 25 at that price, right?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Price and whatever
- 2 other parameters that offeror wanted to put forward.
- 3 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: In -- in --
- 4 indeed, I -- of -- of course, but focussing just on
- 5 the price right now.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And what that
- 8 means is that the recipient of the offer can control
- 9 what ultimately ends up happening; that is, the
- 10 recipient ends up with the shares or the recipient
- 11 ends up selling its shares, right?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: Thus, it can be an
- 13 amiable arrangement.
- 14 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Well, you may --
- 15 okay, you can go into that -- I'm trying to get an
- 16 answer. That's the way it works, the way I it, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay, and the --
- 19 the purpose or one (1) of the essential purposes of
- 20 the shotgun buy sell is to make sure that the offeror
- 21 offers a fair price, right?
- 22 And you're nodding, is that right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And of course
- 25 that's the essential nature of it, isn't it?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Because if the
- 3 offeror offers to purchase or sell at too low a price,
- 4 the recipient will gobble that up and buy the shares
- 5 at that low price, right?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: You would expect so,
- 7 yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Of course. And
- 9 similarly, if the offeror offers to purchase or sell
- 10 at too high a price, the recipient can sell its shares
- 11 and get out at a high price right?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. And so the whole
- 13 idea of a shotgun buy sell is to get a fair price at
- 14 which either party may be prepared, fair market value,
- 15 for example, to buy or sell at that price. It's a
- 16 price levelling mechanism, right?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay, now at --
- 19 and -- and -- and so have you see the -- the shotgun
- 20 buy sell provisions actually in the shareholder
- 21 agreement?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, I have.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right, can we
- 24 please get that up, that is ALE3296, which is the
- 25 shareholder agreement.

- 1 And for some reason the shareholder
- 2 agreement starts at numbered page 4 at the bottom. I
- 3 think that this may actually have been a -- a schedule
- 4 to something else, but I want to go please to section
- 5 9.1, which I believe is around, I don't know, page 24
- 6 of -- yeah, of that one. Bring up page 24 of the --
- 7 of the document, of the PDF.
- 8 All right. So, it -- now, I take it
- 9 you haven't seen agreement that contains one (1) of
- 10 these that actually says shotgun buy/sell, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 12 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right, that's
- 13 a colloquial term to describe what's going on?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 15 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: So the wild west
- 16 term maybe we can call it here. But here it's the
- 17 buy/sell provisions, right?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: And -- and this is the
- 19 agreement and we -- we can see it, but this is the one
- 20 (1) that was actually signed.
- 21 And so 9.1 is the notice that is to --
- 22 that is to be given by one (1) of the parties to the
- 23 other, right?
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 25 don't mean to interrupt here, but if -- if you're just

- 1 taking the witness through the agreement to have the
- 2 witness confirm what we can all read, then I don't
- 3 know that that's terribly helpful. If there's some
- 4 other question you propose to put, then by all means,
- 5 go ahead.

- 7 CONTINUED BY MR. MICHAEL WATSON
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. Well,
- 9 what I wanted to get at is that buy sell agreements
- 10 work in different ways. And this one (1) actually was
- 11 an offer to either sell or buy as opposed to an offer
- 12 to do only one.
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: That's what it says.
- 14 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Yes, all right.
- So, what we -- what we see is in sub A,
- 16 subject of subparagraph B, et cetera, either of the
- 17 shareholders, and it's called the offer or shall be
- 18 entitled to give notice to the other shareholder or
- 19 the offeree, do we see that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right.
- 22 And of course there were only two (2)
- 23 shareholders, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Town and -- and

- 1 PowerStream at that time?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 3 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 4 the notice had to contain one (1) little line, the
- 5 price at which the offeror will purchase to sell each
- 6 share?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 8 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And to an
- 9 unconditional offer, irrevocable, et cetera. to
- 10 purchase all of the common shares, right, and three
- 11 (3), an unconditional offer or irrevocable, et cetera
- 12 to sell all of the shares, do you see that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 14 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And so the way
- 15 this buy sell worked is that an offer had to contain
- 16 an offer to do both, both to purchase and -- or to
- 17 sell at that price, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay, that's the
- 20 way this one (1) worked, and I -- I think that that's
- 21 all I need here.
- Now, the way then -- let's -- let's
- 23 suppose that the Town triggered the shotgun buy sell
- 24 by giving a buy sell notice, all right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.

1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And I

- 2 think you're aware of the fact that that's what
- 3 actually happened in November 2017, right?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm very familiar with
- 5 it, yes.
- 6 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And --
- 7 and PowerStream never exercised this power, did it?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: No. They -- they
- 9 could have.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Of course they
- 11 could have, but PowerStream didn't, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I agree, yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: It was the Town
- 14 that decided to do that, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 16 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Okay. So when
- 17 the Town made it's -- it's offer, it had to make an
- 18 offer at a specified price both to purchase or to
- 19 sell, right? Either one.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And PowerStream
- 22 then could choose what to do to accept the offer that
- 23 the Town would purchase the shares, or to accept the
- 24 offer that the Town sell its shares, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.

- 1 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And that is
- 2 within the power of the recipient of the offer, called
- 3 the offeree here to do, right?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And so when -- if
- 6 the Town were to make the offer, the Town then could
- 7 not control what actually ended up happening, it's up
- 8 to the recipient.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 10 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And the Town,
- 11 therefore, could avoid that possibility of not knowing
- 12 what would happen, simply by never exercising its
- 13 right and never making an offer, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 15 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Whereas, if
- 16 PowerStream had ever made the offer, the Town could've
- 17 chosen what to do, right?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And so the Town
- 20 had it within its power, under the shotgun buy sell,
- 21 not ever to incur the risk of having it's -- it's
- 22 shares sold out from under it, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Simply by not
- 25 making an offer?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 2 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: But it chose to
- 3 make an offer, right?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Thank you.
- I wanted to ask one (1) last thing, and
- 7 it had to do with something that -- you remember you
- 8 were asking questions of Mr. Erling yesterday?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 10 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: It had to do with
- 11 Mr. Bentz specifically, this is my last point. Could
- 12 I have the transcript brought up from yesterday,
- 13 please. Yesterday's transcript. And page 144. At
- 14 the bottom, starting at line 19.
- 15 And this is you questioning Mr. Erling
- 16 and do you remember you were questioning him about the
- 17 notes, Mr. Bentz's notes that are referred to in the
- 18 Foundation document?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 20 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. I'm
- 21 not going to go through all of this, but I just want
- 22 to orient you very quickly.
- Mr. Fryer, line 19:
- 24 "I would also suggest that another
- 25 estimation, example, and this was -- you remember

- 1 estimation of value?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 3 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right.
- 4 Comes from the meeting that happened
- 5 with Mr. Houghton and Mr. Brian Bentz back in December
- 6 2010, and it's listed in the -- in the document under
- 7 paragraph 112. Do you remember that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: I'd like to go
- 10 over, not the next page, because it's not interest --
- 11 not stuff I'm interested in, but the page after where
- 12 this continues.
- 13 All right, and so discussion about not
- 14 blaming anybody for not reaching the -- reading the
- 15 Foundation document, and then line 7. I don't blame
- 16 you.
- 17 So circling back to it again, if I
- 18 could bring up ALE4330, to our initial look at the
- 19 balance sheet, et cetera. And so we had spoken about
- 20 a rough figure of, say, -- sorry, do I have this
- 21 right? No, I don't. I don't.
- I'm terribly sorry, I need to go back
- 23 to the previous page 145. Thank you.
- 24 So this is Mr. Bentz's notes that he
- 25 had written out from the meeting.

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And if we just --
- 3 I'm sorry, I'm quoting, okay.
- 4 And if we just scroll down to see the
- 5 notes, I think that's probably pretty good because
- 6 it's the 20 million or actually just -- you know, et
- 7 cetera.
- 8 And then going -- scrolling down. So
- 9 from this meeting appears to be an opportunity through
- 10 professional familiarity to become informed about the
- 11 current circumstances, I worked with Brian Bentz close
- 12 to 30 years ago, we can skip down a little bit more.
- 13 You ask whether he is familiar with
- 14 him, yes, and then line 20. Thank you. So, as CEO of
- 15 PowerStream, which could be described as an acquirer -
- 16 acquirer in the LDC market. He gave a quick opinion
- 17 with a range that he thought Collus would probably be
- 18 -- his note says back of envelope 20, 25 million less
- 19 debt. Do you see that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: 15, 20 million.
- 21 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: 15, I'm sorry,
- 22 yes. That's what you put to -- to Mr. Erling?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And I take it
- 25 your supposition was that the notation on Mr. Bentz's

- 1 notes were -- constituted his estimate of value, that
- 2 was your supposition?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I was saying that,
- 4 yes.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. Could
- 6 we please then go to FD, paragraph 112. It's on page
- 7 45.
- 8 And I'm going to tell you to be fair
- 9 and this -- it hasn't -- hasn't come out yet, but
- 10 there will be evidence, I expect, and I expect it will
- 11 come from Mr. Bentz, that these notes were actually
- 12 made in April of 2011 and they were made in
- 13 preparation for a PowerStream Board meeting at which
- 14 he was explaining the background of all of this.
- 15 including everything that happened up to that date,
- 16 starting with the call -- the call from Mr. Houghton
- 17 back at the end of November of 20 -- of 2010.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 19 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And so if we can
- 20 just go down -- yes, all right. And -- and we see Mr.
- 21 Bentz's notes included his description of discussions:
- 22 "Also in his role as executive
- 23 director of the Town of Collingwood,
- 24 basically runs municipal debt there,
- 25 has a lot of clout."

- 1 And then going down:
- 2 "Talked about fiscal situation in
- 3 Collingwood, 20 million in debt.
- 4 Last Council spent a lot and got
- 5 thrown out."
- Now, these -- these are notes of the
- 7 discussion that he had with Mr. Houghton on December
- 8 3rd. I take it you agree this sounds as though this
- 9 is what Mr. Houghton is saying to Mr. Bentz about the
- 10 fiscal situation in Collingwood, his home
- 11 municipality?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, he is, yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 14 then the next one (1):
- "Exploring path of what to do at
- 16 LDC. Preliminary discussion at
- 17 Collus Board at Town to look at
- 18 options."
- 19 This again looks like it's very much
- 20 Mr. Houghton telling Mr. Bentz what's going on?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: "RFP with
- 23 multiple bidders," what he was thinking at that point?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And then

- 1 sorry, that -- that one (1) from the telephone call,
- 2 "Then had breakfast with him shortly thereafter," and
- 3 there's evidence about that.
- 4 And then going down:
- 5 "Talked about process and value
- f range. Said back of envelope 15 to
- 7 20 million on enterprise value less
- 8 debt."
- 9 Now, before I put that same proposition
- 10 to you, Mr. Houghton was CEO of Collus, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 12 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And he had access
- 13 to all of the financial information, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Right. And, of
- 16 course, all of that financial information was within
- 17 Collus, which was an ABCA corporation, right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: It was not what
- 20 we call a reporting issuer or a public corporation?
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 22 don't know if the witness can -- it -- it isn't, is
- 23 it?
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Well, no, no,
- 25 it's not. And --

1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All

- 2 right. So, then it isn't.
- MR. TIM FRYER: No reports to the OEB,
- 4 yeah.
- 5 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And that's what
- 6 I'm asking the witness, Your Honour. All right.

- 8 CONTINUED BY MR. MICHAEL WATSON:
- 9 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: And -- and so --
- 10 and so that information would not be public?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Which information,
- 12 sorry?
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Yes, the -- the
- 14 financial information, Enterprise Value and all the
- 15 numbers that would go into that.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- I would think
- 17 that it would be considered public because you're
- 18 putting your financial statements to -- to the OEB and
- 19 those are publically displayed.
- MR. MICHAEL WATSON: This is certainly
- 21 something that Mr. Houghton would know intimately,
- 22 right?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Certainly.
- 24 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: All right. And
- 25 is -- can -- can you see, therefore, that maybe your

- 1 supposition was incorrect, that this may very well
- 2 have been a statement made by Mr. Houghton rather than
- 3 by Mr. Bentz?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: It's very possible,
- 5 yes.
- 6 MR. MICHAEL WATSON: Those are my
- 7 questions, Your Honour.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 9 Marron...?
- 10 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Yes, thanks, Your
- 11 Honour.

- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GEORGE MARRON:
- 14 MR. GEORGE MARRON: This is one (1) of
- 15 my first forays into the electronic world, at least in
- 16 a hearing. I just wanted to refer to document number
- 17 CPS0007 triple -- or sor -- 70070001. It's the
- 18 minutes of the Board of Directors of Collus Power
- 19 meeting of Friday June the 10th at 9:08.
- It indicates that you were present, Mr.
- 21 Fryer. It's the point in time when, on the second
- 22 page, it indicates that Mr. Houghton appeared with a
- 23 solar roof ventilation unit and made a bit of a pitch,
- 24 I take it, to the Collus Board about the unit.
- 25 And he also indicated that he intended

- 1 to propose a project and that he would be assisted by
- 2 Mr. McAllister and report back to the Board. It
- 3 indicates then that my client, Mayor Cooper, left the
- 4 meeting?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 6 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay. And then it
- 7 goes on on page 3 to indicate the Board made a
- 8 decision to move forward with the initiative?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 10 MR. GEORGE MARRON: All right. And it
- 11 states the reason as showing that the Company would be
- 12 considered perhaps as a leader with a positive message
- 13 for the utility and Council to get the message out
- 14 regarding conservation?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 16 MR. GEORGE MARRON: And the Board
- 17 concluded its meeting. Okay. So, she wasn't present
- 18 then when the Board made the resolution or the
- 19 agreement to support this project and move forward
- 20 with it?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. According to the
- 22 minutes, yes.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Thank you. The --
- 24 just so I understand, you're -- you're listed in the
- 25 Foundation Documents and a lot of the material that

- 1 I've read as being a member of the Strategic
- 2 Partnership Task Team?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 4 MR. GEORGE MARRON: And -- and you're
- 5 making a distinction. You're -- you're putting
- 6 yourself in the position that the KPMG personnel, two
- 7 (2) of them, where, in other words, they were -- they
- 8 didn't participate by way of vote or a show of hands
- 9 or -- indicating their appraisal or -- of the process
- 10 that was being presented?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe what I was
- 12 conveying was, of the nine (9) members, I considered
- 13 the three (3) Board members, Mr. McFadden, Mr.
- 14 Muntester (sic), and --
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Right.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: -- and Mr. Garbutt, to
- 17 -- to be what I was considering voting members as well
- 18 as the mayor and the deputy mayor. And then the other
- 19 four (4) members, myself, Mr. Houghton, Ms. Wingrove,
- 20 and Mr. Herhalt, from KPMG, I considered them in my
- 21 concept as being resources with providing input, but
- 22 the decision makers were the other five (5).
- 23 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well -- well, that
- 24 -- well, that's comes as a bit of a surprise to me
- 25 because we've heard from Wingrove. She indicated she

- 1 was a member of the Strategic Partnership Task Team
- 2 and she voted on -- and, in fact, she was taken
- 3 through her evidence in reference to how she assessed
- 4 certain aspects of the RP -- RPF.
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: And definitely all
- 6 nine (9) of us had the same -- same process and the
- 7 same vote in regards to the scoring of the RFP.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay. So -- so,
- 9 what you're saying, in effect, as I -- just -- just so
- 10 that I understand this, is you were there. You were
- 11 giving -- as you indicated, as a resource, you were
- 12 giving some opinions.
- I take it that you were giving those
- 14 opinions if -- if they were being sought by members of
- 15 the -- of the task team?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 17 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay. But that,
- 18 at the end of the day, when it came time to tally or
- 19 to vote, that you also voted?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: We all did --
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay.
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: -- equally.
- 23 MR. GEORGE MARRON: And there were
- 24 nine (9) members then?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, there were.

- 1 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Now, you made a --
- 2 you made a point and indicated that 50 percent or more
- 3 would be losing control. I understand more, I mean,
- 4 if it's 51 percent, obviously, it's 51/49, so it's a
- 5 control position.
- 6 But -- but I didn't quite comprehend
- 7 when you said that 50 percent -- that if I sold you 50
- 8 percent of something, half of something, I'd be losing
- 9 control.
- 10 What -- what I'd be doing would be
- 11 creating a different entity. It'd be taking something
- 12 that I possessed and controlled and I'd be turning it
- 13 into a different entity whereby I would -- would not
- 14 lose control, but I'd have to share control?
- MR. TIM FRYER: And I'm simply of the
- 16 point of view that 50 percent does run the risk of
- 17 losing control, yes.
- 18 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, I guess
- 19 that's the area that I'm having a little bit of
- 20 difficulty in. I -- I'm seeing a different situation
- 21 being created, not that it would mean losing control,
- 22 but it would be sharing control?
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: And I'm understanding
- 24 what you see. And I'm just explaining what I see.
- 25 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Yeah. So, it's

- 1 more a question of perspective?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm sorry?
- 3 MR. GEORGE MARRON: It's a question of
- 4 perspective then?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: I would say so, yes.
- 6 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Like, the glass is
- 7 half full or the glass is...
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Precisely.
- 9 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay. All right.
- 10 Half empty, okay. The -- the other area I have is
- 11 concerning this timing of the approach that you made
- 12 to Brian Bentz. I mean, you -- you're an executive
- 13 with the Collus group of companies.
- 14 You had an email communication with him
- 15 on the 15th of September 2011 referring to a potential
- 16 golf game on the 23rd of September?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: I think the 19th. Was
- 18 it -- was it the 23rd?
- 19 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well -- well, the
- 20 -- the 15th was a Thursday and the game was proposed
- 21 for the Tuesday, so I --
- MR. TIM FRYER: The 19th, I think.
- 23 MR. GEORGE MARRON: -- so I did what I
- 24 thought proper advance of -- of the numbers, but it
- 25 could be stand -- I guess I could stand --

```
1 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe it was the
```

- 2 19th because I believe that was the day that they --
- 3 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: -- were going to be
- 5 here to give --
- 6 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, in any event
- 7 --
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: -- their presentation.
- 9 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Yeah. Okay.
- 10 Well, in any event, it's a point in time after August
- 11 the 3rd, which was the first meeting of the Strategic
- 12 Partnership Task Team?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 14 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay. And, I
- 15 mean, at that point, you would have known that
- 16 PowerStream was interested in making a bid?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. They were --
- 18 they were going to provide an expression of interest
- 19 proposal at the -- to Council that same day.
- 20 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well -- well, did
- 21 you have any concerns about the optics of this? I
- 22 mean, you're --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Not at the time, no.
- 24 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, have you any
- 25 concerns at this point in time --

```
1 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
```

- 2 MR. GEORGE MARRON: -- how it would
- 3 look?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 5 MR. GEORGE MARRON: And here -- here's
- 6 somebody who's head of -- well, let's just -- here --
- 7 here's an individual, Brian Bentz, who basically is in
- 8 charge of PowerStream and -- and the executive branch,
- 9 the Board. You're with Collus. And it's Collus
- 10 that's interested in selling at least a portion of the
- 11 business.
- Two (2) of you are meeting, and this is
- 13 in September, at a point in time when there were
- 14 active steps being taken to assess who might
- 15 eventually end up being the winning proponent of 50
- 16 percent of Collus?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 18 MR. GEORGE MARRON: It didn't cause
- 19 any concern?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: Not at the time, no.
- 21 MR. GEORGE MARRON: What do you mean,
- 22 "not at the time"? You mean, it does now?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 24 MR. GEORGE MARRON: So, it would -- it
- 25 didn't cause any concern at the time because you

- 1 didn't think about it?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Sorry?
- 3 MR. GEORGE MARRON: You didn't
- 4 consider it at the time?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: At the time, I did not
- 6 consider it, no.
- 7 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, would you
- 8 agree with me that it might cause some concerns given
- 9 the optics of it?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 11 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Can you explain
- 12 that, why -- why that would be?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: Because when I read
- 14 through it, it simply is a social invite, and it was
- 15 from a previous discussion, so.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, no, I
- 17 appreciate that, but -- and you indicated you had
- 18 known him or met him a long time ago. But this -- he
- 19 wasn't somebody that you saw in a regular way?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: So, that's --
- 22 that's what causes what I suggest as some concern, as
- 23 that suddenly there's a golf game in the offing and
- 24 it's September 2011 and people are lining up to make
- 25 bids for Collus?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: And I didn't.
- 2 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Pardon?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: I said I didn't have a
- 4 concern with it.
- 5 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, did Mr.
- 6 Bentz have any concern? Did he voice any concern to
- 7 you?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: No. And there wasn't
- 9 any more communication after that point.
- 10 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, is that just
- 11 because there was no communication or because there
- 12 was some sort of concern?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No. No, no concern.
- 14 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Or some thought --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Just there was no
- 16 communication.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, or some
- 18 thought that it might be inappropriate?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: There would be no
- 20 communication before that and no communication after
- 21 that.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: All right. Thank

- 1 you. Those are my questions.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 3 Bonwick?
- 4 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Oh, just -- could
- 5 -- could I just -- just one (1) matter. I -- I --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.
- 7 MR. GEORGE MARRON: I missed a point
- 8 here.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go
- 10 ahead.
- 11
- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. GEORGE MARRON:
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: I mean, you
- 14 indicated to us that you gave some consideration to
- 15 Hydro One. And, you know, you -- you appraised them
- 16 at the highest point with the dollar figure. I mean,
- 17 that was pretty straightforward. There it was.
- 18 And -- but you indicated that you had
- 19 concerns with Hydro One because of the personnel
- 20 situation, the bureaucracy that they perhaps
- 21 presented. They were a large group and might make for
- 22 some significant changes to the operation here in
- 23 Collingwood, of Collus?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: And that's why I
- 25 scored them a 10 on the partnership part of things.

- 1 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Right. And -- and
- 2 scored them a lot less on the other matters?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 4 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Right. And -- and
- 5 this was something -- Okay. So, this -- this scoring
- 6 hadn't occurred at the point in time of the proposed
- 7 golf game in September of 2011? You hadn't scored
- 8 Hydro One at that point?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: No. The RFPs hadn't
- 10 gone out until October.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Yeah. Well,
- 12 that's -- yeah. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Your
- 13 Honour.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 15 Bonwick...?
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you, Your
- 17 Honour. I likely have a half an hour worth of --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Sure,
- 19 go ahead.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Do you want to do
- 21 it now or would you like to -- it's up to you
- 22 entirely, or we could take a break, it's up to you.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 24 no. I -- I think, as everybody -- we'll just carry
- 25 on.

1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay. Yeah, that's

2 great with me.

- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you for the
- 6 time, Mr. Fryer. I'm just going to address one (1)
- 7 matter here before I move into the rest of it. And I
- 8 think I'm going to take the exact opposite approach
- 9 that Mr. Marron took in the -- in the last reign of
- 10 questioning, taking a walk back in time, in 2011.
- 11 You identified the fact that you had a
- 12 working professional relationship with Mr. Bentz over
- 13 some extended period of time going back thirty (30)
- 14 years?
- MR. TIM FRYER: We were definitely
- 16 professionally involved over that period of time.
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. You're both
- 18 what I would refer to as senior executives within
- 19 neighbouring LDCs?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so I would
- 22 submit the exact opposite premise and say, to me --
- 23 and I'm asking your opinion -- would it not only make
- 24 sense that if you had a colleague visiting the area
- 25 from a neighbouring LDC that you might extend an

- 1 invitation for lunch, a phone call, golf, something
- 2 where -- especially considering your past?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: In this particular
- 4 case, it was because of our past social relationship.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Fair enough. And
- 6 in fact, you have relationships, I assume, with people
- 7 from Veridian and -- I can't name all the LDCs within
- 8 the region but a lot of --
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Definitely --
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- longstanding
- 11 relationships --
- MR. TIM FRYER: -- definitely, yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- considering your
- 14 history with the -- within the LDC sector.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it fair to say
- 17 that if they were coming in for some particular reason
- 18 and it was somebody you had a longstanding
- 19 relationship, you might extend the same invitation?
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: Very possibly.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I think that seems
- 22 reasonable in my perspective, not that I'm the Judge.
- I want to address a couple of matters
- 24 just from a housekeeping perspective, and there seems
- 25 to be some confusion as it relates to dividends and

- 1 benefits.
- 2 And so as a person that's worked in the
- 3 financial world most of his life -- and I say that on
- 4 the accounting -- on the accountant side of things --
- 5 would you agree that, for example, if you owned
- 6 CIBC -- the building CIBC was in, and CIBC was paying
- 7 rent, and in the same breath, you were a shareholder
- 8 within CIBC.
- 9 Would you agree that the two (2) are
- 10 completely unlinked?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I'm not sure I'm
- 12 following your question.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: So if the Bank of
- 14 Commerce was your tenant hypothetically speaking --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- and they had to
- 17 pay you rent because you owned the building --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- does that have
- 20 anything to do with the fact that you might
- 21 hypothetically own shares in the bank, and they pay a
- 22 dividend at the end of the year?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I quess I'd say no.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And getting at, of
- 25 course, is there two (2) -- in the accounting world,

- 1 they are two (2) very separate and distinct things.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm. Okay.
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Rents, being one
- 4 (1) thing.
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Respectfully, if
- 7 you had a different tenant in there that was
- 8 publically traded or had shares -- it was a
- 9 corporation --
- MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- you own the
- 12 building, and they paid you rent. You lent them
- 13 money, and they paid you interest on the loan.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: That is a
- 16 completely separate calculation as it relates to
- 17 getting a dividend based on the shares you might have
- 18 on that company.
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: It would be a
- 20 different accounting, yes.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And certainly, it
- 22 would be reasonable for the person that owns the
- 23 building and lent the money and has some share
- 24 interest in it to separate those things and say, I'm
- 25 not getting a dividend because I'm getting the rent.

- 1 I'm getting the rent because I own the building.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'd like to spend a
- 4 little bit of time on this -- use of this word
- 5 "shotgun clause." And to Michael's point, it's one
- 6 (1) that I very rarely hear within the business
- 7 circles. I've seen many, many dozens of partnership
- 8 agreements in my life, both public and public-private,
- 9 and I can't recall ever seeing one.
- 10 And I'm interested in your opinion on
- 11 this where there's not a buy-sell provision. Can you
- 12 imagine a scenario -- and I'm -- where, in fact, you
- 13 entered into an agreement with PowerStream that you
- 14 had no option for exit?
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: When I made the
- 16 suggestion about shotgun, it was because I felt that
- 17 that needed to be built into the agreement, the exit
- 18 strategy.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: The actual language
- 20 used within all legal documents -- certainly that I've
- 21 ever seen -- is a buy-sell provision when you get
- 22 contractually obligated -- not shotgun -- but I
- 23 understand where you're going.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: It was a -- it was a
- 25 layman's -- yes.

1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. Within

- 2 the context of "shotgun," would you agree that comes
- 3 into play when a situation has unfolded whereby one
- 4 (1) partner, for whatever reasons, does not want to be
- 5 partners with the other?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Most definitely that
- 7 would be the premise, yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. Can you
- 9 imagine a scenario -- you're familiar with Alectra
- 10 obviously and formerly PowerStream?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 12 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You're familiar
- 13 with its ownership makeup?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: The fact that its
- 16 Board of Directors hosts several regional, somewhat
- 17 big city mayors, people from the public at large, it's
- 18 quite a diverse Board?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Most definitely.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would you agree
- 21 that it operates, certainly based on your assessment,
- 22 at the highest ethical standards?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I would expect so.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Same applies for
- 25 Mr. Bentz?

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Most certainly.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so is it
- 3 reasonable to think that it would be a challenge to
- 4 find a scenario where a publicly-traded LDC that has
- 5 become a partner with you would exercise that clause
- 6 under any scenario under -- other than when it's
- 7 really become an unworkable situation?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't say anything
- 9 for certain because it would be based on the
- 10 circumstances.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. Does
- 12 that seem reasonable though?
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: It's -- it's
- 14 reasonable that Alectra would not be concerned with
- 15 exercising the --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: They're not in the
- 17 business of trying to create partnerships. Would you
- 18 agree with that, whereby they're getting past their
- 19 two (2) year birthday and then snapping up the LDC and
- 20 moving somebody aside?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: The only thing I can
- 22 say to that, Mr. Bonwick, is that the terms were
- 23 worked out with PowerStream, and then PowerStream
- 24 became Alectra. So it's difficult for me to talk
- 25 about Alectra in that -- at that point in time that we

- 1 were working on -- with PowerStream.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And I think that's
- 3 quite fair. But realistically, you have 30-some years
- 4 experience within the industry. You work next door
- 5 with -- next door to Alectra, and so you can certainly
- 6 have some opinions on it, and that's all I was trying
- 7 to get at.
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Alectra's an acquirer
- 9 of utilities, so.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: There was some
- 11 discussion related to the partnership. And,
- 12 Mr. Fryer, when you said 50 percent or more is losing
- 13 control is your position, I took some time, and I just
- 14 kind of googled it.
- The actual legal definition of a
- 16 minority shareholder whereby you're losing control:
- 17 "A shareholder who owns less than
- 18 50 percent of shares of a
- 19 corporation or stock is considered a
- 20 minority shareholder."
- 21 Would you agree that's when you lose
- 22 control?
- MR. TIM FRYER: That's why I said
- 24 "may" when I said 50. It may put you in a situation
- 25 of not being in control.

1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And if I could call

- 2 up the document Michael -- or sorry, no. I'll go to
- 3 this one (1) here -- shotgun. ALE0002782, page 43.
- 4 Top of that page, please.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It's
- 9 got a nine (9) or a ten (10). It almost looks like
- 10 maybe it might be the other direction.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: All right.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 13 no. Try going up.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Up a little bit,
- 15 please. Sorry. Provisions down one. Down, down,
- 16 down just a little bit. I'm hoping I haven't given
- 17 you the wrong file. "Arbitration procedures." There
- 18 it is right there at the bottom of 43.

- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So I would submit,
- 22 based on the definition that I just read to you, in
- 23 fact Collingwood or Collus nor Alectra was neither in
- 24 a majority or a minority situation by way of the
- 25 buy-sell provision. Both had control over the

- 1 eventual sale or disposition of the shares.
- Is that reasonable?
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, they did.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But in terms of
- 5 there's been some reflection over the course of the
- 6 last few days about Alectra being the size that it is
- 7 versus Collus being the size that it was and sort of
- 8 Alectra being the elephant in the room, so to speak.
- 9 But I'll refer you to 8.2 arbitration
- 10 procedures. There is an -- and you can scroll down a
- 11 little bit there, please -- there is an extensive
- 12 reference to various arbitration procedures that would
- 13 come into play should the competing interests or
- 14 should there be a disagreement between shareholders
- 15 far before you ever have to exercise a buy-sell
- 16 provision.
- 17 And I think you can see that there's
- 18 various forms of arbitration. There's legal
- 19 proceedings that would be involved. There's a series
- 20 of steps, in other words, that would lead up to --
- 21 should you have a disagreement with the larger player
- 22 that provides protections for the smaller participant.
- 23 Would you agree with that?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: If they choose to
- 25 follow these, yes.

- 1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: If they choose to
- 2 follow those. Thanks. And what I'm trying to get at,
- 3 Mr. Fryer, is just simply, it really didn't matter
- 4 that one (1) is much larger than the other. There is
- 5 a schedule in here that protects both parties as it
- 6 relates to arbitration if you've come to a matter that
- 7 you can't resolve with in the Board.
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: If you choose to go
- 9 through the dispute process, yes.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. You had
- 11 the opportunity to provide some testimony and some
- 12 cross-examination to KPMG yesterday.
- 13 If I understood your proof correctly --
- 14 and I want to make sure that I did -- you appear to
- 15 hold KPMG in high regard, as well as -- and I don't
- 16 know the names of the three people that were working
- 17 there. I apologize. But you appeared to hold them in
- 18 high regard.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Certainly.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You recognize the
- 21 services that KPMG has provided in the past, not only
- 22 to you but in terms of being a provincial global
- 23 player?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 25 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so would it

- 1 seem -- it would seem reasonable, based on that level
- 2 of confidence, that you would have -- and that level
- 3 of respect that you would have some level of
- 4 confidence in terms of how their -- and I'll use your
- 5 language -- trying to create a scenario where we're
- 6 comparing apples to apples in the financial section of
- 7 the assessment.
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so they were
- 10 charged with that responsibility. You had confidence
- 11 in them, you recognize all their abilities, and they
- 12 presented you with apples to apples comparables.
- 13 MR. TIM FRYER: I felt they had, yes.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: If I could go to
- 15 FD001.4.1. I'll get the language right.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

18

- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I apologize. I'm
- 20 thinking that is the scoring criteria. It's
- 21 CPS0006920.

22

23 (BRIEF PAUSE)

24

MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. You've

1 walked through it -- just -- whoops -- you've walked

- 2 through it just a few moments ago with Michael
- 3 representing PowerStream -- some of your scoring.
- I took the liberty over lunch of
- 5 scoring out the points you've allocated for
- 6 PowerStream.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And in the overall
- 9 scoring, you scored PowerStream as -- if you could
- 10 scroll down -- I believe it was a total of sixty-two
- 11 (62) points for the non-financial portion.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And I reference
- 14 that the sixty-two (62) points is actually the lowest
- 15 score out of the other eight (8) participants --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- allotted to
- 18 PowerStream.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I also took the
- 21 scoring calculation for you on Hydro.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And if -- you're
- 24 welcome to take a count there -- but I scored you at
- 25 26 on Hydro. Would you accept that?

1 MR. TIM FRYER: I -- it would have

- 2 been around that, yes.
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So you scored
- 4 PowerStream in the top end of the criteria for the
- 5 non-financially related matters.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You scored
- 8 Hydro One the lowest in the non-financially related
- 9 matters.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so I would
- 12 submit or would you agree that if you gave Hydro One
- 13 the thirty (30) points, that would take you to fifty-
- 14 six (56) points?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Thirty (30) points for
- 16 what?
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: The financial
- 18 scoring --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, yes.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: It was my
- 21 understanding it was a financial scoring. I apologize
- 22 for not being clear on that.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You gave Hydro One
- 25 twenty-six (26) points on the non-financial scoring.

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: It totals fifty-six
- 3 (56) points.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Going back to the
- 6 level of confidence that you've demonstrated in KPMG,
- 7 KPMG, as you recall from the screen earlier today,
- 8 scored -- in the apples and apples group parable --
- 9 scored Hydro One, for argument's sake, about \$900,000
- 10 higher than the second closest bid which was
- 11 PowerStream. Do you recall that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: So not necessarily
- 13 "scored," but showed us that the total value. That is
- 14 what you said.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thanks very much
- 16 for using that language because you're using better
- 17 language than I am. I would agree, so I'll rephrase
- 18 it. They showed you in an apples to apples comparison
- 19 that Hydro One was approximately \$900,000 higher than
- 20 the second place bid which was PowerStream.
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: That's what I recall,
- 22 yes.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so, is it
- 24 reasonable to assume that PowerStream may have got
- 25 half the points that you would have allocated to Hydro

- 1 One, being the second place finish?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Hydro One got thirty
- 3 (30) points --
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. But I can't
- 5 see your financial score, sorry.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: But -- but thirty (30)
- 7 times -- everyone had to score then with the highest
- 8 financial scoring --
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And I apprec --
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: -- which was thirty
- 11 (30).
- 12 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I -- I appreciate
- 13 that just by the math that --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- was done. But
- 16 when you go to the second place score, you don't know
- 17 if somebody scored -- you don't know exactly what the
- 18 scores are because it doesn't divide evenly?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: The -- now you're
- 20 referring to the PowerStream score --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Correct.
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: -- that averaged out
- 23 to twenty-seven (27)?
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So, what I'm
- 25 getting at is, irrespective of what score you

- 1 allocated for the financial component because we can't
- 2 see them --
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- no matter what
- 5 score you allocated to PowerStream, PowerStream was
- 6 going to be the clear winner?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so, when I take
- 9 everybody else out of the equation and I suggest, if
- 10 you were the only one (1) scoring, the eventual
- 11 product would have been exactly the same?
- 12 MR. TIM FRYER: PowerStream.
- 13 MR. PAUL BONWICK: PowerStream. Thank
- 14 you.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I -- I
- 16 -- maybe I missed it, but did you -- you agreed with
- 17 that, did you, or --
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. That --
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: I was stating who it
- 21 would have been, yes.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 23 you. That was your last question, Mr. Bonwick?
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: No.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Oh.

- 1 No, no, I wasn't trying to hurry you along.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I can see that far
- 3 though. Like, I get...

- 5 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'd like to talk a
- 7 little bit about your thirty (30) years, or
- 8 thereabouts, with --
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: M-hm.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- with Collus.
- 11 You had a long career with one (1) employer, which
- 12 isn't always heard of today. Clearly, there was a
- 13 high level of confidence in your abilities throughout
- 14 that period of time or, quite frankly, it wouldn't
- 15 have worked. Is that a reasonable statement?
- MR. TIM FRYER: And the job was a
- 17 great job.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And -- thank you.
- 19 And irrespective of your love for the job and the
- 20 community, there's been a lot of evidence shown that
- 21 you're not afraid to break from the fray and give a
- 22 counter opinion if you believe it's in the best
- 23 interest of either the utility or the community.
- Is that a fair statement?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.

1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so, while some

- 2 people may not like the fact that you're providing
- 3 challenging comments, you're simply doing it because
- 4 you believe in your heart that this is information
- 5 that's of value?
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So, I want to link
- 8 that back to the fact that, in all the assessments
- 9 that you did throughout the process on the Strategic
- 10 Ta -- I never get the acronym right, the Strategic
- 11 Task Force, was done based on what you thought was in
- 12 the best interest of the community?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I did want to
- 15 clarify one (1) thing. And I do appreciate Council
- 16 going through this this morning, but I -- I open up
- 17 every conversation the same way.
- 18 Over the course of the last nine (9)
- 19 years, have we had any conversations about the share
- 20 sale or any matter related to this issue?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: No, we have not.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Just to be
- 23 perfectly clear, during the time you were involved
- 24 with the Strategic Task Force, did I have any
- 25 engagement with you about scoring criter -- period,

- 1 any engagement?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, we did not.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.
- 4 Throughout this thirty (30) years, so you get to give
- 5 a barometer or a measuring stick that many can't, you
- 6 had a management team, Cindy Shuttleworth, if I'm
- 7 pronouncing her name properly? Or sorry, no.
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: So, not through the
- 9 thirty (30) years.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: No, no, no. I
- 11 understand. I'm -- sorry, I'll get to that.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: You had Cindy
- 14 Shuttleworth and, I apologize if I'm pronouncing the
- 15 name wrong, Pam -- Pam Hogg, Larry Irwin, Marcus
- 16 Firman, Ed Houghton, of course, yourself.
- 17 That sort of represented, for lack of a
- 18 better description, a core management team?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: They were senior
- 20 management people, yes, for the utility.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Senior management
- 22 people?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Can you speak to
- 25 your experience in terms of the relationship that that

- 1 team had, namely the Collus or the utilities group,
- 2 had with the Town of Collingwood staff leading up to
- 3 2012? So, I'm reflecting on Carmen Morrison, Gord
- 4 Norris, the senior management staff at town hall,
- 5 Councils.
- 6 What was the -- could you give us a
- 7 flavour of what the environment was like between these
- 8 two (2) groups of senior managers?
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: I certainly would
- 10 consider it at least cordial and probably even more so
- 11 a very good working relationship.
- 12 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would it be fair to
- 13 say almost familiar in nature that Christmas parties
- 14 were attended, there was -- there was really a very
- 15 strong positive, constructive working relationship
- 16 between the two (2) groups?
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'd like to move
- 19 forward to 2012, and recognizing you're no longer with
- 20 Collus PowerStream at 2012, if I understand correctly?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: September 30th, yes.
- 22 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But in November
- 23 2012 you did take a seat on Council, correct, or was
- 24 that '14?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: That would have been

- 1 '14.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: That -- '14, sorry.
- 3 Thank you for the correction on that. So, when you
- 4 took a seat on Council in 2014, how did you find the
- 5 relationship between this longstanding family of inner
- 6 workings -- how did you find the relationship under
- 7 the leadership of Mr. Brown and Council?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: It had changed --
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I think for the
- 10 benefit of --
- MR. TIM FRYER: -- to a degree. I --
- 12 I won't try to assess how much it changed, but there
- 13 was a change.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And I'm going to
- 15 press you a little bit on this because, in fairness,
- 16 you worked with these people for many years. I'm sure
- 17 you maintained some level of -- of relationship with
- 18 at least some of them after you left Collus. You're
- 19 from the community.
- I don't want to lead you as a witness.
- 21 I wanted to come out and give a reasonable description
- 22 in terms of how you thought the relationship had
- 23 unfolded to and where it had gone.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: I guess I can only
- 25 comment on my relationship. And, as a member of

- 1 Council, unfortunately, I did find that the
- 2 relationships I had had changed.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would it be fair to
- 4 say that under CAO Brown and -- and that Council in
- 5 2014, the -- the situation had become unworkable,
- 6 caustic, an incredibly challenging environment?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: I wouldn't say that
- 8 only because I -- I wouldn't have been privy to the
- 9 kinds of things that would have to get me to draw that
- 10 conclusion.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Fair enough. Are
- 12 you aware that several of your colleagues have --
- 13 former colleagues had filed complaints in terms of
- 14 harassment and challenges as it related to the
- 15 relationship between Collus and the municipality?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: You weren't aware
- 18 of that.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: During your time at
- 23 Collus were you ever provided a bonus at the end of
- 24 the year, a financial bonus?
- MR. TIM FRYER: We were a performance-

- 1 based system, yes.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so, to the
- 3 question at hand, you would have been provided annual
- 4 bonuses if you had met your objectives or delivered --
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- a good result?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Were those bonuses
- 9 ever reflected in the public domain?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: During your time
- 12 with Collus after the Provincial restructuring was
- 13 your wage ever brought out into the public domain?
- 14 And I'm thinking more specifically -- I think they
- 15 call it the sunshine list.
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Why was that?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: It didn't have the
- 19 requirements of the sunshine list. The sunshine list
- 20 was municipal, where there was funding mechanisms
- 21 available to municipalities, therefore, the government
- 22 required that you had to publish your employee payment
- 23 information.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: So, is it
- 25 reasonable to state that, in fact, your Board of

- 1 Directors or your CAO was under the structure it had
- 2 prohibited from publically announcing your wage or
- 3 your bonuses?
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: It wouldn't have been
- 5 prohibited because the Board could choose through
- 6 proper contractual terms with its employees that it
- 7 could put certain information out, maybe a -- a
- 8 payment range or something like that.
- 9 But it wouldn't have been necessarily
- 10 prohibited.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I -- I appreciate
- 12 they could have made contractual changes, but within
- 13 the existing frame, the work that you were operating
- 14 within, was the border, was the president and CEO in a
- 15 position to publicly announce your wage or your
- 16 bonuses?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Not the specifics.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.
- 19 Was it common in the industry that that
- 20 ever happened, in the LDC world?
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: Not common, a few did.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm going to be
- 23 just a couple more minutes here, Your Honour.
- 24 I'd -- I'd like to just address a
- 25 couple of comments Mr. McDowell raised with you

- 1 earlier today.
- 2 And my world words are very important
- 3 and description of services are very important, titles
- 4 are very important, and so I've had the benefit of
- 5 working with business consultants, as have you,
- 6 correct?
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You spoke about the
- 9 high degree of confidence that you have in KPMG?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You recognize that
- 12 KPMG, when they provided the services, had one (1)
- 13 person that was providing financial advice?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You had one (1)
- 16 person providing business advice, strategic advice as
- 17 it related to scoring structure?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Two (2) very
- 20 separate things in reality.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: One (1) is a --
- 23 kind of an accounting process, if I may, and I
- 24 apologize if I'm not using the right language that it
- 25 -- that you would use, but it's kind of a -- it's a

- 1 numbers crunching exercise. The other one (1) is a
- 2 business strategic kind of exercise.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so I was
- 5 confused when you were led with questions about a
- 6 trusted business advisor for the accountant that was
- 7 working for Gaviller's, and in my mind using the KPMG
- 8 analogy, when an accountant from Gavillers who deals
- 9 with the costing and the accounting, typically not be
- 10 moving outside their fray to start talking about
- 11 whether you should have a shotgun provision or not
- 12 have a shotgun provision, about whether you should
- 13 have share sales or not have share sales, but rather
- 14 talk about what the implications of those decisions
- 15 are.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: I can't answer that
- 17 because I don't know the experience.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay, you work with
- 19 Mr. Neate, and I apologize I've never met him so if I
- 20 have mispronounced his name, is it not typical for an
- 21 accountant to offer advice related specifically to
- 22 financial matters and the implications of strategic
- 23 business decisions, what that means in -- from a
- 24 financial perspective?
- MR. TIM FRYER: In the case of our --

- 1 our external auditor and -- and the information they
- 2 were providing us, it had a -- it stretched across
- 3 corporate structure and -- and those types of things.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So it's -- is it
- 5 reasonable to say you wouldn't have hired Mr. Neate or
- 6 brought Mr. Neate in to give you advice in terms of
- 7 how you might develop the back end billing, how you
- 8 might develop a new service for Collus, would you
- 9 normally use his services for something like that?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would you normally
- 12 bring him in to help you develop a share agreement
- 13 with a third-party?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so what I'm
- 16 trying to get at is it's kind of a strange thing for
- 17 an accountant to offer advice in terms of how the
- 18 structure of the shared service agreement should be,
- 19 but rather does it not seem reasonable that Mr. Neate
- 20 should be offering advice on the implications of those
- 21 decisions financially?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Mr. Neate was brought
- 23 in for his expertise in regards to tax and -- and
- 24 knowledge of the -- but did participate in the
- 25 meetings where those information things were -- were

- 1 shared and -- and I guess he felt he -- he had a
- 2 reason to take note of it.
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I've been in that
- 4 environment. Everybody's an expert. I just want to
- 5 close out with the solar vent issue, again in keeping
- 6 with this.
- 7 Hypothetically, of course, you -- you
- 8 spoke positively about the meeting and the
- 9 presentation regarding the solar attic fan earlier
- 10 today, is that correct?
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: I have a recollection
- 12 that it was done and approved by the Board, yes.
- 13 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Seemed to be a
- 14 positive decision based on what I read in the minutes,
- 15 I wasn't at the meeting.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: They -- they certainly
- 17 accepted that, yes.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Hypothetically, of
- 19 course, if you had shares or financial interest in my
- 20 company, do you think you should have declared a
- 21 conflict?
- MR. TIM FRYER: In which company is
- 23 that?
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: The one (1) that
- 25 was working with the solar attic vents that you talked

- 1 about earlier?
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: That's hypothetical
- 3 and I'm not going to speculate how I would have acted.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But I think in
- 5 fairness, when Mr. McDowell put it to you and said,
- 6 okay, we don't know for sure, but hypothetically if
- 7 Mr. Houghton had shares, you did give an answer on
- 8 that one.
- 9 And so I think the real answer is you
- 10 don't have any interest at all, never have, never
- 11 will, financial interest in -- in that particular
- 12 entity, and so there would be no need for you to be
- 13 concerned about declaring conflicts, is that a fair
- 14 statement?
- MR. TIM FRYER: No, because I would
- 16 look at it in my fiduciary responsibility based on my
- 17 professional degree.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I think I -- I'm
- 19 going to take responsibility for not answering the --
- 20 asking the question properly.
- 21 You have never had any interest in any
- 22 of that product or what was presented to the Board
- 23 that day. And so the hypothetical really is
- 24 irrelevant because you never had a conflict on it,
- 25 correct?

```
1 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, I think
```

- 2 Mr. Bonwick may have misunderstood the question that I
- 3 was putting to the witness, which was if Mr. Houghton
- 4 had an interest, whether Mr. Houghton ought to have
- 5 declared, not --
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Actually, it is in
- 7 a way which hypothetically, and I could --
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 9 think the question that Mr. Bonwick is trying to put
- 10 to the witnesses is if you don't own -- if you didn't
- 11 own any shares then you don't have a conflict, I think
- 12 that's the question he's --
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: If that's the
- 14 question, that's a fair question.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 16 don't know, Mr. Bonwick, if I understood what you were
- 17 asking, but if that was it --
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: That is exactly it,
- 19 Your Honour, I was trying to go down the same path as
- 20 -- leading the witness on a hypothetical that of
- 21 course doesn't exist, and I was trying to correct the
- 22 record for Mr. Fryer, letting him know that at no
- 23 point has he ever had a conflict in the -- in this
- 24 scenario, but rather I was using sort of the same
- 25 framework Mr. Fryer -- Mr. McDowell had used for

- 1 somebody else.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: But I'm still confused
- 3 on what the question is.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 5 I'm going to put it -- I think it's a question of
- 6 argument, I get the question.

- 8 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: When you announced
- 10 your retirement date, it was after the OEB decision,
- 11 is that what I understood from an earlier
- 12 conversation?
- MR. TIM FRYER: When they became aware
- 14 that the OEB had approved the sale, yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: How -- how long did
- 16 you stay in your position or how much notice did you
- 17 give in terms of sticking around after the decision
- 18 was made?
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Between three (3) and
- 20 four (4) months, probably.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So I would assume
- 22 that's more about a succession planning exercise and
- 23 trying to help with the transfer of files and making
- 24 sure people, your colleagues, are up to speed?
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: And that list that we

- 1 had talked about earlier, the major projects would
- 2 have been trying to get some of them finalized because
- 3 I would've been the sole person working on it, maybe.
- And so just try to get those things
- 5 done and -- and -- in a way.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I did go through
- 7 that list and it was quite extensive, and I've seen
- 8 similar lists for other CFOs working in other
- 9 utilities.
- 10 Is it fair to say that many things on
- 11 that list were actually shared responsibilities, not
- 12 simply yours, but --
- MR. TIM FRYER: We have people in the
- 14 finance department, yes.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You -- you kind of
- 16 handled the financial component of it, you and your
- 17 team.
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But many other
- 20 things beyond that on each section was the
- 21 responsibility of Darius, Mr. Houghton, any number of
- 22 different people --
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: At that particular
- 24 time, Darius had already retired, but I know what
- 25 you're saying and -- and -- and definitely it was --

- 1 it was shared work.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Did you believe
- 3 when the decision was finally made at the time, it's
- 4 easy to reflect back with a lens now and -- did you
- 5 believe at the time that the best possible decision
- 6 was made for Collus and the tax payers based on the
- 7 process that unfolded?
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: I believe that the
- 9 process had been appropriate to meet the requests of
- 10 the shareholder.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you, that
- 12 ends my questions.
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 14 you. Any re-examination?
- So Mr. Fryer, you've been answering
- 16 questions all day. You're a witness at this stage,
- 17 you're not going to ask yourself any questions?
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: No, I'm not going to
- 19 do that.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: If
- 21 there's something that you want to explain or
- 22 something you -- go ahead, but I -- I'm -- it's not a
- 23 time for submissions and you're not going to be asking
- 24 yourself questions.
- MR. TIM FRYER: I understand that,

- 1 sir, and -- and your counsel had explained to me that
- 2 I might have the opportunity to make some remarks,
- 3 after the questioning. I do --
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But it
- 5 doesn't have to be in the nature of the kind of
- 6 submission that would be made at the closing or
- 7 something like that.
- If there's something you want to
- 9 explain or something you want to say, then go ahead.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: And -- and I was going
- 11 to say that it's been a long day and -- and I've had
- 12 quite a bit of testimony because I guess the thing
- 13 that I would be looking to do would be try to make
- 14 sure that I put something in so that I could use it in
- 15 my closing submission, and I -- and -- and I would've
- 16 hoped that I could have gone through the transcript to
- 17 have a look at that.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm --
- 19 I'm prepared to do that. Give you the opportunity to
- 20 do that and hear you tomorrow morning.
- 21 At least I don't see any reason that --
- 22 yes. So that we can accommodate you tomorrow morning.
- 23 But this is not a closing submission or
- 24 anything of that nature. It's if you've left
- 25 something out or there's something you want to

1 explain, then you'll have the -- then that's what you

- 2 would be doing.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: And I will say that
- 4 I'm not anticipating anything significant because I
- 5 recognize that I'm still a participant as well. And
- 6 if there's something that I feel I need to get into
- 7 evidence, I have the opportunity through the other
- 8 witnesses, so.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 10 Precisely, precisely.
- 11 All right, so then we'll adjourn until
- 12 tomorrow morning.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 14 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Sorry, I
- 15 wonder, just hearing Mr. Fryer, whether -- if Mr.
- 16 Fryer goes home tonight and looks at the transcript
- 17 and -- and jots down some points, whether it might be
- 18 in everybody's interest if he simply filed that rather
- 19 than coming and reading it.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Let me
- 21 ask him.
- Do you want to -- do you want to do it
- 23 that way?
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: If -- if I think
- 25 --

- 1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We'll
- 2 receive it as an exhibit.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, as I said, I'm
- 4 not -- I'm not anticipating anything significant. So
- 5 as long as it was just a matter of maybe correcting
- 6 one (1) or two (2) things, I can do it either way so.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 8 if you can do it simply by telling us, that's fine.
- 9 If you decide to reduce it to writing, assuming you
- 10 don't say anything outrageous, we'll receive it as a -
- 11 as a -- as the clarification that -- that you wanted
- 12 to make.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So you
- 15 can do it in writing if you want to.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes, and as I said, I
- 17 don't anticipate anything significant THE right now.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 19 right. Tomorrow morning. Thank you.
- 20
- 21 --- Upon adjourning at 5:07 p.m.
- 22 Certified Correct,
- 23
- 24
- 25 Wendy Woodworth, Ms.

INQUIKI	TE COTTINGMOC	D 03-14-2019	rage 2/4	01 330
	138:8	150:10	145 219:23	185:1,16
- 152:16	143:11	1:30 5:18	14th 1:23	190:2,6
	147:5	81:19		193:11
\$	148:18		15 39:21	201:2
\$1 117:3,5	149:7,10,	1:34 81:22	71:21	214:22
· ·	22	10 28:11	105:20	227 : 7
\$1.1 151:9	158:19,23	39:21	199:17	232:12
\$1.7 6:22	160:7	60 : 25	220:20,21 223:6	239:9,25
\$124,000	164:3	62 : 18		240:1
7:12	167:12,14	71:16	15,000	243:19
	168:22 169:4	143:13	195:20	257:8,16 262:19
\$200,000 118:10	173:22	147:25	150 26:7	273:6
	178:20	148:3	159 3:9	
\$5 6:24	179:13	199:17,21 ,25		2:30fast
\$900,000	183:11,12	235:25	15th 54:10	58:23
251:9 , 19	,15,18	245:9	56:8	2:36 137 : 16
	188:16		230:15,20	2:49 137:17
1	190:8,13	10:01 5:1	16.3 31:23	
<u>1</u> 5:16 10:4	193:10,11	10s 95:11	163 31:16	20 110:12 111:17
12:6	,23 196:9	10th 225:19	179 3:10	112:1,10,
13:12	200:18,20	11:30 5:18		11 128:16
17:10	206:8,17	71:20	18 106:13	129:14
20:10,22	207:11		107:23	130:7
21:4 25:6	208:3	11:31 81:21	19	131:1,25
36:8,20	210:10	112 219:7	218:14,23	159:17
44:13	211:19 213:9,20,	221:6	1990s	161:3,8,1
45:2	22 214:10	118 4:3	182:12	2
50:4,25	215:4,20		19th	162:2,22
55:18 , 19	218:6	119 4:4	230:17,22	163:3,5,1
57:3,17 63:15,16	222:14	11th 26:10	231:2	7
67:8 77:3	223:1	12 84:16	201.2	164:8,14
81:13	225:14	120 4:5	2	166:1,3
88:22	235:5		2 16:1 25:9	220:6,14,
89:5,15,1	237:6	121 4:6	46:25	18,20 221:17
8	240:4	122 4:7	58:23	222:3
90:10,20	241:6	123 4:8	64:17	223:7
93:19	242:4		107:1,7,1	
98:8	245:3	124 4:9	1,14	2000s
99:19	247:4	126 3:8	120:8	182:18
101:22	253:10 254:11	13th 117:1	132:12,17	2001 6:7
107:15	255:15	14 257:24	143:21	15:19
110:16,22 119:6	262:12,15	258:1,2	156:23	184:24
120:1	,22 263:1		162:25	2006
123:1	265:24	14.1 31:22	163:23	56:17 , 23
125:2,12,	273:6	140 16:20	164:9,10	57 : 1
15 129:11	1.7 7:4	22:16	165:18 169:7	2010 198:21
131:4	149:23	144 218:13	173:23	219:6
134:12			175:23	221:17
			1,0.20	

	i ie eoddingwe		rage 275	01 000
2011 15:19	225 3:11	84:24	182:13,15	45 221:7
16:19	22nd 74:19	90:19	201:5	4th 140:11
22:19	84:20	106:24,25	30-some	10.11
24:1		107:11	244:3	 5
26:11	237 3:12	120:8	30th 33:15	5 3:7 17:20
31:8,21	239 83:6	129:22	34:7,24	47:5 89:5
33:15	23rd 84:20	130:4	36:2,24	132:20
36:2	230:16,18	165:1	145:24	169:20
38:11		209:15,16	257:21	227:22
50:15	24 213:5,6	, 17		
52:10	243 208:25	215:11	31st 11:2	5.5 149:23
54:11	209:4	226:7	12:19	150:3
56:8 57:1	24th	227:13 268:19	13:15	5/5/5/5
74:17	31:8,21		16:19	95:9
104:19 115:22	32:19	3,000 57:4	151:4	5:07 273 : 21
	35:23	3.1 104:3	345 74:11	
116:13 166:23	84:20		39 164:22	50 39:16
180:13		3.3 6:25		40:4,7,11
196:3	25 126:9	3.5 14:22	3rd 152:20	,14,18
196:3	220:18	30 54:24	222:8	41:2,21,2
221:12	26 209:17	55:2,6	231:11	2 42:1
230:15	249:25	60:23		60:19
230:13	250:25	62:16,17,	4	61:12,21
236:7	27 209:5,16	24	4 3:3 20:23	
237:10	252:23	63:8,11	52 : 16 , 19	92:5,11
		66:10	88:10 , 24	94:18
2012 7:24	270	83:16,20	89:1,4,19	95:6
10:9	208:9,18	84:2	90:12,17	96:25
16:19	273 3:20	102:2	92:16	99:23
140:11	27th 37:18	126:21	95:7 , 11	100:3,17,
145:24	38:5,11	180:13	107:7	20 101:10
146:1	39:2	183:21	108:3	104:2
151:4	40:24	193:10,19	117:23	106:18
257:3,19,	45:4	205:13,14	128 : 5	110:6
20,23		, 15	131:6	126:20,25 178:16
2013 113:18	28 209:16	206:18,22	132:20	208:10
2014 154:11	28th 77:5	207:3,6	139:14	229:2,7,1
258:4	152:6,13	208:5,14,	196:16	6 232:15
259:5	293 62:6	18,22	213:2	244:12,18
	83:11	220:12	227:19	,24
2017 216:3	193:3,7	237:13	268:20	
2018 154:11		250:13 , 15	4.1 62:11	50/50
2019 1:23	2nd 75:14	252:3,6,1	4:00 85:8	6:14,16,2
	104:18	1 254:7		3 7:3
20-day	152:17	256:4,9	4:30	150:20
109:13,24		30/30 95:11	85 : 9 , 10	159:21
166:9	3	•	40 17:19	160:10
21st	3 21:4	30:30:10:10	40/40 95:8	51 229:4
84:14,19	42:10	:10 63 : 3	·	51/49 229:4
	63 : 22	300	43 245:3,18	<u> </u>
	1			

INQUIRI	re COLLINGWOOD	D 05-14-2019	Page 2/6	01 330
55 17:19	208:18	acceptable	76:15	241:19
56 250:14	209:4	12:14	achieve	244:15
251:3	227:12	112:16	49:3,14	actually
	228:6,24	acceptant	177:3	5:15 11:3
6	245:9	87 : 2	achieved	17:13
6 144:7	255:18	accepted	178:10	50 : 25
175:5	9.1	26:9		55 : 11
	213:5,21	143:25	achieving	71:15
60 111:9	9:08 225:19	265:17	96:5	76:21
144:25			acquaintanc	89:16
60/40 134:1	90	accepting	es 55:21	100:3
617 109:3,7	209:8,9,2	111:24	acquire	102:3
		130:10	50:7	109:24
62	90s 56:1	access		116:17
249:11,14	97 1:19	97 : 22	acquirer	117:16
622 12:23		223:12	220:15,16	136:4
65 144:25	A	accommodate	244:8	150:12 151:4
	a.m 5:1	271:22	acquisition	205:25
7	81:21	according	185 : 7	203:25
7 219:15		107:2	198:1	213:3,10,
	ABCA 223:17	151:17	202:13	20 214:10
70 62:25	abilities	226:21	acquisition	
70070001	248:11		s 158:12	217:7
225:17	254:13	account	201:6	220:6
7026 0001	ability	116:3,6,9	acronym	221:11
104:15	106:8	117:2 119:3	255 : 10	249:14
	120:2			267 : 6
7th 87:24	128:25	accountant	across	269:11
	181:21	94:21	83:20	Adams 157:7
8	able 44:16	127:3	84:3 102:11	
8 158:6	49:12	149:16	102:11	add 25:6
249:15	58:13	239:4	167:16	added
8.2 246:9	85:24	263:6,8,2	264:2	150:13
80 182:21	112:2	1 264:17		additional
201:5	133:5,17	accounting	Act 91:9	45:13
	151:5,6	167:5	187:22	address
80s 56:1	165:17	239:4,25	<pre>acted 9:9</pre>	address 84:13
82 182:21	170:16	240:20	266:3	203:11
8th 38:23	absence	262:23	acting	237:6
41:6 42:9	141:4,15	263:9	139:21	238:23
45:7,14	·	accounts	176:11	261:24
87:25	accept	116:13	active	
115:22	104:24 109:15	accurate	232:14	addressed
	111:4,5	95:25		16:15
9	156:10	116:12	activities	156:15
9 83:22	209:5	accurately	170:13	adequate
84:3,16	216:22,23	9:16	actual	165:13
207:24	249:25		135:1	175:15
		achievable	210:7	adjourn
				_

INQUIR	TE COLLINGWO	JD 03-14-2019	raye 211	01 330
272:11	254:21	264:12,18	allegations	Amaizeingly
adjourning	afternoon	agreements	141:23	115:15
84:25	58:12	9:25 10:7	142:9	116:2,8
273:21	81:25	11:9	allegedly	118:13
adjusted	82:2	12:12	169:24	119:9
151:17	afterwards	13:7	176:11	125 : 2
	53:6	14:10,16,	allocate	amended
adjustment	190:19	19	19:6	10:7
151:7,9		15:3 , 10	102:2	amending
admin 8:16	ago 54:24	20:18		9:24 11:9
advance	58:3	65 : 20	allocated	
47:25	90:11 158:7	112:23	13:20	amendments
76:8	163:22	113:4	19:4,5	10:3 168:11
230:24	189:3	168:5,12	249:5 251:25	
	220:12	214:9	251:25	amiable
advantage	233:18	241:8		211:13
43:18	249:2	ahead	allocations	Amiably
advantageou		126:11	8:11	130:24,25
s 80:22	agreed	159:9	allotted	amicable
advantages	117:14,16	214:5	249:17	160:13,15
192:20	253:16	235:10	all-out	· ·
advice 52:3	agreeing	236:19	202:22,25	among
176:8,15	119:22	270:22	·	183:14
262:13,16	agreement	271:9	allow 49:6	amongst
263:21	10:11,15	Aird 176:10	75:12	73:22
264:6,17,	11:2	aired 92:2	114:13	83:18
201.0,17,	12:20		allowed	96:15
	13:6,10	ALE0002782	110:25	98:11
advise	16:6	245:3	already	154:20
59:24	20:19	ALE0005133.	33:20	amount
advised	64:10,20	0002 4:9	58 : 16	6:16 , 23
81:14	65:14	ALE3296	128:5	15 : 12
88:24	101:17	212:24	150 : 12	110:23
147:25	106:23		269:24	111:1
advising	107:3,13,	ALE4330 219:18	alternative	118:2
81:10	14 109:23		s 48:24	125:17
advisor	111:23	ALE5133.000		160:17
148:24	113:21,24 154:14	2 105:12	am 9:15	amounts
150:25		Alectra 2:7	38:7	205:16
263:6	159:24 168:1	111:11	54:17	22212
	171:11	179:13	126:4	analogy 263 : 8
affected	176:16,21	242:9	131:2	
20:7	210:8,10	243:14,24	145:13 149:9	analysis
103:9	210.8,10	,25 244:5	154:13	8:13
136:9	213:2,9,1	245:23	187:25	22:18
affiliated	9 214:1	246:6,8	197:7	29:10,12
107:16	226:19	Alectra's	199:21	30:7 37:7
afraid	241:13,17	244:8	251:17	102:22
				127:23
1	i	i l		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-14-2019	Page 2/8	OI 336
and/or	128:8	apart 201:2	21:23	approaching
42:19	anybody	apologize	83:19	202:20
angst 34:16	139:20	247:17	apportioned	appropriate
	148:6	248:19	62:16	35 : 12
announce	191 : 17	250:21		36:19
261:15	219:14	256:14	apportionin	67 : 4
announced		262:24	g 84:2	86:18
268:9	anyone	263:19	appraisal	88:11
announcemen	16:13		227:9	94:19
t 5:16	30:7	apparently	appraised	159:24
	45:13	137:20	235:15	175:11,18
announcing	48:14	appear	233.13	,19 270:9
261:2	67 : 22	247:14	apprec	•
annual 8:15	70:10	APPEARANCES	252 : 9	appropriate
34:8	74:4	2:1	appreciate	ly 8:12
260:3	87:19		28:1	appropriate
	142:5	appeared	114:20	ness
annually	162:12	100:7	233:17	177:2
14:17	169:22	225:22	252 : 12	
19:1	170:23	247:17	255 : 15	appropriati
answer 9:16	anything	appears	261:11	on 107:17
53:14	14:10	164:2,3		approval
61:3	25:15,21	167 : 13	apprised	111:14,15
63 : 25	39:18	175:4	34:9	124:8
90:10	42:1	220:9	175:9	approve
166:6	44:23	apples 82:8	approach	12:16
190:23	59 : 7		6:11	18:24
191:3	67 : 17	248:6,12 251:8,18	27 : 21	104:24
211:16	68:10		86 : 25	145:21
263:16	89:9	apples-to-	89:4,6	
266:7,9	93:15	apples	91:13	approved
answering	104:12	73:7	96:25	16:1
266:19	126:25	applicable	101:3	120:14
270:15	136:3	30:1	108:10	145:10,15
	138:18	158:15	117:11	265:12
answers	146:24	1:+:	118:12	268:14
169:10	148:12	application	168:5	approximate
182:2	157:12	140:13	185:15	ly 6:24
anticipate	163:5,6	applied	188:13	55 : 2
273 : 17	198:2	15 : 20	190:11	195:20
anticipated	239:20	18:2	230:11	201:5
39:19	243:8	applies	237:8	251:19
156:20	271:24	242:24	approached	April
	272:4		84:2	221:12
anticipatin	273:4,10,	appointed	91:20	
g 272:4	17	88:15	155:13	arbitration
273:4	anyway	106:24,25	190:10	245:17
anticipatio	28:17	appointment	191:7	246:9,12, 18 247:6
n 44:15	85 : 4	5:17	approaches	
antidotal	137:11	apportion	98:21	area 32:4
				39:16
ı			J	

	i ic confinditiono			01 330
54:25	10:21	176:2	257:14	209:11,24
56:22	95:5	assisted	attending	averaged
158:1	aspects	226:1	52 : 8	252 : 22
229:19	34:18		56:11	
230:10	52:20	assists	105:4	avoid
237:24	228:4	77:24	175 : 14	217:11
aream		Associate		avoided
126:16	assess	1:7 2:4	attention	191:25
	232:14	associated	87 : 22	aware 11:1
areas 23:6	258:12	125:22	105:25	13:8
126:16	assessed		198:19	26:25
179:18	31:22	assume	attic	27:6,14
aren't	228:3	94:24	119:13,16	30:11,13
136:9	assessment	122:12	121:3	36:3,6,22
argument	32:15	126:4	123:14,23	,25 37:14
20:15	242:21	128:25	265:9,25	39:19
95:4	248:7	131:13	attitude	40:23
268:6		138:5	134:11	50:9
	assessments	144:10		54 : 19
argument's	255:8	149:3	AUDIBLE	68:18,20,
251:9	asset 28:9	238:6	16:12	23,25
arises	40:1	251:24	184:8	70:17
152:9	133:5	268:21	audit	73:25
arithmetic	assets 6:19	assumed	148:19	76:10
208:18	24:14,17	27:1,10	170:7	88:2
209:5	76:4	35:3,14	auditor	99:23
	184:11,14	48:19	148:15	101:9
arose	,19 185:8	122:10	264:1	109:24
166:1,4	186:6	assuming		118:14
arrangement	187:10	51:11	auditors	121:8
6:2 8:9	188:9	90:2	8:11	140:18
13:24		273 : 9	18:24	146:17,21
14:7,25	assign		August	151 : 12
96:12	72:11,23 73:10	asymmetry	231:10	159:19
105:3	/3:10	128:25	authorizati	161:12
155:1	assigned	162:11,24	on 144:8	166:16
211:13	66:10	attempt	190:11	176:10,12
arrangement	73:15,17	168:1		, 15
s 7:15,24	101:25	attend	available	177:23
9:1 21:16	103:24	26:18	19:12	181:4,16
64:10	104:7	84:14	29:15,25	182:11
	assigning	170:7	32:15	199:21
arrived	71:10		33:25	216:2
131:24	102:4	attendance	78:22	259:12 , 17
article		26:12	80:6,7,14	268:13
157:8	assist	attended	83:20	away 73:4
aside	44:16	93:21	90:8	76 : 21
123:19	165:6	94:4	205:14	120:12
243:20	assistance	143:12	260:21	
	51:16	175:8,17	average	awful 29:16
aspect				129:8

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	JD 05-14-2019	Page 280	OI 336
130:2	49:15	28:3	100:23	6:1
awkward	112:2,24	behalf	105:19	7:8 , 25
85:14,18	152:21	131:19	112:10	8:3 9:2,6
83:14,18	186:22		114:11,22	81:6
	221:24	134:16	,23	192:9
ВВ	232 : 7	159:6	119:10,22	1 6
background		behind 88:7	121:13,17	benefit
221:14	basis 13:21	118:16	123:1	173:18
bad 188:15	16:2 18:3	144:24	132:16	174:15
	29:8 49:8	169:5	136:17	258:10
Bain 2:8	95:3 117:20	174:23	138:22	262:4
balance		181:8	139:10	benefits
219:19	171:10	belief	144:15	10:1,16
bank 119:3	Bay	86:16	145:25	11:11
239:13,21	55:12 , 17	146:24	146:23	17:22
·	56 : 4	158:17	152 : 17	239:1
bankrupt	58:15 , 17		153:23	Bentz
125:3	180:18	beliefs	154:3	54:10,19,
bankruptcy	183:20	86:22	160:6	21 56:3
125:23	Beach 123:1	believe 8:1	161:21	57:6,13
	204:7,9	12:21	162:21	58:4
barometer 256:5	·	13:25	170:25	59:8,18
230:3	bear 123:20	15:5	171:15,16	
Barrie	became	23:21,25	172:1	179:22
54:23	43:20	24:4,6	174:19	180:17
55:11,19	67 : 7	25:3	178:24	181:5
180:2,9	101:9	26:12	181:7,21	183:19
204:3	120:20	27 : 1	187:15,16	
base 15:10	154 : 16	29:19	188:19	219:5
132:22	161 : 12	31:4	189:11	220:11
	188:4	35:24	190:3	221:11
based	243:24	37:17	192:10	222:9,20
15:3,7	268:13	38:12	194:4	225:3
43:12	become	40:25	199:24	230:12
44:21	30:10,13	48:3,11	200:22	232:7
133:25	37:14	59:19,20	206:9	234:6
134:5	40:23	61:13	207:11	237:12
240:17	41:19,21	63:9 69:1	213:5	242:25
242:21	43:13,17	73:18	227:11	Bentz's
243:9	68:24	77:4	231:1,2	218:17
245:22	99:22	78:17	249:10	219:24
248:1 255:11	220:10	79:18	254:22	220:25
260:1	243:5,7	80:10	255:4	220.23
	259:5	81:4,6,9,	270:2,5,8	
265:14 266:16		13	believed	Berlis
270:6	becoming	87:11,24	8:24 9:22	176:10
	40:9	90:24	35:17	best 58:19
basically	195:15	92:25	121:11	60 : 17
22:9	beginning	93:20,25		79:19
37 : 20	7:21,24	96:24	Belina 2:8	90:25
42:25	16:19	99:20	beneficial	102:21

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	05-14-2019 	Page 281	OI 336
113:2	118:4	44:11	2:10 3:12	, 22
136:20	120:18,23	45:6	68:18 , 21	258:2,9,1
188:24	121:3	46:25	69:9,14,1	4
254:22	123:8	47 : 13	9	259:3,11,
255:12	194:18	64:4,18	70:8,10,1	·
270:5	195:10,15	65 : 7	8,23 71:3	260:2,6,8
	264:7	76:11	121:8	,11,17,24
better		88:20,21	235:3	261:11,18
45:5,9,15	birthday	104:17,22	236:15,16	
48:2	243:19	115:23	,20	262:8,11,
122:15	bit 13:4	119:21,22	237:1,4,5	
183:14	19:2	120:14	,17,21	263:4,18
186:16,19	63:2,7,11	122:19,22	238:5,10,	264:4,11,
251:16	82:23	124:7,11,	13,16,21	15
256 : 18	85:14,18	14,18	239:13,16	
beyond 27:4	98:2	139:3,6,2	,19,24	18,24
269:20	104:19,22	0 150:21	240:3,6,1	· ·
bid 20:21	105:21	169:17	1,15,21	267:2,6,9
	118:3	170:7	241:3,19	,16,18
62:25 97:10	126:17	173:18	242:1,8,1	
97:10 102:1	130:1	174:3	2,15,20,2	
102:1	144:21	177:15	4	269:6,15,
103:25	157:4,25	183:4	243:2,11,	19
104:8	167:2	188:12	16,22	270:2,11
231:16	179:20	221:13	244:2,10	
251:10,20	193:10	222:17	245:1,11,	Bonwick's
	209:25	225:17	14,20,21	70:1
bidder 52:8	210:4	226:2,7,1	246:4	121:21
66:21	220:12	6,18	247:1,10,	books 151:4
68:10	225:23	227:13	20,25	border
205:10	227:24	232:9	248:9,14,	261:14
bidders	229:19	242:16,18	19,25	
20:23	241:4	247:7	249:8,13,	borrowing
53:4,22	245:14,16	260:25	17,20,23	111:16
68:6,8	246:11	261:5	250:3,7,1	112:6
98:13	254 : 7	265:12	1,17,20,2	150:6
222:23	258 : 15	266:22	4	bottom 54:9
bids 82:7	271 : 12	boardroom	251:2,5,1	74:17
97:23	bitter	139:9	5 , 23	75 : 12
99:17	57 : 14		252:4,9,1	98:9
233:25		Board's	2,15,21,2	99:11
	blame	45:10	4	115:21
bill 118:7	219:15	bonafide	253:4,8,1	155:10
120:3	blaming	37 : 21	3,23,24	213:2
billed	219:14		254:2,5,6	218:14
118:9	board 20:9	bonus	,10,18	245:18
billing	26:18	259:23,24	255:1,7,1	bought
19:3	38:23	bonuses	4,22	164:9
21:2,6	41:7	260:4,8	256:3,10,	
56:14	42:8,22	261:3,16	13,21,24	bouncing
	43:1	Bonwick	257:12,18	179:20
117:24	10.1			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-14-2019	Page 282	of 336
branch	109:5	259:4	114:14	93:22
232:8	115:18	budget	115:1,3	104:23
brand-new	124:22	23:16	128:25	105:14
29:3	126:5	50:22	163:23,24	139:11
	136:24		210:5,11,	Canada
break 5:19	137:4	building	17 211:20	83:21
71:20	138:1	143:14	212:4,13,	
77:2,20	140:8	239:6,17	15 , 20	CAO 6:17
81:18	147:10,21	240:12,23	214:9,11	23:3
84:16	157 : 1	241:1	215:15,23	259:4
137:11	159:3	built	,24	261:1
236:22	164:19	241:17	217:20	capacity
254:21	167:22	bullet	buy/sell	150:25
breakdowns	178:22	63:12	210:9	capital
207:17	193:5	64:9,14,1	213:10,17	64:9,19
break-even	205:1	7 66:9	buyer	134:1,5
18:3,4	234:23	83:15,21	152:10	151 : 7
·	245:6	108:25		
breakfast	248:17,23	151 : 2	buying	card 118:6
223:2	259:20	193:11	129:14	care
breath	briefly	bullied	132:23	67:5 , 10
239:7	155:22	142:5	buy-sell	120:18
Breedon	bring 33:13		241:11,21	career
2:19	74:24	bullying	245:25	254:11
	84:6	141:24	246:15	Carmen
Brian	145:17	142:9		257 : 3
54:10,12, 19,21,22	163:10	bumped	C	
56:12,15,	168:4	56:10	calculated	carried
20 179:22	213:6	bureaucracy	134:4	42:17
219:5	219:18	235:20	calculating	154:15
220:11	264:12		15:15	carries
230:12	bringing	business		138:21
232:7	50:11	8:15	calculation	carry 20:18
	88:7	33:18	240:16	71:21
brief 5:15	103:6	63:20	249:21	136:14
7:18 13:1	118:17	98:2 148:24	calculation	146:25
31:18	broken	150:25	s 21:22	236:24
32:6,11 33:11	137:7	187:21,22	calculus	
37:3 38:1	13/:/	188:3	208:17	carrying
42:12	brought	232:11		139:1
47:17	21:18	241:6	calendar	case 21:9
51:5 53:8	87:22	243:17	112:10	25 : 14
54:1,6	101:16	262:5,16	camera	44:12,15
62:8	178:3	263:2,6,2	36 : 23	76:13
74:13	193:3	3	37 : 18	87 : 14
75:5,9	218:12		38:4	100:5
82:19	260:13	buy 39:25 64:10	42:22	116:17
83:1,8	264:6,22	79:5,6	43:8 46:4	117:10
84:10	Brown 258:7	109:16	87 : 23	118:13
107:25		107.10	88:3,20	131:17

INQUINI	. Te confinditionoc	05-14-2019	raye 203	01 330
166:12,14	115:8	257 : 9	258:13	142:2,12,
172:13,16	116:20	265:16	ahanaad	19
205:21,23	121:1	Certificate	changed	159:9,11,
238:4	125:16		28:23	12
263:25	131:16	3:20	258:8 , 12	160:1,8,1
	136:13	Certified	259 : 2	8,24
cases	138:24	273:22	changes	161:7,15,
135:24	148:12	cetera	21:18	18,24
cash 7:13	151:6	214:16	49:10	162:8,16,
catch	153:5,6	215:9,11	55:15	19
103:16	161:23	219:19	167 : 5	163:7,15,
	190:5	220 : 7	180:20	20
cause 10:15	191:5,25		181:6	164:1,7,2
34:16	199:23	CFO 46:13	186:24	4 , 25
85:5	228:4	66:16	235:22	165:3,12,
101:17	243:9	86:17	261:12	21
112:10	261:7	91:20	Chaplin	166:5,15,
143:22	certainly	CFOs 269:8	17:15	20
232:18,25	12:11	Chadwick	133:25	167:4,8,1
233:8	32:3	112:4		2,20,24
caused	36:14		Chapman	168:21
157:25	39:24	chain 54:9	6:13	169:9,19,
causes 85:3	46:22	chair 22:21	characteris	22
233:22	47:6 50:9	26:18	tics 21:6	170:3,11,
	53:1	27 : 3	charge	17 , 22
caustic	57 : 16	88:21	19 : 25	171:1,7,1
259:6	63:14	138:9	120:3	9,22
CBB39 147:6	76:10	139:24	123:4	172:3,10,
164:22	81:11	challenge	232:8	18
ceases	88:7	129:17,19		173:1,4,7
145:7	123:24	130:11	charged	,15,21
	127:7	243:3	123:6	174:1,5,9
CEO 9:9	128:10		248:10	,14,18
55:13	146:11,21	challenges	charges	175:2,10,
69:3,5	148:25	259:14	17:24	16,22
147:16	174:22	challenging	18:2	176:1,7,1
220:14	175:17	167:10	19:25	4,19,25
223:10	176:22	255 : 3	118:11	177:6,9,1
261:14	181:19	259:6	charm 83:11	3 , 25
certain	185:13	Chambers		178:6,9,1
14:12	192:19	1:18	chart	9,24
31:10,11	196:19		97:7,13,2	179:3
32:19	197:20	chance 21:4	2	Chief 1:7
45:18,20	204:2	59:6	chat	choice
46:10	224:20,23	77:13	59:6,8,10	58 : 19
51:14	240:21	change	chatter	210:23
61:7,24	241:20	15:4,8	30:24	
68:23	242:21	34:21	136:5	choices
73:19	243:1	72:10		143:21
97:20 , 25	244:5	91:13	Chenoweth	choose
101:15	247:19		2:16 3:9	216:22

INQUIRI				
246:24	101:21	190:2,8,1	74:21,23	80:1,11
247:1,8	103:7	0,11,13	78:9	81:10
261:5	108:2,6,2	191:2,7,1	107:1	87 : 23
	4	7,18	111:23	88:19
chose	109:8,20	·	114:10,16	
158:23	110:2,5,8	client	129:5	104:17,18
160:17	,22	226:3	132:20	105:1
218:2	112:14,17	close	188:9,17	111:10,19
chosen	114:13	168:15	189:5,10	115:23
86:16	128:13,14	220:11	191:8	119:14,15
167:16	· ·	265 : 5		
168:25	, 24		198:16	123:11,20
217:17	130:15	closed	221:23	128:21
	131:12	22:10	222:3,10	132:6
Christmas	132:11	151:4	235:23	138:9
257 : 13	146:4,7,1	closest	245:23	141:5
CIBC	2 150:15	251 : 10	257 : 2	145:3
239:6,8	159:14,15		Collingwood	152:11
	,20,23	closing	's 87:14	153:5,14,
Cindy 10:22	160:5,9,2	14:7 19:9	195:19	24 154:17
256:6,13	0 161:1	22:6		155:23
circles	162:1	168:5,15	colloquial	156:12
241:7	164:3	271:6,15,	213:13	163:24
	165:3,16,	23	Collus 2:21	173:17,23
circling	24	clout	5 : 25	174:2
219:17	166:2,9,1	221:25	10:24	176:11,17
circulation	1 241:5		13 : 18	182:12
28:2	243:5	Club 58:15	14 : 2	183:3
circumstanc	clauses	CNN 136:11	18:8,9,13	184:18
	99:16	co 49:18	22:18,21	187:1
es 39:20		CO 49.10	23:11,23	189:19
143:9	clear	code 155:24	24:22	192:16,17
151:20	43:11,20	156:15	31:22	,23 194 : 7
220:11	44:6,12,2	colleague	32:16	196:2,6,1
243:10	0 100:8	237:24	33:18	1,17
city 242:17	182:24		36:20	197:12
CJI7986	186:19	colleagues	37:8,14	199:9
	250:22	259:12 , 13	38:20	202:13
33:9	253:6	268:24	40:24,25	220:17
clarificati	255 : 23	collection	41:6 42:9	222:17
on 273:11	cleared	130:2		223:10,17
clarificati	116:9,13		45:6 49:2	225:18,24
	110:9,13	Collingwood	50:5	230:13
ons 108:4	Clearly	1:2,17,20	59:14	232:9,16
clarify	254:12	2:18 11:1	60:9	232:3,10
168:2	Clearview	13:20	63:20	235:23
255:15	6:19	14:5,12	64:4,18	245:23
clarity	184:11,19	18:9,12	66:17	
168:4	186:1,8,1	23:15	67:8 68:2	246:7
100:4		52:21	71:4	254:10
clause	2 187:6	55:18	78:8,12	257:1,20
99:19,21	188:8,15	57 : 10	79:21,23,	258:18
100:7,16	189:25	58:4 , 9	24	259:15,23
	1			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOOL	05-14-2019	Page 285	OI 336
260:12	142:10	comparables	102:1	195:19
264:8		248:12	105:24	243:14
270:6	commissione			266:13
Collus's	d 129:21	comparative	comprehend 229:6	concerning
134:4	Commissione	8:13		230:11
	r 137:14	73:7,10	con 93:24	230:11
column 98:3	committee	compared	concentrati	concerns
columns	159:7	204:9	ng 10:25	11:8
98:10	170:7	comparing	_	36:20
comes 31:14		248:6	concept 43:12	45:2 71:1
104:23	common	gomponi gop		87:20,22
200:21	215:10	comparison 82:8	44:6 160:10	135:1
219:4	261:19,21	82:8 251:18	160:10	143:19
227:24	communicati		162:10,11	150:15
242:2	ng 27:17	competing	170:2,5,9	159:15
	communicati	134:13	,21 171:2	164:10
comfortable	on 230:14	246:13	174:19	166:16,17
11:16	234:9,11,	complaints	210:7	231:21,25
coming	16,20	127:24	227:21	233:8
57:19 , 20	·	259:13		235:19
68 : 15	communicati	complete	concepts	concluded
139:5	ons 59:22	8:8	65:18	226:17
143:4	community	203:14	172:5	conclusion
164:6	254:20,23		concern	259:10
238:17	255:12	completed	10:16	conclusions
272:19	258:19	87:19	92:21	36:13
commencing	companies	106:10	111:25	
5:1	17:25	completely	161:2,8,2	conditions
comment	187:14	173:14	5 162:9	13:16
20:9	230:13	200:6,12	163:21,22	conduct
160:22	company	239:10	164:2,4,2	29:10
161:16,20	company 19:20	240:16	5	48:1,23
164:5	32:2 70:1	complicated	165:15,24	155:24
177:14	76:2,14	130:3	, 25	156:15,18
195:17	77:14,15		166:1,8,9	conducted
203:13	78:9,13	component 61:22	,10	29:6
258:25	79:16,22	63:15,16,	232:19,25	
	80:2,21	18 103:24	233:22	conference
comments	81:14	104:8	234:4,6,1 2,13	116:25
30:23 163:9,16	91:11	193:19	·	confidence
177:1	120:23	205:13	concerned	248:2,4,1
255:3	121:12,16	253:1	9:14	0 251:6
261:25	,21	269:16	79:20,25	254 : 13
	128:20		87:3	262:9
Commerce	145:7	components	138:14	confident
239:14	148:17	60:24 62:18	154:23	8:10
commercial	156:5	62:18 92:5	162:5	confidentia
117:9	226:11	92:5 95:18	168:10	1 52:25
commission	240:18	97:10	177:22,24 178:1	141:10,12
	265:20,22	J / • ± U	1/0.1	171.10,12
i l				

INQUINI	. 1e COLLINGWOO.	D 03-14-2019	raye 200	01 330
confirm	257:10	on 183:6	242:2	control
214:2	considerati	constitute	continuatio	23:1
confirming	on 57:24	187 : 13	n 12:20	24:20,21
8:16	65:8,15			41:17
28:16	160:4	constituted	continue	42:2
151:14	235:14	221:1	10:11	64 : 22
conflict	considerati	constructiv	49:6	65:3,9,16
		e 257:15	77:11	,22 97:2
265:21 266:24	ons 20:7	consult	86:20 169:4	106:9
	59:13	16:13	181:20	114:10,21
267:11,23	64:12,21			,24
conflicts	65:12,21	consultant	continued	128:15
266:13	66:1,8,11	29:21	3:6 5:22	150:20
confused	83:17 97:17	consultants	22:14	211:8
169:10	104:3	262:5	42:6	217:7
263:5	208:11	consulted	71:23	229:3,5,9
268:2		175:4	81:24	,14,17,21
	considered	1/3.4	83:3	,22
confusion	6:8 28:21	consumption	85 : 20	244:13,16
238:25	37:9,11,1	117:20	95 : 13	,22,25
conjunction	5 39:15	contact	103:4	245:25
187:17	47:1	56:7,25	107:21	controlled
connection	78:15	140:15	115:13	229:12
122:2	88:25		125:19	conversatio
	90:13	contacted	137:22	n 25:4
consensus	92:17	189:5,9	142:15,24	35:3 69:9
171:10,16	141:15	contacting	164:24	92:15
,24	149:1	152 : 20	200:15	255 : 17
172:6,9,1	169:16	contain	214:7	268:12
9 173:12	171:5,17	215:4,15	224:8	
consequence	172:15,17		235:12	conversatio
80:17	224:17	contained	245:20	ns 6:17
152:7	226:12	8:14	254:5	25 : 21
consequence	227:12,20	17:14	268:8	75:17
s 79:11	244:19	contains	continues	81:16
	considering	213:9	219:12	94:14
conservatio	6:15 35:7	contemplate	continuing	98:16
n 226:14	40:15	d	49:11	112:25
consider	75:23	153:2,13		168:16
40:6	92:23		contractual	255 : 19
46:23	99:23	<pre>content 30:8</pre>	261:6,12	conversion
57:12	134:22	93:17	contractual	19:21
63:8 88:9	227:17		ly 241:22	77 : 8
100:6	238:2,13	contention	contrary	convey
101:16	consistent	90:6	165:22	29 : 24
143:7	13:23	contents		30:25
159:22	14:6,24	3:1 11:22	contributed	donizorod
186:5	31:25	65 : 13	155 : 2	conveyed 25:4
197:24	110:9	context	contributio	25 : 4 27:13
233:4,6	consolidati	76:17	n 174:7	45:21
	COMBOTTUALT	/ Ø: 1		40.41

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 05-14-2019	Page 287	OI 336
47:3 77:6	188:3	15:10,24	35:13 , 17	271:1
93:24	correct	23 : 7	36:2,6,8,	count
152:22	11:3	24:21	22	249:24
183:9	18:22	95:21	37:18 , 21	249:24
190:21		96:5,11	38:4,5,11	counter
	26:13	113:1,19	,16,21	254:22
conveying	45:24	118:23	40:21	couple 11:9
152:21	86:11	122:23	45:4,14	30:18
227:12	111:21	123:11	46:5	34:22
Cooper 2:12	126:22	124:1	47:1,14	82:4
22:21	127:6,13,	192:8	50:23	
23:20	24 128:17		53:18,21	115:14
198:24	129:3,15,	cost-	86:16	129:11
226:3	22 132:17	effective	93:23	133:20
	146:20	9:13,20	105:1,14,	147:7
cooperating	152:4	10:1	17,23	
103:13	153:15	49:13,18,	106:3	238:23
cooperative	155:20	19	100.3	261:23,25
55:12	163:17	cost-		course
180:18	166:2	effective	111:14,16	15:12 , 23
183:20	170:14,19	ly 8:9	112:2,6	17:11
copy 23:19	172:21	1y 0.9	129:13	20:15
31:7	178:4	costing	130:11	86:23
31:7	193:16	263:9	135:5	135:19
cordial	252:21	costs	154:11	149:19
257:10	257:23	8:6,17,22	158:12	171:8
core 256:18	262:6	14:18,23	161:16,20	175:6
	265:10	16:4	169:17	179:13
Corp 74:21	266:25	17:6,11,2	175:3	184:6,18
76:5	267:21	1 18:25	177:22	193:7
104:18	273:22	19:4,13,1	186:1	206:16
corporate	correcting	5 24:12	190:9,13,	211:4,24
19:23	273 : 5	25:2	18	212:8
76:11		50:16	196:10,15	214:22
77:10	correction	51:1	198:25	216:10
80:25	258:3	96:17	222:4	223:16
81:7,12	correctly	123:7,12,	226:13	239:25
264:3	17:2	20,22	231:19	246:5
	53:18	198:11	255:15	255:18
corporation	144:15	199:1,10	257:23	256:16
2:8,22	146:1	·	258:4,7	265:7,19
18:4 40:2	247:13	could've	259:1,4	267:21
91:5	257:20	217:16	councillor	
146:10		council	161:10	court 82:23
187:22	corresponde	1:18 11:4	162:2,13	194:23
223:17,20	nce 74:16	13:13	Councils	cover 147:7
240:9	cost 8:18	22:23	197:1	covered
244:19	9:11,17,2	27 : 14	257 : 5	124:2
corporation	0 10:17	28:22		
s 86:22	12:14	29:1,3	counsel	CPS 102:14
187:22	13:21	33:15,16	2:3,5,10,	104:14
	14:21	34:9	14 71:19	CPS0002668

		OD 05 14 2013	rage 200	01 000
4:6	119:5,8	179:14	268:10	dealt
CPS0006920	criter	220:11	dated 77:5	141:19
248:21	255 : 25	currently	152 : 6	187:16
CPS0007	criteria	66:10	David	Dean 22:21
225:17	47:23	cus	108:15,21	26:18
CPS0007018	51:9	76:4,6,8	day 7:21	debt
0001 4:3	60:11,12	customer	74:18	6:8,9,12,
	61 : 5	8 : 17	84:17	21,23
CPS0007026_	62:12	56:14	85 : 8	22:25
0001 4:7	63:1 64:5	116:15	131:25	23:13
CPS0011306	73:22	117:8,9,1	145:9	24:20,23
4:8	83:12	0 118:8	153:13	134:1
CPS11306	95:8,10	120:3	161:3,9	220:19
103:12	126:20	127:14,21	162:2,22	221:24
204:24	127:4,11 128:3	128:7	228:18	222:3
CPS2668	193:9	132:22	231:2,19	223:8
82:17	206:18	133:22	266:23	debt/equity
155:8	248:20	customers	270:16	6:14
	250:4	117:11,18	271:11	decades
CPS4397		118:5	days 54:23	55 : 25
37:24	criterion	119:25	110:12	196:23
CPS7018_000	127:22	127:23	111:17	December
1 115:16	critical	136:9	112:1,10,	87:24
CPS7018 300	128:8	189:16	11 128:16	92:16
01 41:10	cross-	191:24	129:14	104:18
	examinati	195:14,16	130:7	219:5
cracked	on	,20	131:1	222:7
138:10	3:8,9,10,	cutting	159:17	decent
create	11,12	199:1,10	161:12	19 : 15
23:14	126:13		163:3,5,1 7	73:6
24:23	159:11	D	164:8,14	
82:7	179:10	damage	166:1,3	decide
153:18	225:13	157 : 7	246:6	77:11
243:17	237:4	Darius		110:25
248:5	247:12	269:21,24	deadlock	111:3,4 273:9
created	crunching	·	130:17	
166:21	263:1	data 66:20	deal 87:18	decided
229:21	culmination	67:1,2,10	112:23	6:17
creating	118:16	68:7	142:8	20:13
229:11		130:2	149:24	80:25
creation	current	date	dealing	86:25
176:20	10:12	57:6 , 18	11:16	87:15 93:1
	52:19	58:5	12:9	95:10
credit	96:12 116:7	87:24	91:13	100:3,17,
117:4,6,1	117:2	145:23	100:10	19 135:6
2	118:18	152:14	127:20	158:12
118:6,22,	125:19,20	164:13 165:5,14	186:3	172:5
24,25	151:15	221:15	deals 263:8	216:14
		221:12		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-14-2019	Page 289	OI 336
deciding	76 : 25	17:13	140:16	63 : 3
113:2	99:18	dependent	determine	153:2
188:13	111:14	107:8,15,	48:23	difficult
decision	120:9	16	40:23 60:16	168:4,23
	124:1		70:10	243:24
25:25	125:25	depending		243;24
43:9 48:8	171:20	151:20	determined	difficulty
79:17	174:8	deposit	26:17	118:14
86:24	194:4	117:8	61:14	166:6
88:6 91:3	204:21	118:23	develop	229:20
112:7	228:5	119:2	130:17	dig 153:10
122:20	237:15		264:7,8,1	_
124:17	238:9,12	depth 90:5	2	direct
130:12 226:8	242:6,19	140:3		166:6
	269:25	deputy 94:3	developed	168:16
227:22	definition	157:9	171:24	directed
265:14	244:15	227:18	203:14	36:8
268:10,17	245:22	deregulatio	difference	67 : 17
270:3,5		n 185:2	98:21	direction
decision-	degree		150:6 , 13	43:6
maker	38:17	describe	209:20	182:24
186:2	63:9	89:16	210:2	183:5,6
decision-	112:8	186:22	different	205:7
making	113:9	213:13	17:1	245:10
26:5	197:4	described	28:25	
48:15	258:11	10:15,17	41:5 45:5	directive
	262:9	27:24	78:19	205:19,24
decisions	266:17	173:9	85 : 22	207:1,3
47:12,15,	delay 20:4	180:10	86:2	directly
20,23,24	82:24	220:15	87 : 15	7:5 12:6
81:16	4-1:		89:14	45 : 21
90:25	delivered	describes	91:4	67 : 14
91:5	33:24	13:5	92:23	68 : 10
263:14,23	260:4	22:20	130:18	112:25
264:21	delivery	109:8	132:21	113:13
deck	52:18	description	142:18	director
38:4,6,15	delving	4:2 13:15	163:22	9:10
,21	55:13	186:16,22	164:4,10	221:23
declared		221:21	165:25	
265:20	demonstrate	256:18	166:9	directors
263:20	d 251:6	258:21	190:2,6,2	42:8
1	department	262:3	3 214:10	44:11
declaring	9:11,12	deserved	229:11,13	64:4,19
266:13	17:16	207:4	,20	65 : 7
deem 150:8	23:4		240:7,20	104:17
1	167:2	detail	269:22	225:18
deemed	187:4	25:18		242:16
134:1,5	269:14	36:15	differentia	261:1
deeply	departments	90:3	1 6:22	disadvantag
152:2	16:1	152 : 8	117:25	eous
definitely		details	differently	
· · · ·				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOOI	05-14-2019	Page 290	of 336
153:19	244:11	distributio	158:8	55:19
disagreemen	discussions	n 6:11	163:10,11	62 : 1
t	38:14,19	86:22	165:7	86:18
	48:7	133:25	166:22	93:10
246:14,21	50:12	186:13	178:3	112:4,9
discovered	50:12	187:13,23	193:2	126:15
80:17			207:17,23	133:6,11,
discuss	60:11	diverse	213:7	18 147:17
42:18	61:4,17	242:18	218:18	148:20
73:16	65:25	divide	219:6,15	196:2,11
77:20,21	66:5,21	209:4	225 : 16	205:25
88:15	70:21	252:18	245:2	206:1,3
158:11	76:23	dividend		252.15
197:1	78:5 81:3		documentati	255 : 11
	86:23	7:13	on 152:22	265:12
discussed	92:7	150:4	156:3	269:5
44:18	94:11	157:17,21	198:9	
48:10	96:15	,23	documents	door 143:12
52:14,24	98:19	158:10,13	11:2 57:4	204:3,7
58:3	99:4,14	239:22	85:23 , 24	244:4,5
79:1,10	101:20	240:17,25	114:2	Douds 55:12
80:4	108:24	dividends	143:4	181:8
98:17	112:15,19	238:25	156:3	4
105:8	113:6,9,1	dividing	226:25	dozen
discusses	3 114:2	60:24	241:20	179:18
74:16	124:17			dozens
	146:14,18	division	dollar	241:7
discussing	183:3	83:23	118:23	draft
5:24 25:7	185:6	divorce	235:16	31:7,14
discussion	188:8,18	160:11	dollars	33:24
43:9,25	197:14		7:11	35:22
44:4	221:21	divorced	118:10	76 : 19
48:12	displayed	130:22	domain	198:9,10
50:10	224:19	doctor's	260:9,13	·
51:23	disposition	144:8	·	drafted
60:16	246:1	document	Don 55:12	112:14
61:14	240.1	12:23	done	166:2,4
73:21	dispute	16:24	8:7,9,12	dragging
79:9	247:9	17:14	9:8,12	84:24
83:18	dissolved	22:16	11:6 12:4	draw 105:25
94:8	79:23	24:7 26:7	19:8,9	169:5
98:11		30:11	21:22	259:9
106:2,7	distaste	31:16	23:24	
115:5,8	138:15	32:17,25	24:6,11,2	drawn
116:1	distinct	33:14	5 25:18	156:10
147:24	240:1	62:6	26:1,23	due 171:8
197:6	distinction	74:11	27 : 7	204:13
203:17	227:5	83:6,12	28:19	
219:13		86:3	34:14	duly 42:16
222:7,16	distracted	109:3	35 : 18	during
233:15	96:2	151:23	36:4,6,11	15:19

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-14-2019	Page 291	OI 336
23:16	182:18	109:15	6 55:3	41:1
25:21	i1	146:5	56:8	
30:5	easily	186:8	57:3,7,13	empowerment 45:10
35:18	63:21	205:7	,24	45:10
43:7	127:8	210:11,17	58:5 , 25	empty
50:10	easy 83:23	,19,24	59:3,11,2	230:10
53:5	270:4	212:14	2	
68:16,22	Ed 22:21	214:11,16	74:16,25	encompassin
87:16		216:19	76:18	g 43:16
90:24	26:24	254:23	77:2,19,2	44:8
109:14	158:23	273:6	5 79:3,4	52 : 18
171:13	256:16	273.0	81:13	encountered
177:13	EDGAR 3:5	elected	138:4,10	118:13
255:23	5 : 9	22:24	140:19	angaga 50.7
	education	198:24		engage 50:7
259:22	34:6	election	158:7 164:13	engaged
260:11	34:0	198:21		61 : 4
duties	Edwin 2:16		230:14	engagement
11:17	effect	elections	emailing	255 : 25
168:11	161:16	196:22	86:12	256 : 1
	228:9	electric	emailings	
E		186:20,21	113:7	engineering
eager	effective	·		21:9
190:15	145:23	electricity	emails	enhance
	effectively	91:9	30:17	192:18
earlier	8:19	118:11	86:2	
50:15	165:4,17	185:2	135:9	enjoy 58:20
77:1,7,19		186:13	136:3	enjoyed
79:20	efficiencie	187:14,23	employee	10:17
83:13	s 10:17	electronic	155:24	ensued
90:22	23:14	67 : 7	260:22	116:1
92:3,21	24:23	225:15		
95:21	183:14	alamban+	employees	ensuring
96:4	efficient	elephant 246:8	17:12,22	96:10
121:25	8:22	240:0	18:11	entail
139:10	9:17,21	elimination	19:24	192:22
179:23	. 66	154:6	28:10,11	
180:13	efforts	else 25:10	34:15	enter 38:20
183:21	88:7	27:5	91:9	197:25
251:7	eight 158:6	44:23	127:18	entered
262:1	249:15	86:14	141:19	65 : 3
265:9	eighty	93:16	146:23	174:10,12
266:1	182:20	148:6	190:4	241:13
268:11	201:5	163:5	261:6	
269:1		170:23	employer	entering
early 22:19	eighty-two	195:25	254:11	49:3
23:25	182 : 21	213:4		105:3
56:1	either 53:5	253:4	employment	enterprise
76:22	79:5	268:1	145:7	223:7
85:13	86:13		146:3	224:14
146:1	97 : 25	email 31:12	empowered	entire
T40.T	102:12	54:9,10,1	37 : 20	C 0.1. G

114:14	95 : 7	220:6	160:25	228:3
entirely	equated	ethical	174:10,11	254 : 20
163:22	25:23	242:22	177:3	272 : 7
236:22	64:15	evaluate	232:15	evident
entities	70:4	72:3,16	everybody	165:6
33:19	122:7		84:24	ex 157:17
66:17	equating	evaluated	89:22	
167:1	9:5	47:21	143:25	exact 15:24
		73:5	151 : 12	237:8,22
entitled	equation	evaluating	203:24	exactly
214:18	253:9	57 : 15	206:21,24	15 : 5
entity	equity	71:13,25	236:24	20:17
16:15	6:12 , 23	72:14	253 : 9	108:14
18:8	134:1	73:2	everybody's	116:20
64:22	Erling 19:2	127:21	85 : 2	185:17
65:9,15,2	20:16	evaluation	265:4	209:3,5,1
1 81:1	145:14	48:16	272:18	5 210:3
129:2,4	218:8,15	62:12	everyone	252 : 17
181:13	220:22	71:7,15	77:21	253 : 11
200:18		72:19	173:9	267 : 18
229:11,13	Erling's 29:20	82:6	201:23	Examination
266:12	29:20	83:12	202:1,3,2	-in-Chief
entity's	erosion	97:9	5 252:7	3:6 5:22
75:18	154:20,22	193:8		examined
76:24	155:2,5	204:23	everything	96:7
envelope	especially	evaluations	77:16	
220:18	238:2	105:15	122:20	example
223:6		209:22	144:20	44:13
	essence		221:15	91:7
environment	64:7,14 167:16	evenly	evidence	127:18
257:7		252 : 18	15:23	149:22
259:6	essential	event 76:1	62 : 23	205:12
265:4	175:24	152 : 9	72:18	212:15
envisioned	211:19,25	163:12	76:20	218:25
89:22	essentially	165:15	77:8,20,2	239:5
110:2,9	42:1	172:4	1 86:12	examples
envisioning	171 : 18	231:6,10	92:4 94:3	200:17
89:15	established	events 57:1	95:23	exceed
109:19	164:15	1	102:12	15:22
117.16		eventual	132:25	
envy 117:16	estimate	168:7,8,2 5 174:21	134:10	exceeded
equal 101:4	221:1	246:1	135:16	16:5
equally	estimation	253:10	141:18,24	except
172:16	19:18		142:4	92:14
228:22	218:25	eventually	179:21	206:21,25
	219:1	18:3	182:8 193:23	exchange
equals 172:17	et 214:16	21:19	205:4,6	59:22
208:18	215:9,11	67:7	203.4,6	execution
	219:19	111:12	223:3	
equate 39:8		125:3	223.3	43:5

111001111	. le COLLINGWOC	70 05 14 2013	Page 293	01 330
executive	53:14	140:16	190:12	45:19
146:14	124:20	143:17	expressed	57:2 , 23
221:22	150:24	233:11	53:15	58:2
230:12	151:16	270:21	93:11	70:22
232:8	156:13	271:9	94:4	88:9 89:3
executives	185 : 19	272:1	138:25	91:14
237:18	194:17	explained	159:15	92:16
	212:6	15:23	161:25	99:23
exemption	221:10	26:25	163:21	101:9
78:18,22	242:23	36:18,21	165:1,15	103:6
80:7,14	expectation	37:6	166:8,10,	108:10
113:3	29:18	46:17		110:15
153:6	93:12	48:14	16,17 167:13	122:7,24
exercise			107:13	134:4
18:21	147:5	71:6 77:7	expression	135:17
20:11	192:25	88:5	53:9	144:2
48:23	194:25	112:5	57 : 20	146:21
55:10	expectation	139:3	231:18	151:2
119:1	s 32:1	140:1	expressions	
243:5	expected	148:2	52:16	160:10
246:15	29:13	158:10		166:21
263:1,2	53:8	168:9	extend	175 : 3
268:22	176:22	169:23	237:25	177 : 21
		190:12	238:19	178 : 8
exercised	expecting	191:17	extended	181:16
27:2	30:20	271:1	237:13	182:11
132:12,18	31:10	explaining	extensive	206:21
216:7	experience	76:13	113:19	216:2
exercising	149:14	221:14	246:11	228:2
217:12	195:4,7	229:24	269:7	237:11
243:15	244:4	explanation		238:6
exhibit 4:2	256 : 25	49:23	extent 9:18	239:20
273:2	263 : 17		160:3	241:12
	expert	exploration 28:24	168:6	242:15
Exhibits	265:4	28:24	external	245:23
3:3 4:1		explore	8:11	255 : 2,8
exist	expertise	23:7	18:24	260:25
267:21	12:8	24:21	264:1	factor
existed	43:19	41:1	extra 167:6	
7:24	44:16	explored		
14:25	153:8	139:16	extract	factors
	169:6 264:23	154:3	149:23	63:24
existing		exploring		fair 14:3
261:13	explain 6:4	88:10	F	37:9 45:7
exit 99:9	15:6 28:4	222:15	fa 160:19	47:19
102:25	62:15,18		fact 15:11	91:21
241:14,17	88:3,11,1	express	19 : 17	98:20
expand	8 100:1	86:4	27 : 12	132:9
108:6	106:16	159:18	28:18	133:19
	117:5	161:8	34:1	151:21
expect	139:11	162:9		154:9,24
I	j l			I

~		JD 03 14 2017	1 agc 254	
170:4	fashion	266:16	69:24	253:1
197:17	86:18	field 153:8	269:2	259:24
199:3,13	107:17		finally	262:13
204:13,20	favour	fifteen	112:14	263:22,24
207:6	160:19	71:21	270:3	265:19
211:21		195:19		266:11
212:13,14	FD 221:6	fifty 95:6	finance	269:16
221:8	FD001.4.1	126:25	17:17	financially
238:5,16	248:15	250:13	167:2	264:21
244:3	February	fifty-six	269:14	
254:24	140:11	251:2	financial	financials
257 : 12	140:11		19:14	72:19
259:3 , 11	feedback	figure	33:17	finding
266:13	53:5	18:16	60:19,23	40:17
267:14	feel 272:6	21:22	61:11,22	49:5
269:10		58 : 22	62:17 , 25	findings
fairly	feelings	151 : 7	63:1,9,10	72 : 4
19:15	93:25	199:9	,22	104:24
21:3	felt 8:6,10	202:17	64:5,12	145:18
60:20	12:11	203:9,10	66:8	
117 : 15	20:10	204:18	72:14,17,	fine 143:20
125:15	30:25	219:20	22 73:22	144:2
133:2	39:24	235:16	82:5	163:14
179 : 18	77:12	figures	83:17	170:23
204:9	78:18	151:17	84:4 92:5	189:2
	79:22	figure's	95 : 2,18	192:1
<pre>fairness 258:15</pre>	90:15	133:16	97:9,17,2	195:6
	91:9 95:1		3 102:1,4	201:18
266:5	102:22	figuring	103:24	273 : 8
faith 149:3	122:18	20:1,2	104:8	finetuning
fallen	123:24	185:23	105:24	31:13
144:24	135:3	file 245:17	126:20	finish
	143:21	filed	127:4	252:1
falling	159:21		130:10	
118:15	160:23	259:13 272:18	151 : 5	finished
familiar	161:14		156:4,11	56:18
32:16,21,	173:13	files	158:14	firm 181:19
24 38:6,7	174:25	268:23	185:13	Firman
54 : 15	177:20	filing	199:2	256:16
216:4	181:19	113:1,19	204:23	
220:13	183:12	final	205:12	firming
242:9,12	185:14,16	109:23	206:7	12:4
257 : 13	191:22	151:14,17	207:12	first 6:10
familiarity	207:4	·	208:4,14	34:23
220:10	241:16	finalize	209:9	37 : 13
family	248:13	13:7	223:13,16	40:22
258 : 5	265:1	finalized	224:14,18 239:3	42:15
	fi 47:14	10:8	239:3 248:6	54:9
fan 185:23	fiduciary	11:11,12,	250:17,21	55:2,6,13
265:9	156:17	20 12:1,2	252:5,8	63:8
		·	2J2.J, 0	

	. LE COLLLINGWOC	JD 03-14-2019	rage 293	01 330
64:11,20	170:14	200:2	16:21,25	frankly
65:19	255:11,24	forum 56:18	17:5,8	141:23
66:24	forgetting		18:5,15,2	254:14
67 : 17	166:11,13	forward	0 19:7	fray 254:21
83:14	·	20:18	20:5,20	263:10
91:2	form 6:9	37:19	21:20	
99:15,20	12:13	43:3,10	22:3,11	Frederick
100:12	69:3	49:8	41:11,14,	2:16 3:9
102:25	83:16	75 : 24	20 42:3	142:2,12,
104:1	92:18	77:15	71:14,18	19
110:15	106:23	87:3	81:19	159:11,12
129:20	177:2	89:19	82:21	160:1,8,1
144:13	186:2	90:25	84:12	8,24
151:1	187:21 201:6	92:7 101:5	85:9,11,1	161:7,15,
152:7			7	18,24
160:5,7	formal 29:8	124:11,14 136:20	94:17,23	162:8,16,
178:16	formally	143:24	102:15,18	19 163:7,15,
180:22	61:18	151:13	, 24	20
188:8		168:11	106:14	164:1,7,2
206:8,10	format	171:12	107:4,10,	4,25
210:6	73:5,7,10	190:17	18	165:3,12,
225:15	149:15	211:2	114:7,12,	21
231:11	formation	226:8,19	19,25	166:5,15,
fiscal 17:9	91:7	257:19	115:4,10	20
222:2,10	176:16		125:1,5,8	167:4,8,1
five 46:24	formed	Foundation	,13,21 126:2,6,1	
47:5 89:5	174:23	12:23	0	168:21
132:20	178:13	16:23	137:9,19	169:9,19,
169:20	186:17	22:16	142:21	22
172:8,23	187:16	26:7 31:16	159:8	170:3,11,
227:22	former 94:3	62:6	164:16,21	17,22
flavour	128:21	74:11	179:2,5	171:1,7,1
257:7	259:13	83:6,11	200:11	9,22
		86:3	213:24	172:3,10,
flower 94:4	formerly	109:3	223:21	18
flux 12:12	242:10	178:3	224:1	173:1,4,7
19:21	forming	193:2	225:8	,15,21
77:16	187:20	218:18	235:2,6,9	174:1,5,9
focus 13:15	forms	219:15	236:14,18	,14,18
24:25	246:18	226:25	, 23	175:2,10,
26:20		fourth	245:8,12	16,22
95:22	formulate	90:20	253:15,19	176:1,7,1
	6 : 8		,22,25	4,19,25
focussing	forth 43:18	frame	267:8,15	177:6,9,1
92:1	113:7	261:13	268:4	3 , 25
211:4	132:1	framework	270:13,20	178:6,9,1
forays	148:11	267 : 25	271:4,18	9,24
225:15	Fortis	Frank 1:7	272:9,20	friction
force	199:25	5:3,14	273:1,7,1	154:16
104:25		J.J, 14	4,18	

INQUINI	. Te COLLINGWOOD	D 05-14-2019	rage 290	01 330
Friday 42:9	3	67:2,15,2	21	133:4,9,1
225:19	33:2,6,22	0,23	101:14,23	
friend	34:5	68:3,9,13	102:6,20	134:2,6,1
126:14	35:2,10,2	, 20	103:2,10,	4 , 25
142:17	0	69:1,6,11	15 , 20	135:3,14,
163:8	36:5,14,2	,16,21,25	104:9	18,23
165:23	5	70:12,14,	105:5,10,	136:2,17
	37:10,17	19,24	19	138:6,11,
front 77:21	38:7,12,1	71:5,11	106:5,11,	19
110:16	7,22	72:2,8,12	22	139:2,9,1
131:4	39:7,14	,16,24	107:6,12	5 , 23
163:11	40:6,16,2	73:4,12,1	108:19,22	
203:11	1	8 , 25	109:1,21	141:1,3,6
fruition	41:3,8,18	74:6,9,24	110:3,11,	,9,13,21,
88:8	,24 43:11	75:2,12,1	21 111:22	
Fryer 2:14	44:1,5,9,	3,19	112:3,18,	
3:5	20,25	76 : 25	24	18
5:5,7,9,1	45:8,17,2	78:7,17,2	113:18,22	
2,13	5	4	, 25	1,14,23
6:3,6	46:3,9,13	79:2,13,1	114:3,11,	
7:10	,18,22	8	15,22	3,24
8:1,4,24	47:22	80:10,15,	115:2,7,2	
9:3,22	48:3,11,1	19,24	4	16,19
10:4,10,1	8,22	81:4,8	116:14,19	
9 11:13	49:5,21,2	82:1,2,10	117:7	148:2,7,1
12:3,19	5 50:9,19	,15 83:24	119:10,17	
13:11,25	51:3,10,1	84:5	, 20	5 149:4,9
14:9	8,21	86:10	120:20,25	
15:2,9,17	52:5,11,1 5	87:8,11,2 1 88:19	121:6,11, 17,22	1,17,22 151:1,11,
,21	53:1,7,17	89:2,18,2	= -	
16:9,12,1	,24	1	23	152:5,13,
7	54:17,22	90:2,15,2	123:15 , 24	192.3,13,
17:4,7,10	55:4,9,24	3	124:6,15,	153:4,16,
18:7,19,2	56:5,9	91:22,24	19	22
3 19:11	57:2,8,16	92:9,13,1	125:4,7,1	154:12,18
20:8,24	,25 58:7	9,25	0,14,25	155:3,14,
21:24	59:9,16,1	93:4,8,12	126 : 16 , 18	19
22:7	9,23	, 19	,23	156:1,6,1
23:21,25	60:2,4,10	94:9,13,1	127:7,15,	3,16,21
24:4,13	, 15	6,18,20	19 , 25	157:16 , 22
25:3,20	61:6,13,1	95:1 , 19	128:10,18	158:2,6
26:2,14,2	8,23	96:1,9,18	, 22	159:18
4	62:3,13,2	,24	129:1,4,7	160:6,14,
27:8,20,2	0 63:6	97:4,11,1	,16,23	21
5 28:7,22	64:6,13,2	4,19,24	130:5,8,1	161:4,11,
29:7,13,1	4	98:7,15,2	8,24	17,21
9	65:5,11,1	0	131:2,8,1	162:4,14,
30:10,15 31:4,9,24	7,23	99:6,12,1	4,20	17
32:3,18,2	66:3,13,2	8	132:3,7,1	163:4,13,
52.5,10,2	3	100:2,18,	3,16,24	18 , 25

INQUIRY re COLLING	GWOOD 05-14-2019	Page 297 (of 336
--------------------	------------------	------------	--------

	TE CONDINGWOO	D 03-14-2019	rage 297	01 330
164:5,12	23	215:2,7,1	243:1,8,1	18,25
165:2,8,1	190:1,25	3,18,25	3,21	271:10
9	191:4,9,1	216:4,8,1	244:8,12,	272:3,13,
166:3,13,	3,15,19,2	2,15,20,2	23	15,16,24
19 , 25	2	5	246:3,24	273:3,13,
167:7,11,	192:6,10,	217:4,9,1	247:3,8,1	16
19 168:9	15,19,24	4,18,23	9,24	Fryer's
169:1,15,	193:14,17	218:1,4,9	248:8,13	74:18
20	,21	,19,23	249:7,12,	
170:2,5,1	194:3,9,1	219:2,8	16,19,22	full 42:15
5,20,25	5 , 21	220:1,20,	250:1,6,1	63 : 7
171:4,15,	195:2,9,1	23	0,15,19,2	90:17
20	3,22	221:3,18	3	230:7
172:1,7,1	196:4,7,1	222:12,21	251:1,4,1	fully 13:20
2,22	2,19,24	,24	2,21	43:4
173:3,6,1	197:3,7,1	223:11,14	252:2,6,1	51:25
3,20,25	6,18	,18	0,14,19,2	88:10,14,
174:3,8,1	198:3,6,1	224:3,11,	2	25 89:17
3,16,22	3,17,22	16,23	253:3,7,1	90:13
175:7,13,	199:4,7,1	225:4,21	2,18,20	92:17
20,24	1,20	226:5,9,1	254:9,16,	111:8
176:5,12,	200:2,5,9	5 , 21	25	123:16
18,22	,20,25	227:3,11,	255:6,13,	162:6
177:5,8,1	201:7,12,	16	21	
1,18	15,18,25	228:5,16,	256:2,8,1	fulsome
178:5,7,1	202:4,8,1	20,22,25	2,19,23	177:10
3	5,19,22	229:15,23	257:9,17,	function
179:11,16	203:1,4,7	230:2,5,8	21 , 25	48:15
, 24	,12,21	, 17 , 22	258:8,11,	49:7
180:3,6,1	204:1,6,1	231:1,4,8	24	181:22
1,15,21,2	1,14,21	,13,17,23	259:7,16,	funding
4	205:4,18	232:1,4,1	25	260:20
181:2,7,1	206:1,4,1	7,20,23	260:5,7,1	
4,18	2,15,19,2	233:2,5,1	0,16,18	funds
182:3,6,9	3	0,13,20	261:4,17,	158:11
, 15 , 22	207:2,7,2	234:1,3,8	21	184:7,11
183:1,8,1	0	,13,15,19	262:7,10,	fuss 157:25
5 , 22	208:1,6,1	235:24	14,18,21	
184:4,8,1	2,15,19,2	236:3,9	263:3,16,	G
3,16,20,2	3	237:6,15,	25	GAAP 19:22
3	209:1,6,1	20	264:10,14	77:9
185:4,9,1	1,15,24	238:3,9,1	,22	
2	210:13,21	2,15,20	265:11,16	Gajos 2:21
186:1,10,	211:1,6,1	239:11,15	,22	game 58:12
15,20	2,17,23	,18,23	266:2,15	59:1
187:2,8,1	212:1,6,1	240:2,5,1	267:22,25	230:16,20
1,15,25	2,17,22	0,14,19	268:2,13,	233:23
188:5,10,	213:11,14	241:2,15,	19 , 25	236:7
19 , 25	, 18	24	269:13,18	Garbutt
189:7,11,	214:13,20	242:6,11,	,23	
14,17,20,	, 24	14,19,23	270:8,15,	206:21,25

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWOO	00 14 2019	rage 290	01 330
227:16	0,14,17,2	102:7	106:1,4,1	63:20
gather	5	115:1	7	132:21
128:7	235:4,7,1	198:4	government	guess 35:22
	2,13	215:24	181:16	37:10
Gaviller	236:1,4,1	228:11,12	182:1	47:22
148:17	1	,13	183:5	59:10
149:17	Georgian	glass	185:17	63:12
Gavillers	55:12,17	230:6,7	260:21	75:19
263:8	56:4			81:8
	58:14,17	Glicksman	government'	89:5,23
Gaviller's	180:18	82:22	s 99:4	90:18
263:7	183:20	113:5	grave 85:3	115:2,9
Geddes		global		l
190:9	gets 167:16	247:22	great 58:21	132:3,6,1
general 8:5	getting	1 0.25	59:5	0 146:19
25:22	23:23	goal 9:25	174:15	147:2
30:3	24:10,20	10:5	237:2	166:25
50:12	28:8,15	50:2,17	254:17	167:1
129:24	31:11	95:23 96:8,16	greater	172:12,13
155:15	58:3 67:9	90:8,10	23:14	184:24
158:16	71:15	goals 12:6	24:23	186:16,19
174:2	104:12	49:1,23	Green	191:25
	111:16	50:25	115:15	195:3,10,
generally	119:24	66:2	116:8	13,17
117:12	130:11	183:16	118:13	229:18
generals	147:4	gobble	119:9	230:25
136:12	166:6	212:4	121 : 17	239:23
generate	168:14	golf 57:7	122:3,6	258:24
153:24	181:12	58:12,25	125 : 2	265:1
	195:20	59:18	Green's	271:12
George 2:12	205:4	60:1	116:2	guessing
3:11	239:24	230:16		<pre>guessing 81:7</pre>
225:10,13	240:17,25	233:23	ground	
,14 226:6,10,	241:1	236:7	39:13	guest 58:14
16,23	243:18	238:1	group 17:18	
227:4,15,	252 : 25		47:10	Н
23	GIS 21:8,10	golfing	56:13	half 95:3
228:8,17,	given 38:9	58:6	230:13	96:22
21,23	66:1,8	gone 18:21	235:21	126:9
229:1,18,	72:3	55:16	251:8	128:16
25	105:18	100:5	257 : 1	129:15,20
230:3,6,9	134:16	236:10	groups	165:18
,19,23	156:12	258:23	257:8,16	178:18
231:3,6,9	160:4,10,	271:16		179:17
,14,20,24	16 182:11	googled	grow	229:8
232:2,5,1	205:15,16	244:14	49:15,24,	230:7,10
8,21,24	213:22	Gord 257:3	25 50:3,6	1 = = = -
233:3,7,1	233:8	GOTA 23/:3	130:19	251:25
1,16,21	245:16	governance	189:18	hall 1:17
234:2,5,1		98:5,14	growing	257:4
	giving			

INQUIN	Te COLLINGWOO	05-14-2019	Page 299	01 330
hand 99:11	76:20	heart 255:4	238:14	102:15,18
188:16	102:11	heavy 60:20	Hogg 143:11	,24
260:3	190:24	_	148:6	106:14
handed 11:8	207:23	he'd 29:22	256:15	107:4,10,
	213:9	held 1:16		18
handle	245:16	104:18	hold	114:7,12,
28:17	having 35:7	halm 7.0	247:15,17	19,25
handled	43:13	help 7:8 23:13	Holdco	115:4,10
113:11	95:5	25:13	153 : 15	125:1,5,8
114:4	96:13	33:14	holding	,13,21
178:17	99:4,14	40:4	76:2 , 14	126:2,6,1
195:15	101:20	82:13	77:14,15	0
269:16	111:10	110:18	78:9,13	137:9,19
hands	116:15	264:12	79:16,22	142:21
171:23	142:3	268:23	80:1,21	159:8
172:2	166:5		81:14	164:16,21
227:8	207:17	helpful		179:2 , 5
	217:21	160:2	home 222:10	
hang 58:11	229:19	168:20,22	272 : 16	213:24
happen 78:6	head 39:18	200:12,13	homes	223:21
88:2	101:8	214:3	119:16	224:1
90:16	116:25	here's	homework	225:8
99:2	232:6	105:22	133:6,11,	235:2,6,9
217:12		232:5,7	18	236:14,18
happened	heading	Herhalt		,23
11:23	98:5	51:23	Honour	245:8,12
20:6 46:4	99:10	171:6	142:2	253:15,19
51:11	headlong	227:20	179:8	,22,25 267:8,15
61:10	144:19		224:6	268:4
99:1	heads 23:5	he's 77:25	225:7,11	270:13,20
139:8		164:6	236:13,17 261:23	271:4,18
177:19	hear 241:6	267:12	261:23	272:9,20
189:5	271:20	Hey 54:12		273:1,7,1
216:3	heard 22:5	high 49:7	HONOURABLE	4,18
219:4	26:11	212:10,11	5:3,14	•
221:15	39:1	247:15,18	16:21,25	hope 54:12
261:20	62:23	254:13	17:5,8	hoped
happens	92:3 94:2	262:9	18:5,15,2	271:16
91:4	132:25	higher	0 19:7	hopefully
178:17	133:24	191:23	20:5,20	73:6
209:4	134:9,10	251:10,19	21:20	139:7
	136:11	•	22:3,11	
harassment	141:18	highest	41:11,14, 20 42:3	hoping
141:23	166:21	102:7	71:14,18	58:13
259:14	205:4,6	235:16		245:16
hard 130:3	227:25	242:22	81:19 82:21	Horizon
hate 55:25	254:12	252 : 7	84:12	204:19
	hearing	hired 264:5	85:9,11,1	207:6
haven't	225:16	history	7	hosts
54:13	272:15		94:17 , 23	
			J1.11/2J	

~	·			
242:16	g 238:24	lly	identified	100:22
Houghton	How's 7:21	239:14,21	17:3 46:5	
2:16 9:9		265:7,18	124:13	107:7
11:18	huge 134:12	266:6	237:11	112:3
20:9 24:5	197:13	267 : 7	identify	115:9
25:7	hundred		104:11	120:6
26:17	7:11	I	203:16,18	122 : 5
27:2,5,11	118:10	i.e 76:3		125:11
,18 33:17	182:13,15	159:17	IFRS 19:22	127:3
36:7	201:5	162:1,11	77:9	128:1,8
69:5,8	208:9	178:10	I'11 11:3	131:2
70:8	Hurontario	Ian 157:7	13:3 77:3	
101:2	1:19		85:24	141:14,17
113:5,14		I'd 8:25	91:7	142:3
116:3,24	hurry 254:1	29:13,24	126 : 16	147:4,6
131:18	Hydro 20:25	31:10	137:11	151:24
140:11,13	21:10	63:4 78:2	179:19	155:17
141:19	76:13 , 19	82:3	190:22	156:7
142:5,10	77:4,6	84:21	245:2	158:17,25
143:12	79:4,11	85:4 86:1	246:9	163:14
145:9	80:18	91:2,25	248:4,15	164:12
148:6	102:7,19	99:6	251 : 17	165:22 166:5,7
152:15	103:7	100:14	256:11	167:25
156:4	127:23	105:24	I'm 7:22	172:11
158:24	152:3,10,	136:6	15 : 4	173:1
167:13	25	155:3	17:19	179:12
168:13	153:6,20	204:22 219:9	21:13	181:24,25
171:5	187:3	219:9	22:16	185:23
219:5	190:14,19	12,14	26:4	192:12
221:16	191:18,23	239:23	31:10	199:22,23
222:7,9,2	193:24	241:3	36:6 , 25	200:5
0 223:10	194:12,21	254:6	44:1	201:18
224:21	, 25	257 : 18	46:3,13	211:15
225:2,22 227:19	195:7,20 204:20	261:24	51:11	216:4
256:16	204:20		54:24	218:20
266:7	209:10,20	idea 19:15	55:25	219:11,22
267:3,4	,23	25:10	56:9,16	220:3,21
269:21	235:15,19	39:7,9,12	57 : 25	221:8
	236:8	48:8 101:6	61:1,23	224:6
Houghton's	249:21,25	123:3	62:10,20	229:15,19
124:4	250:8,12,	133:14	67 : 20 68 : 22	,20,23,24
hour 126:9	24	138:15,23	73:25	230:2
144:25	251:9,19,	167:17	75:23	237:6,8,2
236:17	25 252:2	181:8	85:21	3 238:22
hours 84:24	hypothetica	186:5	86:21	239:11 240:24,25
house 199:2	1	189:22	90:2	240:24,25
housed 67:7	266:2,23	203:14 212:13	93:24 94:21,24	12 245:16
housekeepin	267:20	ideas 172:5	97:6 98:2	247:2
	hypothetica	1ueas 1/2:5		248:19
i		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		

	. IC COHHINGWO	00 11 2019	1 age 301	01 000
252:24	143:1	increases	indicating	36:19,23
256:6,11,	impractical	15:12 , 20	153 : 23	44:22
14 257:3	130:7	incredibly	166:23	45:13
258:14,16		259:6	227:9	52:13,18,
260:14	improper		indication	24 67:25
261:22	156:12	incremental	37:7	68:1 72:3
262:24	inappropria	124:1		74:3
264:15	te 162:24	incur	individual	75 : 20
266:3,18	167:18	123:11	17:25	86:14
268:2,5	234:18	217:21	89:4	103:23
270:18,22	in-camera	incurred	104:10	105:22
271:18,19	42:18	8:6 15:25	167:13	108:25
272:4,5	43:25	16:4	207:17,18	117:19
273:3,4		19:13	232:7	122:19,22
imagine	incidental		individuall	128:1,3,9
241:12	56:25	incurring	y 172:15	130:11
242:9	inclination	123:7	individuals	156:6
immediate	6:10	indeed	27:24	157 : 17
100:11	inclined	183:3	167:14	158:14,17
204:6	155:3	184:15 , 17	169:14,15	171:9
		209:18	173:24	175:21
immediately	include	211:4		177:21
143:11	5:19	independent	industrial	191:12
148:8	103:8	106:19	117:10,11	198:4
202:6	included	107:2,5,7	industry	223:13,16
impact	13:17	,11	39:20	224:10,11
91:19	17:17	209:21	57 : 1	,14 255:4 260:23
158:13	65 : 20		128:4	261:7
implication	101:22	independent	203:24	264:1,25
79:7	109:20	s 107:15	244:4	204.1,25
	110:5	indicate	261:19	informed
implication	157:17	146:23	inference	24:5 26:3
s 77:1	221:21	172:23	156 : 10	69:2
78:4,12	includes	226:7	inflationar	143:13
106:7	102:11	indicated		152:14,16
110:19,21	including	74:19	y 15:3,8,12	220:10
191:20	34:11	86:12	,16,20	initial
263:14,22	173:19	114:9		25:21
264:20	176:3	151 : 9	influences	29:2
important	191:17	169:12	49:9	111:1
64:21	206:13	225:25	information	126:24
65:8,12,1	200:13	227 : 25	11:5	184:14
4,21	221:15	228:11	18:17	219:18
75:25		229:2	19:12	initiate
87:6	incorrect	233:17	28:1,8	119:23
176:5	225:1	235:14,18	29:7,14,1	146:12
193:19	increase	indicates	5,21,23	initiated
262:2,3,4	14:22	225:20,22	30:9 31:3	188:7,8,1
imposed	15:16	226:3	34:10,13	7
141:4,15	189:15		35:13 , 23	/
1				

	. IC CODDINGWO	02 00 11 2019	rage 302	01 000
initiating	190:12	internet	6	84:14
185:18	interest	82:24	133:12,21	141:8
188:20	7:4 52:16	83:5	143:2,25	150:15 , 20
initiative	57:21	103:18	146:15	152:9 , 25
55:23	119:4	137:7,12	149:14	153:25
56:4	152:10	interpretat	154:21	154:16
119:14	153:24	ion	168:15	155:23
226:8	156:4,11	127:13	176:10	162:22
	190:12		185:6	171:11 , 12
initiatives	199:25	interrupt	190:4	172:20
136:20	200:23	213:25	237:16	255 : 20
144:24	203:18	interviews	246:19	265:5
inner 258:5	219:10	94:25	255 : 23	issued
input 23:16	231:18	intimately	involvement	32:19
112:8,13	240:13,24	224:21	60:8	101:13
113:20	254:23		66:17,25	issuer
170:16	255:12	introduced	112:22	223:20
171:21	265:19	162:10	121:4,10	223:20
227:21	266:10,11	investment	124:4,9	issues
	,21 267:4	13:22	159:20	49:11
Inquiry 1:3	272:18	201:10	173:23	77:4 83:5
2:3,4	interested	invitation	176:3	98:2
inserted	40:9,17	238:1,19	involves	113:16
130:16	58:11	·	28:9	131:19
instance	89:8,11,2	<pre>invite 57:6</pre>		149:22
9:9 21:8	3	60:1	involving	150:24
172:13,24	189:6,10	233:14	201:3	173:8,9,1
·	191:18	involuntary	ironing	1
instances	202:7,10,	147:15	169:3	it'd
30:18	12,17	involve	irrelevant	111:20,23
135:20	203:6,10,	11:14	266:24	229:11
instead	16			item 155:13
78:7	204:5,18	involved	irrespectiv	
153:14	219:11	16:8	e 252 : 25	items 63:12
186:16	231:16	17:12,13	254:19	83:20
instructed	232:10	21:7 22:8	irrevocable	84:3
37:19	241:10	25:10	215:9,11	178:3
39:5,6	interesting	38:13,19	Irwin	193:11 207:19
instructing	199:16	43:17	256:15	207:19
131:18		46:14		•
	interests	66:5,21 70:2 71:7	isn't 58:20	2 0 20.11
instruction	246:13	73:2,3	129:7,8,1	30:15,23
s 136:14	internal	76:23	0 191:8	86:20
139:1	30:24	81:2,11	211:25	90:11
intend 78:2	133:13	82:6	223:22	125:7,10
	136:5	109:22	224:2	131:3
intended 52:25	internally	114:1	254 : 12	134:10
225:25	22:1	119:15	issue 60:5	135:14
	143:23	121:9,12	70:15	136:8
intent		122:1,6,1	78:20 , 25	143:4
		, _, _, _		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	05-14-2019	Page 303	OI 336
156:6	117:1	3	6,20,25	103:4,5,1
169:4	146:1	38:3,9,13	71:6,12,1	1,17,21
171:9	151:4	,18	7,23,24	104:14
174:25	101.4	39:3,11		
	jumping	•	72:6,10,1	
177:13	126:17	40:3,12,2	3,20	20
227:1	June 37:18	0,22	73:1,9,13	· ·
241:7,20	38:5,11	41:4,9,13	,20	107:21,22
262:4	·	42:6,7,14	74:2,7,10	108:1,20,
263:19	39:2	43:24	, 15	23
265:3	40:24	44:3,7,17	75:1,7,11	109:2,7
269:7	225:19	, 23	, 16 76:16	110:1,4,1
271:11	Justice 1:7	45:1,12,2	77:18	7
	77 : 22	2	78:1,10,2	111:18,25
	115:8	46:1,7,11	1,25	112:12,20
January		, 15 , 20	79:8 , 15	113:12,20
50:15	K	47:19	80:3,12,1	,23
30.13		48:1,6,13	6,20	114:1,5
Joan 88:21	Kate 2:3	,20,25	81:2,5,17	115:13,14
job 29:22	3:7	49:17,22	,24,25	,20,25
91:20	5:5,11,22	50:4,14,2	82:3,12,1	116:16,22
126:15	,23 6:4	4	6	119:7,12,
194:23	7:7,14,20	51:7,15,1	83:3,4,10	18
	8:2,20,25	9	84:1	120:17,22
254:16,17	9:15,23	_		· ·
,19	10:6,14	52:1,7,12	85:20,21	121:2,7,1
John 2:4	11:7 , 25	,23	87:4,9,16	5,19,24
join 58:13	12:17 , 22	53:3,13,2	88:17,23	122:14,21
Join 50.15	13:3,14	0	89:13,20,	123:10,18
joined	14:1,14	54:3,8,18	25	124:3,12,
149:12	15:6,14,1	55:1,5,22	90:9,21	16,24
joins	8	56:2,6,24	91:16,23,	Ken 149:17
149:6,21	16:7,10,1	57:5,12,2	25	lad alaa 66
	3,18,23	2 58:2	92:11,14,	kickoff
joint	22:14,15	59:2,11,1	20	26:10
104:16	23:22	7,21,24	93:2,6,9,	kinds 43:25
joke 103:18	24:2,8,18	60:3,6,13	15	65 : 25
-	25:16,24	61:1,9,16	94:2,10,1	150:24
jots 272:17		, 19 , 25	4	259:9
judge 84:15	26:6,16	62:5,10,1	95:13,14,	
238:22	27:4,16,2	4,22	20	knew 11:13
	2 28:4,18	64:1,8,16	96:3,14,2	21:24
JUDICIAL	29:5,9,17	65:1,6,13	0	26:22
1:3	30:5,12	,18,24	97:1,6,12	28:23
July 10:9	31:1,6,15	66:4,14,2	,15,21	35:17
11:2	,20,25	4	98:1,8,18	36:11
12:19	32:8,13,2	67:12,18,	,23	37:11
13:15	1,24	21,24	99:8,13,2	50:20
16:19	33:3,8,13	68:4,11,1	5	54 : 22
38:23	,23 34:22	6,24	100:14,19	70:1,2
41:6 42:9	35:5 , 15	69:4,7,13	101:11,18	121:23,25
45:4,7,14	36:1,10,1	,17,22	,24	122:6,9
115:22	7			144:16
110.44	37:5,13,2	70:7,13,1	102:13,17	151:3 , 18
1	l			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-14-2019	Page 304	01 336
175:7	177:16	222:4	39:21	271:21
180:1	203:17	237:9	50:7,11	• 05 10
190:13	227:6,20	246:6	87 : 12	leave 85:12
196:19	247:12,15	253 : 23	89:11	140:23
	,21	255:18	157:25	141:4,15
knowledge	251:6,7		181:13,17	143:1,5,7
27:23	262:9,12	late 56:1	182:13	,9,13,15
45:12	263:7	74:16	183:14	147:14,15
124:9	203:7	182:18		148:1
177:19	KPMG's 30:9	later 5:20	187:13	199:13
197:2	82:13	80:16	188:4	leaving
264:24		84:19	199:18	56:19
known			201:4,11	90:7
	labour	85:1	202:11	
17:3,6		140:11,17	237:19	123:19
18:6,18	17:11	144:7	238:7	led 155:5
35:20	lack 138:16	160:25	lead 51:23	185:7
90:24	139:21	162:23	113:16	263:5
136:6	256:17	163:2	122:3	left bend
176:8		175:13	246:20	left-hand
231:15	language	lawyers	258:20	98:6
233:18	241:19	131:18	230:20	legal
KPM1032	248:5,15	132:1,2,5	leader	176:8,15,
32:9	251:16,17	179:13	226:12	24 241:20
	262:24		leadership	244:15
KPM1804	large 168:6	layman's	258:7	246:18
77:25	181:17	241:25	230:1	
151:22		lavout	leading	length
	235:21	layout	<pre>leading 22:9</pre>	length 159:16,19
KPM2496	235:21 242:17	60:17	_	159:16,19
KPM2496 140:6	235:21 242:17 larger	_	22:9	_
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22	60:17	22:9 257:2 267:20	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14
KPM2496 140:6	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1	60:17 LD 186:15	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3 126:25 220:18
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3 126:25 220:18 223:7
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3 126:25 220:18 223:7
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16,	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25 80:4	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3 126:25 220:18 223:7 236:2 244:17
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22 68:12	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24 220:16	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9 27:3 49:6	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3 126:25 220:18 223:7 236:2 244:17 let's 13:14
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22 68:12 73:5 82:7	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25 80:4	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24 220:16 222:16	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9 27:3 49:6 53:8 85:4	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3 126:25 220:18 223:7 236:2 244:17 let's 13:14 83:10
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22 68:12 73:5 82:7 97:7	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25 80:4 105:25	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24 220:16 237:25	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9 27:3 49:6 53:8 85:4 96:11	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22 68:12 73:5 82:7 97:7 135:10	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25 80:4 105:25 115:21	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24 220:16 222:16 237:25 238:14	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9 27:3 49:6 53:8 85:4 96:11 225:15	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22 68:12 73:5 82:7 97:7 135:10 140:12	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25 80:4 105:25 115:21 119:12	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24 220:16 237:25 238:14 243:4,19	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9 27:3 49:6 53:8 85:4 96:11 225:15 232:10	159:16,19 lengthy
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22 68:12 73:5 82:7 97:7 135:10 140:12 167:14	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25 80:4 105:25 115:21 119:12 152:9	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24 220:16 222:16 237:25 238:14	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9 27:3 49:6 53:8 85:4 96:11 225:15 232:10 257:10	159:16,19 lengthy 110:14 lens 270:4 lent 240:12,23 less 41:22 53:10 95:3 126:25 220:18 223:7 236:2 244:17 let's 13:14 83:10 142:22 164:16 206:6,7 207:11 210:4
KPM2496 140:6 KPM2668 95:16 KPMG 22:18 26:9 27:18 29:9 30:6 31:22 32:14 33:24 35:18 51:16 52:2 67:14,16, 19,22 68:12 73:5 82:7 97:7 135:10 140:12	235:21 242:17 larger 128:20,22 129:1 181:13 183:14,20 246:21 247:4 Larry 256:15 last 56:12 57:9 58:22 59:2 64:9 75:24,25 80:4 105:25 115:21 119:12 152:9 156:23	60:17 LD 186:15 LDC 18:9,14 21:5 28:20 29:6 65:3 80:5,8,11 129:9,11 134:6 166:25 181:21 186:3,17 187:16,20 200:18 201:11 203:24 220:16 237:25 238:14 243:4,19	22:9 257:2 267:20 Leaf 121:18 122:6 learn 24:2 69:17,22 learned 45:14 70:8 74:3 learning 23:23 31:21 44:24 least 17:9 27:3 49:6 53:8 85:4 96:11 225:15 232:10	159:16,19 lengthy

INQUINI	. 1e COLLINGWOO	JD 03 14 2013	rage 303	01 330
232:6	76:7	63:2,7,10	longstandin	114:17
letter	79:23	82:23	g	192:8
11:2,21,2	81:14	84:22	238:10,18	212:3,5
2	112:8	98:2	258 : 5	lower 8:22
_	168:24	104:19,22		58:15
12:17,18	236:17	105:21	long-term	
13:9,10,1	limited	120:9	191:20	63:11
6,17 16:8		130:1	loop	205:10,16
22:20	27:24	142:3	26:19 , 21	209:25
23:10,18,	line	157 : 4	l ose 41:17	210:1
19 24:19	117:3,6	166:6	64:22	lowest
50:15	118:22,24	169:10	65:3,9,15	249:14
198:10	, 25	179:20		250 : 8
letting	119:5,8	193:10	,22 97:2	lunch 5:19
267:22	208:14	209:25	114:10,21	71:20
level 9:13	215:4	210:4	192:19	238:1
15:22	218:14,23	215:4	229:14	249:4
16:5	219:15	220:12	244:21	249:4
	220:14	229:19	losing 42:1	
49:7,8 76:3	lines 23:19	241:4	87 : 10	M
	28:12,14	245:14,16	114:23	MAAD 140:12
248:1,2,3 251:6	29:14	246:11	229:3,8,1	M-A-A-D
254:13	117:12	254:7	7 , 21	140:13
254:13		258:15	244:12,16	
238:17	123:2 195:14		loss 10:16	mail 72:18
levelling	193:14	Lloyd	125:6,22	main 96:8
212:16	lining	94:3,11		maintain
levels 23:9	233:24	138:8,9,1	l ost 11:11	10:1 56:2
25:18	link 255:7	7 141:19	195:20	77:11
	1:: 1: 4:	157:13	lot 25:11	106:9
leverage	liquidity	loan 240:13	29:15 , 16	
7:3	99:16	local 86:22	30:2	maintained
leveraged	108:14,18	187:13	127:12,13	258 : 17
111:8	,21		129:8	maintaining
162:6	list 3:3	location	130:2	96:11
liaison	4:1	165:14	135:9	major 17:11
45:18	127:11	long 19:10	149:2	34:11
	134:16,17	54:14	166:24	112:8
liberty	204:4	56:20	167:9	117:17
249:4	260:15,19	134:17	183:25	269:1
life 239:3	268:25	145:2	195:6	
241:8	269:7,11	182:12	221:25	majority
light 11:5	listed	233:18	222:4	39:8 , 17
136:20	83:20	254:11	226:25	40:7
	219:6	268:15	236:2	90:19
likelihood	226:24	271:11	238:8	100:8
20:25		273:5	254 : 20	106:18
193:24	lists 269:8	longer	love 210:5	107:5,9
194:12	little 5:20	120:9	254:19	201:2,3
likely	7:20 13:4	257:19		245:24
74:20	19:2	201.13	low 8:16	makers

INQUIRI	. 1e COLLINGWO	JD 03-14-2019	rage 300	01 330
227:22	20 42:3	227:4,15,	236:2	224:25
makeup	71:14,18	23	238:23	245:10
242:13	77 : 22	228:8,17,	250:5 , 9	253:16
242,15	81:19	21,23	263:22	261:7
manage	82:21	229:1,18,	maximum	269:3
144:20	84:12	25	205:9	273:5
management	85:9,11,1	230:3,6,9	203.9	mayor 22:21
43:5	7	, 19 , 23	may 1:23	23:20
188:12	94:17,23	231:3,6,9	10:16	l
256:6,18,	102:15,18	,14,20,24	11:11	45:18
20,21	,24	232:2,5,1	17:10	94:3
257:4	106:14	8,21,24	18:7	157:9
	107:4,10,	233:3,7,1	22:23	190:9
managers	18	1,16,21	31:8,21	198:24
257:8	114:7,12,	234:2,5,1	32 : 20	226:3
manner 9:21	19,25	0,14,17,2	33 : 15	227:18
	115:4,8,1	5	34:5,7,24	mayors
march 10:21	0	235:4,7,1	35:15 , 23	242:17
13:8,9	125:1,5,8	2,13	36:2 , 24	mayor's
26:10	,13,21	236:1,4,1	50 : 22	50:15
136:13	126:2,6,1	1 237:9	59 : 5	
168:10	0		93:10	McAllister
Marcus	137:9,19	massive	103:13,18	226:2
256:15	142:21	144:21	113:3	McDowell
mark 205:20	159:8	material	114:3	2:18 3:8
	164:16,21	38:24	130:1	77 : 24
market 14:3	179:2,5	226:25	133:14	85:6,10,1
49:10	200:11	materials	141:1	5
92:18	213:24	33:25	143:6	126:4,8,1
185:3	223:21	47 : 3	167:15	3,14,19
212:14	224:1		169:6	127:1,10,
220:16	225:8	math 252:13	176:8	17,20
marketplace	235:2,6,9	Mather 2:4	180:3	128:6,12,
89:12	236:14,18		199:22	19,23
90:17	,23	matter	211:14	129:3,6,1
marks	245:8,12	20:1,2	212:14	0,18,25
	253:15,19	37:10	213:3	130:6,14,
205:9,10	,22,25	42:18	225:1	21,25
mark-up	267:8,15	69:25	244:24	131:5,11,
18:1	268:4	141:10	251 : 24	17,23
Marrocco	270:13,20	168:6,24	255 : 2	132:5,9,1
1:7	271:4,18	235:5	262 : 23	4,19
5:3,14	272:9,20	237:7	267 : 2	133:3,7,1
16:21,25	273:1,7,1	247:3,6	maybe 25:6	5,19,24
17:5,8	4,18	253:4	39:25	134:3,8,1
18:5,15,2	· ·	255:20	77 : 2	5
0 19:7	Marron 2:12	273 : 5	92 : 22	135:2,8,1
20:5,20	3:11	matters	92 . 22 97 : 18	5,22
21:20	225:9,10,	140:13	160:12	136:1,10,
22:3,11	13,14	159:13	186:5	21
41:11,14,	226:6,10,	175:5	213:16	137:1,6,1
	16,23		213.10	
·			-	

_	INQUINI	TE COULTINGWOO	DD 03-14-2019	rage 307	01 330
ſ	3,22,23	McFadden	,23	11,16	109:2,7
-	138:3,7,1	173 : 19	45:1,12,2	76 : 16	110:1,4,1
1	2,20	227:13	2	77 : 18	7
-	139:4,12,		46:1,7,11	78:1,10,2	111:18,25
	17	McFadden's	,15,20	1,25	112:12,20
	140:5,10,	108:16,21	47 : 19	79:8,15	113:12,20
	21,25	McGrann 2:3	48:1,6,13	80:3,12,1	
	141:2,5,7	3 : 7	,20,25	6 , 20	114:1,5
	,11,16,22	5:5,11,22	49:17,22	81:2,5,17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	142:1,11,	, 23 6:4	50:4,14,2	,24,25	,20,25
	15,16,24,	7:7,14,20	4	82:3,12,1	
	25	8:2,20,25	51:7,15,1	6	119:7,12,
	143:8,16	9:15,23	9	83:3,4,10	18
	144:1,4,9	10:6,14	52:1,7,12	84:1	120:17,22
	,12,18	11:7 , 25	,23	85:20,21	121:2,7,1
	145:2,6,1	12:17 , 22	53:3,13,2	87:4,9,16	
	2,22	13:3,14	0	88:17,23	122:14,21
	146:2,8,1	14:1,14	54:3,8,18	89:13,20,	
	3,17,20	15:6,14,1	55:1,5,22	25	124:3,12,
	147:1,3,1	8	56:2,6,24	90:9,21	16,24
	3,23	16:7,10,1	57:5,12,2	91:16,23,	
	148:4,9,1	3,18,23	2 58:2	25	151:23
	3,20,23	22:14,15	59:2,11,1	92:11,14,	
	149:2,5,2	23:22	7,21,24	20	1-11111
	0	24:2,8,18	60:3,6,13	93:2,6,9,	186:15,19
	150:2,9,1	25:16 , 24	61:1,9,16	15	mean 8:18
	4,19,23	26:6,16	,19,25	94:2,10,1	15:7
	151:10,21	27:4,16,2	62:5,10,1	4	25:23
	152:1,12,	2 28:4,18	4,22	95:13,14,	39:8,12
	18,24	29:5,9,17	64:1,8,16	20	40:5
	153:9,17	30:5,12	65:1,6,13	96:3,14,2	41:22
	154:8,13,	31:1,6,15	,18,24	0	44:8
	24	,20,25	66:4,14,2	97:1,6,12	73:2,3
	155:7,16,	32:8,13,2	4	, 15 , 21	77:3
	22	1,24	67:12,18,	98:1,8,18	
	156:2,9,1	33:3,8,13	21,24	, 23	115:9
	4,19,22	,23 34:22	68:4,11,1	99:8,13,2	
	157:3,20,	35:5,15	6,24	5	145:5
	24 158:4	36:1,10,1	69:4,7,13	100:14,19	
	159:5,12	7	,17,22	101:11,18	
	162:10	37:5,13,2	70:7,13,1	,24	181:11
	163:8	3	6,20,25	102:13,17	
	165:23	38:3,9,13	71:6,12,1	103:4,5,1	190:20
	179:19	, 18	7,23,24	1,17,21	196:14
	261:25	39:3,11	72:6,10,1	104:14	213:25
	266:5	40:3,12,2	3,20	105:7,11,	229:3,21
	267:1,13,	0,22	73:1,9,13	20	230:12
	25 272:14	41:4,9,13	,20	106:6,12	231:15,22
	McDowell's	42:6,7,14	74:2,7,10	107:21,22	
	160:11	43:24	, 15	108:1,20,	235:13,16
		44:3,7,17	75:1,3,7,	23	means 84:15
- [

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	JD U5-14-2019	Page 308	OI 336
150:3	45:4,6,14	87 : 17	100:15,22	100:9
211:8	,19 51:12	104:11,12	101:8	metrics
214:4	53:9	109:25	103:6	127:5
263:23	56:12 , 19	129:12	126:24	127:5
	72:5,7,9,	148:16	192:14	MEU 186:21
meant 18:3	11 73:16	154:10		187:1
35:14	87 : 23	161:4	mention	M-hm 62:13
64:13	88 : 20	169:24	34:1	115:24
118:15	92 : 15	170:24	163:17	130:8
120:10	93:21	171:14	mentioned	133:15
123:6	97:16 , 18	177:21	12:18	165:2
154:6	100:23	227:1	22:7 34:4	198:17
188:15	104:17,22	228:1	35:1,8	240:2,10
190:20	105:4,8,1	258:25	41:5	254:9
measure	7 106:3		54:19	
127:6,8	115:23	members	67 : 6	Michael 2:7
measuring	119:21	46:25	99:20	3:10
_	139:6,18,	47:1,2,13	108:12	179:4,7,1
256:5	20	48:9	109:22	0,11,12,1
mechanism	149:6,7,1	51:24	152:6	7 , 25
212:16	0,21	52 : 9	160:7	180:5,8,1
mechanisms	165:9	53:21	169:4	2,16,22,2
260:20	179 : 22	61:20		5
	219:4,25	70:17 , 22	mentioning	181:3,10,
medical	220:9	73:22	38:5	15 , 23
5:17	221:13	83:18	100:16	182:4,7,1
140:23	225:19	96:15	merge 145:7	0,17,23
141:8	226:4,17	98:12	merger	183:2,10,
143:5,6,7	231:11	99:5,14	198:1	17 , 24
,20 144:5	232:12	104:6	202:13	184:5,9,1
medically	265:8,15	106:24,25	202113	5,17,21,2
144:2	·	158:21	mergers	5
meet 88:15	meetings	169:16,21	201:6	185:5,10,
91:8	48:2,7	170:8	message	22
270:9	50:10	171:18	152:21	186:7,11,
	52:14 , 25	172:23	226:12,13	18 , 25
meeting	62 : 4	173:19	met	187:5,9,1
26:10,12,	70:21	174:24	55:1,2,6,	2 , 19
19	93:13	177 : 15	16 56:15	188:1,6,1
33:15,16	94:1	205:8	72:3 95:9	4 , 22
34:1,4	142:7	207:18,24	113:5	189:2,9,1
36:20	170:7	208:21	145:20	2,15,18,2
38:5,23	175:8,14,	209:8,9,2	233:18	1,24
39:4	17,23	1	260:4	190:22
40:24	183:4	227:12,13	263:19	191:1,6,1
41:6,7	264:25	,17,19		1,14,16,2
42:8,17,2	member 11:4	228:14,24	method	1
4	13:13	memo 198:10	15:15	192:1,7,1
43:8,12,2	45:23	memories	methodology	2,16,21
1	46:2 47:6	102:9	15:24	193:1,7,1
44:19,22,	66 : 15		18:25	5,18,22
24		memory		194:5,11,
1				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOOD	D 05-14-2019	Page 309	oi 336
19,22	219:3,9	39:8 40:9	249:2	motion
195:5,12,	220:2,21,	41:19,22	Monday	42:16
18,24	24	90:18	34:24	move 7:22
196:5,8,1	221:5,19	200:17	58:10	19:23
3,21,25	222:13,22	244:16,20		43:10
197:5,9,1	, 25	245:24	monetary	90:25
7,22	223:12,15	minutes	101:25	91:10
198:5,8,1	,19,24	33:15	102:21	94:18
4,18,23	224:5,8,9	42:8	money	136:19
199:5,8,1	,13,20,24	71:16,21	110:23	226:8,19
2	225:6	80:5	111:16	237:7
200:1,3,7	245:2	90:11	118:23,24	257:7 257:18
,15,16,24	249:2	104:16,21	119:2	
201:1,8,1	Michael's	115:22	120:12	moved 42:17
3,16,20	241:5	126:9	133:8	149:15
202:2,5,9		143:13	162:6	moving
,16,20,24	mid 182:18	148:1,3	240:13,23	16 : 22
203:2,5,8	Miller	225:18	month	43:3 87:3
, 15 , 22	149:17	226:22		147 : 7
204:2,8,1		261:23	117:23,25	151:3,19
2,16,22	million	265:14	118:11	201:21
205:3,22	6:22,25		144:16,17	243:20
206:2,5,1	31:23	mispronounc	months	263:10
3,16,20,2	117:3,6	ed 263:20	11:10	
4	118:22	misquoting	22:9	multiple
207:5,9,2	149:23	157:7	120:8	222:23
2	150:3,10		129:22	Muncaster
208:2,7,1	151:9	missed	130:4	22:21
3,16,20,2	195:16	235:7	131:6	26:18 , 25
4	220:6,18,	253:16	140:11	27:5,10,1
209:2,7,1	20 222:3	missing	268:20	8 33:17
2,18	223:7	110:16	morning	101:5
210:3,14,	millions	Mississauga	5:4,11,13	138:8
22	195:16	200:21,22	,17	173 : 19
211:3,7,1	mind 39:23	·	143:11	municipal
4,18,24	84:6	misundersta	179:21	22 : 25
212:2,8,1	89:10	nding	184:3	24:20
8 , 23	92:9	30:19	192:5,13	87:12
213:12,15	96:10	misundersto	194:2	110:13
214:7,8,1	166:19	od 35:16	196:1	129:13
4,21,25	170:1,6,9	267 : 2	199:15	149:15
215:3,8,1	,21 190:8		207:16	181:21
4,19	200:21	mix 37:12	255:16	186:20,21
216:1,6,1	263:7	mo 119:2	271:20,22	187:6
0,13,16,2		model 50:11	272:12	198:21
1	minimize		273:19	221:24
217:1,5,1	154:2	moment		260:20
0,15,19,2	minimum	178:20	Morrison	
4	132:17	205:5	257:3	municipalit
218:2,5,1	144:16	moments	mostly	ies
0,20	minority	163:22	163:10	187:24
1	""TIOT T CA			

	I IC COLLINGWO		- 1age 310	O1 330
188:3	264:5,6,1	255 : 18	noted 108:5	133:10
260:21	9,22	nodding	199:16	176:6
municipalit	necessarily	101:8	notes 90:9	198:7
y 7:5	120:11	211:22	148:14	objectives
87:10	133:13	no-harm	164:13	260:4
110:20	251:12	145 : 20	218:17	objectivity
162:7	261:9		219:24	51:22
222:11	necessary	non 62:25	220:5	
259:15	15:4,8	none 94:13	221:1,11,	obligated
municipally	160:9	112:24	21 222:6	241:22
86:21	197:24	155 : 12	nothing	obligation
87:5		166:19	5:18	13:6
	necessitate		34:19	observation
Muntester	34:20	non- financial	53:16	171:13
227:14	negotiated	62:17	55:21	182:25
must've	132:11	62:17 71:25	84:5	
39:1	160:22		201:9,10	obtain 68:1
myself 11:3	165:11	127:11		obtained
17:17	168:6,7	249:11 250:25	notice 59:4	144:10,13
30:19	negotiation	230:23	140:16	
67:5	16:8	non-	213:21	obtaining
146:20	113:10	financial	214:18	142:7
227:19	131:13	ly	215:4,24	obvious
227.19	162:15	250:5,8	268:16	138:15
	168:25	non-voting	November	141:17
N		169:24	75:14	203:23
nail	negotiation	170:24	76:23	obviously
168:18,23	s 105:2		116:10,13	81:15
namely	109:22	nor 191:14	152:17,20	118:21
257:1	112:22	245:23	157 : 4	208:21
narrow 84:8	176:9	normal	216:3	229:4
	neighbour	117:24	221:17	242:10
narrowing	204:3,7	167:6	257 : 22	occasions
89:3	neighbourin	normally	np 2:8,21	175:5
nature 5:17	g	100:25	numerical	
25:17	237:19,25	264:9,11	102:4	occurred
30:8	· ·	Norris	102.4	6:7
52:3,13	neither	257 : 4	numerous	101:12
210:15,23	146:11		49:9	236:6
211:25	245:23	notation	131:3	occurring
257:13	newspaper	220:25		76 : 2
271:5,24	157:8	note 5:24	0	October
Neate	nine 63:12	6:1,10,16	oath 5:6	74:16,19
148:14	83:22	150:10,18	OBCA 91:11	76:22
149:6,12,	84:3	164:17	149:15	77:5
13,21	172:8,16	165:5,14		152:6,13
163:8,9,1	207:24	166:18	objective	198:20
6	227:12	184:2	25:13	236:10
166:8,16	228:6,24	220:18	45:11	OEB 12:15
263:19	245:9	265:2	96:6	UED 14:15
	I		I	I

INQUIRY re	COLLINGWOOD	05-14-2019	Page 31	.1 of 336

INQUIKI	. TE COLLINGWOC		rage 311	OT 220
145:10,14	56:15	121:2,15	47:14	91:18,19
,18,20,25	116:25	122:14	203:23	228:12,14
224:3,18	143:10,12	123:18	206:7	244:6
268:10,14	officer	125:13	Ontario	opportuniti
offer 23:8	91:4	126:18	1:20	es
61:11		131:11	21:10	23:5,8,12
102:21,23	offing	134:8	89 : 12	24:11,21,
109:10,13	233:23	135:8	181:17	22
,16	oh 55:24	136:21	182:14	
110:22	122:7	137:8,13	185:3	opportunity
111:1,11,	147:18	140:5	187:22	6:7 , 18
18,20,24	207 : 5	143:8	202:11	38:10
120:2	235:4	144:9	onto 60:20	58:17 189:8,13
160:15	253 : 25	151:25	120:3	220:9
201:25	okay 7:22	155:10	192:3	247:11
202:23,25	8:20 9:15	161:24	195:25	271:2,19
210:11,16	13:14	181:2		271.2,19
,17 211:8	14:1,14	182:3	open 89:10	
214:11,17	24:18	186:18	103:12	opposed
215:9,11,	27:4 28:4	194:15,24 195:24	255 : 16	138:23
15,16	29:17	195:24	operates	214:11
216:17,18	31:1,15	200:24	242:21	opposite
,22,24	36:10,17	207:13	operating	237:8,22
217:2,6,1	40:3,12,2	208:16	77:14	opposition
3,16,25	2 42:4	211:15,18	261:13	86:5
218:3	49:17	212:18		
263:21	50:24	215:19,25	<pre>operation 87:12</pre>	optics
264:17	53:13	216:16	235:22	231:21 233:9
offered	57:5 59:2	220:3		
52:4	61:1 64:8	221:18	operational	option
109:18	66:24	226:6,17	8:8	37 : 20
111:6	69:4	228:8,17,	operational	39 : 5
offeree	70:13 71:17	21	ly 120:15	40:17
214:19	73:1	230:9,10	Operations	43:2,4,10
217:3	76:16	231:3,9,1	76:5	241:14
offering	78:21	4		options
109:17	89:20	236:5,12	OPERATOR	22:18
264:20	90:9,21	237:1	82:23	24:15
	91:16	240:2,14	opinion 6:2	29:10,11
offeror	92:20	249:7,16,	34:6,7	30:7
210:18	94:10	19 253:19	37:19	32:15
211:2,20	95:14	263:18	135:20	35 : 22
212:3,9	97:21	266:6	144:3,5	36:3,9
215:5	101:18	272:13	178:14	37:7
offers	102:13	OMERS	220:16	38:14
211:21	103:20	200:21,22	237:23	43:9
212:3,9	110:1	one-half	241:10	75:23
office 12:8	111:18	128:20	254:22	88:10,25
18:24	116:16,22	ones 10:12	opinions	89:1 90:12,17
	119:7	Ones 10:12		90:12 , 1/

	i ie eoddingwe	00 00 14 2013	, 1890 312	01 330
92:17,23	ought 267:4	package	221:6	124:10
135:5	ours 108:15	63:22 , 23	parameters	135:24
139:14,16		packages	90:1	152:25
186:4	ourselves	63:22	102:21	153:21
188:21	21:17		112:16	172:16
190:14	186:23	page 3:2	144:14	173:10
222:18	outlined	4:2 42:10	145:19	198:24
Orangeville	86:7	99:9	211:2	238:3,17
123:2	177:14	115:21		266:11
	outrageous	213:2,5,6	Pardon	269:23
order 6:20	273:10	218:13	234:2	parties
18:23		219:10,11	partial	14:20
20:12,17	outright	,23 221:6	39:15	67 : 4
24:16	18:14	225:22	43:14	89:8,11,2
113:4	outside 9:8	226:7	90:18	3 154 : 20
118:9	66:14	245:3,4	117:22	162:11,25
131:24	74:4	paid 116:19	152:10	202:7,10,
144:6	263:10	154 : 16	153:23	18
199:2		198:19	participant	203:6,10,
203:16	outstanding	240:12,13	246:22	16
orders	118:2	Pajunen	272:5	204:5,18
136:13	overall	88:21		213:22
organizatio	249:8		participant	247:5
n	overly	Pam 256:15	s 249:15	257:13
49:16,24	79:25	panel	participate	partly
50:3,7		145:17	47 : 8	22:24
52:19	overseeing 121:3	paperwork	51:24	198:25
86:17		148:11	66:19	
130:19	overview		131:15	partner
174:2	25:23	parable	227:8	20:17,22
orient	30:4	251 : 8	264:24	21:19,21
218:22	owned 86:21	paragraph	participate	39:25
	87:5	12:23	d 119:14	40:9
originally	187:10	13:5		43:4,10,1
153:2	239:5,17	16:20	participati	
others	owner	22:16 , 20	ng 48:15	48:24 49:5,14,2
17:18	41:19,22	26:7 , 16	51:8	4 50:6
86:13	43:14	31:16	131:21	51:1
102:8	100:9	42:15	particular	52:21
113:11		62 : 6	10:20	60:21,24
169:13,14	ownership	74:11,15,	44:14	63:23
205:11,15	199:21	17 75:24	55:23	89:3
otherwise	242:13	83:5,11	57 : 18	93:13
48:8	owns 240:22	109:3,7,8	59:7	94:1
70:22	244:17	115:21	76:13	96:13
85:13		116:23	77:17	100:23
86:3 92:8	P	138:13	91:18	101:4
145:7	p.m 81:22	152:7	95:20,22	110:22,23
162:3,13	137:16,17	165:1	105:24	,24
201:9	273:21	193:2	118:20	111:1,4
	1	219:7		,

INQUIRI	TE COLLINGWOO	D 03-14-2019	rage 313	01 330
146:12	174:11	1,15,21	267:6,18	payers
148:18	176:16	241:3,19	268:8,9,1	270:6
149:16	177:4	242:1,8,1	5 , 21	
155:20	178:11	2,15,20,2	269:6,15,	paying
159:25	192:17,25	4	19	114:18
165:9	202:13	243:2,11,	270:2,11	191:24
168:8,25	227:2	16	Paul's	239:6
169:6	228:1	244:2,10		payment 7:5
177:12	231:12	245:1,11,	121:12	104:2
192:22	235:25	14,20,21	PAUSE 7:18	116:4
242:4	241:7	246:4	13:1	117:17,21
243:5	244:11	247:1,10,	31:18	, 22
partners	partnership	20,25	32:6,11	120:23
19:12	s 50:8	248:9,14,	33:11	208:10
57:19		19 , 25	37:3 38:1	260:22
93:21	243:17	249:8,13,	42:12	261:8
122:25	party 6:21	17,20,23	47:17	payments
148:18	25:12	250:3,7,1	51:5	5:25
177:12	30:20	1,17,20,2	54:1,6	116:5
242:5	133:12	4	62 : 8	118:1
	160:15	251:2,5,1	74:13	123:13
partnership	198:3	5 , 23	75 : 5 , 9	
12:7	210:10,11	252:4,9,1	82:19	PDF 213:7
20:19	212:14	2,15,21,2	83:1,8	people
33:5	party's	4	84:10	20:21
38:20,23,	210:12	253:4,8,1	107:25	47:13
25		3,24	109:5	67 : 25
39:7,10,1	passing	254:2,5,6	115:18	86:4,12
2 40:19	67:25	,10,18	124:22	90:3
43:22	past 147:14	255:1,7,1	136:24	120:11
45:23	199:17	4,22	137:4	123:13
48:21	238:2,4	256:3,10,	138:1	135:9,20
49:1,4	243:18	13,21,24	140:8	140:12
50:5,18	247:21	257:12,18	147:10,21	171:22
51:9,12	path 86:17	, 22	157:1	178:15
52:3	222:15	258:2,9,1	159:3	204:17,19
59:13,14,	267:19	4	164:19	233:24
25 60:7		259:3,11,	167:22	238:6
65:4	Patrick	17,22	178:22	242:17
66:2,15	2:21	260:2,6,8	193:5	247:16
84:8 90:20	Paul 2:10	,11,17,24	205:1	255 : 2
	3:12	261:11,18	234:23	256:20,22
95:5,23	122:9	, 22	245:6 248:17,23	258:16
96:6,16	236:16,20	262:8,11,	259:20	268:24
104:25 105:3,15	237:1,4,5	15,19,22		269:13,22
115:5	,17,21	263:4,18	pay 14:3	per 7:12
135:7	238:5,10,	264:4,11,	110:25	8:17
154:21	13,16,21	15	116:17	109:18
158:22	239:13,16	265:3,13,	119:4	161:6
169:5	,19,24	18,24	120:3	percent
100.0	240:3,6,1	266:4,18	239:17,21	F-1-00.10
I				I I

		JD 03 14 2013		01 330
14:22	perfect	230:1,4	146:22	36:13
17:19,20	98:4	238:22,24	plate	41:24
39:16,21	104:20	263:24	166:24	48:12
40:4,7,18	perfectly	persuade	167:2,18	63:12
41:2,22	255:23	129:13	·	64:9 70:7
42:1			platform	71:1 74:7
60:19,23,	performance	pertaining	199:1	75 : 17
25	259:25	42:19	platforms	76:22
61:12,21	perhaps	phone 238:1	198:20	78 : 5
62:25	92:18	phrase 61:2	play 57:7	79:25
63:8	196:23	108:11	58:14,17,	87:16
66:8,10,1	226:12	136:11	22 59:18	88:1,12
2 83:16	235:20	160:11	60:1	89:5
92:5,12	period 30:6		242:3	102:2
94:18	109:14,24	physician	246:13	106:4
96:25	110:12,24	143:2		108:3,25
99:24	120:4,5,9	pick	player	114:13
100:4,17,	131:9,25	177:11,12	246:21	122:12
20 101:10	146:4,9	·	247:23	132:10
104:2	161:3,9	picked	please 6:5	136:18
106:18	162:2	46:8,12,1 6	12:24	137:11
110:6	237:13,16	0	26:7	138:17
111:9	254:14	piece 98:25	37 : 24	140:4,23
126:21	255:25	pillars	42:10	142:9
162:22		87:13	54:4 62:6	153:12
178:16	person		74:25	154:4
193:10,19	28:10	pilot	75 : 7	160:18
199:18,21	62:2 67:9	119:23	95 : 16	168:18
, 25	130:10	120:18	105:21	169:1
205:14	239:2	121:3	106:13	172:2
206:18,22	240:22	122:16 123:12,21	107:23	181:25
208:10,14	262:13,16	123:12,21	109:3	196:9
209:8,9,2	269:3	124:5	140:14	199:13
3	personal	pitch	193:2,9	206:17
229:2,4,7	58:25	225:23	200:8	218:11
,8,16 232:16	59:10	placing	212:24	222:23 225:21
244:12,18	personally	147:14	213:4	229:2,16
244:12,10	188:11	plan 8:15	218:13	231:10,15
percentage		33:18	221:6	,25
16:1	personnel	58:11	245:4,15	232:13
39:23	42:19		246:11	234:9
60:17	141:9	planned	plus 13:21	235:7,16
79:5	227:6	26:10	34:12	236:6,8
94:19	235:19	planning	182:16	241:5
perception	person's	48:4	195:16	243:25
135:16	144:5	50:21	point 7:4	267:23
153:19	172:15	79:19	10:20	
154:14	perspective	80:22	25:9	points
percolated	49:20	268:22	31:21	62:15,24
67:4	161:6	plans	33:23	64:14,17
0/.4		Prans	33.23	66:9

INQUINI	. TE COLLINGWO	JD 03-14-2019	rage 313	01 330
71:19	44:1	168:7,8	PowerStream	243:23
83:16,20,	143:24	203:20	2:21 12:5	244:1
21 84:2	226:12	204:4	13:18	249:3,6,9
139:13	257 : 15	230:15	14:2	, 18 250:4
205:14	265:14	potentially	19:18	251:11 , 20
207:3	positively	50:11	21:12,21,	, 24
208:7,22	265:8	57 : 14	25 56:16	252 : 20
249:5,11,		132:6	68:19,21	253:5,12,
14	poss 204:20		69:10,15,	13 257:20
250:13,14	possessed	power 8:7	18,20,23	PowerStream
,15,25	229:12	9:4 10:24	70:2,6,9,	's 71:3
251:3,25	possibiliti	11:15	18,23	
252:3	es 55:14	17:19	86:9	practice
272:17	185:20	18:6,9	105:2	7:16
policy		19:5,19	106:17,18	precisely
181:17,19	possibility	21:1,11	,25 108:9	230:8
182:1	28:23	22:18	109:10	272:10
	34:14,16	23:23	111:11	prefer
politicians 198:20	37:22	31:22 32:16	112:20,25	28:12
198:20	39:24	37:8,15	113:6,9,1	
population	50:13	38:20	4 114:13	preferred
66:19	145:16	40:25	121:25	43:2
portion	152:16	41:6 42:9	122:3,8,1	Preliminary
40:1 43:8	196:6,10,	45:6 49:3	0 123:1	222:16
72:1	16,20	50:6	128:19	premature
76:18	197:2,11 217:11	59:14	129:8	20:6
106:17		60:9	132:2	
232:10	possible	64:4,18	145:3 146:23	premise
249:11	28:13,14	68:2 71:4	153:1	174:23
posed 88:22	48:23	78:8,13	154:17	181:8,20
-	49:19	79:21,24	163:23	190:16 194:16
position	133:1	80:1,11	165:4,17	237:22
50:6	136:20	104:17	169:2	242:7
88:18	225:4	105:1	176:17	
113:15	270 : 5	115:23	177:4	premises
139:11,25 143:24	possibly	119:14,15	178:11	169:5
148:5	9:7 12:5	123:11,20	179:14,23	preparation
156:12	21:6	152 : 11	204:3,10,	221:13
167:25	43:17	153:5,14,	19 206:22	prepared
169:11	123:2	24 155:23	208:25	12:13
170:13,18	154:2	156:12	209:8,20,	72:9
227:6	202:11	173:18	22 215:1	88:12,14
229:5	238:20	183:4	216:7,11,	90:4
244:13	potential	194:1,7,8	21 217:16	140:2
261:15	28:20	,14 196:2	220:15	148:14
268:16	38:19	216:7	221:13	210:19
positions	40:10,23	217:2,20 225:18	231:16	212:14
92:6	41:1		232:8	271 : 19
	80:17	Powers	241:13	present
positive	94:5	40:24	242:10	•
	I			I

INQUIRY r	e COLLINGWOOI	05-14-2019	Page	316 c	f 336
		Î			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	05-14-2019	Page 316	OI 336
33:17	14:23	141:17	73:15	139:22
53:21	17:9	157:18	89:10,15	
225:20	219:23	159:22	90:22,24	professiona
226:17	233:15	182:11	91 : 15	lly
		220:5,17	92:1,3,8	237:16
presentatio	previously	257:10	93:5,18	professiona
n 34:13	3:5 5:9	268:20	119:23	ls 177:17
39:1 53:6	58:3		120:16	
105:13,18	152:21	problem	124:8	program
231:8	197:15	82 : 22	129:21	123:8,12,
265:9	price	133:4	133:21	21
presentatio	109:18	143:22	134:20	progress
ns 23:17	114:17	153:21	135:1,7	91:19
52:8	123:22	problematic	173:14,16	mmahihi+ad
53:5,22	210:18,25	158:5	•	prohibited
· ·	211:1,5,2		174:10,20	261:2,5,1
presented	1	problems	,25	0
38:4,10,1	212:3,5,1	85:4,5	175:6,19,	project
5,21 72:4	0,11,13,1	129:11	23 , 25	119:15,19
97:18	5,16	procedure	176:3	,20,23
105:23	215:5,17	51:17	177:3,7,1	120:19
119:21	216:18		0,11,20,2	121:4,5,9
120:14		<pre>procedures 245:17</pre>	4 188:7	122:1,16
124:7	prior 10:12		210:10	124:5
227:10	19:9 22:5	246:10,12	223:5	226:1,19
235:21	55:2,6	proceed	227:9	projects
248:12	186:23	39:5,6	228:6	269:1
266:22	187:17	45:11	247:9	
presenting	privy 94:24	88:11	255:9	promise
34:7	259:8	91:1	262:23	116:14
57:20	pro 52:17	113:3	270:7 , 9	promissory
	134:21	155:21	produce	5 : 24
president		175 : 12	29:16	6:1,10,15
136:12	prob 182:11	proceeded	product	150:10
261:14	probably	171:12	36:13	184:2
press	12:13	173:11	124:10,13	promote
258:15	20:3	177:24	,18	122:24
pretty	21:3,10,1	proceeding	253 : 11	
12:14	8 34:15	166:23	266:22	promoting
28:17	39:18			120:10
79 : 22	58:18	proceedings	<pre>products 119:25</pre>	prompted
126:5	85 : 7	77:8	119:25	58 : 5
130:7	94:24	246:19	professiona	nnonouncina
133:2	95 : 9	proceeds	1 56:11	<pre>pronouncing 256:7,14</pre>
220:5	99:1,20	157:14,16	156 : 17	·
235:17	118:9	,18	220:10	pronouns
prev 14:10	122:12	·	237:12	185:23
-	125:23	<pre>process 57:15</pre>	266:17	proof 156:8
prevailing	128:4	57:15 60:8	professiona	247:13
114:9	129:7		lism	
1				
previous	136:6	66:18		proper
previous	136:6	68:5,23	138:16	230:24

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO)D 05-14-2019	Page 31/	OI 336
261:6	223:9	18:13,14	35:13	123:22
properly	prospect	19:19	36:19	128:16
135:7	197:11	21:10	54:23	165:17
177:24		51:22	91:10	184:11,14
178:17	protection	68 : 18	112:8	190:3
256:7	114:16	115:9	133:5	210:19,24
266:20	protections	171 : 21	161:22	212:3,9
	246:22	192 : 22	175:23	215:5,10,
property	protosts	227:21	180:9	16
42:20	protects 247:5	255:2	186:9,23	216:18,23
127:5	247:3	262:13,16	187:4	purchased
proponent	proven	264:2	223:20	184:19
69:19	207:10	province	224:10,17	
73:23	proves	55:14	241:8	purchasing
86:21	207:8	134:7	242:17	6:18 19:3
87 : 5		180:19	260:9,13	111:13
112:21	provide	181:5	publically	186:6
232:15	13:19	182:24	224:19	purpose
proponents	20:25		240:8	24:10
52:17,19	21:25	provincial	261:2	105:2
57:17,20	49:18	183:5,13		211:19
67:16	51:16	247:22	publicly	purposes
89:19	52:2	260:12	261:15	50:5
128:5	117:19	provision	publicly-	149:18
155:12	128:2	14:11	traded	211:19
	170:16 193:25	43:17	243:4	
proposal	193:25	63:19	public-	pursue
100:4	231:18	162:25	public	100:3
120:2	247:11	192:18	241:8	181:8
124:7		193:11		pursued
128:1	provided	206:8	publish	45:3,6,16
134:24	9:17,20	241:11,21	260:22	90:5
231:19	11:5 18:8	245 : 25	PUC 55:11	push 183:13
proposals	22:1,4	246:16	pull 82:16	
71:8	26:9 31:7	263:11,12	85:24	pushing
72:15	36:12	provisions	85:24 135:13	185:18
89:8,24	76:21	64:11,21	136:22	putting
90:1	78:19	65:20	140:6	35:12
151:13	97:13	161:1	146:5	37 : 12
propose	127:25	212:20	147:6	77:9 78:7
103:8	171:9	213:17	151:22	92:24
214:4	175:21	245:15	151:22	160:19
226:1	186:13	prudent	164:17	190:16
	247:21	197:24		224:18
proposed	259:23		purchase	227:5
64:3,9	260:3	pubic 187:2	6:18,25	267 : 3
86:7	262:12	<pre>public 9:10</pre>	10:13	
230:20	provides	11:1 18:9	43:13	
236:6	246:22	19:24	44:10	qualificati
proposition	providing	34:12	109:11	ons 174:4
	Providing		113:24	0113 1/1.4
				1

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	05-14-2019	raye 310	01 330	
qualified	66:21		191:23,24	realized	
203:6	70:9	R	rather	92:8	
qualitative	81:18	rained	19:19	really	
63:23	82:4	59:20	91:10	20:10,16	
127:9	85 : 22	raise 90:22	100:4	26:4	
127.9	90:10	92:21	118:22	58:20	
quality	95:17	150:24	126:21	l	
49:8	115:15		133:12	84:23	
127:14	122:15	162:20,23		88:12	
quantum	124:25	163:5	225:2	89:6	
52:4	126:17	raised	263:13	113:8	
	128:13	138:18	264:19	127:3	
question	130:3	162:12,20	267:24	131:21	
50:2,24	133:20	, 22	272 : 18	132:22	
62:21	147:8	163:1,2	ratio	147:4	
63:25	159:6	261:25	6:15,23	155:5,12	
65:6	178:25	raises	reach 57:6	157:11	
78:11	184:1,3	149:21		160:3	
79:20	192:4		150:7	168:15	
83:14	196:1	150:14,20	174:11,21	175:15	
88:22	218:8	Ralph	reached	188:15	
98:13	225:7	149:17	59:25	193:15	
103:13	235:1	163:8,9,1	reaching	197:23,24	
125:2	263:5	6	172 : 5	201:10	
134:9		ran 60:9	219:14	203:13	
142:3,13,	270:12,16	198:25		243:7	
18	,17,24		reacted	247:3	
156:20,23	quick	range 8:16	157 : 19	257:14	
163:2	220:16	220:17	reacting	266:23	
166:7	quickly	223:6	61:1	reason 6:21	
182:5	133:2	261:8		20:4,13	
188:14	179:18	ranked	readily	22:4 24:9	
190:23	218:22	205:10	29:15	25:5	
191:1			reading	34:11	
196:11	quite 43:20	rarely	38:24	36:6,22	
214:4	118:3	241:6	47:2	37:1	
230:1,3	163:13	rate 15:8	219:14	108:11	
239:12	167:2	113:17	272:19	143:3	
253:23	208:17	191:20	reads 58:8	152:5	
260:3	229:6		75:22	162:5	
266:20	242:18	ratepayer	15:22	176:23	
267:2,9,1	244:3	49:20	real 11:14		
2,14	254:14	50:1	54:13	213:1	
268:3,5,6	269:7	ratepayers	143:24	226:11	
	271:12	8:23 9:18	154:16,23	238:17	
questioning	Quote	10:2,18	266:9	265:2	
218:15,16	194:11	186:14	realistical	271:21	
237:10		192:9	ly 244:3	reasonable	
271:3	quoting	rates 63:10	_	147:15	
questions	201:22	133:21,22	reality	238:22	
22:17	220:3	,25 134:4	160:2	240:22	
34:23		, 43 134:4	262:20	243:3,12,	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00 00 14 2019	rage 313	01 330
14 246:2	73:14,20	157 : 14	69:2,8,11	25 : 1
248:1	75:16	208:9	71:9 79:3	50:16
251:24	82:15	receiving	82:11	51:1
254:15	83:18,24	7:11 9:19	93:10	96:17
258:21	84:1 93:6		94:7 99:3	181:17
260:25	98:11,19	31:14	100:11	273:9
264:5,19	100:21	67:22	105:8	
	101:20	68:10	108:2	reduced 118:3
reasoning	102:3,6,1	104:10	116:11	
21:14,15	0,19	122:22	132:15	182:20 201:4
24:6	104:5,12	recessing	139:23	201:4
reasons	105:4	81:21	158:20	reducing
25:7,9	106:2,6	137:16	172:22	198:10
76:12	108:17,20	recipient	185 : 13	reduction
93:20	,23	210:15,23	188:24	23:7
153:3	118:19	211:8,10	190:1	
168:23	119:18	212:4,10	265:11	reductions
183:11,12	120:4,13	217:2,8	recollectio	24:22
242:4	121:22	·	ns 61:4	re-
recalibrati	122:15,22	recipient's	ns 01:4	examinati
on 7:21	123:17	210:24	recommendat	on 270:14
	125:12	reckonings	<pre>ion 105:1</pre>	refer
recall	142:4,8	209:22	recommendat	225:16
13:11	148:10	recognize	ions 91:3	237:18
14:9	158:7	21:17		0.4.6.0
15:2,9	163:18	23:15	recommended	
23:22	171:25	135:5	91:6	reference
25:20	173:8,11	145:18	149:13	86:2
26:2,14,2	191:4	160:16	recommendin	
4 27:8	194:16	247:20	g 130:15	158:18
31:12	205:20,23	248:11	reconvened	228:3
33:3,6,21	,24,25	262:11	42:23	246:12
35:2	241:9	272 : 5	42:23	249:13
38:22	251:7,11,		record	referenced
44:24 48:18	21	recognized	137:6	108:18
50:13	receipts	15:10	166:22	references
51:7,11,1	118:6	29:21	267:22	157:23
5		88:6	recover	
52:5,7,23	receive	recognizing	123:7	referencing
53:3,7,10	31:6	49:9	recoverable	77:19
,17 56:18	36:23	257 : 19		referred
60:10,13	120:23	recollect	123:16	15 : 11
61:20,25	273:2,10	99:18	recovered	40:19
65:24	received		17:23	83:17
66:3	34:8	recollectio	123:13,22	86:3
67:21	44:22	n 43:7	recovery	140:1
68:10	47:21	44:4	123:19	154:1
70:20	86:8	53:25		218:17
71:12,24	116:4,6	56:12	reduce	
72:2,13	122:19	60:18	23:13	referring
, 2 • 2 , 1 5	124:7	61:17	24:11,22	9:7 68:1
1	1			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOOL	05-14-2019	Page 320	OI 336
69:5	264:23	238:6,11	180:20,23	representin
77:25	region	259:2	184:2,7,1	g 249:3
79:14	238:8	relative	2 190:9	_
89:1		152:3	194:2,5	request
158:25	regional		196:8	29:20 30:9 31:2
178:2	181:9,11,	relativity	199:19	71:8
230:15	12 242:16	85:24	201:24	117:6
252:20	regular	95:15	205:17	117.0
reflect	42:23	103:13	207:15,20	
137:7	233:19	relief	218:7,16,	requesting
270:4	regularly	144:21	25 219:7	117:3
reflected	118:15	rely 85:23	rememory	requests
260:9	175:9	remain	126:24	66 : 20
	regulator	138:14	rent	67:2,13,2
reflecting	113:15		239:7,17	2 68:5,7
79:20		remaining	240:12,25	72:15
257:3	reign 237:9	17:20	241:1	270:9
reflection	related	150:7	Rents 240:3	require
246:5	59 : 12	remains		111:12,14
reflexion	68:7	201:11	repeat 96:1	168:24
34:17	79:11	remarks	rephrase	required
refresh	113:14	271:2	251 : 17	18:17
192:14	244:11	remember	rephrased	49:10
	250:5,8	13:12	142:13	112:16
refusal	255:20	15:14,18		128:14,15
64:11,20	259:14	24:24	report 8:15	130:1
65:19	262:17	31:11,20	34:8	171:21
99:15 100:12	263:21	35:7 46:1	226:2	260:22
100:12	relates	56:19,25	reporter	requirement
	77:5	61:9	194:24	187:21
regard	238:25	68:14	reporting	requirement
132:8	240:16	69:13	34:18	s 260:19
177:10	247:6	75 : 15	105:14	
178:10	relation	93:16	223:20	reservation
247:15,18	140:12	96:14,21	reports	s 134:20
regarded	relationshi	97:8,12,2	224:3	138:25
169:12,13	p 55:7,20	2 99:2,13		residential
regarding	56:3	101:2,7,1	reposition 95:16	117:9
226:14	122:11	1,23,24		residents
265:9	155:11	103:8	representat	23:15
regards	237:12	104:9	ion	
12:4	238:4,19	119:15,20	64:4,18	resinate
17:21	256:25	120:25 121:13,19	65:7	34:15
19:1 88:4	257:11 , 15	121:13,19	representat	resolution
113:1	258:5,6,1	126:1	ives	226:18
139:24	7,22,25	144:15	46:24	resolve
170:10	259:15	146:1	represented	247:7
228:7	relationshi	161:20	256:17	resource
	ps	163:16		10000106
		-	l	

	TE COLLINGWOO	00 11 2019	raye 321	01 330
29:14	31:13	10:20	retired	, 16 , 22
46:23	66:20	28:11	269:24	79:21
47:7 48:9	111:19,20	67 : 9	retirement	80:9,10
51:21	respons	148:19	145:9,23	82:5 86:7
169:12	22:8	rest 152:8	268:10	89:7,14,2
170:9		237:7		2 91:14
185:13	response		return	92:1,5,8,
228:11	16:12	restart	13:21	18,24
resources	30:20	71:2	returned	93:17
29:25	71:4	restricted	144:19	100:4
63:20	74:18	170:12,18	returning	101:12,19
133:13	75:21	restriction	11:17	105:15
193:12	76:17,19,	s 187:18	11:1/	108:5,13
206:9	21 77:6		revenue	134:21
227:21	108:5,8	restrictive	18:1	146:22
	152:17	110:12,19	review 8:11	189 : 25
respect	168:20	111:17	16:11	191:2,10
29:11	184:8	130:9	19 : 1	201:21,22
32:16	196:11	161:13	25 : 22	202:3
51:16	responses	restructuri	33:25	203:11
119:9	30:8 31:2	ng 6:6	38:10	222 : 22
142:10	47:21	81:11	72 : 22	228 : 7
161:2	48:17	260:12	97 : 17	RFPs 236:9
165:5,16	71:8,9,25		145:17	Rick
166:8,10 167:18	72:7,14,1	result 23:3	reviewed	94:3,11
169:11	7 , 22	60:22 72:11	14:17	138:8
170:13	73:21	125:9	17:14	157:8
171:11,12	82:5,8,14	172:5	38:14	
173:10,16	84:4 86:8	174:21	143:18	rights
175:4,17	97 : 23	178:10,12		99:15,16
176:15,20	98:10,13	,14,15	reviewing	rigourous
180:18	99:5,17	260:6	25 : 14	177 : 10
183:19	101:19,21		71:9	risk 114:17
184:2	,25 102:5	resulted	72:24	118:3
187:23	105:16,23	8:22	177:21	217:21
201:21	106:3,8	resulting	192:13	229:16
204:13	130:2	16:15	reviews	
248:3	responsibil	results	11:6	riskier
Respectfull	ities	82:13	revised	163:6
_	134:13	97:9	14:19,20	risky
y 240:6	156:17	105:14	•	161:14
respond	269:11		RFP 47:21	Rockx 31:12
68:6	responsibil	resuming	48:16	
111:11	ity 11:8	81:22	51:8,17	Roger
112:2	23:6	137:17	57:15,21,	154:19
159:16	120:21	retain	23 60:8	role 10:23
respondents	248:10	128:15	61:5	29:11
104:4,7	266:16,19	retained	66:6,18 68:23	46:21
· ·	269:21	29:9	68:23 71:4 72:1	47 : 7
responding		51:16	78:6,8,14	48:19
29:20	responsible	J + • + J	/0:0,0,14	

INQUIRI	TE CONTITUONO	JD 03-14-2019	raye 322	01 330
51:20	rushing	savings	3 252:17	249:10
60:7	112:9	95 : 22	scores	scrolling
66:15,16	Ryan 2:19	96:5	71:10	152:1
74:3	•	saw 11:21	72:9,11	155:9
131:22		25:15	73:11,15,	220:8
169:7		32:19	16 102:4	
185:11	sake 251:9	33:4	103:24	seat 257:23
221:22	sale 10:8	52:20	104:6,11	258 : 4
roles 132:8	14:7,25	62 : 18	252 : 18	second
roof 225:23	16:16	63 : 3	scoring	26:20
1001 223:23	19:10	110:15	47:8	64:2,9
room	20:6,12	160:25		71:19
67:1,10	28:13,15,	189:7,13	72:25	98:8
68:7	20 34:16	233:19	82:4,13	99:11
112:6	37:7 , 14		95:18	103:19
150:6	39:15 , 21	scenario	172:14,15 173:10	105:25
171:24	40:7,18,2	241:12		115:21
210:1	3 41:1	242:9	205:8	123:19
246:8	76:14	243:4,6	228:7	138:13
rose 42:22	79:11	248:5 267:24	236:5 248:20	155:9
	80:6,14	267:24	249:3,5,9	178:18
rough	86:5 , 7	schedule	,21	193:10
219:20	87:10,20	116:21	250:18,21	194:23
roughly	88:4 , 18	117:17	,25 252:8	210:7
144:7	90:18	213:3	253:10	225:21
routine	94:5,12	247:5	255:25	251:10,20
167:6	106:9	scheduled	262:17	252:1,16
	134:11	117:1		seconded
row 104:1	139:25	scheduling	scrambling	42:16
105:25	152:8	84:14	167:15	section
106:1	157:14	84:14	screen	26:21
RP 228:4	196:6,17	scope 26:9	251 : 7	213:4
RPF 228:4	197:12	score	scroll	248:6
	199:14,22	72:6,23	13:4,16	269:20
rule 133:2	, 24	101:25	42:10	
rules 167:5	200:23	102:7,19	54:8	sector
ruling	201:9 246:1	103:9	62:11	238:14
145:25	246:1 255:20	249:15	63:7 64:2	security
	268:14	252:5,7,1	75:11,20,	117:8
run 64:24		6,20,25	21 81:12	118:23
123:7	sales 44:10	253:5	83:15	119:2
229:16	120:10	scored 84:3	98:1 99:9	seeing
running	199:17	97:23	104:19,22	13:12
95:15	263:13	102:8	,23	38:24
114:17	Sandra 2:12	209:25	, 105 : 21	104:5
123:12,21	198:24	235:25	138:4	160:23
137:12,20	satisfactor	236:2,7	157:4	163:18
174:2		249:9,24	193:9	229:20
runs 221:24	y 177:15	250:3,7	220:4	241:9
	Save 92:14	•	246:10	
		251:8,9,1	240.10	

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWOO	00-14-2019	rage 323	01 330
seek 144:4	64:10	169:13	174:16	93:22
seeking	96:22	172:4	182:12	sets 108:4
89:2	109:16	237:24	264:8,18	
09.2	129:20	sent 57:13	services	setting
seem 135:10	153 : 14	201:22		32:14
243:12	190:14,19		7:15,23	35:13
248:1	197 : 25	202:3	8:7,21	133:22
264:19	210:5,11,	sentence	9:1,8,17,	settle
seemed 95:2	17,19,24	26:21	19 10:2	21:21
131:8	211:20	59 : 3	12:5	
159:23	212:3,9,1	75 : 25	13:19	settled 7:6
	0,13,15,2	118:20	14:4,11,1	21:19
183:5	0	152:9	8,21	seven 7:4
265:13	214:9,11	separate	15 : 22	
seems	215:5,12,	240:1,16,	16:14	seventy
100:12	15,17,23,	240:1,16,	17:1,3,6	208:9
132:21	24	24 202:20	18:6,8,13	several
135:11	216:19,24	September	, 17	140:12
138:9,22	217:20	52 : 9	19:8,19	242:16
158:8	217.20	53:23	20:2,12	259:12
206:25	selling	54:10	21:1,8,11	
238:21,24	40:15	56:8	,23,25	share 10:8
	64:23	145:24	43:17	16:16
seen	65 : 22	230:15,16	49:12 , 18	40:18
30:15,23	76 : 3	232:13	68 : 18	44:10
32:25	78:12 , 13	233:24	78 : 9	74:2
54:13	119:24	236:7	113:16	87:20
76:20	132:23	257 : 21	154:14,15	88:4,18
86:2	133:5		155:1,6,1	94:5,12
135:12,14	134:23	series	0 168:4	109:18
138:5	188:9	159:13	169:3,8	113:23
143:4	191 : 18	246:19	184:1	199:22
156:2,6	211:11	serious	186 : 13	215:6
158:14	232:10	5:18	192:5,8,1	229:14
182:20	send 57:7	37 : 15	8 , 22	240:23
193:8	58:5	seriously	193:25	255:19
198:9,12	38:3	37:8,12	194:13	263:13
207:16,23	sending	·	195:10	264:12
213:9	57 : 24	served	247:21	shared
241:7,21	senior	149:17,18	262:3,12	7:15,23
269:7	237:18	service	264:9	8:21
selected	256:19,21	9:25 11:9	session	9:1,19,25
46:2	257:4,8	12:15,20		10:12
52:17	•	13:7	37:18	11:9 13:7
73:24	sense 20:6	14:16	42:23	14:7,16,2
112:21	24:15	23:9	46:4	5 16:14
113:10	47:9	74:21	50:22	17:1,2
	50:12	91:11	88:3	18:17
selection	63:17	113:1,4,1	104:23	19:8,23
69:18	84:18,23	9 127:14	161:22	20:12,19
86:9	90:15	128:7	sessions	21:23
sell 41:16	117:22	168:1,11	48:5	91:9
		100.1,11] ,,,,

	INQUINI	Te COLLINGWOO	00 14 2013	rage 324	01 330
	113:16	43:13	shotgun	254:20	166:7
	154:14 , 15	52 : 4	64:11,20	shows	217:12,24
	155:1,6,1	74:22	65:20	152 : 14	229:15
	0 168:1,4	75 : 18	99:16,19,	207:23	233:14
	169:8	76:4,24	21		247:3
	184:1	78:4,12,1	100:7,16	shut 143:12	255:3
	192:4,8,1	3 79:16	101:17,21	Shuttlewort	269:12
	8 193:25	80:5,8,14	103:7	h 10:22	272:18
	194:13	,18,21	108:2,6,2	11:15	273 : 8
	264:18	102:3	4	114:4	single
	265:1	104:2	109:8,20	168:12	77:13
	269:11	109:12,16	110:2,5,8	256:6 , 14	
	270:1	, 17	,22	sic 76:5	sir 17:4
	shareholder	110:24	112:13,17	139:10	18:7
	6:9 50:3	115:1	114:13	145:21	271:1
	87:14 , 15	153:14	128:13,14	186:16	sit 5:19
	109:15 , 17	160:17	,24	227:14	84:16,19
	, 23	197:12	130:15		100:25
	161:5,6,1	198:1	131:12	signed 13:9	sitting
	0 186:5	199:15,18	132:11	16:11	32:22,25
	197:20	201:10	146:4	213:20	85 : 1
	210:8	210:12,18	150:15	significant	
	212:20,25	,20,24	159:14,15	125:15 , 22	
	213:1	211:10,11	,17,20,22	197 : 3	situation
	214:18	212:4,10	160:4,9,2	198:2	10:22
	239:7	215:10,12	0 161:1	235:22	44:10 46:23
	244:16,17	216:23,24	162:1,17,	272:4	63:4 77:9
	, 20	217:22 239:21	25 164:3	273:4 , 17	88:4
	270:10	240:8,17	165:1,16,	signing	100:8
	shareholder	240.8,17	24	129:20	101:4
	s 64:10	246:1	166:9,10 210:5,9,1		110:13
	65 : 14	265:19	5,22	similar 21:5	111:7,9,1
	88 : 6	266:7	211:20	23:9,12	0 117:15
	106:23	267:11	212:13,19	24:7,9	121:14
	107:2		213:10	63:18	145:16
	109:12	sharing	215:23	68:5	156:15
	176:20	229:22	217:20	73:15	159:22
	214:17,23	sheet	241:5,16,	150:16	161:14
	246:14	103:22	22 242:2	170:6	163:6
	shareholder	104:5	245:3	204:14	171:6
	's 64:19	219:19	263:11,12	269:8	173:23
		shop 94:4,6	showed		181:9,11
	Shareholder	short 59:4	17:16,17	similarly 212:9	222:2,10
	s 107:14	190:20	251:13,18	212:9	229:20
	111:23			simply	235:20
	113:21	shortly	showing	19:25	242:3
	shareholdin	223:2	97:6	145:19	243:7
	g 200:18	shortness	226:11	152:19	244:24
	shares	162:1	shown 82:12	153:23	245:24
	40:1,18		97:8	165:22	259:5
- [,				

INQUIRI	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 03-14-2019	raye 323	01 330
six 22:9	233:14	43:13,15	sort 11:21	98:15
144:7	238:4	44:10	13:10	99:3
175:5	solar 70:1	86:14	28:24	100:15
250:14	119:13,16	107:16	39:15	103:6
sixty	,25 121:3	115:1	82:7	108:2
144:25	123:14	232:6	100:9	specificall
144.25	155:23	233:19	127:24	y 14:11
sixty-five	156:4	238:18	146:9	y 14.11 61:19
144:25	225:23	243:20	234:12	79:11
sixty-two	265:5,9,2	252 : 17	246:7	84:6 89:9
249:10,14	5	268:1	256:17	
·		somewhat	267:24	92:1 93:7 , 14
size 6:12	sold 41:23	118:5	sorted	96:18
50:6	65 : 16	145:14	21:15	102:3,6,1
204:14	74 : 22	190:20	21:13	0 108:15
246:6,7	75 : 18	242:16	sought	
skip 220:12	76 : 24	242:10	228:14	120:4
slide 32:13	78 : 4	sor 225:17	sounds 45:2	125:11
	79:16	sorry 9:10	222:8	161:19
38:3,6,15	80:18,21	32:23		194:3
,21,25	97 : 3	35:10	source	203:13
105:13,20	110:7	62:20	194:24	218:11
106:12	153:15	64:25	speak 10:24	260:14
107:23	199:25	73:14	30:7,24	263:21
108:3	200:1	75:2,21	43:16	specificity
slightly	217:22	99:25	87 : 19	155 : 13
41:5	229:7	102:17	93:23	specifics
slow	sole 269:3	103:15	139:24	30:2
136:13,15		106:15	140:3	50:20
	solid	110:3	151:3	53:11
slowly	173:18	114:8	175:15	261:17
135:12	175:1	122:5	195:4	
small 17:25	177:20	145:4	246:8	specified
125:17	solution	172:11	256:24	216:18
181:13	56:14	173:1	speaking	speculate
200:17,22	76:5	180:8	57:18	190:5
204:9,12	88:20	182:6,9	112:5	266:3
smaller	solutions	186:21	158:18	speculating
129:2,4,8	8:7 17:21	201:13,17	239:14	31:10
185:16	18:2,4,8	210:16		34:6
246:22	19:20	219:20,22	spec 29:22	200:6,12
	79 : 23	220:3,21	specialized	
snapping	81:10	223:1	63:19	speculation
243:19	87 : 23	224:12	193:12	120:7
so-called	92:15	230:2	206:9	speculative
185:2	104:18	233:2	specific	172:25
208:4	185:21	245:2,15	29:23	173:3 , 5
social	somebody	252 : 5	31:13	speed
55:7,20	21:12	256:7,11	61:3	268:24
56:3	25:10	258 : 2	65 : 25	
59:10	20.10	272:14	71:9 84:7	spend 241:3
			,1.0 04.7	

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	D 00 14 2013	rage 320	01 330
spending	242:22	226:11	25	227:1
22:25	standpoint	stating	43:3,10,2	228:1
24:20	6:11 26:5	253:20	2 45:23	231:11
spent 222:4	63:15		46:21	255:9,10,
_	79:19	statistical	47:20	24 262:16
split 15:25	80:23,25	63:15	48:4,7,16	263:2,22
17:18	81:7	status	,21,23	strategy
150:20	143:20	116:2	49:1,3,24	99:10
spoke 6:14	start 37:21	stay 91:10	50:5,18,2	102:25
19:2		145:3,5	1	241:18
139:10	85:16	146:15	51:1,9,11	Street 1:19
145:8	120:15	268:16	52 : 3,9	Street 1:19
262:8	142:22 205:19		53:4,14,2	strengths
265:8	263:19	stayed 7:3	2 54:20	173:22
spoken	203:10	17:21	57:19	stretched
219:19	started	step 78:11	59:12,14,	264:2
	55:11	stepping	25	
spokesperso	61:3	16:18	60:7,20,2	strong
n 157:11	118:17	66:16	3	257:15
158:19,23	159:21	76:21	63:19,23	strongly
,24,25	starting		65:4	79:22
spring	218:14	steps	66:2,15	87 : 12
196:2	221:16	232:14	68:17	181:21
197:10,19	starts	246:20	70:17,21	structure
SPTT 205:9	213:2	Stern 81:15	73:23	7:6 19:23
207:18,25		152:20	74:4 83:19	20:3
208:21	state 12:12	154:1	83:19 87:17	64:9,19
209:8,21	19:21	stick 256:5	89:3	75 : 23
·	77:16		93:13	76 : 12
stability	154:23	sticking	94:1	77:10
146:10	260:25	268:17	96:6,15,1	81:12
staff 36:20	stated	stipulate	6 97:16	91:8,12
68:1	108:12	134:17	98:12	106:24
94:5,20	116:3,24	stock	99:5,15	134:1,5
257:2,4	statement	244:19	100:23	150:8
stage 29:3	8:5 45:9		101:20	174:4
34:19	116:12	stood 25:15	104:7,25	186:24
57:21,23	118:21	stop 98:3	105:3,15	261:1
270:16	158:3,5	140:14	112:15	262:17
stages	195:3	stopping	115:5	264:3,18
28:3,25	225:2	78:11	127 : 22	structured
· ·	254:15,24		149:6	153 : 2
stand 190:7	266:14	straightfor	158:22	structuring
230:25	statements	ward	165:9	109:23
standalone	19:14	235:17	174:11	
185:19	33:18	strange	177 : 4	STT 92:7
standard	151:5,14,	264:16	192:17,22	169:11,24
100:13	15 224:18	strategic	193:12	170:13,23
		33:4 36:3	202:12	171:10
standards	states	38:20,23,	206:9	172:4

	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-14-2019	Page 327	OI 336
174:19	112:1	supplementa	211:20	10:22
176:4		ry 13:6	236:18	30:16
stuff	suggest 30:1 86:4	_	239:11	67:5 , 10
219:11	139:21	supplied	247:14	78:10
	156:3	14:4 18:6	258:16	92:22
sub 214:15	218:24	support	266:6	124:10,11
subject	233:22	43:2	268:24	131:22
78:14	253:22	226:19	271:14	168:11
127:13		supporting	surmised	186:4
131:12	suggested	63:20	86:13	214:1
146:3	60:18			229:11
147:14	61:10	supports	surprise	237:10
214:16	109:20	43:4	88:13	talk 55:25
subjective	110:5	suppose	140:1	90:4
128:11	142:4	129:19	197:13,19	139:7
	suggesting	215:23	204:17	155:17
submission	116:8	supposed	227:24	157 : 6
271:6,15,	165:22	58:21	surprised	159:21
23	166:7	157:10	11:19,23	172:19
submissions	suggestion	158:18	12:1	203:19
270:23	160:4	205:19	145:14	209:19
submit	165:23		168:18	210:4,6
237:22	205:7	<pre>supposition 220:25</pre>	surrounding	243:24
245:21	241:16	220:23	154:25	254:6
250:12		225:1		263:14
	suggestions		swearing 84:15	talked
subparagrap		sure 16:5	84:15 85:8	14:11
h 214:16	suggests	26:4 33:7		20:16
subsidiary	98:24	56:9	switch	33:20
subsidiary 76:6	146:8	57 : 10	switch 85:21	33:20 36:15
76:6		57:10 58:16		33:20 36:15 44:2
76:6	146:8	57:10 58:16 62:20	85:21	36:15
76:6 substantial 167:17	146:8 156:7	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9	36:15 44:2
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry	36:15 44:2 50:16,20
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1 T Ta 255:10 tab 206:10	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13 112:21	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9 summoning	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13 139:18	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1 T Ta 255:10 tab 206:10 table 3:1	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13 112:21 succession 268:22	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9 summoning 208:17	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13 139:18 141:2	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1 T Ta 255:10 tab 206:10 table 3:1 17:13	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25 269:1
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13 112:21 succession 268:22 successions	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9 summoning 208:17 sunshine	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13 139:18 141:2 149:9	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1 T Ta 255:10 tab 206:10 table 3:1 17:13 62:11	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25 269:1 talking
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13 112:21 succession 268:22 successions 12:9	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9 summoning 208:17	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13 139:18 141:2 149:9 164:7,8	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 symmetry 162:24 system 19:3 195:15 260:1 T Ta 255:10 tab 206:10 table 3:1 17:13 62:11 73:7,10	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25 269:1 talking 12:18 27:3 30:13
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13 112:21 succession 268:22 successions 12:9 suddenly	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9 summoning 208:17 sunshine	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13 139:18 141:2 149:9 164:7,8 167:25 194:11 197:7	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 Symmetry 162:24 System 19:3 195:15 260:1 Ta 255:10 tab 206:10 table 3:1 17:13 62:11 73:7,10 90:7	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25 269:1 talking 12:18 27:3 30:13 72:21
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13 112:21 succession 268:22 successions 12:9 suddenly 233:23	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9 summoning 208:17 sunshine 260:15,19	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13 139:18 141:2 149:9 164:7,8 167:25 194:11 197:7 199:23	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 Symmetry 162:24 System 19:3 195:15 260:1 T Ta 255:10 tab 206:10 table 3:1 17:13 62:11 73:7,10 90:7 100:25	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25 269:1 talking 12:18 27:3 30:13 72:21 77:3
76:6 substantial 167:17 174:7 successful 69:19 73:23 91:12 112:21 successfull y 87:13 112:21 succession 268:22 successions 12:9 suddenly	146:8 156:7 suitors 203:20 summarize 80:4 summarized 49:23 summarizing 9:16 summary 98:9 summoning 208:17 sunshine 260:15,19 supplement	57:10 58:16 62:20 65:1 67:9 72:20 85:12,13 86:18 89:10 128:2 130:21 133:10 136:9 137:13 139:18 141:2 149:9 164:7,8 167:25 194:11 197:7	85:21 Sworn 3:5 5:9 Symmetry 162:24 System 19:3 195:15 260:1 Ta 255:10 tab 206:10 table 3:1 17:13 62:11 73:7,10 90:7	36:15 44:2 50:16,20 57:9 61:8 95:21 96:4 102:2 119:6 132:24 184:6,10 222:2 223:5 265:25 269:1 talking 12:18 27:3 30:13 72:21

INQUIRI	. re collingwoo	00-14-2019	Page 326	01 330
102:9	104:7,25	74:4,5	terming	178:6
104:10	112:15,19	83:19	43:21	179:1,2,7
118:8,14	115:6	87:18	terms 18:16	218:5
139:19	127:22	94:1	25:18	219:23
152:3	149:6	96:16	26:8	220:14
155:17	158 : 22	97 : 17	67:24	226:23
157 : 14	165:9	98:12	97:24	234:25
184:13	169:17	99:5,15	113:21	236:12,16
187:20,21	170:10,14	100:23	131:12	237:5
193:16	173:25	101:20	131:12	242:1,8
194:7	227:2	104:7,25	162:15	243:11
199:14	228:1,15	112:15,19	165:10	247:10
263:10	231:12	115:6	210:7	248:25
talks 151:2	255:11,24	127:22	243:22	253:13 , 22
	tasked	146:14	245:22	254:18
tally	29 : 22	149:6,13	240:4	256:3
228:18	67 : 8	158:22	247:22	258:3
tardy 30:25		165:9	248:4 256:25	261:18
31:2	tasks 167:6	169:11,18		270:11 , 13
136:18	tax 77:1,4	, 25	258:22	273:19
	78:4,12,1	170:10,14	259:13	thanking
target	8,19,21,2	,23,24	261:6	53 : 10
151:3,19	4,25	171:10,11	264:6,17 268:17	
task 33:5	79:7,10,1	, 14 172:4	208:17	thanks
45:23	9	173:25	terrible	53:15
46:5,8,12	80:7,13,1	174:19	103:17	85:10
,21	7,22	227:2	terribly	137:14
47:7,20	149:14,18	228:1,15	138:10	225:10
48:4,7,16	152:9	231:12	214:3	247:2
,21	153:3,6	256:6,18	219:22	251 : 15
51:9,12,2	264:23	257 : 1		that'd
4 52:9	270:6	269:17	test 145:20	141:9,10
53:4,15,2		telephone	testimony	142:19
3 54:20	team 33:5	223:1	126:16	*h = :=
59:13,25	45:23		247:11	theirs
60:7	46:2,6,8,	ten 28:11	271 : 12	115:3
61:20	12,21 47:7,20	62:18	thank 22:11	thereabouts
66:15	·	71:16	42:4	254:8
68:17	48:4,7,9, 10,16,21	143:13	107:18	thereafter
70:17,21	51:9,12,2	147:25	115:10	223:2
73:23	4 52:9	148:3	126:3	
74:4	53:4,15,2	245:9	156:22	there'd
83:19	3 54:20	tenant	159:6,8	12:20
87:17	59:13,25	239:14	161:19	145:15
94:1	60:7	240:7	163:7,20	146:9
96:15	61:20	term 43:22	165:22	therefor
97:17	66:16	154:19	166:20	209:13
98:12	68:17	159:24	167:20	therefore
99:5,15	70:17,21	190:20	169:9	189:4
100:23	70:17,21	213:13,16	174:6,18	198:19
101:20	73:23	210.10,10	176:1	201:2
	70.20			201.2

INQUINI	. Te COLLINGWOC	00 14 2013	Page 329	01 330
208:17,20	264:13	8:1,4,24	48:3,11,1	19,24
209:7,13	415 ÷ 4	9:3,22	8,22	81:4,8
217:11	thirty	10:4,10,1	49:5,21,2	
224:25	54:24	9 11:13	5 50:9,19	
260:21	55:2,6	12:3,19	51:3,10,1	
	62:16,17,	13:11,25	8,21	86:10
there's	24 83:19	14:9	52:5,11,1	
26:10	84:2	15:2,9,17	5	1 88:19
30:16	180:13	,21	53:1,7,17	
34:1	183:21	16:9,12,1	,24	1
72:17	205:13,14	7	54:17 , 22	90:2,15,2
77:1	,15 207:3	17:4,7,10	55:4,9,24	
83:4,22	208:5,21	18:7,19,2	56:5,9	91:22,24
92:11	237:13	3 19:11	57:2,8,16	
98:9,20	250:13,15	20:8,24	,25 58:7	9,25
128:24	252:2,6,1	21:24	59:9,16,1	
129:11	0 254:7	22:7	9,23	
152:16	256:4,9	23:21,25	60:2,4,10	,19 94:9,13,1
156:8	Thornbury	· ·		6,20
210:1	6:19	24:4,13	,15	•
214:3	184:12,19	25:3,20	61:6,13,1 8,23	95:1,19 96:1,9,18
223:3	186:3,8,1	26:2,14,2 4	62:3,13,2	
233:23	2 187:6	27:8,20,2		97:4,11,1
241:11	188:9,16	5 28:7,22	64:6,13,2	
246:5,17,	191:14	29:7,13,1	4	4,19,24 98:7,15,2
18,19		9	65:5,11,1	0
254:20	thoughts	30:10,15	7,23	99:6,12,1
270:21	108:7	31:4,9,24	66:3,13,2	
271:8,25	thousand	32:3,18,2	3	100:2,18,
272:6	7:11 57:3	3	67:2,15,2	21
they're	118:10	33:2,6,22	0,23	101:14,23
5:16	195:19	34:5	68:3,9,13	
44:11	throughout	35:2,10,2	,20	103:2,10,
63:13	176:3	0	69:1,6,11	
65:11	254:13	36:5,14,2	,16,21,25	•
84:13	255:9	5	70:12,14,	105:5,10,
86:24	256:4	37:10,17	19,24	19
91:6	thrown	38:7,12,1	71:5,11	106:5,11,
110:25	222:5	7,22	72:2,8,12	22
164:10		39:7,14	,16,24	107:6,12
243:16,18	thumb 133:2	40:6,16,2	73:4,12,1	108:19,22
they've	thunderbolt	1	8 , 25	109:1,21
111:5	197:13	41:3,8,18	74:6,9,18	110:3,11,
+hind 6.21	Thursday	,24 43:11	,24	21 111:22
third 6:21 25:12	230:20	44:1,5,9,	75:2,13,1	112:3,18,
83:10		20,25	9 76:25	24
133:12	thus 207:23	45:8,17,2	78:7,17,2	
198:3	211:12	5	4	, 25
	Tim 5:7,13	46:3,9,13	79:2,13,1	
third-party	6:3,6	,18,22	8	15 , 22
51:21	7:10	47 : 22	80:10,15,	115:2,7,2

_	~				
	4	146:6,11,	176:5,12,	,20,25	227:3,11,
	116:14,19	16,19	18,22	201:7,12,	16
١	117:7	147:2,18	177:5,8,1	15,18,25	228:5,16,
١	119:10,17	148:2,7,1	1,18	202:4,8,1	20,22,25
	,20	0,16,22,2	178:5,7,1	5 , 19 , 22	229:15,23
١	120:20,25	5 149:4,9	3	203:1,4,7	230:2,5,8
	121:6,11,	150:1,5,1	179:16,24	,12,21	,17,22
	17,22	1,17,22	180:3,6,1	204:1,6,1	231:1,4,8
١	122:4,18,	151:1,11,	1,15,21,2	1,14,21	,13,17,23
١	23	25	4	205:18	232:1,4,1
١	123:15,24	152:5,13,	181:2,7,1	206:1,4,1	7,20,23
١	124:6,15,	19	4,18	2,15,19,2	233:2,5,1
١					
١	19	153:4,16,	182:3,6,9	3	0,13,20
١	125:4,7,1	22	,15,22	207:2,7,2	234:1,3,8
	0,14,25	154:12,18	183:1,8,1	0	,13,15,19
	126:18,23	155:3,14,	5,22	208:1,6,1	235:24
١	127:7,15,	19	184:4,8,1	2,15,19,2	236:3,9
١	19,25	156:1,6,1	3,16,20,2	3	237:15,20
١	128:10,18	3,16,21	3	209:1,6,1	238:3,9,1
١	, 22	157:16,22	185:4,9,1	1,15,24	2,15,20
١	129:1,4,7	158:2,6	2	210:13,21	239:11,15
	,16,23	159:18	186:1,10,	211:1,6,1	,18,23
١	130:5,8,1	160:6,14,	15,20	2,17,23	240:2,5,1
١	8,24	21	187:2,8,1	212:1,6,1	0,14,19
	131:2,8,1	161:4,11,	1,15,25	2,17,22	241:2,15,
١	4,20	17 , 21	188:5,10,	213:11,14	24
	132:3,7,1	162:4,14,	19,25	,18	242:6,11,
١	3,16,24	17	189:7,11,	214:13,20	14,19,23
١	133:4,9,1	163:4,13,	14,17,20,	,24	243:1,8,1
١	6,23	18,25	23	215:2,7,1	3,21
١	134:2,6,1	164:5,12	190:1,25	3,18,25	244:8,23
١	4,25	165:2,8,1	191:4,9,1	216:4,8,1	246:3,24
	135:3,10,	9	3,15,19,2	2,15,20,2	247:8,19,
١	11,14,18,	166:3,13,	2	5	24
١	23	19 , 25	192:6,10,	217:4,9,1	248:8,13
١	136:2,17	167:7,11,	15,19,24	4,18,23	249:7,12,
١	138:6,11,	19 168:9	193:14,17	218:1,4,9	16,19,22
١	14,19	169:1,15,	,21	, 19	250:1,6,1
	139:2,7,9	20	194:3,9,1	219:2,8	0,15,19,2
	,15,23	170:2,5,1	5,21	220:1,20,	3
	140:15,20	5,20,25	195:2,9,1	23	251:1,4,1
	,24	171:4,15,	3 , 22	221:3,18	2,21
	, 141:1,3,6	20	196:4,7,1	222:12,21	252:2,6,1
	,9,13,21,	172:1,7,1	2,19,24	,24	0,14,19,2
	25	2,22	197:3,7,1	223:11,14	2
	143:6,10,	173:3,6,1	6,18	,18	253:3,7,1
	18	3,20,25	198:3,6,1	224:3,11,	2,18,20
	144:3,6,1	174:3,8,1	3,17,22	16,23	254:9,16,
	1,14,23	3,16,22	199:4,7,1	225:4	254 . 5 , 10 ,
	145:4,8,1	175:7,13,	1,20	226:5,9,1	255:6,13,
	3,24	20,24	200:2,5,9	5,21	21
	J/21	20,27	200.2,0,0	J / 2 1	<u> </u>
L					

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	05-14-2019	Page 331	OI 336
256:2,8,1	75 : 7	168:18	141:20	transcript
2,19,23	TOC67989	Tow 49:2	142:6	3:20
257:9,17,		10w 49.2	149:23	142:17
21,25	156:24	towards	150:3	218:12,13
258:8,11,	TOC70115	39:16	153:13	271:16
24	136:22	67:17	154 : 17	272:16
259:7,16,	137:24	86:19	159:6	
25	today	128:3	174:10,15	transfer
260:5,7,1	32:22,25	134:11	,20	18 : 25
0,16,18	83:13	135:4	176:11	78 : 24
261:4,17,	116:4	136:2	185:7,15	153:6
21		155:9	188:13	268:23
262:7,10,	251:7	+ 1.0 17	190:9,13	transferred
14,18,21	254:12	town 1:2,17	192:9,17	76 : 7
263:3,16,	262:1	2:18 5:25	198:11	
25	265:10	6:2	214:25	treasurer
264:10,14	tomorrow	7:9,25	214:23	54:23
	271:20 , 22	8:3		180:1,9
,22	272:12	9:2,5,6,8	216:13,17	treat
265:11,16	273:19	,19	,23,24	127:18
,22	tonight	10:2,18	217:6,10,	+
266:2,15	272 : 16	13:19	16,19	treated
268:2,13,	2/2:10	14:5,12	221:23	52 : 25
19,25	top	18:6,11,1	222:17	tried
269:13,18	102:19,22	2,14	257 : 2 , 4	125:10
,23	104:2	21:16	Town's	trigger
270:8,18,	204:4	24:23	24:12	109:13
25 271:10	205:10,13	25:1 26:3	traded	146:5
272:3,13,	, 20	32:15	240:8	
24	208:13	40:14		triggered
273:3,13,	245:4	41:16	transaction	215:23
16	250:4	45:14	10:8	triple
time's	Toronto	49:2	11:10	225:17
83:11	84 : 15	50:17	12:2	trouble
Timothy		51:2	13:24	142:3,7
2:14 3:5	total 16:4	53:21	14:8 15:1	•
5:9	35:23	65:2	16:16	true 29:18
	206:17	74:22	22:6,10	101:4
title 180:4	249:10	95:22	69:24	135:17
titles	251:13	96:5,11,1	74:20	Trump
262:3	totalled	7,22 97:2	76:1,9	136:12
TOC 114:6	208:5	106:8,18	145:11	b b
	totally	109:10	146:15	trust
TOC0518041	29:3	111:14,16	153:1	154:20,22
4:4		,20,23	182:19	155:2,5
TOC0521817	totals	112:1,16	187:17	trusted
4:5	103:22	114:20	197:25	148:24
	104:3	128:14,15	transaction	263:6
TOC518041	207:15	129:5	s 10:23	try 7:22
54:4	251 : 2	131:19	190:2,7	25 : 1
TOC521817	tough	132:1	201:3	29:24
		134:16		27.21

111,001111		00 00 14 2013	rage 332	
50:16	190:18	21:1	50:17 , 25	46:16,19
80:3	turned	263:9	51:20	52 : 2,13
179:18,19	78:19		53:14	62 : 15
182:1	116:12,17	U	60:19	63:1 73:8
183:13	153:1	<u>ultimate</u>	63:4 66:4	79:9
245:13	168:12	47:15	72:21	80:13
258:12	190:12	86:8	77:18	97 : 5
269:4		125:23	78 : 3	103:22
271:13	turning		89:14	123:11 , 20
trying	7:14,23	ultimately	91:17	124:4
18:16	47:12	43:9	92:2	146:7
60:16	114:18	59 : 17	95:4 , 14	190:17
98:25	229:12	76:24	97:1 , 7	229:23
111:15	twenty	79 : 15	100:15	250 : 21
158:2	128:16	80:21	103:12	understood
164:12	129:14	86:24	110:18	35:6 39:4
183:6	130:7	119:8	112:12	57:16
199:1	131:1,25	211:9	121:20	63:4
204:17	159:17	unanimous	122:15	65:1,2
211:15	161:3,8,1	106:23	131:24	76:11
243:17	2	107:13	132:23	80:5
243.17	162:2,22	109:23	158:2	95:23
247:2	163:3,5,1	111:22	159:14	96:21
247.2	7	176:20	160:2	110:6
254:1	164:8,14		167:25	127:5
264:16	166:1,3	unanimously	168:3	165:19
267:9,19,		43:1	173 : 17	174:22
21 268:23	twenty-five	uncertainty	179:15	183:12
269:2	126:8	154 : 25	181 : 23	247:13
	twenty-four	155:17	195:6	267:16
Tuesday	7:11	uncondition	203:15	268:11
230:21	twenty-	al	226:24	
turn 22:15	seven	215:9,11	228:10	undertake
29:18	252:23	·	229:3	105:1
32:8 33:8		underneath	241:23	119:22
37:23	twenty-six	152:7	256:11	undertaken
41:9 54:3	250:25	understand	257 : 20	135:1,7
62:5	two-year	5:6 7:8	270:25	undertaking
74:10	146:4,9	8:21 9:1	understanda	27:15
83:5	type 13:10	17 : 2	ble 29:4	
95:16	28:1 90:3	20:13		undertook
102:14	130:19	21:14	understandi	120:15
103:11		24:10	ng 10:7	174:20
104:14	types 12:10	25:17 , 25	24:13,24	unfolded
105:11	43:19	26:22	27:17,23	242:3
107:22	190:6	27:6 28:5	28:6 30:3	258 : 23
109:2	264:3	34:25	36:2,7	270:7
114:5	typical	35:6	40:8	
115:15	263:20	40:5,14	41:5,15	unfortunate
118:17		46:21	45:3,5,9,	ly 118:4
156:24	typically	49:1	15	141:12
	l			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 05-14-2019	Page 333	of 336
188:25	177:10	187:4	219:1	view 21:1
259:1		188 : 12	221:1	25:13
	usual 34:18	190:3	223:5,7	29:4
unique	84:20	197 : 21	224:14	36:18
117:15	ut 186:20	199:24	251:13	50:2
unit 122:24	utilities	226:13	255 : 5	62 : 24
123:4,6	2:7 7:1	254 : 23		63:21
225:23,24	11:1	256 : 20	variety	86 : 6
units 122:7	18:10		167:5	90:22
124:10	19:24	utilize	various	92:21
	54:24	12:7 73:6	12:9	108:21
unless	55:18		28:25	114:9
186:23	87:5 89:4	V	56:10	115:9
unlinked	91:10	valuation	73:22	145:13
239:10	117:7,15	19:8	126:15	153:18
ummaaaanah1	180:9	22:17	183:4	173:17
unreasonabl	185:16	23:24	198:20	174:6
e	186:23	24:10,16,	205:8	176:6
147:16,19	187:3,6,1	25	206:10	177:2,7
unsure	0,13	25:1,5,8,	207:15	229:16
158:13	244:9	17	246:12,18	
unworkable	257:1	26:1,22	vast	<pre>viewed 63:2</pre>
243:7	269:9	27:6,19,2	201:2,3	64:5,11
259:5		3		views 86:4
	utility	28:16,19	vent	87 : 20
upcoming	6:12	29:5,10,1	119:13,25	91:18,19
113:1	18:10	1 30:7	121:3	92:2
updated	24:17	31:7,22	156:4	93:7 , 17
35:25	46:13	33:24	265 : 5	94:4,12
upfront	49:6	34:2,3,8,	ventilation	108:14,17
120:12	55:12	10,25	225:23	130:18
	74:21	35:8,14,1	vents 70:1	133:10
upon 5:1	75:24	7,21,24	119:16	visiting
7:6	78:9	36:3 37:6	123:14,23	237:24
21:19,21	91:20	129:21	155:23	
42:16	96:23	132:10,22	265:25	vivid
81:21,22	106:9	, 25 196:1		100:22
85:24	111:7	197:18 , 23	verbal 62:4	voice 93:1
137:16,17	114:10,14	199:9	69:3	234:6
169:5	,21 118:4	valuation's	verbally	voiced
273:21	128:17	34:14	93:24	93 : 17
upwards	134:23	1 14.2	77	
39:16	135:19	value 14:3	Veridian	voicing
40:4,11,1	148:19	18:16	204:20	93:7
3 182:13	149:24	28:9 32:1	238:7	vote 105:9
USA 165:10	162:6	35:24	versus	171 : 23
	165:18	49:15,25	78:13	227 : 8
useful 78:1	180:18	50:3 95:5	246:7	228:7,19
174:7,20	181:9,11	133:7 197:21	viable	•
175:23	183:20	206:17	87:12	voted 43:1
176:2	185:14,19		3 · • = =	173:9
	186:9,21	212:14		

Page	334	of	336
------	-----	----	-----

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-14-2019	Page 334	OL 330
228:2,19	140:2	4,22	213:12,15	weighted
· ·	141:7,8	189:2,9,1	214:7,8,1	66 : 11
voter	153:8	2,15,18,2	4,21,25	95:5
198:15	159:19	1,24	215:3,8,1	
votes 47:4	162:14	190:22	4,19	weighting
104:24	167:18	191:1,6,1	216:1,6,1	60:12
207:18	168:14,15	1,14,16,2	0,13,16,2	63:18
voting	, 17	1	1	66:9,10
47:2,5,13	175:14	192:1,7,1	217:1,5,1	welcome
169:14,16	176:12	2,16,21	0,15,19,2	249:24
170:8	181:18,19	193:1,7,1	4	we'll
171:18	197:13,19	5,18,22	218:2,5,1	5:18 , 19
227:17	200:22	194:5,11,	0,20	13:16
227.11	206:1,3	19,22	219:3,9	56:22
	207:2	195:5,12,	220:2,21,	57 : 11
W	226:17	18,24	24	71:16,20
wage 260:13	233:19	196:5,8,1	221:5,19	142:8
261:2,15	234:8	3,21,25	222:13,22	171:8
wait 144:17	254 : 1	197:5,9,1	,25	199:13
waiting	265:15	7,22	223:12,15	236:24
61:11,21		198:5,8,1	,19,24	272:11
62:24	water	4,18,23	224:5,8,9	273:1,10
63:5 92:4	9:4,8,11	199:5,8,1	,13,20,24	
	17:20	2	225:6	Wendy
walk 237:10	18:10	200:1,3,7		273:25
walked	19:4	,15,16,24	ways 154:2	we're 18:25
249:1	187:3	201:1,8,1	214:10	24:19
	Watson 2:7	3,16,20	weather	34:7
Wasaga	3:10	202:2,5,9	58:20	42:7,14
123:1	179:3,4,6	,16,20,24	we'd 49:13	58:25
204:7,9	,7,10,11,	203:2,5,8	58:22	71:19
wasn't	12,17,25	, 15 , 22	100:6	77 : 22
10:20	180:5,8,1	204:2,8,1	119:3	85:24
11:1,19,2	2,16,22,2	2,16,22	186:19	128:4
2 18:12	5	205:3,22		134:22
20:1 22:8	181:3,10,	206:2,5,1	week 58:22	135:11
28:13	15,23	3,16,20,2	117:21	137:19
34:25	182:4,7,1	4	145:1	139:5,6,1
37:11	0,17,23	207:5,9,2	weekly	9 146:3
44:12	183:2,10,	2	116:5	193:16
57:21,23	17,24	208:2,7,1	117:17,20	205:3
58:1,16	184:5,9,1	3,16,20,2	weeks	248:5
79:24	5,17,21,2	4	117:23	west 213:15
88:2,10,1	5	209:2,7,1	133:1	
2 91:2,12	185:5,10,	2,18	144:7	Westario
96:7	22	210:3,14,		199:24
109:22,24	186:7,11,	22	weigh	we've 5:24
112:11,18	18,25	211:3,7,1	135:23	15 : 23
120:8	187:5,9,1	4,18,24	weight	26:11
131:21	2,19	212:2,8,1	60:20	33:20
136:4	188:1,6,1	8,23	66:1	62:22,23
1				•

INQUIRI	ie commingwoo	00-14-2019	rage 333	01 330
86:2 92:3	186:2	136:1,10,	winner	82:4,7,13
94:2	203:17	21	253:6	87 : 13
102:1	207:16	137:1,6,1	winning	106:21
103:23	220:13	3,22,23	232:15	113:4
132:24,25	263:11,12	138:3,7,1		120:18,24
134:9	267:4	2,20	wisdom	122:10
141:17	272:15,17	139:4,12,	84:24	131:9
182:20	whoever	17	129:14	144:19,23
193:8	21:18	140:5,10,	witness	, 25
198:9		21,25	165:6	148:19
205:6	whole 6:16	141:2,5,7	178:25	149:18
227:25	13:5	,11,16,22	214:1,2	167:9,17
whatever	47:10	142:1,11,	223:22	183:23
155:20	79:6 81:1	15,16,24,	224:6	214:10
183:11	138:23	25	258:20	244:4
211:1	167:5	143:8,16	267:3,20	261:13
242:4	212:12	144:1,4,9	270:16	263:18
	whoops	,12,18	witnesses	270 : 1
whereas	249:1	145:2,6,1	62:23	worked
119:1	who's	2,22	153:11	20:11
217:15	107:16	146:2,8,1	267:10	22:2
whereby	126:3	3,17	272:8	55 : 10
210:10	159:9	147:1,3,1		68 : 21
229:13	232:6	3,23	wonder	155 : 20
242:3		148:4,9,1	58:10	171:10
243:18	wild 213:15	3,20,23	142:12	180:17
244:16	William	149:2,5,2	272 : 15	209:3
whether	2:18 3:8	0	Woodworth	215:15,20
15:15	77:24	150:2,9,1	273:25	220:11
19:22	85:6,10,1	4,19,23	wording	239:2
35 : 7	5	151:10,21	24:7,9	243:23
52 : 24	126:4,8,1	152:1,12, 18,24		254 : 15
53:4 62:1	3,14,19	153:9,17	work	258 : 16
65:19 , 25	127:1,10,	154:8,13,	9:12,24	working
71:3	17,20	24	10:13,25	10:23
84:22	128:6,12,	155:7,16,	11:14	52 : 20
95:21	19,23	22	12:4 18:11	56 : 13
96:5	129:3,6,1	156:2,9,1	24:6 26:9	62 : 19
97:16,18	0,18,25	4,19,22	30:6 33:4	68 : 17
100:6	130:6,14,	157:3,20,	35:19,21	69:9
101:12,22	21,25	24 158:4	36:3,5,11	70:9,18,2
,25 117:9	131:5,11,	159:5	,13 37:7	3 86:19
119:8	17,23 132:5,9,1	267:1,13	53:15	112:11
130:12	4,19	272:14	54:20	151:6,18
136:3	133:3,7,1	Wingroup	55:7,19,2	155 : 24
146:14	5,19,24	Wingrove 23:4	2 56:3	181:5
147:4	134:3,8,1	142:6	59:5,12	183:19
154:5	5	171:5	68 : 21	237:12
172:7,8	135:2,8,1	227:19,25	70:5,10	244:1
175:15	5,22	<u> </u>	71:3	247:16
178:14	5,22			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOOD	D 05-14-2019	Page 336	of 336
257:11,15	256:15	63:2 71:6		
262:5		76:17		
263:7	wrote	129:12,13		
265:25	107:13	133:6,11,		
269:3,8	151:8	18		
	194:6	134:11,15		
workings		135:12		
258:6	Y	138:5,24,		
workload	year's	25 156:19		
25:11	14:23	181:24		
29:16	yesterday	198:12		
144:22	5:24 6:14	203:19		
works 9:10	17:15	247:6		
34:20	67:6	248:25		
145:18	218:8,12	249:1,5		
211:16	247:12	251:6		
		270 : 15		
world	Yesterday's	271 : 24		
225:15	218:13			
239:3,25 261:20	yet 34:10			
262:2	35:25			
	57:21,23			
worth	160:2			
236:17	164:15			
would've	186:17			
25:8,22	190:24			
27:9,11	221:9			
39:15	you'll 9:7			
41:25	272:1			
45:18 , 20	yours 9:25			
61:8	150:16			
67:3,8,16	164:4,8			
68:23	269:12			
70:4				
98:22	yourself			
99:22	27:5			
102:8	71:1,2 169:12			
105:5	171:3			
269:3	183:11			
271:15	227:6			
writing	256:16			
62 : 1	270:17,24			
148:5				
273:9,15	you've			
written	10:17			
148:11	27:24			
219:25	32:25			
	36:17,21			
wrong	37:5			
245:17	40:25			
	54:18			